Designed Experiment

- The samples are randomly selected in an independent manner from the k treatment populations. [Satisfied in a CRD]
- 2. All *k* populations have distributions that are approximately normal.
- 3. The k population variances are equal.

Assumptions behind the ANOVA F-test

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \cdots \sigma_k^2.$$

Designed Experiments

Example: Milk Quality Data.

The impact on milk protein level of three different diets is being studied.

Data: Measurements of milk protein levels for n = 1337 samples.

- ► **Response:** Milk Protein Level (%)
- Factor: DIET
- Factor levels: k = 3
 - ▶ 1: Barley
 - ► 2: Barley + Lupins
 - ► 3: Lupins

Designed Experiments

	TMT 1	TMT 2	TMT 3
ni	425	459	453
хi	3.532	3.430	2.312
s_i^2	0.102	0.091	0.114

SST	=	10.606
SSE	=	136.432
SS	=	147.038

$$k - 1 = 2$$

 $n - k = 1334$

Therefore

Analysis of Variance

Designed Experiment

$$MST = \frac{SST}{k-1} = \frac{10.606}{2} = 5.303$$
$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k} = \frac{136.432}{1334} = 0.102$$

and

$$F = \frac{MST}{MSE} = 51.851$$

If H_0 is true, that is,

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$$

then F should look like an observation from a

Fisher-F
$$(k-1, n-k)$$

distribution.

Designed Experiment Here we are dealing with the

Fisher-F(2, 1334)

distribution. From tables, we discover that if α = 0.05, then

 $F_{\alpha}(2, 1334) = 3.002$

and thus we

Reject H_0

and conclude that there is a significant impact on milk protein level due to diet.

Designed Experiments

Note: Tables in McClave and Sincich (p 901) only give

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F_{0.05}(2,120) &=& 3.07\\ F_{0.05}(2,\infty) &=& 3.00 \end{array}$$

so we cannot look up $F_{0.05}(2, 1334)$. However, we know that

 $3.00 < F_{0.05}(2, 1334) < 3.07$

and here the test statistic is F = 51.851.

Designed Experiments

Are the assumptions met ?

- 1. **Independent samples** : Not possible to tell with current information. In fact, data comprise repeated measurements on 79 cows potentially not independent, as observations on the same cow are likely to be more similar.
- 2. **Normal Distributions** : Visual inspection of boxplots indicates that this may be valid.
- 3. Equal variances :

$$s_1^2 = 0.102$$
 $s_2^2 = 0.091$ $s_3^2 = 0.114$

so assumption appears to be valid - can we test this formally ?



Designed Experiments



Milk Data: 3 Treatments

Analysis of Variance Designed

Example: Anxiety Response Treatment.

In a study of Alzheimer's disease and care of its sufferers, a medication designed to improve anxiety relief has been developed.

In a lab experiment, n = 20 rats were assigned to one of four (k = 4) treatment groups corresponding to dose-level of the medication.

A measure of response to a "flee stimulus" was recorded.

- Response: Pull response to stimulus (units of force)
- Factor: DOSE-LEVEL
- ► Factor levels: *k* = 4
 - Dose 0 (zero units)
 - Dose 1 (one unit)
 - Dose 2 (two units)
 - Dose 3 (three units)

Designed Experiment

0	1	2	2
27.0	22.8	21.9	23.5
26.2	23.1	23.4	19.6
28.8	27.7	20.1	23.7
33.5	27.6	27.8	20.8
28.8	24.0	19.3	23.9

We find that

SST = 140.094 SSE = 116.324 SS = 256.418MST = 46.698 MSE = 7.270and

$$F = 6.423$$

which we need to compare with the Fisher-F(3, 16) distribution.

Designed Experiment For $\alpha = 0.05$, from McClave and Sincich (p 901)

 $F_{0.05}(3, 16) = 3.24$

and so we

Reject H_0

at $\alpha = 0.05$ and conclude that there is a significant difference between treatment groups.

p-value is 0.0046.



Alzheimer's Medication: Animal model trial