

Heegner Points,  
Stark-Heegner points,  
and values of  $L$ -series

Number Theory Section Talk  
International Congress of Mathematicians  
August 2006, Madrid.

# Elliptic Curves

$E$  = elliptic curve over a number field  $F$

$L(E/F, s)$  = its Hasse-Weil  $L$ -function.

## **Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture.**

$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s) = \text{rank}(E(F))$ .

## **Theorem** (Gross-Zagier, Kolyvagin)

Suppose  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) \leq 1$ . Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true.

Key special case: if  $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) = 0$  and  $L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) \neq 0$ , then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite.

Essential ingredient: Heegner points

# Modularity

Write  $L(E/\mathbf{Q}, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ .

Consider

$$f(\tau) = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n \tau}, \text{quad } \tau \in cH.$$

**Theorem** The function  $f$  is a modular form of weight two on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , where  $N$  is the conductor of  $E$ .

*Modular parametrisation* attached to  $E$ :

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(N) \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C}).$$

$$\Phi^*(\omega) = 2\pi i f(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\log_E(\Phi(\tau)) = \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} 2\pi i f(z) dz = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{2\pi i n \tau}.$$

## CM points

$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \subset \mathbb{C}$  a quadratic imaginary field.

**Theorem.** If  $\tau$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H} \cap K$ , then  $\Phi(\tau)$  belongs to  $E(K^{\text{ab}})$ .

This theorem produces a *systematic* and *well-behaved* collection of algebraic points on  $E$  defined over class fields of  $K$ .

# Heegner points

Let  $D$  be a negative discriminant.

**Heegner hypothesis:**  $D \equiv s^2 \pmod{N}$ .

$$\mathcal{F}_D^{(N)} = \{Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 \text{ such that } B^2 - 4AC = D, N|A, B \equiv s \pmod{N}\}$$

Gaussian Composition:

$$\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{F}_D^{(N)} = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_D = G_D$$

is an abelian group under composition, and is identified with the class group of the order of discriminant  $D$ .

Given  $F \in \mathcal{F}_D^{(N)}$ , the point

$$P_F := \Phi(\tau), \text{ where } F(\tau, 1) = 0,$$

is called the Heegner point (of discriminant  $D$ ) attached to  $F$ .

# Heegner points

Class field theory:

$$\text{rec} : G_D \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(H_D/K),$$

where  $H_D$  is the ring class field attached to  $D$ .

Write

$$\Gamma_0(N)\mathcal{F}_D^{(N)} = \{F_1, \dots, F_h\}.$$

**Theorem** The Heegner points  $P_{F_j}$  belong to  $E(H_D)$  and

$$P_{\sigma F} = \text{rec}(\sigma^{-1})P_F.$$

In particular, letting  $D = \text{disc}(K)$ ,

$$P_K := P_{F_1} + \dots + P_{F_h}$$

belongs to  $E(K)$ .

**Theorem** (Gross-Zagier)

$$L'(E/K, \mathcal{O}_K, 1) = \hat{h}(P_K) \cdot (\text{period})$$

# Kolyvagin's theorem

## Theorem (Kolyvagin)

If  $P_K$  is of infinite order, then  $E(K)$  has rank one and  $\text{III}(E/K)$  is finite. (Hence, BSD holds for  $E/K$ .)

Main ingredient:  $P_K$  does not come alone, but is part of a norm-compatible collection of points in  $E(K^{ab})$ .

**Corollary.** If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \leq 1$ , then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds for  $E$ .

*Sketch of Proof.* Choose a quadratic field  $K$  satisfying the Heegner hypothesis, for which  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1$ .

By Gross-Zagier,  $P_K$  is of infinite order.

By Kolyvagin, the BSD conjecture holds for  $E/K$ .

BSD for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  follows.

## Totally real fields

**Question:** Does the above scheme generalise to other number fields?

Suppose  $E$  is defined over a totally real field  $F$ .

**Definition:**  $E$  is *arithmetically uniformisable* if  $[F : \mathbf{Q}]$  is odd or if  $N$  is not a square.

If  $E$  is modular, and arithmetically uniformisable, there is a *Shimura curve parametrisation*

$$\Phi : \text{Jac}(X) \longrightarrow E$$

defined over  $F$ .

Also,  $X$  is equipped with a collection of CM points attached to orders in CM extensions of  $F$ .

**Theorem** (Zhang, Kolyvagin). Suppose that  $E$  is modular and arithmetically uniformisable. If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s) \leq 1$ , then BSD holds for  $E/F$ .

# Non arithmetically uniformisable curves

**Theorem** (Longo, Tian). Suppose that  $E$  is modular. If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s) = 0$ , then BSD holds for  $E/F$ .

*Sketch of proof:* Let  $f$  be the modular form on  $\text{GL}_2(F)$  attached to  $E$ . One can produce modular forms that are congruent to  $f$ , and correspond to quotients of Shimura curves. For each  $n \geq 1$ , there is a Shimura curve  $X_n$  for which  $J_n[p^n]$  has  $E[p^n]$  as a constituent.

**Key formula:** Relate Heegner points attached to  $K$ , on  $X_n$ , to  $L(EK, 1)$  modulo  $p^n$ .

**Question.** If  $E$  is not arithmetically uniformisable, and  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s) = 1$ , show that  $\text{rank}(E(F)) = 1$ ?

E.g. If  $E$  has everywhere good reduction over a real quadratic field.

## Stark-Heegner points

**Wish:** There should be generalisations of Heegner points making it possible to

a) prove BSD for elliptic curves in analytic rank  $\leq 1$ , for more general  $E/F$ ;

b) Construct class fields of  $K$ ;

**Paradox:** Sometimes we can write down precise formulae for points whose existence is not proved.

**General setting:**  $E$  defined over a number field  $F$ ;

$K =$  auxiliary quadratic extension of  $F$ ;

I will present three contexts.

1.  $F = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $K =$  real quadratic field;
2.  $F =$  totally real field,  $K =$  ATR extension (“Almost Totally Real”). (Logan)
3.  $F =$  imaginary quadratic field. (Trifkovic)

## Real quadratic fields

**Set-up:**  $E$  has conductor  $N = pM$ , with  $p \nmid M$ .

$\mathcal{H}_p := \mathbf{C}_p - \mathbf{Q}_p$  (A  $p$ -adic analogue of  $\mathcal{H}$ )

$K =$  real quadratic field, embedded both in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_p$ .

Naive motivation for  $\mathcal{H}_p$ :  $\mathcal{H} \cap K = \emptyset$ , but  $\mathcal{H}_p \cap K$  need not be empty!

**Goal:** Define a  $p$ -adic “modular parametrisation”

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}_p^D / \Gamma_0(M) \xrightarrow{?} E(H_D),$$

for *positive* discriminants  $D$ .

## Modular symbols

Set  $\omega_f := \operatorname{Re}(2\pi i f(z) dz)$ .

**Fact:** There exists a real period  $\Omega$  such that

$$I_f\{r \rightarrow s\} := \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_r^s \omega_f \text{ modulo } \mathbf{Z},$$

for all  $r, s \in \mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{Q})$ .

Mazur-Swinnerton-Dyer measure:

There is a measure on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  defined by

$$\mu_f(a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p) = I_f\{a/p^n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

## Systems of measures

Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \text{ such that } M|c \right\}.$$

**Proposition** There exists a unique collection of measures  $\mu\{r \rightarrow s\}$  on  $\mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  satisfying

1.  $\mu\{r \rightarrow s\}|_{\mathbf{Z}_p} = \mu_f.$
2.  $\gamma^* \mu\{\gamma r \rightarrow \gamma s\} = \mu\{r \rightarrow s\},$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma.$
3.  $\mu\{r \rightarrow s\} + \mu\{s \rightarrow t\} = \mu\{r \rightarrow t\}.$

## Rigid analytic functions

$$f\{r \rightarrow s\}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \frac{d\mu\{r \rightarrow s\}_t}{(z-t)} z - t.$$

### Properties :

1.  $f\{\gamma r \rightarrow \gamma s\}(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^2 f\{r \rightarrow s\}(z)$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
2.  $f\{r \rightarrow s\} + f\{s \rightarrow t\} = f\{r \rightarrow t\}$ .



# Stark's conjecture

$K =$  number field.

$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n =$  Archimedean place of  $K$ .

Assume:  $v_2, \dots, v_n$  real.

$$s(x) = \text{sign}(v_2(x)) \cdots \text{sign}(v_n(x)).$$

$$\zeta(K, \mathcal{A}, s) = N(\mathcal{A})^s \sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}/(\mathcal{O}_K^+)^{\times}} s(x) N(x)^{-s}.$$

$H =$  Narrow Hilbert class field of  $K$ .

$\tilde{v}_1 : H \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  extending  $v_1 : K \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

**Conjecture** (Stark) There exists  $u(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{O}_H^{\times}$  such that

$$\zeta'(K, \mathcal{A}, 0) \doteq \log |\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A}))|.$$

$u(\mathcal{A})$  is called a *Stark unit* attached to  $H/K$ .

## Is there a stronger form?

**Stark Question:** Is there an *explicit analytic formula* for  $\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A}))$ , and not just its *absolute value*?

Some evidence that the answer is “Yes”: Sczech-Ren. (Also, ongoing work of Charollois-D.)

If  $\tilde{v}_1$  is real,

$$\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A})) \stackrel{?}{=} \pm \exp(\zeta'(K, \mathcal{A}, 0)).$$

If  $\tilde{v}_1$  is complex, it is harder to recover  $\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A}))$  from its absolute value.

$$\log(\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A}))) = \log |\tilde{v}_1(u(\mathcal{A}))| + i\theta(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\mathbf{Z}.$$

Applications to *Hilbert's Twelfth problem*  $\Rightarrow$  *Explicit class field theory for  $K$ .*

The **Stark Question** has an analogue for elliptic curves.

# Elliptic Curves

$E$  = elliptic curve over  $K$

$L(E/K, s)$  = its Hasse-Weil  $L$ -function.

**Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture.** If  $L(E/K, 1) = 0$ , then there exists  $P \in E(K)$  such that

$$L'(E/K, 1) = \hat{h}(P) \cdot (\text{explicit period}).$$

**Stark-Heegner Question:** Fix  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

$\Omega$  = Period lattice attached to  $v(E)$ .

Is there an *explicit analytic formula* for  $P$ , or rather, for

$$\log_E(v(P)) \in \mathbb{C}/\Omega?$$

A point  $P$  for which such an explicit analytic recipe exists is called a *Stark-Heegner point*.

## The prototype: Heegner Points

*Modular parametrisation* attached to  $E$ :

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(N) \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C}).$$

$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \subset \mathbf{C}$  a *quadratic imaginary field*.

$$\log_E(\Phi(\tau)) = \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} 2\pi i f(z) dz = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{2\pi i n \tau}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $\tau$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H} \cap K$ , then  $\Phi(\tau)$  belongs to  $E(K^{\text{ab}})$ .

This theorem produces a *systematic* and *well-behaved* collection of algebraic points on  $E$  defined over class fields of  $K$ .

## Heegner points

Given  $\tau \in \mathcal{H} \cap K$ , let

$$F_\tau(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$$

be the primitive binary quadratic form with

$$F_\tau(\tau, 1) = 0, \quad N|A.$$

Define  $\text{Disc}(\tau) := \text{Disc}(F_\tau)$ .

$$\mathcal{H}^D := \{\tau \text{ s.t. } \text{Disc}(\tau) = D.\}.$$

$H_D =$  ring class field of  $K$  attached to  $D$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $\tau$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^D$ , then  $P_D := \Phi(\tau)$  belongs to  $E(H_D)$ .

2. (Gross-Zagier)

$$L'(E/K, \mathcal{O}_K, 1) = \hat{h}(P_D) \cdot (\text{period})$$

# The Stark-Heegner conjecture

**General setting:**  $E$  defined over  $F$ ;

$K =$  auxiliary quadratic extension of  $F$ ;

The Stark-Heegner points belong (*conjecturally*) to ring class fields of  $K$ .

So far, three contexts have been explored:

1.  $F =$  totally real field,  $K =$  ATR extension (“Almost Totally Real”).
2.  $F = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $K =$  real quadratic field
3.  $F =$  imaginary quadratic field.

(Trifkovic, Balasubramaniam, in progress).

## ATR extensions

$E$  of conductor 1 over a totally real field  $F$ ,

$\omega_E$  = associated Hilbert modular form on  $(\mathcal{H}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_n)/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ .

$K$  = quadratic ATR extension of  $F$ ; (“Almost Totally Real”):  $v_1$  complex,  $v_2, \dots, v_n$  real.

D-Logan: A “modular parametrisation”

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \longrightarrow E(\mathbf{C})$$

is constructed, and  $\Phi(\mathcal{H} \cap K) \stackrel{?}{\subset} E(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ .

$\Phi$  defined analytically from periods of  $\omega_E$ .

- Experimental evidence (Logan);
- Replacing  $\omega_E$  with a weight two Eisenstein series yields a conjectural *affirmative* answer to the **Stark Question** for  $K$  (work in progress with Charollois).

## Real quadratic fields

**Set-up:**  $E$  has conductor  $N = pM$ , with  $p \nmid M$ .

$\mathcal{H}_p := \mathbf{C}_p - \mathbf{Q}_p$  (A  $p$ -adic analogue of  $\mathcal{H}$ )

$K =$  real quadratic field, embedded both in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_p$ .

Motivation for  $\mathcal{H}_p$ :  $\mathcal{H} \cap K = \emptyset$ , but  $\mathcal{H}_p \cap K$  need not be empty!

**Goal:** Define a  $p$ -adic “modular parametrisation”

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}_p^D / \Gamma_0(M) \xrightarrow{?} E(H_D),$$

for *positive* discriminants  $D$ .

In defining  $\Phi$ , I follow an approach suggested by *Dasgupta's thesis*.

# Hida Theory

$U = p$ -adic disc in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  with  $2 \in U$ ;

$\mathcal{A}(U) =$  ring of  $p$ -adic analytic functions on  $U$ .

**Hida.** There exists a unique  $q$ -expansion

$$f_\infty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{a}_n q^n, \quad \underline{a}_n \in \mathcal{A}(U),$$

such that  $\forall k \geq 2, k \in \mathbf{Z}, k \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$ ,

$$f_k := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{a}_n(k) q^n$$

is an eigenform of weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , and

$$f_2 = f_E.$$

For  $k > 2$ ,  $f_k$  arises from a newform of level  $M$ , which we denote by  $f_k^\dagger$ .

## Heegner points for real quadratic fields

**Definition.** If  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p/\Gamma_0(M)$ , let  $\gamma_\tau \in \Gamma_0(M)$  be a generator for  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_0(M)}(\tau)$ .

Choose  $r \in \mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{Q})$ , and consider the “Shimura period” attached to  $\tau$  and  $f_k^\dagger$ :

$$J_\tau^\dagger(k) := \Omega_E^{-1} \int_r^{\gamma_\tau r} (z - \tau)^{k-2} f_k^\dagger(z) dz.$$

This does not depend on  $r$ .

**Proposition.** There exist  $\lambda_k \in \mathbf{C}^\times$  such that  $\lambda_2 = 1$  and

$$J_\tau(k) := \lambda_k^{-1} (a_p(k)^2 - 1) J_\tau^\dagger(k)$$

takes values in  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathbf{C}_p$  and extends to a  $p$ -adic analytic function of  $k \in U$ .

## The definition of $\Phi$

Note:  $J_\tau(2) = 0$ . We define:

$$\log_E \Phi(\tau) := \frac{d}{dk} J_\tau(k) \Big|_{k=2}.$$

There are more precise formulae giving  $\Phi(\tau)$  itself, and not just its formal group logarithm.

**Conjecture 1.** If  $\tau$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_p^D$ , then  $P_D := \Phi(\tau)$  belongs to  $E(H_D)$ .

2. (“Gross-Zagier”)

$$L'(E/K, \mathcal{O}_K, 1) = \hat{h}(P_D) \cdot (\text{period})$$

## Computational Issues

The definition of  $\Phi$  is well-suited to *numerical calculations*. (Green (2000), Pollack (2004)).

Magma package `shp`: software for calculating Stark-Heegner points on elliptic curves of prime conductor.

<http://www.math.mcgill.ca/darmon/programs/shp/shp.html>

H. Darmon and R. Pollack. *The efficient calculation of Stark-Heegner points via overconvergent modular symbols*. Israel Math Journal, submitted.

The *key new idea* in this efficient algorithm is the theory of *overconvergent modular symbols* developed by Stevens and Pollack.

## Numerical examples

$$E = X_0(11) : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$

> HP,P,hD := stark\_heegner\_points(E,8,Qp);

The discriminant  $D = 8$  has class number 1

Computing point attached to quadratic form (1,2,-1)

Stark-Heegner point (over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ) =

$$(-2088624084707821, 1566468063530870w + 2088624084707825) + O(11^{15})$$

This point is close to  $[9/2, 1/8(7s - 4), 1]$

$(9/2 : 1/8(7s - 4) : 1)$  is a global point on  $E(K)$ .

## A second example

$$E = 37A : y^2 + y = x^3 - x, \quad D = 1297.$$

> „hD := stark\_heegner\_points(E,1297,Qp);

The discriminant  $D = 1297$  has class number 11

1 Computing point for quadratic form (1,35,-18)

2 Computing point for quadratic form (-4,33,13)

3 Computing point for quadratic form (16,9,-19)

4 Computing point for quadratic form (-6,25,28)

5 Computing point for quadratic form (-8,23,24)

6 Computing point for quadratic form (2,35,-9)

7 Computing point for quadratic form (9,35,-2)

8 Computing point for quadratic form (12,31,-7)

9 Computing point for quadratic form (-3,31,28)

10 Computing point for quadratic form (12,25,-14)

11 Computing point for quadratic form (14,17,-18)

Sum of the Stark-Heegner points (over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ) =

$$(0 : -1 : 1) + (37^{100})$$

This  $p$ -adic point is close to  $[0, -1, 1]$

$(0 : -1 : 1)$  is indeed a global point on  $E(K)$ .

Polynomial hD satisfied by the x-coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} 961x^{11} &- 4035x^{10} - 3868x^9 + 19376x^8 + 13229x^7 \\ &- 27966x^6 - 21675x^5 + 11403x^4 + 11859x^3 \\ &+ 1391x^2 - 369x - 37 \end{aligned}$$

> G := GaloisGroup(hD);

Permutation group G acting on a set of cardinality 11

(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)

(1, 10)(2, 9)(3, 8)(4, 7)(5, 6)

> #G;

22

## A theoretical result

$$\chi : G_D := \text{Gal}(H_D/K) \longrightarrow \pm 1$$

$$\zeta(K, \chi, s) = L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2).$$

$$P(\chi) := \sum_{\sigma \in G_D} \chi(\sigma) \Phi(\tau^\sigma), \quad \tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^D.$$

$H(\chi) :=$  extension of  $K$  cut out by  $\chi$ .

**Theorem** (Bertolini, D).

If  $a_p(E)\chi_1(p) = -\text{sign}(L(E, \chi_1, s))$ , then

1.  $\log_E P(\chi) = \log_E \tilde{P}(\chi)$ , with  $\tilde{P}(\chi) \in E(H(\chi))$ .
2. The point  $\tilde{P}(\chi)$  is of infinite order, if and only if  $L'(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ .

The proof rests on an idea of Kronecker (“Kronecker’s solution of Pell’s equation in terms of the Dedekind eta-function”).

# Kronecker's Solution of Pell's Equation

$D = \text{negative}$  discriminant.

Replace  $\mathcal{H}_p^D / \Gamma_0(N)$  by  $\mathcal{H}^D / \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Replace  $\Phi$  by

$$\eta^*(\tau) := |D|^{-1/4} \sqrt{\text{Im}(\tau)} |\eta(\tau)|^2.$$

$\chi =$  genus character of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , associated to

$$D = D_1 D_2, \quad D_1 > 0, \quad D_2 < 0.$$

**Theorem** (Kronecker, 1865).

$$\prod_{\sigma \in G_D} \eta^*(\tau^\sigma) \chi(\sigma) = \epsilon^{2h_1 h_2 / w_2},$$

where

$h_j =$  class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_j})$ .

$\epsilon =$  Fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_{D_1}^\times$ .

# Kronecker's Proof

Three key ingredients:

1. Kronecker limit formula:

$$\zeta'(K, \chi, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in G_D} \chi(\sigma) \log \eta^*(\tau^\sigma).$$

2. Factorisation Formula:

$$\zeta(K, \chi, s) = L(s, \chi_{D_1})L(s, \chi_{D_2}).$$

In particular

$$\zeta'(K, \chi, 0) = L'(0, \chi_{D_1})L(0, \chi_{D_2}).$$

3. Dirichlet's Formula.

$$L'(0, \chi_{D_1}) = h_1 \log(\epsilon), \quad L(0, \chi_{D_2}) = 2h_2/w_2.$$

**Note:** Complex multiplication is not used!

## The Stark-Heegner setting

Assume  $\chi =$  trivial character.

$P_K =$  “trace” to  $K$  of  $P_D$ .

1. A “Kronecker limit formula”

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2} L_p(f_k/K, k/2) = \frac{1}{4} \log_p(P_K + a_p(E) \bar{P}_K)^2.$$

If  $a_p(E) = -\text{sign}(L(E/\mathbf{Q}, s))$ , then

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2} L_p(f_k/K, k/2) = \log_p(P_K)^2.$$

2. Factorisation formula:

$$L_p(f_k/K, k/2) = L_p(f_k, k/2) L_p(f_k, \chi_D, k/2).$$

$L_p(f_k, k/2) =$  specialisation to the critical line  $s = k/2$  of  $L_p(f_k, k, s)$  (Mazur’s two-variable  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function.)

## An analogue of Dirichlet's Formula

Suppose  $a_p = -\text{sign}(L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s)) = 1$ .

**Theorem over  $\mathbb{Q}$**  (Bertolini, D)

The function  $L_p(f_k, k/2)$  vanishes to order  $\geq 2$  at  $k = 2$ , and there exists  $P_{\mathbb{Q}} \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that

1.  $\frac{d^2}{dk^2} L_p(f_k, k/2) = -\log^2(P_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .
2.  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is of infinite order iff  $L'(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) \neq 0$ .

## Proof of theorem over $\mathbb{Q}$

Introduce a suitable auxiliary imaginary quadratic field  $K$ .

A “Kronecker limit formula”

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2} L_p(f_k/K, k/2) = \log_p(P_K)^2,$$

where  $P_K$  is a *Heegner point* arising from a Shimura curve parametrisation.

Key Ingredients: Cerednik-Drinfeld Theorem.

M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Heegner points,  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and the Cerednik-Drinfeld uniformisation*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998).

M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Hida families and rational points on elliptic curves*, in preparation.

## End of Proof

We now use the factorisation formula

$$L_p''(f_k/K, k/2) = L_p''(f_k, k/2)L_p(f_k, \chi_D, 1)$$

to conclude.

The structure of the argument

Heegner points + Cerednik-Drinfeld

⇒ Theorem for  $K$  imaginary quadratic

⇒ Theorem for  $\mathbb{Q}$

⇒ Theorem for  $K$  real quadratic.

This argument seems to shed no light on the rationality of the Stark-Heegner point  $P_D$  (unless the class group has exponent two).