

# SINGULAR MODULI FOR REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS: A RIGID ANALYTIC APPROACH

---

HENRI DARMON and JAN VONK

## Abstract

A rigid meromorphic cocycle is a class in the first cohomology of the discrete group  $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  with values in the multiplicative group of nonzero rigid meromorphic functions on the  $p$ -adic upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}_p := \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p) - \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Such a class can be evaluated at the real quadratic irrationalities in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , which are referred to as “RM points.” Rigid meromorphic cocycles can be envisaged as the real quadratic counterparts of Borcherds’ singular theta lifts: their zeroes and poles are contained in a finite union of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points, and their RM values are conjectured to lie in ring class fields of real quadratic fields. These RM values enjoy striking parallels with the values of modular functions on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  at complex multiplication (CM) points: in particular, they seem to factor just like the differences of classical singular moduli, as described by Gross and Zagier. A fast algorithm for computing rigid meromorphic cocycles to high  $p$ -adic accuracy leads to convincing numerical evidence for the algebraicity and factorization of the resulting singular moduli for real quadratic fields.

## Contents

Introduction . . . . .	23
1. Additive cocycles of weight 2 . . . . .	30
2. Multiplicative cocycles of weight 0 . . . . .	53
3. Real quadratic singular moduli . . . . .	73
References . . . . .	91

## Introduction

Drinfeld’s  $p$ -adic upper half-plane, a rigid analytic space whose  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -points are identified with  $\mathcal{H}_p := \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p) - \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , offers an enticing framework for explicit class field theory for real quadratic fields, since it contains a large supply  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathrm{RM}}$  of real

DUKE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Vol. 170, No. 1, © 2021 DOI [10.1215/00127094-2020-0035](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2020-0035)

Received 22 December 2018. Revision received 24 March 2020.

First published online 26 November 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R37; Secondary 11G15.

*multiplication* (RM) points belonging to real quadratic fields in which the prime  $p$  is either inert or ramified. Let  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  denote the multiplicative group of *rigid meromorphic functions* on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , consisting of ratios of nonzero rigid analytic functions. The discrete group  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  by Möbius transformations, inducing an action on  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  (written either on the right or on the left) by the rule

$$(f | \gamma)(\tau) := (\gamma^{-1} f)(\tau) := f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right), \quad \text{where } \gamma := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

A naive attempt at explicit class field theory for real quadratic fields could proceed by examining the RM values of  $\Gamma$ -invariant functions in  $\mathcal{M}^\times$ . However, because the  $\Gamma$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  are dense for the rigid analytic topology, any such function is constant, that is,

$$H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) = \mathbb{C}_p^\times. \quad (2)$$

It is then natural to consider the first cohomology group  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  instead. A class in this group is said to be *parabolic* if its restriction to the subgroup  $\Gamma_\infty \subset \Gamma$  of upper triangular matrices<sup>1</sup> is trivial and is said to be *quasiparabolic* if this restriction lies in  $H^1(\Gamma_\infty, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$ . The groups of such classes are denoted by  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  and  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ , respectively.

#### Definition 1

A class in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  is called a *rigid meromorphic cocycle* for  $\Gamma$ .

A rigid meromorphic cocycle is thus a function  $J : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\times$  satisfying

$$J(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = J(\gamma_1) \times \gamma_1 J(\gamma_2),$$

taken modulo 1 coboundaries, of the form  $\xi(\gamma) = \gamma f \div f$ , with  $f \in \mathcal{M}^\times$ , and admitting a quasiparabolic representative, whose values on  $\Gamma_\infty$  consist of constant functions. This representative is even unique, because  $\mathcal{M}$  contains no translation-invariant elements. The fact that every class in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  is thus equipped with a distinguished representative justifies the slightly abusive but more euphonious terminology in which a “rigid analytic cohomology class” is dubbed a “rigid analytic cocycle.”

This article initiates the study of rigid meromorphic cocycles, with special emphasis on their application to the analytic construction of class fields of real quadratic fields.

The relevance of Definition 1 for explicit class field theory rests on the fact that rigid meromorphic cocycles can be meaningfully *evaluated* at RM points. More precisely, the RM points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  are characterized by the fact that their *associated order*

<sup>1</sup>Note that this group contains translations, as well as the homothety  $D$  in (12).

$$\mathcal{O}_\tau := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \text{ such that } a\tau + b = c\tau^2 + d\tau \right\}$$

is isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -order in the real quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ , via the inclusion

$$\iota: \mathcal{O}_\tau \longrightarrow K, \quad \iota \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = c\tau + d.$$

The stabilizer of  $\tau$  in  $\Gamma$  is generated up to torsion by a fundamental unit of norm 1 in  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ . It is called the *automorph* of  $\tau$  and denoted  $\gamma_\tau$ . While  $\gamma_\tau$  is only well defined up to torsion elements and up to replacing it by its inverse, the latter ambiguity can be resolved by fixing a choice of orientation on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . The *value* of a rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J$  at an RM point  $\tau$  is then defined to be

$$J[\tau] := J(\gamma_\tau)(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (3)$$

a numerical invariant which depends only on the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of the RM point  $\tau$ . The cocycle  $J$  thus gives rise to a function

$$J: \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}. \quad (4)$$

Conjecture 1 below asserts that it takes algebraic values that lie in (composita of) abelian extensions of real quadratic fields, thus behaving in many key respects like the function  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\text{CM}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  induced by the classical  $j$ -function or by any other meromorphic modular function defined over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let  $S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  be the standard matrix of order 2 in  $\Gamma/(\pm 1)$  that fixes  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

### Definition 2

A *rigid meromorphic period function* is the value at  $S$  of the quasiparabolic representative of a rigid meromorphic cocycle.

It is a standard fact in the theory of modular symbols (see Proposition 1.4) that the assignment  $J \mapsto j := J(S)$  identifies  $H_j^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  with the multiplicative group  $\mathcal{R}^\times$  of rigid meromorphic period functions and that any function in  $\mathcal{R}^\times$  satisfies the following functional relations up to multiplicative constants:

$$j(-1/z) = j(z)^{-1}, \quad j(p^2 z) = j(z), \quad \frac{j(z+1)}{j(z)} = j\left(-\frac{z+1}{z}\right) \pmod{\mathbb{C}_p^\times}. \quad (5)$$

The main result of the first two sections is the following.

## THEOREM 1

*The group  $\mathcal{R}^\times$  is of infinite rank. The zeroes and poles of any  $j \in \mathcal{R}^\times$  are contained in a finite union of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .*

Theorem 1 suggests that rigid meromorphic period functions might be viewed as the real quadratic counterpart of Borchers' singular theta lifts of modular forms of weight  $1/2$ , insofar as the latter are meromorphic modular functions with divisors concentrated at CM points.

Let  $H_\tau$  denote the *ring class field* (in the *narrow sense*) associated to the order  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ . It is an abelian extension of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  whose Galois group over  $K$  is identified via global class field theory with the narrow class group  $\text{Pic}^+(\mathcal{O}_\tau)$  of projective oriented  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ -modules. If  $j$  is any rigid meromorphic period function and  $J$  is its associated rigid meromorphic cocycle, then Theorem 1 implies that the field

$$H_j = H_J := \text{Compositum}_{j(\tau)=\infty}(H_\tau)$$

is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; it is called the *field of definition* of  $j$  or of  $J$ . The main conjecture of this paper, which is discussed in greater detail in Section 3, is the following.

## CONJECTURE 1

*If  $J$  is a rigid meromorphic cocycle and  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$  is an RM point, then the value  $J[\tau]$  is an algebraic number belonging to the compositum of  $H_J$  and  $H_\tau$ .*

Fixing a nontrivial  $J$  and varying  $\tau \in K$ , note that the ring class fields  $H_\tau$  together with the cyclotomic extensions of  $K$  generate an abelian extension  $K_J^{\text{ab}}$  of  $K$  which is “almost” the full maximal abelian extension  $K^{\text{ab}}$  in the sense that  $K^{\text{ab}}/K_J^{\text{ab}}$  is an extension of exponent 2 (albeit of infinite degree). Conjecture 1 gives ample motivation for the systematic study of rigid meromorphic cocycles. This study is carried out in Sections 1 and 2, where Theorem 1 is proved by giving a complete classification of rigid meromorphic period functions. These functions and their additive counterparts, the rigid meromorphic period functions of weight 2, are reminiscent of the “rational period functions” that are studied in [1], [16], and [2] and can be classified along similar lines. The classification obtained in Section 2 is constructive and leads to explicit product expansions for rigid meromorphic period functions. To describe these, for any  $\tau \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ , let

$$\Sigma_\tau := \{w \in \Gamma\tau \text{ such that } ww' < 0\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $w'$  is the algebraic conjugate of  $w \in K := \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ . The subset  $\Sigma_\tau$  of  $\Gamma\tau$  contains only finitely many integer translates of any given  $w \in \Gamma\tau$ , and it can in fact be shown

that it is a discrete subset of the full  $\Gamma$ -orbit, relative to the rigid  $p$ -adic topology on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . After fixing a real embedding of  $K$ , let  $\delta_\infty(w) \in \{-1, 1\}$  denote the sign of  $w \in \Sigma_\tau$ .

A prime  $p$  is said to be *monstrous* if it divides the cardinality of the monster sporadic simple group or equivalently (by a famous observation of Andrew Ogg) if the quotient of the modular curve  $X_0(p)$  by its Atkin–Lehner involution has genus 0, which occurs precisely when

$$p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}.$$

One of the illustrative results of Section 2 is the following.

**THEOREM 2**

*Let  $p$  be a monstrous prime, and let  $\tau$  be any RM point in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . The infinite product*

$$j_\tau^+(z) := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau} \left( \frac{t_w(z)}{t_{pw}(z)} \right)^{\delta_\infty(w)}, \quad \text{where } t_w(z) = \begin{cases} z - w & \text{if } |w| \leq 1, \\ z/w - 1 & \text{if } |w| > 1, \end{cases}$$

*converges to a rigid meromorphic period function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .*

The constructions described in the first two sections also lead to a complete classification of rigid meromorphic period functions for arbitrary  $p$ , which in principle does not require that  $p$  be a monstrous prime: the multiplicative group of rigid meromorphic period functions is spanned by suitable Hecke translates of simple generalizations of the example in Theorem 2. The sole reason for preferring to work computationally with monstrous primes, or even genus 0 primes, is a purely practical one: in this case, the rigid meromorphic period functions admit simpler divisors and their RM values appear to be of smaller height, which likely facilitates their numerical recognition.

Section 2 concludes by describing an efficient algorithm for computing rigid meromorphic period functions to high  $p$ -adic accuracy, in terms of their images in the Tate algebra of a suitable affinoid subset of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . This algorithm makes it feasible to compute the RM values of rigid meromorphic cocycles to hundreds of significant digits and has been used to test Conjecture 1 numerically in a variety of situations.

For example, if  $p$  is a monstrous prime that is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ , that is, if

$$p \in \{2, 3, 7, 13, 17, 23, 47\}, \tag{7}$$

and  $\varphi := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the golden ratio, the rigid meromorphic period function  $j_\varphi^+$  and associated rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J_\varphi^+$  defined by setting  $\tau = \varphi$  in Theorem 2 can be viewed as a convincing analogue of the  $j$ -function, whose divisor is concentrated on the CM point  $(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$  of smallest negative discriminant. Calculations

performed to 100 digits of 3-adic and 13-adic accuracy (the primes  $p = 3, 13$  being precisely those in (7) that are also inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ) suggest that

$$J_\varphi^+[2\sqrt{2}] \stackrel{?}{=} \begin{cases} (33 + 56\sqrt{-1})/(5 \cdot 13) & \text{in } \mathbb{C}_3, \\ (1 + 2\sqrt{-2})/3 & \text{in } \mathbb{C}_{13}, \end{cases}$$

consistent with the fact that the order  $\mathbb{Z}[2\sqrt{2}]$  has narrow class number 2 and that its associated narrow ring class field is equal to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1})$ . Likewise, the real quadratic field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{223})$  has narrow class number 6, and the element  $\sqrt{223}$  can be viewed as belonging to  $\mathcal{H}_7$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{13}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{47}$ . The value of  $J_\varphi^+$  at  $\tau = \sqrt{223}$ , computed to 100 digits of  $p$ -adic accuracy for  $p \in \{7, 13, 47\}$ , appears to satisfy the following sextic polynomials:

$$p = 7, \quad 282525425x^6 + 27867770x^5 + 414793887x^4 - 128906260x^3 \\ + 414793887x^2 + 27867770x + 282525425,$$

$$p = 13, \quad 464800x^6 + 1275520x^5 + 1614802x^4 + 1596283x^3 + 1614802x^2 \\ + 1275520x + 464800,$$

$$p = 47, \quad 4x^6 + 4x^5 + x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 + 4x + 4.$$

All three of these polynomials have the Hilbert class field of  $K$  as their splitting field, providing evidence for Conjecture 1 in a setting where  $H_\tau$  is nonabelian over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

A number of patterns emerge from the above experiments, notably the following.

- The RM value  $J_\varphi^+[\tau]$  appears to belong to  $H_\tau^{\sigma_p \sigma_\infty = 1}$ , where  $\sigma_p$  and  $\sigma_\infty$  denote Frobenius elements at the prime  $p$  and  $\infty$ , respectively. In that sense the situation is even a bit better than predicted by Conjecture 1, which only asserts that  $J_\varphi^+[\tau]$  should be defined over the compositum of  $H_\tau$  with the field of definition  $H_\varphi = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  of  $J_\varphi^+$ . The experiments, which have been carried out for numerous other examples as well, suggest that  $j_\varphi^+$  should really be viewed as being “defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ” rather than over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ .
- The primes that occur in the factorization of  $J_\varphi^+[\tau]$  lie above rational primes that are inert or ramified in both the real quadratic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  and divide an integer of the form  $\frac{5 \text{Disc}(\tau) - m^2}{4} \geq 0$ .
- The value of  $J_\varphi^+[\tau]$ —and even the precise field over which it is defined—depends very much on the monstrous prime  $p$  relative to which it is computed. However, if  $p$  and  $q$  are two monstrous primes for which  $\varphi$  and  $\tau$  belong to both  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , then the primes above  $q$  very often (but not always!) occur in the factorization of the  $p$ -adic  $J_\varphi^+[\tau]$  with the same multiplicity as the primes above  $p$  in the factorization of the self-same  $q$ -adic invariant.

In an attempt to better understand this last feature, Section 3 focuses on a prime  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ , that is, a prime for which the modular curve  $X_0(p)$  has genus 0. For each pair  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  of RM points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with associated ring class fields  $H_1 = H_{\tau_1}$  and  $H_2 = H_{\tau_2}$  a  $p$ -adic arithmetic intersection number

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \stackrel{?}{\in} H_{12} := H_1 H_2$$

is defined. Roughly speaking, it is the value  $\hat{J}_{\tau_1}[\tau_2]$ , where  $\hat{J}_{\tau_1}$  is a simple modification of the cocycle  $J_{\tau_1}^+$  of Theorem 2, with zeroes and poles concentrated in  $\Gamma \tau_1$ . The quantity  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  seems to enjoy many of the same properties as the difference

$$J_{\infty}(\tau_1, \tau_2) := j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2), \quad j(q) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + \dots$$

of “classical” singular moduli studied in [15] and is conjectured to admit analogous factorizations.

The prediction made in Conjecture 3.27 of Section 3 can be loosely paraphrased as follows.

#### CONJECTURE 2

*The  $p$ -adic intersection number  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \stackrel{?}{\in} H_{12}$  is divisible only by primes of  $H_{12}$  lying above rational primes that are nonsplit in both of the real quadratic fields  $K_1 := \mathbb{Q}(\tau_1)$  and  $K_2 := \mathbb{Q}(\tau_2)$  and divide a positive integer of the form  $\frac{D_1 D_2 - x^2}{4p}$ . If  $q$  is such a prime, then the valuations of  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  at the primes above  $q$  are determined by certain  $q$ -weighted topological intersection numbers of modular geodesics attached to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on the Shimura curve arising from the indefinite quaternion algebra ramified at  $q$  and  $p$ .*

This prediction resonates closely with the factorizations described in [15], where the valuation at  $q$  of  $J_{\infty}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is determined by a similar intersection of 0-cycles attached to the CM points  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on the 0-dimensional Shimura variety arising from the definite quaternion algebra ramified at  $q$  and  $\infty$ .

#### Remark 1

With the benefit of hindsight, Conjecture 1 can be envisaged as a natural extension of the construction of Gross–Stark units described in [5]. These Gross–Stark units arise as the RM values of rigid analytic cocycles, taking values in the multiplicative group  $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$  of nonvanishing rigid analytic functions. Although the group  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^{\times})$  is a finite torsion group, it acquires nonzero rank when  $\Gamma$  is replaced by a suitable congruence subgroup, and the elements of  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^{\times})$  (up to torsion) are essentially in bijection with the modular units on the associated open modular curve. The field of

definition of any  $J \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^\times)$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the main conjecture of [5] asserts that the RM values  $J[\tau]$  are algebraic numbers—in fact,  $p$ -units—in the narrow class field  $H_\tau$ . The proof of Gross’s  $p$ -adic variant of the Stark conjecture given in [9] implies that this is at least true of the quantities  $J[\tau] \cdot J[\tau']$  (where  $\tau \mapsto \tau'$  denotes the nontrivial automorphism of  $K$ ), up to torsion in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . The more recent work [11] and [10] significantly refines the methods of [9] and may lead to even stronger evidence for the algebraicity of the RM values of rigid analytic cocycles.

*Remark 2*

Although Conjecture 2 is natural a posteriori in light of Remark 1, the realization that a direct analogue of singular moduli might grow out of the approach of [3] came to the authors as a real surprise. To explain this, we remark that, for an arbitrary  $p$ -arithmetic group  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ , any finite rank Hecke stable submodule of  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  is necessarily contained in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^\times)$ . Meromorphic cocycles that are not analytic thus bear no direct relationship to Hecke eigenforms, and their RM values do not encode the special values of  $L$ -functions with Euler products, unlike the Gross–Stark units of [5] and the Stark–Heegner points of [3], which are expected to satisfy analogues of the Kronecker limit formula and the Gross–Zagier formula. In that sense, the main thesis of this paper—that rigid meromorphic cocycles play the role of meromorphic modular functions in extending the theory of complex multiplication to real quadratic fields—breaks more decisively with the tradition of the Stark conjectures than either [5] or [3], where the leading terms of motivic  $L$ -functions continue to play a central role.

*Remark 3*

The Stark–Heegner points of [3] arise as the special values of certain “elliptic modular cocycles”  $J_E \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^\times/q^\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve of conductor  $p$  and  $q$  is Tate’s  $p$ -adic period attached to  $E$ . The cocycle  $J_E$  plays the role of a kind of modular parameterization for  $E$  in our theory. The parallel between rigid analytic cocycles and the  $p$ -adic uniformization of modular and Shimura curves has been explored in a number of other references, notably in [8], and the relevance of meromorphic cocycles to this picture is further fleshed out in [4].

## 1. Additive cocycles of weight 2

This section introduces additive counterparts of the rigid meromorphic cocycles and their associated rigid meromorphic period functions that were described in the Introduction. These are referred to as rigid meromorphic cocycles and period functions of weight  $k \geq 0$ . The main results of this section are Theorems 1.23 and 1.24, which together give the full classification of rigid meromorphic period functions of weight

2 up to rigid analytic period functions of the same weight. The techniques are greatly inspired by the classification of *rational period functions* carried out by Knopp in [16], Ash in [1], and Choie and Zagier in [2].

### 1.1. The $p$ -adic upper half-plane

We begin by recalling some facts about the  $p$ -adic upper half-plane as a rigid analytic space.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the Bruhat–Tits tree of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , whose vertices are in bijection with the homothety classes of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$ , two vertices being joined by an (unordered) edge if they admit representative lattices with one containing the other with index  $p$ . Write  $\mathcal{T}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_1^*$  for the set of vertices, unordered edges, and ordered edges, respectively, of  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $e \in \mathcal{T}_1^*$  is an ordered edge, we denote by  $s(e)$  and  $t(e) \in \mathcal{T}_0$  its *source* and *target* vertices, respectively. The group  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}$  through its natural left action on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$ , viewing the latter as column vectors. The “standard vertex”  $v_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0$  associated to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  has  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  as its stabilizer for this action. A vertex is said to be *even* if its distance to  $v_0$  is even and is said to be *odd* otherwise. The set of even and odd vertices are denoted  $\mathcal{T}_0^+$  and  $\mathcal{T}_0^-$ , respectively. Likewise, an ordered edge in  $\mathcal{T}_1^*$  is said to be even if its source is even and odd if its source is odd. The subsets of even and odd oriented edges are denoted  $\mathcal{T}_1^+$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1^-$ , respectively, so that we have the decompositions

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_0^+ \sqcup \mathcal{T}_0^-, \quad \mathcal{T}_1^* = \mathcal{T}_1^+ \sqcup \mathcal{T}_1^-.$$

The  $p$ -adic upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}_p$  may be thought of as a tubular neighborhood of the Bruhat–Tits tree  $\mathcal{T}$  via the canonical “reduction map”

$$\mathrm{red} : \mathcal{H}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{T},$$

which maps  $\mathcal{H}_p(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}})$  to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , where  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}$  is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , by sending  $\tau$  to the lattice of  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$  for which  $x\tau + y$  is an integer in  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{nr}}$ . The inverse image of a vertex  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$ , denoted  $\mathcal{A}_v$ , is called a *vertex affinoid* in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , and the inverse image of an edge  $e \in \mathcal{T}_1$  is an annulus denoted by  $\mathcal{W}_e$ . The vertex affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to the standard vertex  $v_0$  is called the *standard affinoid*: it is the complement in  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  of the  $p + 1 \bmod p$  residue discs around the points in  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The edge  $e_0 \in \mathcal{T}_1$  with stabilizer  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is the image under the reduction map of the *standard annulus*

$$\mathcal{W}_{e_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p \text{ with } 1 < |z| < p\}.$$

If  $v$  is a vertex and  $e_1, \dots, e_{p+1}$  are the distinct edges in  $\mathcal{T}_1$  having  $v$  as an endpoint, then the union

$$\mathcal{W}_v := \mathcal{A}_v \cup \bigcup_j \mathcal{W}_{e_j} := \text{red}^{-1}\left(v \cup \bigcup_j e_j\right)$$

is called the *standard wide open subset* attached to  $v$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}^{\leq n}$  denote the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$  spanned by the vertices of distance at most  $n$  from the standard vertex, and write  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  for the affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  consisting of those points reducing to  $\mathcal{T}^{\leq n}$ . Likewise let  $\mathcal{T}^{< n}$  denote the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing all the vertices of distance at most  $n - 1$  as well as all the edges containing at least one of these vertices, and write  $\mathcal{H}_p^{< n}$  for the wide open subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  consisting of those points reducing to  $\mathcal{T}^{< n}$ . The subsets  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  define an admissible cover

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n} \quad (8)$$

of the  $p$ -adic upper half-plane by affinoid subsets.

Of course, the actions of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  and on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  by Möbius transformations are compatible under the reduction map. In particular, for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\gamma v} = \gamma \mathcal{A}_v, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\gamma e} = \gamma \mathcal{W}_e.$$

A  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is said to be *rigid analytic* if its restriction to any affinoid subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is a uniform limit, relative to the supremum norm, of rational functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  having poles outside of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The space  $\mathcal{O}$  of rigid analytic functions on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is endowed with a natural topology arising from its expression as the inverse limit of the affinoid algebras  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n})$ , which are Banach spaces for their supremum norms. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Its elements are called *rigid meromorphic functions* on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .

If  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$  is an RM point, then there is a primitive integral binary quadratic form  $F_\tau(x, y)$  satisfying  $F_\tau(\tau, 1) = 0$ , which is unique up to sign. The *discriminant* of  $\tau$  is the discriminant of this binary quadratic form. The discriminant is an invariant for the action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , but not of  $\Gamma$ , which only preserves the prime-to- $p$  part of  $\text{disc}(\tau)$ .

#### PROPOSITION 1.1

If  $\tau$  is an RM point of discriminant  $D_0 p^n$ , where  $D_0$  is prime to  $p$ , then  $\tau$  reduces to a point of  $\mathcal{T}$  at distance  $n/2$  from  $v_0$ .

- (1) If  $n = 2m$  is even, then  $\tau$  reduces to a vertex of  $\mathcal{T}$  and belongs to one of the affinoids in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m} - \mathcal{H}_p^{< m}$ .
- (2) If  $n = 2m + 1$  is odd, then  $\tau$  reduces to the midpoint of an edge of  $\mathcal{T}$  and belongs to one of the annuli in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{< m+1} - \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$ .

*Proof*

Let  $Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$  be the primitive integral binary quadratic form of discriminant

$D = D_0 p^n$  having  $\tau$  as a root. Let  $\varpi$  be an element of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  of normalized  $p$ -adic valuation  $n/2$ . The natural image of  $\tau = \frac{-B + \sqrt{D}}{2A}$  in  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/\varpi)$  agrees with the image of  $[-B : 2A] \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  under the natural composition

$$\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/\varpi),$$

while its image in  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/\varpi p^\epsilon)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  does not lie in the image of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

### 1.2. Modular symbols

The parabolic cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with values in a  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module  $\Omega$  admits a concrete description in terms of  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbols, which will also provide a natural bridge between rigid meromorphic cocycles and the rigid meromorphic period functions invoked in the Introduction.

The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  shall be written (both on the right and on the left according to convenience) as

$$(m, \gamma) \mapsto m | \gamma, \quad (\gamma, m) \mapsto \gamma m := m | \gamma^{-1}, \quad m \in \Omega, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

#### Definition 1.2

An  $\Omega$ -valued modular symbol is a function

$$m : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \Omega,$$

satisfying

$$m\{r, s\} = -m\{s, r\}, \quad m\{r, s\} + m\{s, t\} = m\{r, t\} \quad \text{for all } r, s, t \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The space of  $\Omega$ -valued modular symbols is denoted  $\mathrm{MS}(\Omega)$ . It is endowed with a natural action of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  by the rule

$$(m | \gamma)\{r, s\} := (m\{\gamma r, \gamma s\}) | \gamma.$$

The space of  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbols, denoted

$$\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega) := \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathrm{MS}(\Omega)),$$

is the set of modular symbols satisfying the  $\Gamma$ -invariance property

$$m\{\gamma r, \gamma s\} = m\{r, s\} | \gamma^{-1} = \gamma m\{r, s\}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

It is equipped with the usual action of the Hecke operators  $T_n$  (for  $(n, p) = 1$ ) defined in terms of the double coset

$$\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma = \bigsqcup_j \Gamma \gamma_j$$

by setting

$$(m | T_n) = \sum_j (m | \gamma_j).$$

The normalizer of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  is the group  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ , and the determinant induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \det : \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) / \Gamma &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times / (\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times)^2 = \{1, -1, p, -p\} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements  $w_\infty$  and  $w_p$  associated to classes of matrices of determinant  $-1$  and  $p$ , respectively, generate this quotient and give rise to involutions on  $\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega)$  by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} (m | w_\infty)\{r, s\} &:= (m\{w_\infty r, w_\infty s\}) | w_\infty, \\ (m | w_p)\{r, s\} &:= (m\{w_p r, w_p s\}) | w_p. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

A  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbol that is in the  $+1$  (resp.,  $-1$ ) eigenspace for the involution  $w_\infty$  is said to be even (resp., odd). Likewise, it is said to be  $p$ -even (resp.,  $p$ -odd) if it is in the  $+1$  (resp.,  $-1$ ) eigenspace for the involution  $w_p$ .

The following lemma relates  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbols to the corresponding parabolic cohomology groups.

LEMMA 1.3

*There is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^{\Gamma_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, \Omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma_\infty, \Omega). \tag{10}$$

*In particular, there is a canonical Hecke-equivariant surjection  $\delta : \mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \Omega)$  which is an isomorphism when  $\Omega^\Gamma = \Omega^{\Gamma_\infty}$ .*

*Proof*

Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}), \Omega)$  be the  $\Gamma$ -module of  $\Omega$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , equipped with the natural  $\Gamma$ -action arising from the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  by Möbius transformations. It fits into the exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}), \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{MS}(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{11}$$

where  $df\{r, s\} := f(s) - f(r)$ . The lemma follows from taking the long exact  $\Gamma$ -cohomology sequence associated to this short exact sequence and invoking Shapiro's lemma to identify  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}), \Omega))$  with  $H^i(\Gamma_\infty, \Omega)$ .  $\square$

The cohomology class  $\Phi := \delta(\Phi_0)$  associated to  $\Phi_0 \in \text{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega)$  is defined by choosing a basepoint  $r \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  and setting

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \Phi_0\{r, \gamma r\}.$$

The parabolic representative of  $\Phi$  that vanishes on  $\Gamma_\infty$  is obtained by choosing  $r = 0$  in this assignment.

Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1/p \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

denote the standard matrices in  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $S^2 = U^3 = -1$ . Given  $m \in \text{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega)$ , the element  $\omega := m\{0, \infty\} \in \Omega$  satisfies the so-called *two- and three-term relations*

$$\omega + S\omega = 0, \quad \omega + U\omega + U^2\omega = 0, \quad (13)$$

which follow from the modular symbol relations

$$m\{0, \infty\} + m\{\infty, 0\} = 0, \quad m\{0, \infty\} + m\{\infty, 1\} + m\{1, 0\} = 0$$

after noting that  $S$  interchanges 0 and  $\infty$  while  $U$  induces the cyclic permutation  $(0 \ 1 \ \infty)$  on these three elements of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\Omega^\dagger \subset \Omega$  be the set of elements satisfying (13).

The proposition below is a well-known assertion about the cohomology of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . A concrete form of its proof, although certainly not new, is included for the convenience of the reader, because of the key role it will later play in algorithms for calculating rigid meromorphic cocycles efficiently.

#### PROPOSITION 1.4

*The assignment  $m \mapsto m\{0, \infty\}$  identifies  $\text{MS}^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega^\dagger$ .*

#### *Proof*

A pair of elements  $(a/b, c/d)$  of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  (expressed in lowest terms, with the convention that  $\infty = 1/0$ ) is said to be *unimodular* if  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ . The fact that any two elements of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  can be inserted into a *unimodular sequence*, in which all consecutive terms form unimodular pairs, implies that a modular symbol is completely determined by its values on such pairs. The injectivity of the assignment  $m \mapsto m\{0, \infty\}$

then follows from the fact that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on the set of unimodular pairs. To prove surjectivity, observe that any  $\omega \in \Omega^\dagger$  determines a well-defined function  $m$  on the set of unimodular pairs by setting

$$m\left\{\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right\} := \begin{pmatrix} c & a \\ d & b \end{pmatrix} \omega,$$

where  $(a/b, c/d)$  have been adjusted so that the matrix appearing on the right belongs to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $r$  and  $s$  are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , then the unimodular sequences joining  $r$  and  $s$  are far from unique, but the theory of Farey sequences implies that any two unimodular sequences admit a common refinement, where a refinement is obtained by making a finite number of replacements of the form

$$\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}, \frac{-a}{-b} \rightsquigarrow \frac{a}{b}, \quad \frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d} \rightsquigarrow \frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}.$$

The two- and three-term relations satisfied by  $\omega$  imply that

$$m\left\{\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right\} + m\left\{\frac{c}{d}, \frac{a}{b}\right\} = 0, \quad m\left\{\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right\} = m\left\{\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a+c}{b+d}\right\} + m\left\{\frac{a+c}{b+d}, \frac{c}{d}\right\},$$

for all unimodular pairs  $(a/b, c/d)$ . It follows that  $m$  extends *uniquely* to an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant  $\Omega$ -valued modular symbol and, hence, that the map  $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega^\dagger$  is surjective. Proposition 1.4 follows.  $\square$

Let  $\Omega^\ddagger \subset \Omega^\dagger$  denote the image of the group  $\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega)$  under the assignment  $m \mapsto m\{0, \infty\}$ .

#### LEMMA 1.5

*If  $\omega$  belongs to  $\Omega^\ddagger$ , then  $\omega$  satisfies the two- and three-term relations in (13) along with the further relation*

$$D\omega = \omega. \tag{14}$$

#### *Proof*

This follows directly from the fact that both 0 and  $\infty$  are fixed by the diagonal matrices.  $\square$

#### *Remark 1.6*

The equation (14) does not characterize  $\Omega^\ddagger$ : in general, its elements may need to satisfy further relations, which are less simple to write down explicitly and whose complexity presumably grows as a function of  $p$ .

### 1.3. Basic definitions

For all even  $k \geq 0$ , the continuous weight  $k$  action (cf. [18, Section 1]) of the group  $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  and on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$(f|_k \gamma)(\tau) := (\gamma^{-1} \cdot f)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right), \quad \text{where } \gamma := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The underlying additive groups of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  endowed with this weight  $k$  action are denoted  $\mathcal{O}_k$  and  $\mathcal{M}_k$ , respectively, with the convention that  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  will be used to denote  $\mathcal{O}_0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , respectively.

The following is the additive counterpart of Definition 1 of the Introduction.

#### Definition 1.7

A rigid meromorphic (resp., analytic) cocycle of weight  $k \geq 0$  is a class in  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_k)$  (resp., in  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_k)$ ).

#### Remark 1.8

The multiplicative group  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  should not be confused with the vector space  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M})$ . Although elements of the latter can be evaluated at RM points just as well as their multiplicative counterparts, it is known (cf. [7]) that

$$H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) = 0,$$

and hence, no interesting class invariants for real quadratic fields are to be extracted from the additive theory.

Of greatest importance for our study are the rigid meromorphic cocycles of weight 2, which are related to rigid meromorphic cocycles via the map

$$\mathrm{dlog} : H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_2)$$

arising from the logarithmic derivative

$$\mathrm{dlog} : \mathcal{M}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, \quad \mathrm{dlog}(g) = g'/g,$$

which is compatible with the  $\Gamma$ -actions on source and target and therefore induces a map on the associated parabolic cohomology groups. The first step in classifying rigid meromorphic cocycles will be to do the same for their additive, weight 2 counterparts, with the advantage that the latter are endowed with a natural  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -linear structure.

Let us first specialize the discussion of the previous section on modular symbols to the setting where  $\Omega = \mathcal{M}^\times$  or  $\mathcal{M}_k$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

LEMMA 1.9

The  $\Gamma_\infty$ -invariants of  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  and  $\mathcal{M}_k$  are given by

$$H^0(\Gamma_\infty, \mathcal{M}^\times) = \mathbb{C}_p^\times, \quad H^0(\Gamma_\infty, \mathcal{M}_k) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_p & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof*

For any  $m \geq 1$ , consider the restriction of a  $\Gamma_\infty$ -invariant rigid meromorphic function  $\lambda(\tau)$  to the affinoid  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$ , which is preserved by the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, the set of poles of  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$  is finite and stable under translation—hence, empty. Likewise, by choosing  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$ , the set of zeroes of the analytic function  $\lambda(\tau) - \lambda(\tau_0)$  is nonempty and preserved by translations—hence, is equal to  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$ . Therefore,  $\lambda$  is constant on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq m}$  for all  $m$ , and the lemma follows.  $\square$

COROLLARY 1.10

For all  $k \geq 0$ , the map  $\delta$  of Lemma 1.3 induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times), \quad \mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_k). \quad (16)$$

*Proof*

Lemma 1.9 implies that

$$H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) = H^0(\Gamma_\infty, \mathcal{M}^\times), \quad H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_k) = H^0(\Gamma_\infty, \mathcal{M}_k),$$

and the corollary follows from Lemma 1.3.  $\square$

Corollary 1.10 allows us to work with elements of  $\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_k)$  in studying rigid meromorphic cocycles of weight  $k$ , with the advantage that many arguments tend to become more transparent when couched in the language of modular symbols.

Recall the multiplicative group  $\mathcal{R}^\times \subset \mathcal{M}^\times$  of rigid meromorphic period functions given after Definition 2 of the Introduction, which is identified with  $H_J^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  via the assignment  $J \mapsto J(S)$ . The following is the additive counterpart of Definition 2.

*Definition 1.11*

A rigid meromorphic period function of weight  $k$  is the value at  $S$  of the parabolic representative of a rigid meromorphic cocycle of weight  $k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}_k$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space of rigid meromorphic period functions of weight  $k$ . The assignment  $\Phi \mapsto \varphi := \Phi\{0, \infty\}$  identifies  $\mathrm{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_k)$  with  $\mathcal{R}_k$ . Just as in the multiplicative setting, these functions necessarily satisfy a set of functional equations, including the following.

LEMMA 1.12

A function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}_k$  satisfies the two- and three-term relations

$$\varphi\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = -z^k \varphi(z), \quad \varphi(z) + z^{-k} \varphi\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) + (z-1)^{-k} \varphi\left(\frac{-1}{z-1}\right) = 0,$$

as well as the further linear relation

$$\varphi(p^2 z) = p^{-k} \varphi(z).$$

In conclusion, we have obtained canonical maps

$$H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) = \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}^\times) \subset \mathcal{R}^\times, \quad H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_k) = \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_k) = \mathcal{R}_k.$$

#### 1.4. Prelude: Rational cocycles and period functions

The classification of rigid meromorphic cocycles and rigid meromorphic period functions of weight 2, which will be described in what follows, parallels closely—and its proof is strongly inspired by—the classification of so-called *rational modular cocycles* and their associated *rational period functions* that were introduced by Marvin Knopp and arise, notably, in the work of Knopp [16], Ash [1], Choie and Zagier [2], and Duke, Imamoğlu, and Tóth [12].

Let  $C$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and let  $\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{rat}}$  denote the  $C$ -vector space of rational functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1(C)$ , endowed with the weight  $k$  action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  as in (15).

##### Definition 1.13

A *rational modular cocycle* of weight  $k$  is a class in  $H_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_k^{\text{rat}})$ . A *rational period function* of weight  $k$  is an element of  $(\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{rat}})^\dagger$ , that is, a rational function  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_k^{\text{rat}}$  satisfying the two- and three-term relations

$$\phi\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = -z^k \phi(z), \quad \phi(z) + z^{-k} \phi\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) + (z-1)^{-k} \phi\left(\frac{-1}{z-1}\right) = 0, \quad (17)$$

for all  $z \in C$ .

Proposition 1.4 shows that the assignment  $\Phi \mapsto \phi := \Phi\{0, \infty\}$  identifies  $\text{MS}^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{rat}})$  with the space of rational period functions of weight  $k$ .

We now focus on the case  $k = 2$ , where the assignment  $r(z) \mapsto r(z) dz$  identifies  $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{rat}}$  with the space  $\Omega_{\text{rat}}^1$  of rational differentials on  $\mathbb{P}_1/C$ . We henceforth view rational period functions of weight 2 interchangeably as elements of  $\Omega_{\text{rat}}^1$  or as rational functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1$  endowed with the weight 2  $\Gamma$ -action. We begin by describing two simple examples of rational period functions. Instead of a direct algebraic verification of the relations (17), we obtain them as a formal consequence by exhibiting the corresponding modular symbols.

LEMMA 1.14

The function  $\phi_\infty^\circ(z) := \frac{1}{z}$  is a rational period function of weight 2.

*Proof*

Recall the classical terminology whereby a differential with at worst simple poles and integer residues is called a *differential of the third kind*. Such a differential is determined by its residual divisor, and the space of differentials of the third kind with divisors supported on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  is thereby identified with the group of degree 0 divisors on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , as an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -module. Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty^\circ : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{rat}}^1, \\ (r, s) &\longmapsto \omega\{r, s\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega\{r, s\}$  is the unique differential of the third kind on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  having  $(r) - (s)$  as its residual divisor. The fact that  $\Phi_\infty^\circ$  is an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant modular symbol follows directly from this description. For instance,

$$\Phi_\infty^\circ\{\gamma r, \gamma s\} = \omega\{\gamma r, \gamma s\} = \gamma \omega\{r, s\},$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that both differentials have the same poles and residues and are of the third kind. The lemma follows after noting that

$$\Phi_\infty^\circ\{0, \infty\} = \frac{dz}{z} = \phi_\infty^\circ(z) dz. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 1.15

The function  $\phi_{1,0}^\circ(z) := 1 - \frac{1}{z^2}$  is a rational period function of weight 2.

*Proof*

Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{1,0}^\circ : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{rat}}^1, \\ (r, s) &\longmapsto (dz - b)^{-2} - (cz - a)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = a/c$  and  $s = b/d$  are expressions as fractions in lowest terms, where we set  $\infty = 1/0$ . These expressions are well defined up to sign, so that  $(cz - a)^{-2}$  is well defined. The additivity of  $\Phi_{1,0}^\circ$  is manifest, whereas the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance follows since  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  preserves the set of column vectors  $(a, c)$  with  $\mathrm{gcd}(a, c) = 1$ . The lemma follows after noting that

$$\Phi_{1,0}^\circ\{0, \infty\} = 1 - \frac{1}{z^2}. \quad \square$$

In addition to the two modest examples furnished by Lemma 1.14 and 1.15, we now describe a more interesting class of examples. Recall that if  $w$  is a real quadratic irrationality, then the notation  $w'$  is used to denote its algebraic conjugate. Let  $\tau$  be any real quadratic irrationality in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $F_\tau(x, y)$  be the primitive integral binary quadratic form for which  $F_\tau(\tau, 1) = 0$ . The  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of  $\tau$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but the subset

$$\Sigma_\tau^\circ := \{w \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \tau \text{ such that } ww' < 0\} \quad (18)$$

is finite and nonempty. Indeed, its elements are the roots of  $F(z, 1)$ , where  $F(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$  is a primitive integral quadratic form in the same class as  $F_\tau(x, y)$ , and hence of a fixed positive discriminant satisfying  $AC < 0$ , and the coefficients of such a quadratic form are bounded in absolute value. The set  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  is endowed with a natural sign function  $\delta_\infty : \Sigma_\tau^\circ \rightarrow \pm 1$ , which partitions  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  into its subsets of positive and negative elements. These sets are of equal cardinality, since they are interchanged by the involution  $z \mapsto -1/z$ .

More generally, if  $r$  and  $s$  are elements of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $\gamma(r, s)$  denote the geodesic on  $\bar{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  joining  $r$  to  $s$  and oriented in the direction from  $r$  to  $s$ . The complement of this geodesic in  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$  is partitioned into two disjoint connected subsets

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}} - \gamma(r, s) := \mathcal{H}^+(r, s) \cup \mathcal{H}^-(r, s),$$

labeled with the convention that, as one is traveling along  $\gamma(r, s)$  in the direction from  $r$  to  $s$ , the region  $\mathcal{H}^+(r, s)$  is to one's right and the region  $\mathcal{H}^-(r, s)$  is to one's left. If  $w \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \tau$  is any real quadratic irrationality in the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of  $\tau$ , we say that it is *linked* to  $\gamma(r, s)$  if it and its algebraic conjugate  $w'$  belong to distinct connected components of  $\bar{\mathcal{H}} - \gamma(r, s)$ , and we write  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ(r, s) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \tau$  for the set of  $w$ 's that are linked to  $\gamma(r, s)$  in this way. We define the function  $\delta_{r,s}$  to be the signed intersection number of the geodesic from  $w$  to  $w'$  and  $\gamma(r, s)$ . Explicitly, we have

$$\delta_{r,s}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{H}^+(r, s) \text{ and } w' \in \mathcal{H}^-(r, s), \\ -1 & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{H}^-(r, s) \text{ and } w' \in \mathcal{H}^+(r, s), \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

which partitions  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ(r, s)$  into its subsets of positive and negative elements, respectively, and

$$\Sigma_\tau^\circ(0, \infty) = \Sigma_\tau^\circ, \quad \delta_{0,\infty} = \delta_\infty.$$

Let  $\mathrm{Div}(\mathbb{P}_1(C))$  denote the free abelian group consisting of finite formal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of points of  $\mathbb{P}_1(C)$ , let  $\mathrm{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}_1(C))$  denote its subgroup of degree 0 divisors, and define

$$\Delta_\tau^\circ\{r, s\} := \sum_{w \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\tau} \delta_{r,s}(w)[w] \in \mathrm{Div}(\mathbb{P}_1(C)). \quad (20)$$

Note that this sum is finite and supported on  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ(r, s)$  by the comments above.

LEMMA 1.16

*The function*

$$\Delta_\tau^\circ : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Div}(\mathbb{P}_1(C))$$

*is an element of  $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathrm{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}_1(C)))$ .*

*Proof*

To check the modular symbol property of  $\Delta_\tau^\circ$ , observe that, for all  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , we have the equality

$$\delta_{r,s} + \delta_{s,t} = \delta_{r,t}, \quad (21)$$

which implies the additivity of  $\Delta_\tau^\circ$ . The  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance

$$\Delta_\tau^\circ\{\gamma r, \gamma s\} = \gamma \Delta_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}$$

follows directly from the definitions and the fact that

$$\delta_{\gamma r, \gamma s}(\gamma w) = \delta_{r,s}(w).$$

Finally, since an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant modular symbol is completely determined by its value on the unimodular pair  $(0, \infty)$ , all the divisors  $\Delta_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}$  inherit from  $\Delta_\tau^\circ\{0, \infty\}$  the property of being of degree 0.  $\square$

LEMMA 1.17

*The function*

$$\phi_\tau^\circ(z) := \sum_{w \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\tau} \delta_\infty(w) \frac{1}{z-w}$$

*is a rational period function of weight 2.*

*Proof*

Consider the function  $\Phi_\tau^\circ : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{rat}}^1$  given by

$$\Phi_\tau^\circ\{r, s\} := \sum_{w \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\tau} \delta_{r,s}(w) \frac{dz}{z-w} =: \omega_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}. \quad (22)$$

The differential  $\omega_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}$  is the unique rational differential of the third kind on  $\mathbb{P}_1(C)$  whose residual divisor is equal to  $\Delta_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}$ . It follows from Lemma 1.16 that  $\Phi_\tau^\circ$  defines an element of  $\text{MS}^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\Omega_{\text{rat}}^1)$ . Lemma 1.17 now follows from the fact that

$$\phi_\tau^\circ(z) dz = \Phi_\tau^\circ\{0, \infty\}. \quad \square$$

Lemmas 1.14 and 1.17 have exhibited an explicit collection of distinct rational period functions  $\phi_\tau^\circ$  of weight 2, as  $\tau$  ranges over the infinite index set

$$I = \{\infty\} \cup (\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash C^{\text{RM}}),$$

where  $C^{\text{RM}}$  denotes the collection of real quadratic irrationalities in  $C$ . The following classification of rational period functions of weight 2, whose statement can be read off by setting  $k = 1$  in Theorem 1 of [2], asserts that the  $\phi_\tau^\circ$ 's almost form a basis for the  $C$ -vector space of rational period functions of weight 2. More precisely, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 1.18** (Knopp, Ash, Choie–Zagier)

*Any rational period function of weight 2 is a finite linear combination of the functions  $\phi_\infty^\circ$ ,  $\phi_{1,0}^\circ$ , and  $\phi_\tau^\circ$  of Lemmas 1.14, 1.15, and 1.17.*

Since some of the steps of the proof will be used in our classification of rigid meromorphic period functions, we briefly recall them here. Let  $\phi$  be any rational period function, and let  $\Sigma_\phi \subset C$  denote its set of nonzero poles. The relations (17) imply that

$$w \in \Sigma_\phi \quad \Rightarrow \quad S(w) \in \Sigma_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad U(w) \in \Sigma_\phi \quad \text{or} \quad U^2(w) \in \Sigma_\phi. \quad (23)$$

Recall the sets  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  described in (18) for  $\tau \in C^{\text{RM}}$ , and set  $\Sigma_\infty^\circ = \{0, \infty\}$ .

**LEMMA 1.19**

*If  $\Sigma_\phi$  is any finite subset of  $C$  satisfying (23), then the set  $\Sigma_\phi$  is a finite union of the sets of the form  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  with  $\tau$  ranging over a finite subset  $I_\phi \subset I$ .*

*Proof*

This is just a restatement of Lemma 2 of [2], whose proof relies solely on the fact that  $\Sigma$  satisfies (23). Although it is formulated as a statement about rational period functions over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the argument carries over to the more abstract setting where  $\mathbb{C}$  is replaced by any algebraically closed field  $C$  of characteristic 0, by fixing an embedding  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

We record the following closure property of the sets  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  refining (23).

LEMMA 1.20

For all positive  $w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ$ ,

- (1) the negative element  $S(w) = -1/w$  also belongs to  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$ ;
- (2) the set  $\{U(w), U^2(w)\}$  contains exactly one element  $w^b \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ$  that is negative. It is given by

$$w^b = \begin{cases} U^2(w) = \frac{w-1}{w} & \text{if } 0 < w < 1, \\ U(w) = \frac{1}{1-w} & \text{if } w > 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof*

The first statement is clear. For the second, observe that  $U$  cyclically permutes the elements  $0, 1,$  and  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$  and, hence, does the same to the open intervals  $(0, 1), (1, \infty),$  and  $(-\infty, 0)$ . It follows that if  $(w', w)$  belongs to  $(-\infty, 0) \times (0, 1)$ , then the translate  $U(w)$  has positive norm while  $U^2(w)$  is a negative element of  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$ . Likewise, if  $(w', w)$  belongs to  $(-\infty, 0) \times (1, \infty)$ , then the translate  $U^2(w)$  has positive norm while  $U(w)$  is a negative element of  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$ .  $\square$

Concerning the behavior of  $\phi$  at its poles, one has the following.

LEMMA 1.21

Every nonzero pole of the differential  $\phi(z) dz$  is simple. Given any real quadratic  $\tau \in I$  for which  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ \subset \Sigma_\phi$ , there is a  $\lambda_\tau \in C$  satisfying

$$\text{res}_w \phi(z) dz = \begin{cases} -\lambda_\tau & \text{if } w < 0, \\ \lambda_\tau & \text{if } w > 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ.$$

*Proof*

The proof, which is described in Lemmas 4 and 5 of [2], exploits the invariance of the principal part of  $\phi$  at  $w$  under any nontrivial matrix of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  that fixes  $w$ . More precisely, consider the Laurent expansion  $\phi_w(z)$  around  $z = w$ , and write

$$\phi_w(z) = PP_w(z) + O(1) = (z - w)^{-m} + O((z - w)^{-m+1}),$$

where  $PP_w(z)$  denotes the *principal part* of  $\phi$  at  $w$ , a polynomial of some degree  $m \geq 1$  in  $(z - w)^{-1}$  with no constant term. Let  $\gamma$  be a generator of the stabilizer of  $w$  in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . It is shown in Lemmas 4 and 5 of [2] that  $PP_w|_{2\gamma} = PP_w$ , while

$$(\phi|_{2\gamma})(z) = (rw + s)^{2-2m}(z - w)^{-m} + O((z - w)^{-m+1}), \quad \text{where } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

The quantity  $(rw + s)$  is a fundamental unit in an appropriate quadratic order of  $\mathbb{Q}(w)$  and is hence nontorsion in  $C^\times$ . It follows that  $2 - 2m = 0$ , that is, that  $m = 1$  and therefore that  $\phi$  has at most simple poles. The relations (17) satisfied by  $\phi$  imply, in light of Lemma 1.20 below, that all of its residues are equal up to sign on any given  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$ , and the two-term relation shows that the sign of the residue depends only on the sign of  $w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.18*

Let  $\phi$  be a rational period function. Write  $\Sigma_\phi = \bigcup_{\tau \in I_\phi} \Sigma_\tau^\circ$ , where  $I_\phi$  is the finite subset of  $I$  given in Lemma 1.19. Let  $(\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I_\phi}$  be the vector of scalars indexed by  $\tau \in I_\phi$  determined by Lemma 1.21. The difference  $\phi - \sum_{\tau \in I_\phi} \lambda_\tau \phi_\tau^\circ$  is a rational period function without poles, except possibly at  $z = 0$ . Its pole at this point must be of order at most 2, and therefore, we can subtract a suitable combination of  $\phi_\infty^\circ$  and  $\phi_{1,0}^\circ$  until we are left with a rational function without singularities, which hence is constant. Since there are no constant rational period functions of weight 2, the theorem follows.  $\square$

*1.5. Classification of rigid meromorphic cocycles of weight 2*

We will now adapt the ideas of the previous section to classify elements of  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_2)$ . Recall that the rigid meromorphic period function  $\varphi := \Phi\{0, \infty\}$  attached to a rigid meromorphic cocycle  $\Phi$  satisfies the properties

$$\varphi | (1 + S) = 0, \quad \varphi | (1 + U + U^2) = 0, \quad \varphi | D = \varphi, \quad (24)$$

where the matrices  $S$ ,  $U$ , and  $D \in \Gamma$  are defined in (12). The matrix  $P \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  defined by

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies  $P^2 = D$  in  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  and hence induces an involution on the space of rigid meromorphic period functions of weight 2, defined by

$$\varpi_p(\varphi)(z) = -\varphi | P(z) = -p\varphi(pz).$$

(Note the presence of the minus sign in this definition.) A rigid meromorphic period function is said to be  $p$ -even (resp.,  $p$ -odd) if it satisfies

$$\varpi_p(\varphi) = \varphi, \quad (\text{resp., } \varpi_p(\varphi) = -\varphi). \quad (25)$$

As in the previous section, a rigid meromorphic period function of weight 2 shall be viewed as an element of the space  $\Omega_{\text{mer}}^1$  of rigid meromorphic differentials on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and a rigid meromorphic cocycle as an element of  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\Omega_{\text{mer}}^1)$ .

We begin by constructing some basic examples of rigid meromorphic period functions of weight 2, modeled on the construction of the rational period functions  $\phi_\tau^\circ$  of Lemma 1.17. Let  $\tau$  be any RM point in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , and fix an embedding of the real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that the image of  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  under the reduction map either belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_0$  or is the midpoint of an edge in  $\mathcal{T}_1$ . The  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\tau$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  for the rigid analytic topology. As in (18), consider the subset

$$\Sigma_\tau := \{w \in \Gamma \cdot \tau \text{ such that } ww' < 0\}. \quad (26)$$

It is endowed with the sign function  $\delta_\infty$  defined as in Section 1.4. Other notation and terminologies similar to those in Section 1.4 are also adopted. Notably, for each  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s) \subset \Gamma \cdot \tau$  denote the set of  $w \in \Gamma \tau$  that are linked to  $\gamma(r, s)$  in the sense of that section, and let  $\delta_{r,s}$  denote the same sign function as in (19). Finally, imitating (20), we denote  $\text{Div}(\mathcal{H}_p)$  for the  $\Gamma$ -module of *infinite* formal sums of points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and set

$$\Delta_\tau\{r, s\} := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\tau(r, s)} \delta_{r,s}(w)[w] \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{H}_p). \quad (27)$$

For the same reason as in Lemma 1.16, the function  $\Delta_\tau$  defines a  $\Gamma$ -invariant,  $\text{Div}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ -valued modular symbol.

A subset of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is said to be *discrete* if its intersection with each affinoid subset of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is finite. The module of divisors on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with discrete support is denoted  $\text{Div}^\dagger(\mathcal{H}_p)$ .

LEMMA 1.22

*For all  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , the sets  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s)$  are discrete. Furthermore, the finite intersection  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s) \cap \mathcal{A}_v$  contains equal numbers of positive and negative elements and likewise for  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s) \cap \mathcal{W}_v$ .*

*Proof*

Proposition 1.1 implies that the intersection  $\Sigma_\tau \cap \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  is finite for all  $n \geq 0$ , since it consists of RM points that are roots of reduced binary quadratic forms of bounded discriminant. By letting

$$\Delta_\tau^v\{r, s\} := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\tau\{r, s\} \cap \mathcal{A}_v} \delta_{r,s}(w)[w], \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{T}_0, r, s \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}),$$

it follows that  $\Delta_\tau^v\{0, \infty\}$  has finite support, for all  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$ . The  $\Gamma$ -equivariance property

$$\gamma \Delta_\tau^v\{0, \infty\} = \Delta_\tau^{\gamma v}\{\gamma 0, \gamma \infty\}$$

then implies that  $\Delta_\tau^v\{r, s\}$  has finite support for all  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$  and all unimodular pairs  $(r, s)$  of elements of  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , since the group  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on the latter. But then the same conclusion must hold for all pairs  $(r, s)$ , by the additivity properties of modular symbols. The discreteness of  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s)$  follows. To verify the second assertion in the lemma, consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{\mathcal{A}_v}, \deg_{\mathcal{W}_v} : \text{Div}^\dagger(\mathcal{H}_p) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \\ \deg_{\mathcal{A}_v}(\Delta) &:= \deg(\Delta|_{\mathcal{A}_v}), \quad \deg_{\mathcal{W}_v}(\Delta) := \deg(\Delta|_{\mathcal{W}_v}). \end{aligned}$$

These functions are  $\Gamma_v := \text{Stab}_\Gamma(v)$ -equivariant and, hence, induce maps

$$\deg_{\mathcal{A}_v}, \deg_{\mathcal{W}_v} : \text{MS}^\Gamma(\text{Div}^\dagger(\mathcal{H}_p)) \longrightarrow \text{MS}^{\Gamma_v}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since  $\Gamma_v \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , there are no nontrivial  $\Gamma_v$ -invariant modular symbols, and hence, for all  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$ ,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{A}_v}(\Delta_\tau\{r, s\}) = \deg(\Delta_\tau^v\{r, s\}) = 0.$$

The lemma follows since the degree of  $\Delta_\tau^v\{r, s\}$  is precisely the difference between the number of positive and negative elements in  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s) \cap \mathcal{A}_v$  and likewise when  $\mathcal{A}_v$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{W}_v$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is the natural extension of Lemma 1.17 to the setting of rigid meromorphic period functions.

**THEOREM 1.23**

*For any  $\tau \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ , the infinite sum*

$$\varphi_\tau(z) := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\tau} \delta_\infty(w) \frac{1}{z - w}$$

*converges to a rigid meromorphic period function of weight 2.*

*Proof*

Every element  $z \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  can be expressed as a ratio  $z = (z_1 : z_2)$  with  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  and at least one of them a unit. For all  $(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $\text{gcd}(c, d) = 1$ , let  $cz + d := z_2^{-1}(cz_1 + dz_2)$ , with the obvious convention when  $z_2 = 0$ . The affinoid region  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  of (8) is the set of  $z = (z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  satisfying

$$|cz_1 + dz_2| \geq p^{-n}, \quad \text{for all } (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ with } \text{gcd}(c, d) = 1.$$

Since  $|z_2| \leq 1$ , this implies that

$$|cz + d|^{-1} \leq p^n, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}. \quad (28)$$

Because  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is an increasing union of the affinoid regions  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ , it suffices to study the convergence of the infinite sum  $\varphi_\tau(z)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ , for each  $n \geq 0$ . This infinite sum is the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of the rational functions

$$\sum_{w \in \Sigma_{\bar{\tau}}^{\leq N}} \delta_\infty(w) \frac{1}{z - w}, \quad \text{where } \Sigma_{\bar{\tau}}^{\leq N} := \Sigma_\tau \cap \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq N}. \quad (29)$$

Assume for simplicity that  $\tau$  and, hence, all  $w \in \Gamma\tau$  reduce to vertices of  $\mathcal{T}$ . By Lemma 1.22, the rational function in (29) is a finite sum of terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{z - w_1} - \frac{1}{z - w_2},$$

where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  belong to the same vertex affinoid  $\mathcal{A}_v$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$  is distance  $h \leq N$  from  $v_0$ . This term is regular on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  as soon as  $h$  is greater than  $n$ . To bound it on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ , observe that, for all  $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{z - w_1} - \frac{1}{z - w_2} \right) dz = \left( \frac{1}{\gamma z - \gamma w_1} - \frac{1}{\gamma z - \gamma w_2} \right) d(\gamma z),$$

since the differentials on both sides are of the third kind and have the same residual divisor. Because the Möbius action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  preserves the domain  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ , it follows from (28) that

$$\text{Sup}_{z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}} \left| \frac{1}{z - w_1} - \frac{1}{z - w_2} \right| \leq p^{2n} \times \text{Sup}_{z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}} \left| \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_1} - \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_2} \right|. \quad (30)$$

The group  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on the set of vertices that are at distance  $h$  from the standard vertex  $v_0$ , and hence, we can choose  $\gamma$  sending  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  to elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \subset \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  and such that  $|\gamma w_1 - \gamma w_2| = p^{-h}$ . It can be checked directly that, as soon as  $h > n$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_1} \right| \leq p^n, \quad \left| \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_2} \right| \leq p^n, \quad \left| \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_1} - \frac{1}{z - \gamma w_2} \right| \leq p^{2n-h},$$

whenever  $z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ . Then (30) implies that

$$\text{Sup}_{z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}} \left| \frac{1}{z - w_1} - \frac{1}{z - w_2} \right| \leq p^{4n-h}. \quad (31)$$

It follows that the rational functions in (29) form a Cauchy sequence relative to the sup norm on the affinoid  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and, thus, converge to a rigid meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . By the same reasoning, the infinite sums

$$\Phi_\tau\{r, s\} := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\tau(r, s)} \delta_{r, s}(w) \frac{dz}{z - w} \quad (32)$$

converge to rigid meromorphic differentials on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , with residual divisor equal to  $\Delta_\tau\{r, s\}$ . In particular, the function

$$\Phi_\tau : \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{mer}}^1$$

is an  $\Omega_{\text{mer}}^1$ -valued modular symbol, just as in the proof of Lemma 1.17. Theorem 1.23 now follows from the fact that

$$\varphi_\tau(z) = \Phi_\tau\{0, \infty\}.$$

To handle the case when  $\tau$  and, hence, all  $w$ 's in its  $\Gamma$ -orbit reduce to midpoints of edges of  $\mathcal{T}$  rather than to vertices, which happens precisely when  $\tau$  is defined over a real quadratic field in which  $p$  is ramified, it suffices to replace the system of affinoids  $\{\mathcal{A}_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{T}_0}$  by the system of wide open subsets  $\{\mathcal{W}_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{T}_0^+}$  in the above argument.  $\square$

Theorem 1.23 provides an explicit collection of rigid meromorphic period functions  $\varphi_\tau$  of weight 2, as  $\tau$  ranges over the infinite index set

$$I(p) = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}.$$

These functions are linearly independent, since their residual divisors have disjoint support.

The following result extends Theorem 1.18 to the rigid meromorphic setting.

#### THEOREM 1.24

*Any rigid meromorphic period function of weight 2 is a finite linear combination of the functions  $\varphi_\tau$  of Theorem 1.23 and of a rigid analytic period function of weight 2.*

#### *Proof*

Let  $\varphi$  be a rigid meromorphic period function of weight 2. Any such  $\varphi$  can be written as the average of  $\varphi^+ := \varphi + \varpi_p(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi^- := \varphi - \varpi_p(\varphi)$ , which are  $p$ -even and  $p$ -odd, respectively. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi$  satisfies (25), and even, for the sake of definiteness, that it is  $p$ -even, since the case where it is  $p$ -odd will be disposed of by the same argument. Let  $\Sigma_\varphi \subset \mathcal{H}_p$  be the set of poles of  $\varphi$ . While the invariance of  $\varphi$  under the matrix  $D$  shows that  $\Sigma_\varphi$  is either empty or infinite, the intersection

$$\Sigma_\varphi^{<1} := \Sigma_\varphi \cap \mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$$

is finite, since a rigid differential on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  has finitely many poles when restricted to any affinoid. Since  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$  is preserved by the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the two- and three-term relations satisfied by  $\varphi$  imply that the set  $\Sigma_\varphi^{<1}$  satisfies the closure properties of (23). It follows from Lemma 1.19 that

$$\Sigma_\varphi^{<1} = \bigcup_{\tau \in I_\varphi} \Sigma_\tau^\circ,$$

where

$$I_\varphi \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus (\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathrm{RM}} \cap \mathcal{H}_p^{<1})$$

is a finite set, and  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  is defined as in (18), but is now being viewed as a subset of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Lemma 1.21, whose proof applies just as well, mutatis mutandis, to the setting where  $\varphi$  is a rigid meromorphic period function, shows that  $\varphi$  has only simple poles on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$  and that, for each  $\tau \in I_\varphi$ , there is a  $\lambda_\tau \in \mathbb{C}_p$  satisfying

$$\mathrm{res}_w \varphi(z) dz = \begin{cases} \lambda_\tau & \text{if } w > 0, \\ -\lambda_\tau & \text{if } w < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ.$$

The difference

$$\varphi - \sum_{\tau \in I_\varphi} \lambda_\tau \varphi_\tau^+$$

is a  $p$ -even rigid meromorphic period function having no singularities in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$ . Theorem 1.24 now follows from Proposition 1.25 below.  $\square$

#### PROPOSITION 1.25

*Let  $\varphi$  be any rigid meromorphic period function of weight 2. Assume that it satisfies (25), that is, that it is either  $p$ -odd or  $p$ -even. If  $\varphi$  is regular on  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$ , then it is regular everywhere.*

#### *Proof*

Suppose that  $\varphi$  has a pole at  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and hence at all  $w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ$ . Since  $\tau$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$ , Proposition 1.1 implies that it is an RM point of discriminant  $D_0 p^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \nmid D_0$ . Let

$$D = \begin{cases} D_0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ D_0 p & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad m = [n/2] \geq 1,$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to  $x$ . The set  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$  then contains an element of the form  $p^m w_0$ , where  $w_0$  is an RM point in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  of discriminant  $D$ . The invariance property (25) of  $\varphi$  shows that  $\varphi$  is singular at  $w_0$  as well. But

$w_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_p^{<1}$  by Proposition 1.1, contradicting the regularity assumption that was made on  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.24 classifies the rigid meromorphic period functions only up to rigid analytic period functions of weight 2. The latter have been classified in [3] and are intimately connected to classical modular forms of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_0(p)$ . For a description of this classification that is further geared to the point of view of this article, see [7].

The following describes the action of the Hecke operators on the cocycles  $\Phi_\tau$  of (32).

LEMMA 1.26

Suppose  $D$  is a discriminant and  $\ell \neq p$  is a prime not dividing  $D$ .

- (1) If  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$  is of discriminant  $D$ , then  $T_\ell(\Phi_\tau)$  is a linear combination of cocycles of the form  $\Phi_\rho$ , where  $\rho$  is of discriminant  $D$  or  $D\ell^2$ , and involves at least one  $\Phi_\rho$  in which  $\rho$  is of discriminant  $D\ell^2$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$  are both of discriminant  $D$ , and the linear combinations for  $T_\ell(\Phi_{\tau_1})$  and  $T_\ell(\Phi_{\tau_2})$  both involve  $\Phi_\rho$ . Then  $\Phi_{\tau_1} = \Phi_{\tau_2}$ .

The proof of this lemma is by a direct calculation, using the coset representatives

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} : 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \ell & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (33)$$

for the Hecke operator  $T_\ell$ . Note that if we have two RM points  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  with the same discriminant and if  $P\tau_1 = MQ\tau_2$  for two coset representatives  $P$  and  $Q$  and a matrix  $M \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  must be  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent, since  $P^{-1}MQ$  can be shown to have integral entries. This implies the second statement, and the first statement follows from a similar calculation of the action of these coset representatives on binary quadratic forms. Alternatively, the lemma can be shown by an argument identical to Step 1 in the proof of [14, Theorem 3.1], where the argument is presented in the setting of rational period functions, and  $p^s$  is used where we have used  $\ell$ . Indeed, as in [14, p. 376], we see that  $T_\ell\Phi_\tau\{0, \infty\}$  is a linear combination of  $\Phi_\tau\{0, \infty\}(l/z)$ , which has a pole at  $l\tau$ , and terms of the form

$$\Phi_\tau\{0, \infty\} | (MM_{b', d'}),$$

where  $M \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $M_{b', d'} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of determinant  $\ell$ , which can be shown not to cancel the pole at  $l\tau$ , using the arguments in [14, Theorem 3.1].

We note that the definition of the Hecke operator  $\hat{T}_n$  in [14, Theorem 3.1] relies on the existence of a modular integral. Though we do not need it, we mention that the

algebraically defined Hecke action on period functions in this paper (which makes no reference to modular integrals) may also be described explicitly in terms of matrix representatives, as in [2, Theorem 3].

COROLLARY 1.27

*Any finite-dimensional subspace of  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_2)$  that is stable under the Hecke operators is contained in  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ . If  $\theta$  is a nonzero Hecke operator and  $\Phi \in \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_2)$  belongs to the kernel of  $\theta$ , then  $\Phi$  belongs to  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ .*

*Proof*

These assertions follow from Lemma 1.26 combined with Theorem 1.24, just as in [2, Theorem 3.1]. For the first assertion, let  $\Sigma$  be a finite-dimensional subspace of  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_2)$ . Theorem 1.24 implies that, for any rigid meromorphic cocycle  $\Phi$  of weight 2, the poles of the rigid meromorphic differentials  $\Phi\{r, s\}$  as  $r$  and  $s$  range over  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  are concentrated in a finite collection of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points and, hence, have bounded (prime-to- $p$ ) discriminants. The same is therefore true for this collection of poles as  $\Phi$  ranges over the elements of  $\Sigma$ . Lemma 1.26 shows that no nonempty Hecke-stable subsets of the set of RM points are concentrated in a finite collection of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points. Hence, the set of poles of  $\Phi\{r, s\}$  must be empty, for all  $\Phi \in \Sigma$ . It follows that any such  $\Phi$  is analytic. The second assertion is proved by writing  $\theta = \sum_n \lambda_n T_n$  and letting  $n$  be the largest integer for which  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ . If  $\Phi$  is not analytic, then the set of poles of  $\Phi\{r, s\}$ , as  $r, s$  range over  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , is nonempty and, hence, is contained in a finite collection of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points. Let  $D$  be the maximal discriminant of an RM point in this collection. By Lemma 1.26, the rigid meromorphic differentials  $\theta\Phi\{r, s\}$  have poles at RM points of discriminant  $Dn^2$ , for suitable  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , and hence cannot be analytic; a fortiori,  $\theta\Phi$  is nontrivial.  $\square$

For future reference, it is also worth recording the following corollary of the fact that rigid meromorphic period functions of weight 2 have at worst simple poles.

COROLLARY 1.28

*Any rigid meromorphic modular cocycle of weight 0 is analytic, that is, the natural inclusion  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof*

The image of the derivative  $d : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$  consists of rigid meromorphic functions with vanishing residues, and the image of the induced map

$$d : \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_2)$$

on  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbols is therefore contained in  $\text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ . It follows that any  $\mathcal{M}$ -valued  $\Gamma$ -invariant modular symbol is necessarily  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued, as claimed.  $\square$

## 2. Multiplicative cocycles of weight 0

This section focuses on the multiplicative theory, parlaying the understanding of the space  $H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_2)$  gained in the previous sections into a description of  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ , which maps to the former by the logarithmic derivative map

$$\text{dlog} : H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) \longrightarrow H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_2).$$

### 2.1. Prelude: Rational multiplicative cocycles

As a prelude to rigid meromorphic cocycles, we start by introducing multiplicative lifts of the rational cocycles appearing in Section 1.4. We determine their lifting obstructions and prove a reciprocity law for their RM values.

The rational cocycle  $\Phi_\tau^\circ$  of weight 2 and its associated rational period function  $\phi_\tau^\circ$  described in (22) of Section 1.4 have natural multiplicative counterparts defined by

$$\bar{J}_\tau^\circ\{r, s\} := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ(r, s)} (z - w)^{\delta_{r, s}(w)}, \quad \bar{J}_\tau^\circ := \bar{J}_\tau^\circ\{0, \infty\} = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ} (z - w)^{\delta_\infty(w)}. \quad (34)$$

These functions satisfy the relations

$$\text{dlog } \bar{J}_\tau^\circ\{r, s\} = \Phi_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}, \quad \text{dlog } \bar{J}_\tau^\circ = \phi_\tau^\circ,$$

which characterize them up to multiplicative scalars. It follows that  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  can be viewed as an  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant modular symbol with values in the quotient  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times / C^\times$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times$  is the multiplicative group of nonzero rational functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1(C)$ , endowed with the weight 0 action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . The obstruction to lifting

$$\bar{J}_\tau^\circ \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times / C^\times)$$

to an element of  $H_f^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times)$ —that is, to a genuine multiplicative cocycle that is parabolic modulo constants, but not necessarily parabolic—is the image of  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  under the connecting homomorphism  $\delta$  in the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), C^\times) &\longrightarrow H^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times / C^\times) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), C^\times). \end{aligned}$$

By a standard argument, the presentation  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/4 *_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \mathbb{Z}/6$  reduces the computation of cohomology groups to that of finite cyclic groups via the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence (see for instance [19, Chapter II, Section 2.8]), showing that

$$H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), C^\times) = \mu_{12},$$

$$H^2(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), C^\times) = 1.$$

The latter statement implies that  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  lifts to an element of  $H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^\times)$ , while the ambiguity in making this lift is in  $\mu_{12}$ .

In summary, we obtain a rational multiplicative cocycle  $J_\tau^\circ \in H_f^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^\times)$  attached to any  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of real quadratic irrationalities, which is well defined up to 12-torsion and satisfies

$$J_\tau^\circ(\gamma) = \bar{J}_\tau^\circ(\gamma) \pmod{C^\times}. \quad (35)$$

Note that  $J_\tau^\circ$  is not just a cohomology class but a specific cocycle, characterized by the fact that its values on the parabolic subgroup  $P_\infty$  of upper triangular matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  are constant functions. See also the discussion after Definition 1.

It will be useful to have explicit formulae for  $J_\tau^\circ$  in terms of the rational period function  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$ . The following lemma examines the extent to which the latter fails to satisfy the two- and three-term relations.

LEMMA 2.1

*The function  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_\tau^\circ | (1 + S) &= \pm \xi_\tau^2, \\ \bar{J}_\tau^\circ | (1 + U + U^2) &= \pm \xi_\tau^3 \times \varepsilon_\tau^3, \end{aligned} \quad \text{with } \xi_\tau := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ, w > 0} w,$$

where  $\varepsilon_\tau$  is the unique fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  of norm 1 in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof*

We invoke Lemma 1.20 to write

$$\bar{J}_\tau^\circ(z) = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ} (z - w)^{\delta_\infty(w)} = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ, w > 0} t_w^{(2)}(z) = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ, w > 0} t_w^{(3)}(z),$$

where the rational functions  $t_w^{(2)}$  and  $t_w^{(3)}$  are obtained by grouping together the factors that are in the same orbits for the groups  $\{1, S\}$  and  $\{1, U, U^2\}$ , respectively, that is,

$$t_w^{(2)}(z) = \frac{z - w}{z + 1/w}, \quad t_w^{(3)}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z - w}{z - (w - 1)/w} & \text{if } 0 < w < 1, \\ \frac{z - w}{z - 1/(1 - w)} & \text{if } w > 1. \end{cases}$$

The functions  $t_w^{(2)}$  and  $t_w^{(3)}$  satisfy the two- and three-term relations, respectively, up to scalars. More precisely, a direct if slightly tedious computation reveals that

$$t_w^{(2)} | (1 + S) = -w^2, \quad t_w^{(3)} | (1 + U + U^2) = \begin{cases} -w^3 & \text{if } 0 < w < 1, \\ (w - 1)^3 & \text{if } w > 1. \end{cases}$$

With products taken over the relevant subsets of  $\Sigma_\tau^\circ$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_\tau^\circ | (1 + S) &= \pm \xi_\tau^2, \\ \bar{J}_\tau^\circ | (1 + U + U^2) &= \pm \xi_\tau^3 \times \prod_{0 < w < 1} w^3 \times \prod_{w > 1} (w - 1)^3 \\ &= \pm \xi_\tau^3 \times \prod_{0 < w < 1} w^3 \times \prod_{0 < w' < 1} w'^{-3}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by replacing  $w > 1$  by  $U(w)$ . The theory of cycles of reduced quadratic irrationalities implies, as in [21, Equation (6.4)], that

$$\prod_{0 < w < 1} w \times \prod_{0 < w' < 1} w'^{-1} = \varepsilon_\tau,$$

where  $\varepsilon_\tau$  is the unique norm 1 fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

*Remark*

The undetermined sign in the above statement could have been made explicit, but since it only reflects a 2-torsion ambiguity in the multiplicative group, it plays an accessory role in what follows, and there is little to be gained from being more precise.

LEMMA 2.2

The cocycle  $J_\tau^\circ \in H_f^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^\times)$  is determined by the relations

$$J_\tau^\circ(S) = \xi_\tau^{-1} \cdot \bar{J}_\tau^\circ, \quad J_\tau^\circ(U) = \xi_\tau^{-1} \varepsilon_\tau^{-1} \cdot \bar{J}_\tau^\circ \pmod{\mu_{12}}.$$

*Proof*

The function  $J_\tau^\circ(S)$  is the unique scalar multiple (up to  $\pm 1$ ) of  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  satisfying the two-term relation, while  $J_\tau^\circ(U)$  is the unique scalar multiple (up to cube roots of unity) of that same function satisfying the three-term relation. The result follows from Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

As a corollary, we obtain that the cocycle  $J_\tau^\circ$  is itself never parabolic, but is parabolic modulo  $\varepsilon_\tau^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . More precisely, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.3

The class  $J_\tau^\circ \in H_f^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^\times)$  satisfies

$$J_\tau^\circ(T) = \varepsilon_\tau \pmod{\mu_{12}},$$

where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the standard generator of  $P_\infty$ .

*Proof*

Since  $T^{-1} = SU$ , Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1 imply that

$$J_\tau^\circ(T)^{-1} = J_\tau^\circ(S) \times SJ_\tau^\circ(U) = \xi_\tau^{-2} \varepsilon_\tau^{-1} (1 + S) \bar{J}_\tau^\circ = \varepsilon_\tau^{-1}. \quad \square$$

We now turn to a Weil reciprocity law for the RM values of these cocycles, proved in Proposition 2.5. We introduce the setup by first giving another proof of the fact that  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$  lifts to a parabolic cocycle modulo  $\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}$ , by identifying the modular symbol representing it.

LEMMA 2.4

The parabolic class  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times / C^\times)$  lifts to a class

$$\hat{J}_\tau^\circ \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times / \varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\varepsilon_\tau$  is a fundamental unit of norm 1 in the order  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ .

*Proof*

Let  $\Lambda_\tau \subset K := \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  be a rank 1 projective  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ -module attached to the class of  $\tau$  (for instance, the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $[\tau, 1]$  spanned by  $\tau$  and 1), and let  $\mathcal{B}_\tau$  denote the set of positive  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases of  $\Lambda_\tau$ , where a basis  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$  is said to be *positive* if  $\omega_1 \omega_2' - \omega_1' \omega_2 > 0$ . The assignment  $[\omega_1, \omega_2] \mapsto \omega_1 / \omega_2$  defines a surjective map

$$\pi : \mathcal{B}_\tau \longrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\tau$$

which is compatible with the natural  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on both sets and whose fibers are principal homogeneous spaces for the group  $\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}$ . Given  $w \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\tau$ , set

$$t_w(z) = w_2 z - w_1,$$

where  $[w_1, w_2]$  is any element of  $\mathcal{B}_\tau$  satisfying  $\pi([w_1, w_2]) = w$ . The function  $t_w$  has divisor  $(w) - (\infty)$  and is well defined up to multiplication by elements of  $\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}$ . It also satisfies the pleasant transformation formula

$$t_{\gamma w}(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^{-1} t_w(z) \pmod{\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (36)$$

One can use these distinguished functions with prescribed divisor to refine the function  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}$  of (34) by setting

$$\hat{J}_\tau^\circ\{r, s\} = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^\circ(r, s)} t_w(z)^{\delta_{r, s}(w)} \pmod{\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (37)$$

It is immediate from (36) and the fact that the divisors  $\Delta^\circ\{r, s\}$  are of degree 0 that  $\hat{J}_\tau^\circ$  satisfies the rule

$$\hat{J}_\tau^\circ\{\gamma r, \gamma s\}(\gamma z) = \hat{J}_\tau^\circ\{r, s\}(z) \pmod{\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

and, thus, defines an element of  $\text{MS}^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times/\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z}) = \text{H}_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^\times/\varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z})$  lifting  $\bar{J}_\tau^\circ$ .  $\square$

It is natural to study the quantity

$$J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \hat{J}_{\tau_1}^\circ[\tau_2] \pmod{\varepsilon_1^\mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon_2^\mathbb{Z}}$$

associated to real quadratic elements  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , where the right-hand side is defined in (3). The following proposition, which shows that it is antisymmetric in its two arguments, can be viewed as a “Weil reciprocity formula” for the rational parabolic cocycles  $\hat{J}_\tau^\circ$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5**

*For all real quadratic irrationalities  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  with associated orders  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , respectively, we have*

$$\hat{J}_{\tau_1}^\circ[\tau_2] = \hat{J}_{\tau_2}^\circ[\tau_1]^{-1} \pmod{\mathcal{O}_1^\times \mathcal{O}_2^\times},$$

*that is,  $J(\tau_1, \tau_2) = J(\tau_2, \tau_1)^{-1}$  modulo the group of units in  $\mathcal{O}_1^\times$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2^\times$ .*

*Proof*

Following the notation that was used in the proof of Lemma 2.4, let  $[w_1^{(1)}, w_2^{(1)}]$  and  $[w_1^{(2)}, w_2^{(2)}]$  be elements of  $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_1}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_2}$ , respectively, satisfying

$$\pi([w_1^{(1)}, w_2^{(1)}]) = \tau_1, \quad \pi([w_1^{(2)}, w_2^{(2)}]) = \tau_2,$$

and define

$$\det(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \det \begin{pmatrix} w_1^{(1)} & w_1^{(2)} \\ w_2^{(1)} & w_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is well defined modulo the group generated by the units  $\pm\varepsilon_1$  and  $\pm\varepsilon_2$ . Since

$$t_{\tau_1}(\tau_2) = -(w_2^{(2)})^{-1} \cdot \det(\tau_1, \tau_2),$$

we can invoke (37) to write

$$J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}^\circ[\tau_2] = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}^\circ\{r, \gamma_2 r\}(\tau_2) = \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\gamma_1^{\mathbb{Z}}} \det(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2)^{\delta_{r, \gamma_2 r}(\gamma \tau_1)},$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the automorph of  $\tau_i$ , and the replacement of  $t_{\gamma \tau_1}(\tau_2)$  by  $\det(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is justified by the fact that the intersection number  $\delta_{r, \gamma_2 r}(w) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  defined in (19) satisfies

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\gamma_1^{\mathbb{Z}}} \delta_{r, \gamma_2 r}(\gamma \tau_1) = 0,$$

since this quantity is the intersection pairing in homology between images of the geodesics  $\{r \rightarrow \gamma_2 r\}$  and  $\{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1'\}$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ , which is a curve of genus 0. Let  $\delta(w_1, w_2) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  be the signed intersection between the geodesic on  $\mathcal{H}$  going from  $w_1$  to  $w_1'$  and the geodesic from  $w_2$  to  $w_2'$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{\gamma_2^j r, \gamma_2^{j+1} r}(\gamma \tau_1) = \delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2),$$

as follows immediately from the additivity of the intersection number (21). Therefore, we obtain

$$J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \prod_{\gamma \in \gamma_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\gamma_1^{\mathbb{Z}}} \det(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2)^{\delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2)}. \quad (38)$$

Proposition 2.5 can be deduced from (38) in light of the fact that, for all  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\det(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2) = \det(\tau_1, \gamma^{-1} \tau_2) = -\det(\gamma^{-1} \tau_2, \tau_1)$$

and that

$$\delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2) = \delta(\tau_1, \gamma^{-1} \tau_2) = -\delta(\gamma^{-1} \tau_2, \tau_1). \quad \square$$

It will be useful later to have a formula for the valuation of  $J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  at certain rational primes  $p$ . Recall that two RM points  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  of discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , respectively, correspond to embeddings  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  of the associated orders  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into the matrix ring  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . The *intersection multiplicity at  $p$*  of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  is defined by setting

$$[\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2]_p := \max t \geq 0 \text{ such that } \varphi_1(\mathcal{O}_1), \varphi_2(\mathcal{O}_2) \\ \text{have the same image in } M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^t \mathbb{Z}). \quad (39)$$

To motivate the following definition, we remark that the finite sum

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \gamma_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \gamma_1^{\mathbb{Z}}} \delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2)$$

is supported on intersection points of the closed geodesics on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  attached to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , and each such point contributes the sign of its intersection with respect to the induced orientation of the quotient surface. Therefore, this sum equals the homological intersection of the closed geodesics and, hence, is equal to 0 since  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  is a Zariski-open subset of a curve of genus 0.

*Definition 2.6*

The  $p$ -weighted intersection number of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is the sum (involving only finitely many nonzero terms)

$$(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{p\infty} := \sum_{\gamma \in \gamma_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \gamma_1^{\mathbb{Z}}} [\gamma \varphi_1 \gamma^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2]_p \cdot \delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2), \quad (40)$$

where, as above,  $\delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  is the signed intersection number between the geodesic on  $\mathcal{H}$  going from  $\gamma \tau_1$  to  $\gamma \tau_1'$  and the geodesic from  $\tau_2$  to  $\tau_2'$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7

Let  $p \nmid D_1 D_2$  be a rational prime that is inert in  $K_1$  and in  $K_2$ . Then

$$\mathrm{ord}_p J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{p\infty}.$$

*Proof*

This follows directly from the formula (38) for  $J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  in light of the fact that  $\mathrm{ord}_p \det(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2) = [\gamma \varphi_1 \gamma^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2]_p$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.8*

In their suggestive work [12] on linking numbers and modular cocycles, Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth show that the quantities  $J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  are closely related to the linking number between the modular geodesics attached to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on the threefold  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . As noted in the acknowledgements, the implicit use of multiplicative rational cocycles in [12] was an important source of inspiration for this paper.

2.2. A review of  $p$ -adic theta-functions

This section briefly recalls the theory of rigid analytic theta functions following the treatment in [13]. Given  $w \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ , let  $t_w(z)$  denote the linear polynomial on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$  defined by

$$t_w(z) := \begin{cases} z - w & \text{if } |w| \leq 1, \\ z/w - 1 & \text{if } |w| > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } w = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Meromorphic functions on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with prescribed divisors can be constructed in a systematic way using the following adaptation of a result of Gerritzen and Van der Put [13, Chapter II, Section 2.2, Lemma] (see also Van der Put [20, Proposition 2.2]).

LEMMA 2.9

Let  $(w_i^+)$  and  $(w_i^-)$  be sequences of points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  such that, for all  $i$ , the elements  $w_i^\pm$  are either both integral or both nonintegral, and satisfy the following.

(i) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N$  such that for all  $i > N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_i^+ - w_i^-| &< \varepsilon & \text{if } |w_i^+| \leq 1, \\ |1/w_i^+ - 1/w_i^-| &< \varepsilon & \text{if } |w_i^+| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The sets of  $w_i^\pm$  are discrete, that is, for all  $n \geq 0$ , the affinoid  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$  contains finitely many of the  $w_i^+$ 's and  $w_i^-$ 's.

Then the infinite product

$$J(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t_{w_i^+}(z)}{t_{w_i^-}(z)} \right) \quad (42)$$

converges to a rigid meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with zeroes only at the  $w_i^+$ 's and poles only at the  $w_i^-$ 's, whose logarithmic derivative is

$$\mathrm{dlog} J(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{dz}{z - w_i^+} - \frac{dz}{z - w_i^-} \right).$$

*Proof*

The infinite product in (42) converges to a rigid meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  because its general factor converges uniformly to 1 on any affinoid  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}$ . More precisely, we have

$$\left| \frac{t_{w_i^+}(z)}{t_{w_i^-}(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon p^n, \quad \text{for all } i > N, z \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq n}, \quad (43)$$

as can be checked from a direct verification in the cases  $|w_i^\pm| > 1$  and  $|w_i^\pm| \leq 1$  separately. All of the other properties of  $J(z)$  are a direct consequence of the definitions.  $\square$

For this section (and this section only), let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acting discretely and without fixed points on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  by Möbius transformations. This excludes finite index subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ , whose nontrivial fixed points consist of the RM points in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Examples of such discrete groups arise for instance from suitable finite index subgroups of  $p$ -arithmetic groups  $R_1^\times$  consisting of the elements of norm 1 in a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -order  $R$  in a definite quaternion algebra  $B$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that is split at  $p$  so that  $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  can be identified with  $M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . After fixing such an identification, the group

$$\Gamma := R_1^\times / \langle \pm 1 \rangle \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

acts on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with discrete orbits. The quotient  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p$  can be identified with the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -points of a complete rigid analytic curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : a Shimura curve, which has a model over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and enjoys many of the same rich arithmetic properties as classical modular curves.

Let  $\Delta = [w^+] - [w^-]$  be a simple divisor of degree 0 on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . After enumerating the elements of  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots\}$ , one can show that the sequences

$$w_i^+ := \gamma_i(w^+), \quad w_i^- := \gamma_i(w^-)$$

satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.9 and, hence, that the function

$$\bar{J}_{w^+ - w^-}(z) := \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left( \frac{t_{\gamma w^+}(z)}{t_{\gamma w^-}(z)} \right) \quad (44)$$

converges to a meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  which is rigid analytic on  $\mathcal{H}_p - \Gamma w^+ - \Gamma w^-$  and has zeroes and poles on  $\Gamma w^+$  and  $\Gamma w^-$ , respectively.

The definition of  $\bar{J}_{w^+ - w^-}$  can be extended by multiplicativity to allow the replacement of  $[w^+] - [w^-]$  by any degree 0 divisor  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . The function  $\bar{J}_\Delta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant *up to multiplicative scalars*:

$$\bar{J}_\Delta \in H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / \mathbb{C}_p^\times),$$

but need not be  $\Gamma$ -invariant itself. An arbitrary lift  $J_\Delta$  of  $\bar{J}_\Delta$  to  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  satisfies the transformation formula

$$J_\Delta(\gamma z) = \kappa_\Delta(\gamma) J_\Delta(z),$$

where  $\kappa_\Delta \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$  is the *period function* attached to  $J_\Delta$ . This class represents the obstruction to lifting the image of  $\bar{J}_\Delta$  to an element of  $H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  and encodes the image of  $\Delta$  in the Jacobian of  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . More precisely, taking the  $\Gamma$ -cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\times / \mathbb{C}_p^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

yields

$$(\mathcal{M}^\times)^\Gamma \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}^\times / \mathbb{C}_p^\times)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\kappa} H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p^\times) / Q \longrightarrow 0, \quad (45)$$

where  $Q$  is the period lattice of  $X := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p$  spanned by the elements of the form  $\kappa_\Delta$  as  $\Delta$  ranges over divisors of the form  $(\gamma z) - (z)$  with  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . In particular,  $J_\Delta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function if and only if  $\kappa_\Delta \in Q$ , that is, the image of  $\Delta$  in  $\text{Div}^0(X)$  is a principal divisor.

### 2.3. Rigid meromorphic cocycles

We return to the original setting of rigid meromorphic cocycles, where  $\Gamma := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  by Möbius transformations and on  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  with the weight 0 action.

Recall the definition given in (32) of the rigid meromorphic cocycle  $\Phi_\tau$  of weight 2 attached to  $\tau \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ . For each  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $w_i^+$  and  $w_i^-$  be a complete list of the positive and negative elements of  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s)$ , paired together so that  $w_i^-$  and  $w_i^+$  belong to the same wide open subset of the form  $\mathcal{W}_v$ , with  $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$ , for all  $i \geq 0$ . This collection of elements satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.9, and hence, by letting  $t_w(z)$  be the rational functions given in (41), the infinite products

$$\bar{J}_\tau := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau} t_w(z)^{\delta_\infty(w)}, \quad \bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\} := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau(r, s)} t_w(z)^{\delta_{r, s}(w)} \quad (46)$$

converge to rigid meromorphic functions satisfying

$$\text{dlog } \bar{J}_\tau = \varphi_\tau, \quad \text{dlog } \bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\} = \Phi_\tau\{r, s\}. \quad (47)$$

The function  $\bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\}$  is completely determined by (47) up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar in  $K_p^\times$ , where  $K_p$  is the completion of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  at the unique prime of  $K$  above  $p$ . Hence, the system of  $\bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\}$  determines an element

$$\bar{J}_\tau \in \text{MS}^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times) = H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times). \quad (48)$$

The cocycle  $\bar{J}_\tau$  is called the *projective rigid meromorphic cocycle* attached to  $\tau$ .

Recall, following Definition 1 of the Introduction, that  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  consists of classes represented by rigid meromorphic multiplicative cocycles whose restrictions to  $\Gamma_\infty$  take values in the group of constant functions. It fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, K_p^\times) \longrightarrow H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times) \longrightarrow H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times). \quad (49)$$

It follows from Section 1.5 that the cocycles  $\bar{J}_\tau$  generate  $H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times)$ , up to the well-understood finite rank subgroup of analytic cocycles. In the remainder of

this section, we analyze their lifting obstructions in  $H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times)$  and show they are annihilated by a finite rank Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{I}_p$ . Any combination of cocycles  $T\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\tau$  with  $T \in \mathcal{I}_p$  therefore lifts to  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}[T_2, T_3, T_5, \dots]$  be the algebra of Hecke operators whose elements are polynomials in the Hecke operators  $T_\ell$  with  $\ell \neq p$  a prime, and let

$$\mathcal{I}_p := \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}}(M_2(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbb{Z})),$$

where  $M_2(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbb{Z})$  is the space of weight 2 modular forms on  $\Gamma_0(p)$  with integral Fourier coefficients. Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 analyze the structure of the  $\mathbb{T}$ -modules arising in (49).

LEMMA 2.10

- (1) The group  $H^1(\Gamma, K_p^\times)$  is finite of exponent dividing 12.
- (2) There is a natural map

$$\eta : H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times) \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times)$$

whose kernel and cokernel are of exponent dividing 12.

- (3) The module  $H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times)$  is a torsion  $\mathbb{T}$ -module which is annihilated by  $12 \cdot \mathcal{I}_p$ .

*Proof*

Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0, K_p^\times)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, K_p^\times)$  denote the  $\Gamma$ -modules of  $K_p^\times$ -valued functions on the sets of vertices and edges of the Bruhat–Tits tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . Recall that every edge  $e \in \mathcal{T}_1$  contains a unique positive vertex  $v_+ \in \mathcal{T}_0^+$  and a unique negative vertex  $v_- \in \mathcal{T}_0^-$ . For all  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0, K_p^\times)$ , one can define a function  $df \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, K_p^\times)$  by setting  $df(e) = f(v_-)/f(v_+)$ . The map  $d$  fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0, K_p^\times) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, K_p^\times) \longrightarrow 1, \quad (50)$$

which provides a resolution of  $K_p^\times$  by the induced  $\Gamma$ -modules

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0, K_p^\times) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0^+, K_p^\times) \oplus \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_0^-, K_p^\times) = \text{Ind}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}^\Gamma K_p^\times \oplus \text{Ind}_{\text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z})}^\Gamma K_p^\times,$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, K_p^\times) = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_0(p)}^\Gamma (K_p^\times),$$

where

$$\text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}) = P^{-1}\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b/p \\ pc & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_0(p) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Taking the  $\Gamma$ -cohomology of (50) and invoking Shapiro's lemma yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, K_p^\times) &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), K_p^\times) \oplus H^1(\mathrm{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}), K_p^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times) \\ &\xrightarrow{\eta} H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), K_p^\times) \oplus H^2(\mathrm{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}), K_p^\times) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The first two statements in the lemma follow after noting that the first and second cohomologies of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with values in  $K_p^\times$  have exponent 12. Eichler–Shimura theory, which asserts that

$$\mathcal{J}_p := \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}}(H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times)) = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}}(M_2(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbb{Z})),$$

implies the third statement.  $\square$

A point  $\tau \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathrm{RM}}$  is said to be *fundamental* if its associated order is the *maximal*  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -order of the real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ , that is, if it is the root of a binary quadratic form whose discriminant, up to powers of  $p$ , is equal to a fundamental discriminant.

LEMMA 2.11

*The quotient*

$$H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times) / H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}^\times / K_p^\times)$$

*is torsion-free over  $\mathbb{T}$ . The classes  $\bar{J}_\tau$ , as  $\tau$  ranges over the fundamental elements of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathrm{RM}}$ , are multiplicatively independent over  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof*

The logarithmic derivative identifies  $\mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times$  with the group  $\mathcal{M}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of rigid meromorphic differentials of the third kind on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  (i.e., having at worst simple poles and integer residues). Given any  $\bar{J} \in H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times)$ , let  $\Phi := \mathrm{dlog} \bar{J} \in H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}_2)$  be its logarithmic derivative. If  $\theta$  is a nonzero element of  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $\bar{J} \mid \theta$  can only be analytic if the same is true of  $\Phi \mid_2 \theta$ . But then  $\Phi$  must be analytic, by Corollary 1.27, which implies that  $\bar{J}$  has to be analytic as well. The first assertion in the proposition follows. The second is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.26, which implies that the rigid meromorphic differentials of the form  $\varphi_\tau \mid_2 \theta$ , as  $\tau$  ranges over the primitive elements of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathrm{RM}}$ , have nontrivial, mutually disjoint residual divisors.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section, from which one recovers Theorem 1 of the Introduction.

## THEOREM 2.12

For all primes  $p$ , the group  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  is of infinite rank over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The zeroes and poles of a rigid meromorphic period function are contained in a finite collection of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .

*Proof*

The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 2.10, which asserts that the leftmost and rightmost modules in (49) are torsion  $\mathbb{T}$ -modules (with a specific annihilator  $\mathcal{I}_p$ ), combined with Lemma 2.11, which asserts that the term  $H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times/K_p^\times)$  has a nonfinitely generated,  $\mathbb{T}$ -torsion-free quotient. As to the second assertion, it follows immediately from Theorem 1.24 applied to the logarithmic derivative of an element of  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ .  $\square$

As in Section 2.1, the class  $\delta(\bar{J}_\tau) \in H^2(\Gamma, K_p^\times)$  represents the obstruction to lifting  $\bar{J}_\tau \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times/K_p^\times)$  to a genuine multiplicative cocycle in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ . By the second statement in Lemma 2.10, we may write

$$\delta(\bar{J}_\tau^{12}) = \eta(\kappa_\tau),$$

where  $\kappa_\tau \in H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times)$  is well defined up to the 12-torsion group  $\ker \eta$ . The class  $\kappa_\tau$  measures the obstruction to lifting the class  $\bar{J}_\tau^{12} \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times/K_p^\times)$  to a genuine rigid meromorphic cocycle.

*Definition 2.13*

The class  $\kappa_\tau$  is called the *lifting obstruction* attached to the class  $\bar{J}_\tau^{12}$ .

It will be useful to have an explicit description of the lifting obstruction  $\kappa_\tau$ . Recall the standard vertex  $v_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0$  whose stabilizer in  $\Gamma$  is  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and recall the standard edge  $e = (v_0, v'_0)$  whose stabilizer in  $\Gamma$  is  $\Gamma_0(p)$ . The restrictions of  $\bar{J}_\tau^{12} \in H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times/K_p^\times)$  to the groups

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(v_0), \quad \text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(v'_0)$$

lift uniquely to classes

$$J_\tau^{v_0} \in H_f^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}^\times), \quad J_\tau^{v'_0} \in H_f^1(\text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}^\times),$$

where we suppress the 12th power from the notation. They are related by the rule

$$J_\tau^{v'_0}(\gamma) = J_\tau^{v_0}(P\gamma P^{-1}).$$

The restriction to  $\Gamma_0(p) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{SL}'_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of the ratio  $J_\tau^{v_0}/J_\tau^{v'_0}$  lies in the kernel of the natural map

$$H^1(\Gamma_0(p), \mathcal{M}^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0(p), \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times),$$

which is equal to  $H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times)$ , and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(p)$ ,

$$\kappa_\tau(\gamma) = J_\tau^{v_0}(\gamma) / J_\tau^{v'_0}(\gamma) = J_\tau^{v_0}(\gamma) / J_\tau^{v_0}(P\gamma P^{-1}). \quad (51)$$

Assume below that  $p$  does not divide the discriminant of the field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ , that is, that the elements of  $\Gamma\tau \subset \mathcal{H}_p$  reduce to vertices of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Recall that the element  $\tau$  is then said to be *even* if these images are even vertices and is said to be *odd* otherwise.

PROPOSITION 2.14

For all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ , the class  $J_\tau^{v_0} \in H^1_f(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}^\times)$  satisfies

$$J_\tau^{v_0}(T) = \varepsilon_\tau^{(p)},$$

where  $\varepsilon_\tau^{(p)}$  is the unique element of  $K_p^\times$  satisfying

$$\varepsilon_\tau^{(p)} \equiv \begin{cases} \varepsilon_\tau \pmod{p} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is even,} \\ 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (\varepsilon_\tau^{(p)})^{1-p^2} = \varepsilon_\tau^{1+p}.$$

*Proof*

Any  $w \in \Gamma\tau$  is the root of a unique (up to sign) primitive integral binary quadratic form, whose discriminant is of the form  $Dp^{2m}$  with  $p \nmid D$  (see Proposition 1.1). The exponent  $m$  is called the *level* of  $w$ . It is an even integer if  $\tau$  is even and an odd integer if  $\tau$  is odd, which is constant on  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits but not, of course, on the full  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\tau$ . Upon setting

$$\Sigma_\tau^{(m)}(r, s) = \{w \in \Sigma_\tau(r, s) \text{ with level}(w) = m\},$$

the sets  $\Sigma_\tau(r, s)$  decompose as a disjoint union of the form

$$\Sigma_\tau(r, s) = \begin{cases} \Sigma_\tau^{(0)}(r, s) \sqcup \Sigma_\tau^{(2)}(r, s) \sqcup \Sigma_\tau^{(4)}(r, s) \sqcup \cdots & \text{if } \tau \text{ is even,} \\ \Sigma_\tau^{(1)}(r, s) \sqcup \Sigma_\tau^{(3)}(r, s) \sqcup \Sigma_\tau^{(5)}(r, s) \sqcup \cdots & \text{if } \tau \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\}(z) = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{r, s\}(z), \quad \text{where } \bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{r, s\}(z) := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^{(m)}(r, s)} t_w(z)^{\delta_{r, s}(w)}, \quad (52)$$

adopting the convention that  $\bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{r, s\} = 1$  if  $\tau$  and  $m$  are of different parity.

For any fixed  $m \geq 0$ , the finite set  $\Sigma_\tau^{(m)}(r, s)$  decomposes as a finite union of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of the form

$$\Sigma_\tau^{(m)}(r, s) = \Sigma_{\tau_1}^\circ(r, s) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma_{\tau_d}^\circ(r, s),$$

where  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d$  are the distinct representatives of the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  orbits of RM points of discriminant  $Dp^{2m}$  that are  $\Gamma$ -equivalent to  $\tau$ . Recall the classes  $J_\tau^\circ \in H^1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^\times)$  associated to  $\tau \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus C^{\mathrm{RM}}$  in (35) of Section 2.1, and set

$$J_\tau^{(m)} := J_{\tau_1}^\circ \times \cdots \times J_{\tau_d}^\circ. \quad (53)$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$J_{\tau_j}^\circ(T) = \varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_\tau^{e_m}, \quad (54)$$

where  $\varepsilon_\tau$  is the fundamental unit of the real quadratic order of discriminant  $Dp^{2m}$ . Recall that  $p$  is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ , so one has  $e_0 = 1$ , and for  $m \geq 1$ , the exponent  $e_m$  is given by the *class number formula*

$$h^+(Dp^{2m})e_m = p^{m-1}(p+1)h^+(D),$$

which implies that

$$e_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ (p+1)p^{m-1}d^{-1} & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

By combining (53), (54), and (55), one obtains

$$J_\tau^{(m)}(T) := J_{\tau_1}^\circ(T) \times \cdots \times J_{\tau_d}^\circ(T) = \varepsilon_m^d = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_\tau & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \varepsilon_\tau^{(p+1)p^{m-1}} & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The uniqueness of  $J_\tau^{v_0}$  implies that

$$J_\tau^{v_0} = J_\tau^{(0)} \times J_\tau^{(1)} \times J_\tau^{(2)} \times \cdots. \quad (56)$$

It follows that

$$J_\tau^{v_0}(T) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_\tau^{1+(p+1)p+(p+1)p^3+(p+1)p^5+\cdots} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is even,} \\ \varepsilon_\tau^{(p+1)+(p+1)p^2+(p+1)p^4+(p+1)p^6+\cdots} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The infinite series expressing the exponents in the equation above converge in the group  $\mathbb{Z}/(p+1)\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  to the elements  $(1, 1/(1-p))$  when  $\tau$  is even and to  $(0, 1/(1-p))$  when  $\tau$  is odd. The proposition follows.  $\square$

## THEOREM 2.15

For all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ , the class  $\kappa_\tau$  satisfies

$$\kappa_\tau(T) = \varepsilon_\tau \pmod{(K_p^\times)_{\text{tor}}}.$$

*Proof*

This follows directly from (51) and from Proposition 2.14, in light of the identity

$$PTP^{-1} = T^p. \quad \square$$

## 2.4. Explicit examples

Although Theorem 2.12 guarantees a large supply of rigid meromorphic cocycles for any prime  $p$ , it is useful for numerical experiments to single out some notably simple instances of these objects, in which complicated Hecke translates of the basic projective cocycles  $\bar{J}_\tau$  need not be invoked. Such constructions are available for the small primes given in Definitions 2.16 and 2.18 below.

*Definition 2.16*

A prime  $p$  is said to be a *genus 0 prime* if the modular curve  $X_0(p)$  has genus 0, that is, if  $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ , or  $13$ .

Theorem 2.15 implies that the cocycles  $\bar{J}_\tau$  themselves never lift to an element of  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ . However, one has the following.

## THEOREM 2.17

If  $p$  is a genus 0 prime, then the cocycle  $\bar{J}_\tau^{12}$  lifts, modulo torsion  $(K_p^\times)_{\text{tor}}$  in  $K_p^\times$ , to a cocycle  $\hat{J}_\tau \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / \varepsilon_\tau^\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\varepsilon_\tau$  is the fundamental unit of the real quadratic order attached to  $\tau$ . This lift is well defined up to a torsion class, and

$$\hat{J}_\tau^{v_0}(T) = \varepsilon_\tau^{(p)} \pmod{(K_p^\times)_{\text{tor}}}, \quad (57)$$

$$\hat{J}_\tau^{v_0}(S) = \pm(\xi_\tau^{(p)})^{-1} \times \bar{J}_\tau \pmod{(K_p^\times)_{\text{tor}}}, \quad \text{where } \xi_\tau^{(p)} := \prod_{v_p(w) \in [0, 2), w > 0} w. \quad (58)$$

*Proof*

When  $p$  is a genus 0 prime, the abelianization of  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is generated by the image of the parabolic matrix  $T$ , and hence, the existence of the lift  $\hat{J}_\tau$  follows from Theorem 2.15. It follows from Theorem 2.14 that  $\hat{J}_\tau(T) = \varepsilon_\tau^{(p)}$ . To calculate  $\hat{J}_\tau(S)$ , note that we may write

$$\bar{j}_\tau(z) = \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau, w > 0} \frac{t_w(z)}{t_{Sw}(z)}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\frac{t_w(z)}{t_{Sw}(z)} \times \frac{t_w(Sz)}{t_{Sw}(Sz)} = \begin{cases} -w^2 & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times, \\ -1 & \text{if } w \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times, \end{cases}$$

from which it follows that  $(\xi_\tau^{(p)})^{-1} \times \bar{j}_\tau$  is a lift of  $\bar{j}_\tau$  to  $\mathcal{M}^\times$  that satisfies the two-term relation. Since  $\hat{J}_\tau(S)$  is the unique such lift, up to sign, the lemma follows.  $\square$

### Definition 2.18

A prime  $p$  is said to be *monstrous* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1)  $p$  divides the cardinality of the monster sporadic simple group;
- (2) the modular curve  $X_0(p)/w_p$  has genus 0;
- (3)  $p$  is equal to 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, or 71.

(The equivalence of the first and second conditions, first observed by Andrew Ogg, is part of the empirical panoply of “monstrous moonshine.”)

### THEOREM 2.19

If  $p$  is a monstrous prime and  $\tau$  is any RM point in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  of discriminant prime to  $p$ , then the  $p$ -even projective cocycle

$$(1 + \varpi_p) \bar{J}_\tau^{12} = \bar{J}_\tau^{12} / \bar{J}_{p\tau}^{12} \tag{59}$$

lifts uniquely to a rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J_\tau^+ \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  modulo  $(K_p^\times)_{\text{tor}}$  whose associated rigid meromorphic period function  $j_\tau^+$  is given in Theorem 2 of the Introduction.

### Proof

The proof of Lemma 1.4 of [3] explains that the “lifting obstruction” map

$$12\eta^{-1} \circ \delta : H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / K_p^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times),$$

where  $\delta$  is the map of (49) and  $\eta$  is given in the second part of Lemma 2.10, intertwines the involution  $w_p$  on the domain with the Atkin–Lehner involution at  $p$  on the target. When  $p$  is a monstrous prime, the subspace  $H^1(\Gamma_0(p), K_p^\times)^{w_p=1}$  is trivial. It follows that the projective cocycle in (59) lifts to a genuine rigid meromorphic cocycle in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ .  $\square$

### 2.5. The efficient calculation of rigid meromorphic cocycles

To simplify the presentation, we assume henceforth that  $p$  is inert in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ . Our ultimate aim is to be able to compute the values of a rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J$  at any  $z$  in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ . We go about this via a series of simplifications. The first crucial remark is that every element of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivalent to an element of  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\leq 1}$ . Hence, by the  $\Gamma$ -equivariance property mentioned right after (3) in the Introduction and proved in Lemma 3.3 below, it is enough to be able to evaluate the RM values of  $J$  at such elements  $z$  of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $z > 1$  and  $-1 < z' < 0$ , in which case the continued fraction

$$z = a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}} \quad (a_i \geq 1)$$

is purely periodic, of minimal even length  $n$ . Let  $\gamma_z$  be the unique (modulo torsion) generator of  $\Gamma_z$  for which  $z$  is the stable, or attractive, fixed point, that is, for which

$$\gamma_z \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } |\varepsilon| > 1.$$

We can then write

$$\gamma_z = \gamma_n \cdot \gamma_{n-1} \cdots \gamma_1, \quad \text{where } \gamma_i = ST^{(-1)^i a_i}.$$

Using the cocycle relations and the fact that  $J(T)$  is constant, we compute that

$$J(\gamma_z) = J(T)^{-a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + \dots + a_n} \times \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma_n \cdots \gamma_i J)(S). \quad (60)$$

In the special case where  $J = \hat{J}_\tau$ , it was shown above that  $\hat{J}_\tau(T) = \varepsilon_\tau^{(p)}$ , so that it is enough to efficiently compute the rigid meromorphic function  $\hat{J}_\tau(S)$ .

To compute  $\hat{j}_\tau := \hat{J}_\tau(S)$ , it is enough by (58) to compute  $\bar{j}_\tau$ . The infinite product expansion (46) defining  $\bar{j}_\tau$  gives a theoretically effective way to evaluate it at arbitrary  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , but this method is hardly efficient. Indeed, the estimate (43) shows that the evaluation of  $\bar{j}_\tau(z)$  for  $z \in \mathcal{H}_p^0$  to  $M$  significant digits of  $p$ -adic accuracy requires the infinite product defining it to be taken over all

$$w \in \Sigma_\tau^{(\leq M)}(r, s) = \Sigma_\tau(r, s) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^{\leq M}.$$

The latter set has size roughly  $p^M$ , and it is impractical to take a product over such an index set for even moderate values of  $M$ , whereas many of the experiments that will be reported on later required  $p$ -adic precision on the order of hundreds of digits. This section describes how rigid meromorphic period functions can be calculated and

stored efficiently on a computer, in a way that enables the calculation of their RM values to large  $p$ -adic accuracy.

We first describe a polynomial time recursive algorithm for computing  $\bar{J}_\tau$ , which is somewhat in the spirit of the algorithm based on overconvergent modular symbols for computing the rigid analytic cocycles described in [6]. Recall from (52) the decomposition

$$\bar{J}_\tau\{r, s\} = \bar{J}_\tau^{(0)}\{r, s\} \times \bar{J}_\tau^{(1)}\{r, s\} \times \bar{J}_\tau^{(2)}\{r, s\} \times \cdots.$$

By the estimate (43) we have that

$$\bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq 1 + p^{2m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the standard affinoid, defined in Section 1.1. This implies that, in order to evaluate  $\bar{J}_\tau$  at a point in  $\mathcal{A}$  to a  $p$ -adic accuracy of  $p^M$ , it suffices to evaluate the finite collection of rational functions  $\bar{J}_\tau^{(t)}\{0, \infty\}$  for  $t \leq M - 1$ . To compute  $\bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}$ , the key idea is to represent it as a multiplicative Mittag-Leffler expansion on the standard wide open subset rather than as a rational function. More precisely, for all  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{r, s\} &= \prod_{a=0}^{p-1} F_a^{(m)}\{r, s\} \times F_\infty^{(m)}\{r, s\}, \\ \text{where } F_a^{(m)}\{r, s\}(z) &= \prod_{w \in \Sigma_\tau^{(m)} \cap (a + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})} t_w(z)^{\delta_{r,s}}. \end{aligned}$$

The explicit knowledge of the multiplicative Mittag-Leffler expansion suffices for the explicit evaluation of  $\bar{J}_\tau^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}$  and, therefore,  $\bar{J}_\tau$  at points of the standard affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is particularly convenient, since the functions  $F_a^{(m)}\{r, s\}$  satisfy the following recursion formulae, for  $a = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$  given by

$$F_a^{(m+1)}\{0, \infty\}(z) = \prod_{\ell=0}^{p-1} F_\ell^{(m)}\left\{-\frac{a}{p}, \infty\right\}\left(\frac{z-a}{p}\right) \pmod{K_p^\times}, \quad (61)$$

whereas for  $a = \infty$  we have

$$F_\infty^{(m+1)}\{0, \infty\}(z) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{p-1} F_\ell^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}(pz) \times F_\infty^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}(pz) \pmod{K_p^\times}. \quad (62)$$

These recursion formulae follow from the observation that both sides define rational functions with the same divisor and must therefore be equal up to a constant. Observe that

- (1) for each fixed  $m$  and  $a$ , the function  $F_a^{(m)}\{r, s\}$  is a modular symbol in  $\text{MS}(\mathcal{M}^\times)$ ;

(2) for all  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$F_{\gamma a}^{(m)}\{\gamma r, \gamma s\}(\gamma z) = F_a^{(m)}\{r, s\}(z) \pmod{K_p^\times}.$$

Note that the function  $F_a^{(m)}\{-a/p, \infty\}$  is easily expressed, up to a multiplicative constant, as a combination of the functions  $F_a^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}$  by finding a unimodular path from  $-a/p$  to  $\infty$  and using the two observations above. By using the recursions (61) and (62), this allows us to compute the functions  $F_a^{(m+1)}\{0, \infty\}$  from the functions  $F_a^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}$ , up to a multiplicative constant. To determine this constant, set

$$t_a = \frac{1}{z-a} \quad \text{for } a = 0, \dots, p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad t_\infty = z.$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$F_a^{(m)}\{0, \infty\} \in 1 + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\langle t_a \rangle, \quad a \in \{0, \dots, p-1, \infty\},$$

so that the implicit constant is easily found in practice, by normalizing the right-hand side to have constant term 1.

We summarize this discussion in the following steps, which describe how to compute the values  $\hat{J}_\tau[z]$  at an RM point  $z$  in the standard affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ , up to precision  $p^M$ :

- *Step 1.* Compute the rational function  $\hat{J}_\tau^\circ\{0, \infty\}$  as well as the  $p+1$  power series

$$F_a^{(1)}\{0, \infty\}(t_a) \in 1 + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\langle t_a \rangle, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \infty.$$

- *Step 2.* Use (61) and (62) as well as the modular symbol relations for the functions  $F_a(r, s)$  to compute for any  $2 \leq m \leq M-1$  the power series

$$F_a^{(m)}\{0, \infty\}(t_a) \in 1 + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\langle t_a \rangle, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \infty,$$

up to precision  $p^M$ . Store the data of  $\bar{j}_\tau := \bar{J}_\tau\{0, \infty\}$  to that accuracy, expressing it as a product of  $p+1$  power series in the variables  $t_a$ , up to precision  $t_a^M$ .

- *Step 3.* Compute the quantity  $\hat{J}_\tau(S) = (\xi_\tau^{(p)})^{-1} \times \hat{j}_\tau$  via the identity

$$(\xi_\tau^{(p)})^2 = \prod_{a=1}^{p-1} F_a\{0, \infty\}(0).$$

- *Step 4.* Compute  $\hat{J}_\tau[z] = \hat{J}_\tau(\gamma z)(z)$  via (60) and the identity  $\hat{J}_\tau(T) = \varepsilon_\tau^{(p)}$ .

This algorithm has been implemented in magma, and the resulting code is available on the authors' webpages. It will be used in the next section to give numerical examples in support of the proposed conjectures on RM values of rigid meromorphic cocycles.

### 3. Real quadratic singular moduli

This section is devoted to the most important notion explored in this paper: the *values* of rigid meromorphic cocycles at RM points, which are conjecturally defined over composita of ring class fields of real quadratic fields and otherwise exhibit many striking parallels with singular moduli arising in the classical theory of complex multiplication.

#### 3.1. RM values of rigid meromorphic cocycles

Let  $J \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  be a rigid meromorphic cocycle. By Theorem 1.24, its logarithmic derivative is of the form

$$d\log J = \Phi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_J} \lambda_\tau \Phi_\tau,$$

where  $\Phi_0 \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_2)$  is a rigid analytic cocycle of weight 2 and  $\Sigma_J$  is a finite subset of the orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ .

Given any  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ , the *discriminant* of  $\tau$ , denoted  $D_\tau$ , is the prime-to- $p$  part of the discriminant of any primitive integral binary quadratic form having  $\tau$  as a root. This discriminant is well defined on  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -orbits, that is,

$$D_{\gamma\tau} = D_\tau, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}.$$

Let  $H_\tau$  denote the *narrow ring class field* attached to the order of discriminant  $D_\tau$ . It is an abelian extension of  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$  whose Galois group over  $K$  is canonically identified with the class group in the narrow sense of the order of discriminant  $D_\tau$ .

#### Definition 3.1

The *field of definition* of  $J$ , denoted  $H_J$ , is the compositum of the narrow ring class fields  $H_\tau$ , as  $\tau$  ranges over the set  $\Sigma_J$ .

As explained in the Introduction, one of the principal interests of rigid meromorphic cocycles is that they can be meaningfully evaluated at RM points. Recall the *automorph*  $\gamma_\tau \in \mathcal{O}_\tau^\times$  of  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$  that was defined in the Introduction.

#### Definition 3.2

The *value* of  $J$  at an RM point  $\tau$  is the element

$$J[\tau] := J(\gamma_\tau)(\tau).$$

The following lemma shows how the values of  $J$  vary over  $\Gamma$ -orbits.

LEMMA 3.3

For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ ,

$$J[\gamma\tau] = J[\tau].$$

*Proof*

If  $\gamma$  belongs to  $\Gamma$ , then this follows from the fact that the automorph of  $\gamma\tau$  is  $\gamma\gamma_\tau\gamma^{-1}$  and, hence, that

$$J[\gamma\tau] = J(\gamma\gamma_\tau\gamma^{-1})(\gamma\tau) = J(\gamma)(\gamma\tau) \times J(\gamma_\tau)(\tau) \times J(\gamma^{-1})(\tau) = J[\tau]. \quad \square$$

*Remark 3.4*

Whereas the value at an RM point  $\tau$  of a rigid meromorphic cocycle is independent of the choice of  $\tau$  in its  $\Gamma$ -orbit, it is not necessarily true that this value is also independent of the chosen cocycle representing the same class as  $J$  in  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$ . Indeed, when we modify the representative of  $J$  by a coboundary  $\gamma \mapsto f^\gamma/f$  for a function  $f$  that has a pole at  $\tau$ , the RM value changes by a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ . We thank Ehud de Shalit for pointing this out. This ambiguity is lifted when, as we do in this paper, we identify a rigid meromorphic cocycle with its distinguished quasiparabolic representative.

The main conjecture of this section concerns the algebraicity of the RM values of rigid meromorphic cocycles and was already stated as Conjecture 1 in the Introduction.

CONJECTURE 3.5

Let  $J \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  be a rigid meromorphic cocycle, and let  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$ . Then  $J[\tau]$  is contained in the compositum of  $H_J$  and  $H_\tau$ .

The following examples describe the calculations of RM values for various small discriminants, using the computational techniques from Section 2.5.

*Example 3.6*

The golden ratio  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , which is a root of the binary quadratic form  $x^2 + xy - y^2$  of discriminant 5, is the simplest real quadratic irrationality, and it is therefore natural to examine the RM values of the rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J_\varphi^+$  attached to it, which, (for  $p$  a monstrous prime) is the only interesting rigid meromorphic cocycle whose zeroes and poles are concentrated in the  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -orbit of the golden ratio.

Some of the values of  $J_\varphi^+$ , at the RM points of discriminants 8 and 892, were already described in the Introduction. The algorithms of Section 2.5 were also used to compute the value of the 2-adic cocycle  $J_\varphi^+$  at the RM points of discriminant 21 to 1000 significant digits, yielding

$$J_{\varphi}^{+}\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{21}}{2}\right]=\frac{37\pm 48\sqrt{-3}}{7\cdot 13}\pmod{2^{1000}}.$$

This experimental finding is consistent with Conjecture 3.5, since the ring class field of discriminant 21 is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-7})$ .

We also computed  $J_{\varphi}^{+}$  at  $p = 7$  and  $p = 17$  to 400 and 100 significant digits, respectively, as well as the RM values of these cocycles at the four classes of RM points of discriminant 96, whose associated ring class field is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-1})$ . In this way we found

$$J_{\varphi}^{+}[2\sqrt{6}] = \frac{3\pm 8\sqrt{2}\pm 12\sqrt{-1}\pm 2\sqrt{-2}}{17}\pmod{7^{400}},$$

$$J_{\varphi}^{+}[2\sqrt{6}] = \frac{2\pm 1\sqrt{-3}\pm 3\sqrt{2}\pm 2\sqrt{-6}}{7}\pmod{17^{100}}.$$

Notice that the 7-adic valuation of the 17-adic invariant is equal to the 17-adic valuation of the corresponding 7-adic invariant. This phenomenon will be addressed in Section 3.4. Just as in the Introduction, the values  $J_{\varphi}^{+}[\tau]$  seem to be defined over  $H_{\tau}$  rather than  $H_{\tau}(\sqrt{5})$ , an observation that will be explained by the Shimura reciprocity law formulated in Section 3.2 below.

Finally, Table 3.7 below lists the values of the cocycle  $J_{\varphi}^{+}$  at a few arguments in  $\mathbb{Q}(\varphi)$ , for the primes  $p = 2, 3, 7, 13, 17$ , and 23. This is the full list of the monstrous primes that are inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\varphi)$ , with the exception of the largest prime  $p = 47$ , which was omitted for lack of space and because the column attached to this prime is the least varied: all its entries are equal to 1 with the exception of

$$J_{\varphi}^{+}[11\varphi] \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{3+\sqrt{-55}}{2^3}\text{ in } \mathbb{C}_{47}.$$

Finally, consider  $p = 37$ , which is the smallest prime that does *not* divide the order of the monster group. The Hecke module  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p^{\times})$  is killed by the Hecke operator

$$P := T_2(T_2 - 3)(T_2 + 2).$$

Table 3.7. The values of the  $p$ -adic cocycle  $J_{\varphi}^{+}[n\varphi]$ .

$\tau$	$p = 2$	$p = 3$	$p = 7$	$p = 13$	$p = 17$	$p = 23$
$3\varphi$	$\frac{-313+713\sqrt{-3}}{2\cdot 7^2\cdot 13}$	—	$\frac{1+\sqrt{-15}}{4}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-15}}{4}$	1	1
$4\varphi$	—	$\frac{174+832\sqrt{-1}}{2\cdot 5^2\cdot 17}$	$\frac{-10+24\sqrt{-1}}{2\cdot 13}$	$\frac{-4+6\sqrt{-3}}{3}$	$\frac{-2-\sqrt{-5}}{3}$	1
$6\varphi$	—	—	$\frac{-34+8\sqrt{-15}}{2\cdot 23}$	$\frac{67+3\sqrt{-15}}{2^2\cdot 17}$	$\frac{-1+15\sqrt{-3}}{3}$	$\frac{2+8\sqrt{-3}}{2\cdot 7}$
$7\varphi$	$\frac{8693+1675\sqrt{-35}}{2\cdot 3\cdot 13^3}$	$\frac{1129+357\sqrt{-7}}{2^6\cdot 23}$	—	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{-7}}{2^2}$	$\frac{-1-3\sqrt{-7}}{2^3}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-35}}{2\cdot 3}$
$9\varphi$	$\frac{18012458+56391392\sqrt{-3}}{2\cdot 7^4\cdot 13\cdot 37\cdot 43}$	—	$\frac{-14+8\sqrt{-15}}{2\cdot 17}$	$\frac{-61+5\sqrt{-15}}{2^6}$	$\frac{1+4\sqrt{-3}}{7}$	1
$11\varphi$	$\frac{1394644289+132949133\sqrt{-11}}{2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 23\cdot 37\cdot 47\cdot 53}$	$\frac{-3826843+133719\sqrt{-55}}{2^5\cdot 13^2\cdot 17\cdot 43}$	$\frac{-106+32\sqrt{-11}}{2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2}$	1	$\frac{5-\sqrt{-11}}{2\cdot 3}$	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{-55}}{2^2}$

The cocycle

$$P(\bar{J}_\varphi) \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$$

then lifts to a rigid meromorphic cocycle, denoted  $J_{\varphi, P}$ , and we compute that

$$J_{\varphi, P}[2\sqrt{2}] = \frac{-76\sqrt{10} - 247\sqrt{-5} + 8\sqrt{-2} + 26}{603} \pmod{37^{40}},$$

which is in the compositum of the field of definition  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  of  $J_{\varphi, P}$  and the ring class field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1})$  attached to discriminant  $\Delta_2 = 32$ , in accordance with Conjecture 3.5.

*Example 3.8*

The next positive discriminant after 5 is 8, corresponding to the field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Its narrow class number is 1, so that once again the essentially unique rigid meromorphic cocycle with zeroes and poles in the  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -orbit of  $\sqrt{2}$  is  $J_{\sqrt{2}}^+$ .

There are four distinct classes of RM points  $\tau_{105}$  of discriminant 105, and the monstrous primes that are inert for both 8 and 105 are precisely  $p = 11, 19, 29$ . We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\sqrt{2}}^+[\tau_{105}] &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{2 \pm 10\sqrt{-3} \pm 15\sqrt{5} \pm \sqrt{-15}}{2 \cdot 19} \pmod{11^{100}}, \\ J_{\sqrt{2}}^+[\tau_{105}] &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6 \pm 3\sqrt{-7} \pm 7\sqrt{5} \pm 2\sqrt{-35}}{2 \cdot 11} \pmod{19^{100}}, \\ J_{\sqrt{2}}^+[\tau_{105}] &\stackrel{?}{=} 1 \pmod{29^{100}}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $p = 11$ , these are the four roots of  $19x^4 - 4x^3 - 21x^2 - 4x + 19$ , whereas for  $p = 19$  these are the roots of  $11x^4 - 12x^3 + 3x^2 - 12x + 11$ . Both sets generate distinct fields of degree 4 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the compositum of either field with  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{105})$  is the ring class field of discriminant 105. As in the previous example, notice the linear independence with the field of definition  $K$  and the reciprocity occurring in the denominators, both of which will be discussed in Sections 3.2 and 3.4. To conclude the discussion of discriminant 8 cocycles, Table 3.9 below lists the values of  $J_{\sqrt{2}}^+$  at small integer multiples of  $\sqrt{2}$ , for all the monstrous primes that are inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

*Example 3.10*

The real irrationality  $\sqrt{3}$  has discriminant 12, its associated narrow ring class field is the biquadratic field  $H_{\sqrt{3}} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{-1})$ , and it defines an RM point in the standard affinoid of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , for any prime  $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{12}$ . For each such  $p$ , one may consider the rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J_{\sqrt{3}}^+$ . This cocycle was computed to a 5-adic accuracy

Table 3.9. Some values of the  $p$ -adic cocycle  $J_{\sqrt{2}}^+[n\sqrt{2}]$ .

$\tau$	$p=3$	$p=5$	$p=11$	$p=13$	$p=19$	$p=29$	$p=59$
$2\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{204+253\sqrt{-1}}{5^2 \cdot 13}$	$\frac{7-6\sqrt{-2}}{11}$	$\frac{3-4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$	$\frac{1-2\sqrt{-2}}{3}$	1	1	1
$3\sqrt{2}$	—	$\frac{11+21\sqrt{-3}}{2 \cdot 19}$	$\frac{-1+15\sqrt{-3}}{2 \cdot 13}$	$\frac{5+4\sqrt{-6}}{11}$	$\frac{-1+2\sqrt{-6}}{5}$	1	1
$4\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{6063-7216\sqrt{-1}}{5^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 29}$	$\frac{-31+8\sqrt{-2}}{3 \cdot 11}$	$\frac{3+4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$	$\frac{41-28\sqrt{-2}}{3 \cdot 19}$	$\frac{5+12\sqrt{-1}}{13}$	$\frac{1+2\sqrt{-2}}{3}$	1
$5\sqrt{2}$	1	—	1	1	1	1	1

Table 3.11. Some RM values  $J_{\sqrt{3}}^+[\tau]$ , for  $p=5$ .

$\tau$	Minimal polynomial of $J_{\sqrt{3}}^+[\tau]$	Field
$\sqrt{2}$	$9x^4 - 36x^3 + 40x^2 + 12x + 9$	$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$
$\frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}$	$2401x^8 + 19404x^7 + 72589x^6 + 166716x^5 + 121944x^4 - 166716x^3 + 72589x^2 - 19404x + 2401$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{13})$
$\frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$	$194481x^8 - 1100736x^7 + 20364174x^6 - 71994624x^5 + 840839779x^4 + 71994624x^3 + 20364174x^2 + 1100736x + 194481$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{17})$

of  $5^{200}$ . Table 3.11 below lists the minimal polynomials of its values at a few  $\tau$ 's of small discriminant, as well as the number field defined by these polynomials.

### Example 3.12

Now let  $\omega_{13} := \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}$  be the RM point of discriminant 13 in  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\text{RM}}$  for  $p=5, 11, 19$ , and 59, which are monstrous primes that are inert in both  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Table 3.13 collects a few values of the cocycles  $J_{\omega_{13}}^+$  for those primes, at RM points of the form  $\tau = n\sqrt{2}$  for those small values of  $n$  for which the order  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  has wide class number 1.

### 3.2. The Shimura reciprocity law

We begin by briefly recalling the classical Shimura reciprocity law in the setting of the theory of complex multiplication. Let  $D < 0$  be a negative discriminant, and let  $H/\mathbb{Q}$  be the associated ring class field of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , whose Galois group canonically splits as the semidirect product:

$$\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Gal}(H/K) \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle = \text{Cl}(D) \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle, \quad (63)$$

where  $\text{Cl}(D)$  is the class group of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant  $D$ , equipped with the usual Gaussian composition, and the identifications

$$\text{rec} : \text{Cl}(D) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/K), \quad \text{rec} : \text{Cl}(D) \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$$

Table 3.13. The values of the  $p$ -adic cocycle  $J_{\omega_{13}^+}[n\sqrt{2}]$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 11$ .

$\tau$	$p = 5$	$p = 11$	$p = 19$	$p = 59$
$\sqrt{2}$	1	1	1	1
$2\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{47+144\sqrt{-2}}{11 \cdot 19}$	$\frac{3-4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$	$\frac{3-4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$	1
$3\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{121-551\sqrt{-3}}{2 \cdot 13 \cdot 37}$	$\frac{11+21\sqrt{-3}}{2 \cdot 19}$	$\frac{5-4\sqrt{-6}}{11}$	1
$4\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{2806273-1604736\sqrt{-2}}{11 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 83}$	$\frac{57-176\sqrt{-1}}{5 \cdot 47}$	$\frac{5-12\sqrt{-1}}{13}$	$\frac{3+4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$
$7\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{13349623871+1962731160\sqrt{-7}}{11^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 109 \cdot 149 \cdot 197}$	$\frac{118393-8328\sqrt{-14}}{5^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 83}$	$\frac{93+95\sqrt{-7}}{2^2 \cdot 67}$	$\frac{37+9\sqrt{-7}}{2^2 \cdot 11}$
$8\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1920792095831+651036999168\sqrt{-2}}{11^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 227 \cdot 331}$	$\frac{1312-1425\sqrt{-1}}{13 \cdot 149}$	$\frac{43+924\sqrt{-1}}{5^2 \cdot 37}$	$\frac{3+4\sqrt{-1}}{5}$
$9\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1012867083636287+3520320389376383\sqrt{-3}}{2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 229 \cdot 349 \cdot 397 \cdot 421}$	$\frac{11387+12320\sqrt{-3}}{19^2 \cdot 67}$	$\frac{43+4100\sqrt{-6}}{11^2 \cdot 83}$	1
$11\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1898087439462554809969+25021359226682861760\sqrt{-22}}{13 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 109 \cdot 149 \cdot 293 \cdot 461 \cdot 541 \cdot 557 \cdot 613}$	—	$\frac{209711-130467\sqrt{-11}}{2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 163}$	$\frac{3+4\sqrt{-22}}{19}$

arise from global class field theory. There is a canonical bijection between  $\text{Cl}(D) \times \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle$  and the set of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of CM points of discriminant  $D$  on the union of the upper and lower half-planes  $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ , defined by

$$g := [a, b, c] \cdot \text{Fr}_\infty^\delta \mapsto \tau_g := (-1)^\delta \left( \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a} \right), \tag{64}$$

where  $[a, b, c]$  denotes the class of the binary quadratic form  $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ .

Let  $J$  be a meromorphic modular function on  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  with Fourier expansion coefficients in a field  $H_J$ , extended to a meromorphic function on the union  $\mathcal{H}^\pm$  of upper and lower complex upper half-planes by requiring  $J(-z) = J(z)$ . If  $\tau$  is any CM point for which  $H_\tau$  is linearly disjoint from  $H_J$ , then the restriction of automorphisms induces isomorphisms

$$G_D := \text{Gal}(H_J H_\tau / H_J) = \text{Gal}(H_\tau / \mathbb{Q}) \xleftarrow{\text{rec}} \text{Cl}(D) \times \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle. \tag{65}$$

The Shimura reciprocity law can then be stated as

$$J(\tau_{gh}) = J(\tau_h)^{\text{rec}(g)^{-1}}, \quad \text{for all } g, h \in \text{Cl}(D) \times \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle. \tag{66}$$

Turning to the RM setting, let  $D > 0$  be a discriminant for which  $p$  is inert, and let  $H/\mathbb{Q}$  be the ring class field associated to  $D$  whose Galois group can be described as a semidirect product via the formula, which is almost identical to (63):

$$\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Gal}(H/K) \times \langle \text{Fr}_p \rangle = \text{Cl}(D) \times \langle \text{Fr}_p \rangle. \tag{67}$$

The latter identification arises, as before, from the isomorphism  $\text{rec}$  of global class field theory. As in (64), there is a canonical bijection between  $\text{cl}(D) \times \langle \text{Fr}_p \rangle$  and the set of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of RM points of discriminant  $D$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , defined by

$$g := [a, b, c] \cdot \text{Fr}_p^\delta \mapsto \tau_g := p^\delta \left( \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a} \right). \tag{68}$$

Recall that by Conjecture 3.5 the RM values  $J[\tau]$  of a rigid meromorphic cocycle  $J$  should be algebraic, contained in the compositum of the field of definition  $H_J$  of  $J$  and the ring class field  $H_\tau$  of  $\tau$ . If these two fields are linearly disjoint, then one has the same identifications as in (65):

$$\mathrm{Gal}(H_J H_\tau / H_J) = \mathrm{Gal}(H_\tau / \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Cl}(D) \rtimes \langle \mathrm{Fr}_p \rangle.$$

The conjectural Shimura reciprocity law is the statement.

CONJECTURE 3.14

For all  $g \in \mathrm{Cl}(D) \rtimes \langle \mathrm{Fr}_p \rangle$  as above,

$$J[\tau_{gh}] = J[\tau_h]^{\mathrm{rec}(g)^{-1}}.$$

We now present a number of examples that lend credence to this conjecture.

*Example 3.15*

Let  $\varphi$  be the golden ratio, and let  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_6$  be the roots of the narrow equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms of discriminant 321, which has narrow class number 6. The monstrous prime 23 is inert in both the real quadratic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{321})$ . Let  $J_\varphi^+ \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times)$  be the rigid meromorphic cocycle attached to  $\varphi$  as in Theorem 2.19. Since  $J_\varphi^+$  is  $p$ -even, its values on the RM points  $\tau$  and  $p\tau$  coincide. The Shimura reciprocity conjecture therefore predicts that the six values  $J[\tau_j]$  lie in the Hilbert class field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{321})$  and are permuted by  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Using the algorithms described in Section 2.5, we have verified that the values  $J_\varphi^+[\tau_j]$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 6$  agree with the distinct roots of the polynomial

$$63x^6 - 6x^5 + x^4 + 76x^3 + x^2 - 6x + 63 = 0,$$

to within fifty 23-adic digits. The roots of this polynomial generate the Hilbert class field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{321})$ .

*Example 3.16*

The discriminants  $D_1 = 13$  and  $D_2 = 621 = 3^2 \cdot 69$  have narrow class numbers 1 and 6, respectively. The prime  $p = 71$  is inert in both real quadratic fields, and it is the largest prime factor of the order of the monster group. The values of the cocycle  $J_{\omega_{13}}^+$ , where  $\omega_{13} = \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}$ , were computed on the six RM points of discriminant 621 and ostensibly (namely, modulo  $71^{30}$ ) give the distinct roots of the polynomial

$$7x^6 + 6x^5 + 6x^4 + 10x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 7 = 0,$$

whose splitting field is the ring class field of conductor 3 of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69})$ .

### 3.3. $p$ -Adic intersection numbers

Let  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$  be a genus 0 prime, and let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be two RM points of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , respectively.

#### Definition 3.17

The  $p$ -adic intersection number of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is the quantity

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \hat{J}_{\tau_1}[\tau_2] \in \mathbb{C}_p^\times / \langle \varepsilon_{\tau_1}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle,$$

where  $\hat{J}_{\tau_1} \in H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / \varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}})$  is the rigid meromorphic cocycle of Theorem 2.17.

The following proposition summarizes a few of the basic properties of the  $p$ -adic intersection number.

#### PROPOSITION 3.18

The invariants  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  satisfy:

- (1)  $J_p(-\tau_1, -\tau_2) = J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)^{-1} \pmod{\varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ ;
- (2)  $J_p(p\tau_1, p\tau_2) = J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \pmod{\varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ .

#### Proof

To show the first part, let  $M$  be the diagonal matrix with entries 1 and  $-1$ . This matrix normalizes  $\Gamma$ , and hence, the cocycle  $\hat{J}'_{\tau_1}$  determined by

$$\hat{J}'_{\tau_1}(\gamma)(z) := \hat{J}_{-\tau_1}(M\gamma M^{-1})(-z)$$

belongs to  $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^\times / \varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}})$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\mathrm{dlog} \hat{J}'_{\tau_1} = -\mathrm{dlog} \hat{J}_{\tau_1}.$$

It follows from the uniqueness of the rigid meromorphic cocycle  $\hat{J}_{\tau_1}$  that

$$\hat{J}'_{\tau_1} = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}^{-1} \pmod{\varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}},$$

and hence, evaluating at  $\tau_2$ , that

$$\hat{J}_{-\tau_1}[-\tau_2] = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}[\tau_2]^{-1} \pmod{\varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}}.$$

The first part of the proposition follows. The second assertion is proved by similar reasoning and is left to the reader.  $\square$

#### Remark 3.19

Proposition 2.5 suggests that the invariant  $J_p$  satisfies the antisymmetry

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) = J_p(\tau_2, \tau_1)^{-1} \pmod{\langle \varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}, \varepsilon_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle},$$

which indeed is verified on numerous examples.

Since  $\hat{J}_{\tau_1}$  is not quite a rigid meromorphic cocycle but only a cocycle “modulo  $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}_1}^\times$ ,” it falls slightly outside the purview of the conjectures formulated in the previous two sections. Nonetheless, we conjecture that it satisfies a natural extension of the Shimura reciprocity law. In general, the statement of this extension takes place in  $H_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H_2$ , but for simplicity, we state it only in the case where the discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are relatively prime, so that the associated ring class fields  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As before, let  $\text{rec}$  denote the reciprocity map of global class field theory:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{D_1, D_2} &:= (\text{Cl}(D_1) \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle) \times (\text{Cl}(D_2) \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_\infty \rangle) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{rec}} \text{Gal}(H_1/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(H_2/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}(H_{12}/\mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

CONJECTURE 3.20 (Shimura reciprocity)

Let  $h = (h_1, h_2)$  be any element of  $G_{D_1, D_2}$ . Then  $J_p(\tau_{h_1}, \tau_{h_2})$  belongs to  $H_{12}$ , and for all  $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G_{D_1, D_2}$ ,

$$J_p(\tau_{g_1 h_1}, \tau_{g_2 h_2}) = J_p(\tau_{h_1}, \tau_{h_2})^{\text{rec}(g)^{-1}} \pmod{\varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}}}.$$

Example 3.21

Let  $(D_1, D_2) = (5, 32)$ , which have narrow class numbers 1 and 2, respectively. As previously, let  $\varphi$  denote the golden ratio. We computed the quantities  $J_3(\varphi, 2\sqrt{2})$  and  $J_3(\varphi, -2\sqrt{2})$  to 800 digits of 3-adic precision, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} J_3(\varphi, 2\sqrt{2}) &\stackrel{?}{=} (-70 - 40\sqrt{2} + 35\sqrt{5} + 16\sqrt{10} + 40\sqrt{-1} \\ &\quad - 70\sqrt{-2} - 20\sqrt{-5} + 28\sqrt{-10})/65 \\ &= (35 - 8\sqrt{10} - 20\sqrt{-1} - 14\sqrt{-10})\epsilon_1^{-3}, \\ J_3(\varphi, -2\sqrt{2}) &\stackrel{?}{=} \overline{J_3(\varphi, 2\sqrt{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to powers of the fundamental unit of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ , these values appear to lie in the subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-10})$ , the subfield of the triquadratic field  $H_{12}$  which is fixed by the product of Frobenius at  $p$  and complex conjugation.

Remark 3.22

The Shimura reciprocity law combined with the properties of  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  stated in Proposition 3.18 imply certain restrictions on the Galois-theoretic behavior of

these intersection numbers. For instance, the Shimura reciprocity law implies that  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $J_p(-\tau_1, -\tau_2)$  are complex conjugates of each other relative to any complex embedding of  $H_{12}$ . (Indeed, the complex conjugation is independent of the choice of complex embedding since  $\text{Fr}_\infty$  is a well-defined central involution in  $\text{Gal}(H_{12}/\mathbb{Q})$ .) It then follows from Proposition 3.18(1) that the  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  are complex numbers of norm 1 relative to any complex embedding of  $H_{12}$ , that is, that

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)^{\text{Fr}_\infty} = J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)^{-1}.$$

In particular, the  $p$ -adic intersection number is forced to be trivial whenever  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are both totally real, which occurs when the class numbers in the wide and narrow sense agree for both  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .

### 3.4. Gross–Zagier-style factorizations

The goal of this section is to propose a conjectural recipe for the prime factorizations of the  $p$ -adic intersection numbers  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , modeled on the analogous recipe in [15] for the factorization of  $J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  when  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are CM points on the complex upper half-plane.

We begin by recalling the latter, in a form that best lends itself to an extension to the real quadratic setting. If  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are CM points of  $\mathcal{H}$  with associated ring class fields  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , then the quantity  $J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  belongs to the compositum  $H_{12} = H_1 H_2$ . It will be assumed that complex and  $q$ -adic embeddings of  $H_{12}$  for all primes  $q$  have been fixed at the outset, so that one can speak of the normalized valuation at  $q$  of  $J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  for any rational prime  $q$ .

Let  $q$  be such a prime, and let  $B$  be the definite quaternion algebra ramified at  $q$  and  $\infty$ . A  $q$ -oriented maximal order in  $B$  is a maximal order  $R \subset B$  equipped with a surjective homomorphism  $\iota : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  called the “orientation at  $q$ .” Likewise, a  $q$ -oriented quadratic order is a quadratic order  $\mathcal{O}$  equipped with a similar structure. An orientation at  $q$  in this sense exists if and only if  $q$  does not divide the conductor of  $\mathcal{O}$  and is inert in its fraction field. The  $q$ -oriented orders form a category in which the morphisms from  $(R_1, \iota_1)$  to  $(R_2, \iota_2)$  are ring homomorphisms  $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  satisfying  $\iota_2 \varphi = \iota_1$ .

#### Definition 3.23

A  $q$ -oriented optimal embedding of a  $q$ -oriented quadratic order  $\mathcal{O} \subset K$  into  $B$  is a pair  $(\varphi, R)$ , where  $\varphi : K \rightarrow B$  is an algebra homomorphism and  $R$  is a maximal  $q$ -oriented order in  $B$ , satisfying  $\varphi(K) \cap R = \varphi(\mathcal{O})$  and for which  $\varphi$  is compatible with the  $q$ -orientations on  $\mathcal{O}$  and on  $R$ .

Write  $\text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}, B)$  for the set of oriented optimal embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}$  into  $B$ . The multiplicative group  $B^\times$  acts on this set by the rule

$$b \star (\varphi, R) := (b\varphi b^{-1}, bRb^{-1}),$$

and the set of  $B^\times$ -orbits for this action is denoted  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}, B)$ . By letting  $D$  be the discriminant of  $\mathcal{O}$ , the class group  $\text{Cl}(D)$  of that discriminant acts naturally on  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}, B)$  by setting, for any projective  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathfrak{a} \subset K$ :

$$\mathfrak{a} \star (\varphi, R) = (\varphi, R'), \quad \text{where } R' := \{b \in B \text{ such that } R\varphi(\mathfrak{a})b \subseteq R\varphi(\mathfrak{a})\}. \quad (69)$$

Recall the set  $\mathcal{H}^D$  of CM points on the complex upper half-plane of discriminant  $D$ , and let  $H$  be the associated ring class field. The quotient  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^D$  is also equipped with a simply transitive action of  $\text{Cl}(D)$  by the theory of complex multiplication, which is compatible with the action of  $\text{Gal}(H/K)$  on the singular moduli  $j(\tau)$  via the reciprocity map of global class field theory.

LEMMA 3.24

*The choice of complex and  $q$ -adic embeddings of  $H$  determines a canonical bijection*

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^D \longrightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{O}, B)$$

*which is compatible with the simply transitive actions of  $\text{Cl}(D)$  on both sides.*

*Proof*

Of crucial importance in constructing this canonical bijection is the fact that, for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}^D$ , the complex number  $j(\tau)$  (which can be viewed as an element of  $H$  via the chosen embedding of  $H$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is the  $j$ -invariant of an elliptic curve  $E/H$  with complex multiplication, admitting a smooth integral model over  $\mathcal{O}_H[1/D]$  and equipped with a canonical identification  $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}_H(E)$ , in which  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$  is sent to the unique endomorphism of  $E$  acting as multiplication by  $\lambda$  on its cotangent space. Since  $q$  is inert in  $K$ , the unique prime of  $K$  that lies above  $q$  splits completely in  $H/K$ . Hence,  $j(\tau)$  can be viewed (via our chosen  $q$ -adic embedding of  $H$ ) as an element of the unramified quadratic extension  $C_q$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_q$ , with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Let  $\bar{E}$  denote the special fiber of  $E$  over the residue field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . It is a *supersingular* elliptic curve, whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to a maximal order  $R$  in the quaternion algebra  $B$  ramified at  $q$  and  $\infty$ , equipped with a  $q$ -orientation describing the action of endomorphisms on the cotangent space of  $\bar{E}$ . The quadratic order  $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{End}(\bar{E})$  is equipped with a  $q$ -orientation for the same reason. To any  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}^D$  one can thus associate an optimal embedding  $\varphi_\tau : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$  of  $q$ -oriented orders by taking the composition

$$\varphi_\tau : \mathcal{O} = \text{End}(E) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\bar{E}) \simeq R.$$

The order  $R$  is well defined up to conjugation in  $B^\times$ , and hence, the image of the pair  $(\varphi_\tau, R)$  in  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}, B)$  is well defined. The lemma follows.  $\square$

The *intersection multiplicity at  $q$*  of two elements  $(\varphi_1, R_1) \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_1, B)$  and  $(\varphi_2, R_2) \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_2, B)$  is defined by setting  $[\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2]_q := 0$  if  $R_1 \neq R_2$  (as  $q$ -oriented orders) and, if  $R_1 = R_2 =: R$ , setting

$$[\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2]_q := \max t \geq 1 \text{ such that } \varphi_1(\mathcal{O}_1), \varphi_2(\mathcal{O}_2) \text{ have the same image in } R/q^{t-1}R. \quad (70)$$

This definition can be extended to the classes in  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, B)$  and  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, B)$  represented by  $(\varphi_1, R_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, R_2)$ , respectively, by setting

$$(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_q := \sum_{b \in B_1^\times} [\varphi_1 \cdot b\varphi_2 b^{-1}]_q, \quad (71)$$

where  $B_1^\times$  is the group of units of norm 1 in  $B$ . Observe that all but finitely many of the terms in the above sum are 0, because the normalizer of a given maximal oriented order  $R$  in  $B_1^\times$  is equal to  $R^\times$ , which (since  $B$  is a definite quaternion algebra) is a discrete subgroup of a compact Lie group and hence is finite.

**THEOREM 3.25 (Gross–Zagier)**

Let  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{D_1}$  and  $\tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{D_2}$  be CM points, and let  $q \nmid D_1 D_2$  be a rational prime. If  $q$  is split in either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ , then  $\text{ord}_q J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0$ . Otherwise, let  $\varphi_1 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, B)$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, B)$  be the classes of  $q$ -oriented optimal embeddings associated to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , respectively, via Lemma 3.24. Then

$$\text{ord}_q J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_q.$$

Let us now turn to the factorization of  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are RM points of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Assume that  $p$  is *inert* in the real quadratic fields  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\tau_1)$  and  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\tau_2)$ .

In contrast with the study of  $\text{ord}_q J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  for  $q \neq p$ , which is at least as deep as the assertion that  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is algebraic, the calculation of  $\text{ord}_p J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is entirely elementary and turns out to be instructive. We will therefore start with a formula for this valuation, which can be phrased in terms of embeddings of the real quadratic orders attached to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  in the maximal order  $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of the global split quaternion algebra  $B = M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . The RM points  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  of discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  (which are prime to  $p$  by definition) have associated  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -orders of the form  $\mathcal{O}_1[1/p]$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2[1/p]$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are the orders of discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , respectively. These points thus give rise to optimal embeddings of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -orders

$$\varphi_1 : \mathcal{O}_1[1/p] \longrightarrow R[1/p], \quad \varphi_2 : \mathcal{O}_2[1/p] \longrightarrow R[1/p],$$

where  $R := M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is the standard maximal order of  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , which is conjugate to any other maximal order. If  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  reduce to distinct vertices of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then we set

$$[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]_p = 0.$$

Otherwise,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  reduce to the same vertex. It suffices to consider the case where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  both reduce to the standard vertex of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , so that they induce a pair of optimal embeddings

$$\varphi_1 : \mathcal{O}_1 \longrightarrow R, \quad \varphi_2 : \mathcal{O}_2 \longrightarrow R.$$

Consider now the classes in  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, R)$  and  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, R)$  represented by these oriented optimal embeddings, and recall the  $p$ -weighted intersection multiplicity  $(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{p\infty}$  of (40) in Definition 2.6. The valuation at  $p$  of  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is intimately connected to this quantity.

**THEOREM 3.26**

*Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be RM points on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with associated quadratic orders  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , attached to classes of optimal embeddings  $\varphi_1 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, R)$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, R)$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_p J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{p\infty}.$$

*Proof*

We can assume without loss of generality that  $\tau_2$  belongs to the standard affinoid. By definition,

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}[\tau_2] = \hat{J}_{\tau_1}\{r, \gamma_2 r\}(\tau_2).$$

Furthermore,

$$\hat{J}_{\tau_1}\{r, \gamma_2 r\}(\tau_2) = \prod_{w_1 \in \Sigma_{\tau_1}(r, \gamma_2 r)} t_{w_1}(\tau_2) \pmod{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times}.$$

However, one can observe that

$$\text{ord}_p t_{w_1}(\tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{if level}(w_1) \neq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\text{ord}_p \hat{J}_{\tau_1}\{r, \gamma_2 r\}(\tau_2) = \text{ord}_p \prod_{w_1 \in \Sigma_{\tau_1}^\circ(r, \gamma_2 r)} t_{w_1}(\tau_2) = \text{ord}_p J_{\tau_1}^\circ[\tau_2] = \text{ord}_p J^\circ(\tau_1, \tau_2).$$

The theorem now follows from Proposition 2.7. □

We now turn to the (conjectural!) arithmetic intersection number of  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  at a rational prime  $q \neq p$ . For simplicity, it will also be assumed that  $q \nmid D_1 D_2$ . The recipe for the  $q$ -adic valuation of this  $p$ -adic invariant involves the quaternion

algebra  $B$  ramified at  $q$  and  $p$ . Because  $B$  is an indefinite quaternion algebra, all the maximal orders in  $B$  are conjugate to each other. Let  $R$  be a fixed choice of maximal order, and fix an identification of  $B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  with  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Via this identification, the multiplicative group  $R_1^\times$  acts discretely and cocompactly on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the compact Riemann surface  $R_1^\times \backslash \mathcal{H}$  is identified with the set of complex points of the *Shimura curve of discriminant  $pq$* .

Just as before, the sets  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, R)$  and  $\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, R)$  of  $R_1^\times$ -conjugacy classes of oriented optimal embeddings are equipped with natural fixed-point-free actions of the class groups  $\text{Cl}(D_1)$  and  $\text{Cl}(D_2)$ , respectively, and have the same cardinality as  $\mathcal{H}_p^{D_1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p^{D_2}$ , respectively. Hence, one can fix bijections

$$\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{D_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_1, R), \quad \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_p^{D_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma(\mathcal{O}_2, R) \quad (72)$$

which are compatible with the actions of  $\text{Cl}(D_1)$  and  $\text{Cl}(D_2)$  on both sides. Given  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{D_1}$  and  $\tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{D_2}$ , let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be the optimal embeddings associated to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  under these bijections, and let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2 \in R_1^\times$  be the images of the fundamental units of  $\mathcal{O}_1^\times$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2^\times$  under  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . The  $q$ -weighted intersection number of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  is defined to be

$$(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{q\infty} := \sum_{\gamma \in \gamma_2^\mathbb{Z} \backslash R_1^\times / \gamma_1^\mathbb{Z}} [\gamma \varphi_1 \gamma^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2]_q \cdot \delta(\gamma \tau_1, \tau_2),$$

where the symbol  $[\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2]_q$  is defined exactly as in (70), and the remaining terms in the expression are otherwise exactly as in Definition 2.6, with  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  replaced by  $R$ .

The following conjecture proposes a formula for  $\text{ord}_q J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  involving a synthesis of Theorems 3.25 and 3.26.

#### CONJECTURE 3.27

*If  $q$  is split in either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ , then  $\text{ord}_q J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0$ . Otherwise, there is an embedding of  $H_{12}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_q$  for which*

$$\text{ord}_q J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{q\infty},$$

*for all  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{D_1}$  and all  $\tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p^{D_2}$ .*

#### Remark 3.28

In the proof of Lemma 3.24 before the statement of Theorem 3.25, we were able to give a precise recipe for the assignment  $\tau_1 \mapsto \varphi_1$  and  $\tau_2 \mapsto \varphi_2$ , which depended on a choice of complex and  $q$ -adic embeddings of  $H_{12}$ , by relying on the theory of CM elliptic curves and their supersingular reductions at the primes above  $q$ . These arithmetic ingredients are (at least for the time being) conspicuously absent in the RM setting, and one must therefore be content with a slightly vaguer formulation, in

which the bijections (72) of transitive  $\text{Cl}(D_1)$ - and  $\text{Cl}(D_2)$ -sets and their dependence on choices of  $p$ -adic and  $q$ -adic embeddings of  $H_{12}$  are not spelled out.

We now give a sampling of the experimental evidence that has been gathered in support of Conjecture 3.27. James Rickards has devised efficient algorithms for calculating the  $q$ -weighted topological intersection numbers  $(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)_{q\infty}$  on the Shimura curve of discriminant  $pq$  and has implemented them on the computer (see [17]). Rickards' programs have generated a wealth of data on  $q$ -weighted intersection numbers, running to over 600 pages of tables, which have been invaluable in verifying Conjecture 3.27. The examples below are but a small sample of the experiments that were carried out in support of Conjecture 3.27.

Given pairwise coprime positive discriminants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  that are nonsquares modulo  $p$ , let  $G_{12} := \text{Cl}(D_1) \times \text{Cl}(D_2)$ . For each prime  $q$  that is nonsplit in both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , Rickards defines elements of the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G_{12}]$  by choosing basepoints  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_1, R)$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{O}_2, R)$ , letting  $\varphi'_1$  be the embedding obtained from  $\varphi_1$  by conjugating it by an element of norm  $p$ , and considering the following sums over  $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G_{12}$ , viewed as elements of the integral group ring of  $G_{12}$ :

$$I_{p,q}(D_1, D_2) = \sum_{g \in G_{12}} (\varphi_1^{g_1} \cdot \varphi_2^{g_2})_{q\infty} \cdot g,$$

$$I'_{p,q}(D_1, D_2) = \sum_{g \in G_{12}} (\varphi_1'^{g_1} \cdot \varphi_2^{g_2})_{q\infty} \cdot g.$$

Instead of directly identifying the quantity  $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  as an algebraic number, it has turned out to be easier to work with the related quantities

$$J_p^+(\tau_1, \tau_2) = J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \div J_p(p\tau_1, \tau_2) = J_{\tau_1}^+[\tau_2],$$

$$J_p^-(\tau_1, \tau_2) = J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \times J_p(p\tau_1, \tau_2),$$

which are predicted to lie in a slightly smaller field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . A refinement of Conjecture 3.27 (combined with the Shimura reciprocity conjecture) predicts that, after fixing a prime  $q$  of  $H_{12}$  above  $q$  and setting

$$I_{p,q}^+(D_1, D_2) = I_{p,q}(D_1, D_2) + I'_{p,q}(D_1, D_2),$$

$$I_{p,q}^-(D_1, D_2) = I_{p,q}(D_1, D_2) - I'_{p,q}(D_1, D_2),$$

one must have

$$\sum_{g \in G_{12}} \text{ord}_{q^g}(J_p^\pm(\tau_1, \tau_2)) \cdot g = I_{p,q}^\pm(D_1, D_2) \pmod{G_{12}}, \quad (73)$$

Table 3.30. Valuations for  $D_1 = 5$ ,  $D_2 = 473$ 

$q$	$I_{q,13}(5, 473)$	$I'_{q,13}(5, 473)$	$\text{ord}_q J_{13}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$	$\text{ord}_q J_{13}^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$
3	$3(1 - g^3)$	0	$3_1, \mathbf{0}_4, -3_1$	$3_1, \mathbf{0}_4, -3_1$
5	$(1 - g^3)$	$(1 - g^3)$	$2_1, \mathbf{0}_4, -2_1$	$\mathbf{0}_6$
37	$(1 - g^3)$	0	$1_1, \mathbf{0}_4, -1_1$	$1_1, \mathbf{0}_4, -1_1$

where the equality in (73) is to be interpreted in the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G_{12}]$  modulo the multiplication by grouplike elements in  $G_{12}$ . The coefficients appearing in the group ring element on the left-hand side of (73) can be computed from the slopes of the Newton polygon at  $q$  of the polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfied by  $J_p^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $J_p^-(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , respectively. Our experiments have largely consisted in comparing these Newton slopes with the coefficients that appear in Rickard's group ring elements  $I_{p,q}^+(D_1, D_2)$  and  $I_{p,q}^-(D_1, D_2)$ . The fact that we have consistently obtained a perfect match in hundreds of experiments can be viewed as convincing empirical evidence for Conjecture 3.27.

*Example 3.29*

Let  $(D_1, D_2) = (5, 473)$ , and let  $p = 13$ . The RM values with discriminant 473 of  $J_\varphi^+$  coincide up to 100 digits of 13-adic precision with the roots of the polynomial

$$4995x^6 - 4141x^5 - 1570x^4 + 1443x^3 - 1570x^2 - 4141x + 4995,$$

whereas those of  $J_\varphi \times J_{13\varphi}$  satisfy, up to the same precision, the polynomial

$$999x^6 - 2933x^5 + 3361x^4 - 2829x^3 + 3361x^2 - 2933x + 999.$$

We have that  $\text{Gal}(H_{473}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \langle g \rangle \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_2 \rangle$ , where  $g$  is of order 6. Table 3.30 lists the nontrivial intersection numbers computed by James Rickards, as encoded in the group ring elements  $I_{q,13}(5, 473)$  and  $I'_{q,13}(5, 473)$ , alongside the nontrivial Newton slopes of the ostensibly algebraic numbers  $J_{13}^\pm(\tau_1, \tau_2(j))$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 6$ .

As predicted by Conjecture 3.27, the last two columns are the multisets of coefficients appearing in the sum and difference of the group ring elements in the first two columns.

*Example 3.31*

Let  $(D_1, D_2) = (13, 621)$  and  $p = 7$ . We have that  $\text{Gal}(H_{621}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \langle g \rangle \rtimes \langle \text{Fr}_7 \rangle$ , where  $g$  is of order 6. The element  $g^3$  corresponds to complex conjugation in  $\text{Gal}(H_{621}/\mathbb{Q})$ . There is a unique  $\tau_1 \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_7^{13}$ , and there are six RM points  $\tau_2^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_2^{(6)} \in \mathcal{H}_7^{621}$ . The resulting invariants  $J_7^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  coincide up to 200 digits of 7-adic precision with the roots of the polynomial

Table 3.32. Valuations for  $D_1 = 13$ ,  $D_2 = 621$ 

$q$	$I_{q,7}(13, 621)$	$I'_{q,7}(13, 621)$	$\text{ord}_q J_7^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$	$\text{ord}_q J_7^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$
2	$(1-g^3)(2+5g+2g^2)$	$(1-g^3)(-3-2g-3g^2)$	$3_1, 1_2, -1_2, -3_1$	$7_1, 5_2, -5_2, -7_1$
41	$(1-g^3)$	0	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$
47	$(1-g^3)$	0	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$
71	$(1-g^3)$	0	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$	$1_1, 0_4, -1_1$

$$4378144x^6 - 5762700x^5 + 9490680x^4 - 11616641x^3 + 9490680x^2 - 5762700x + 4378144.$$

We compute furthermore that the invariants  $J_7^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  satisfy, up to the same precision, the polynomial

$$17932877824x^6 + 69949203456x^5 + 143523182304x^4 + 177833888503x^3 + 143523182304x^2 + 69949203456x + 17932877824.$$

Table 3.32 shows all the nontrivial intersection numbers computed by James Rickards, followed by the nontrivial Newton slopes for these two polynomials.

*Example 3.33*

Consider  $(D_1, D_2) = (13, 285)$ , and set  $p = 2$ . The narrow class group of discriminant  $285 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$  is isomorphic to the Klein 4-group  $V_4$ , generated by involutions  $s_1, s_2$ . There is, up to translation by  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ , a unique  $\tau_1 \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_2^{13}$ , and there are four RM points  $\tau_2^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_2^{(4)}$  in any  $\text{Cl}(285)$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{H}_2^{285}$ .

We have checked that the 2-adic intersection numbers  $J_2^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 4$  are distinct and coincide with 800 digits of 2-adic precision with the roots of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} &77360972841758936947502973998239x^4 \\ &+ 140181070438890831721314135099803x^3 \\ &+ 209895619549791255199413489899292x^2 \\ &+ 140181070438890831721314135099803x \\ &+ 77360972841758936947502973998239, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

which generate the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-19})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Likewise, the 2-adic intersection numbers  $J_2^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  are also distinct and coincide with 800 digits of 2-adic precision with the roots of the polynomial

Table 3.34. Newton slopes for  $D_1 = 13$ ,  $D_2 = 285$ ,  $p = 2$ 

$q$	$I_{q,2}(13, 285)$	$I'_{q,2}(13, 285)$	$\text{ord}_q J_2^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$	$\text{ord}_q J_2^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$
7	$(1-s_1)(1+2s_2)$	$(1-s_1)(1+3s_2)$	$\mathbf{5}_1, \mathbf{2}_1, -\mathbf{2}_1, -\mathbf{5}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$
19	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	$\mathbf{2}_2, -\mathbf{2}_2$	$\mathbf{0}_4$
31	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$
73	$(1-s_1)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$
109	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$
151	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$	$\mathbf{1}_2, -\mathbf{1}_2$
163	$(1-s_1)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$
397	$(1-s_1)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$
457	$(1-s_1)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$
463	$(1-s_1)$	0	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$	$\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, -\mathbf{1}_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1821488696558254611662551x^4 + 203729098486198913585801x^3 \\
& - 3016614164551653876723804x^2 + 203729098486198913585801x \\
& + 1821488696558254611662551, \tag{75}
\end{aligned}$$

which generate the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{57}, \sqrt{-195})$ . The constant terms of these polynomials factor as

$$\begin{aligned}
& 77360972841758936947502973998239 \\
& = 7^7 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 73 \cdot 109^2 \cdot 151^2 \cdot 163 \cdot 397 \cdot 457 \cdot 463, \\
& 1821488696558254611662551 \\
& = 7 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 73 \cdot 109^2 \cdot 151^2 \cdot 163 \cdot 397 \cdot 457 \cdot 463.
\end{aligned}$$

The first two columns of Table 3.34 list the arithmetic intersection numbers computed by James Rickards, and the last two give the Newton slopes for the polynomials (74) and (75) at the primes that arose in these factorizations.

Once again, the two last columns are precisely the coefficients of the sum and difference, respectively, of the group ring elements  $I_{q,2}(13, 285)$  and  $I'_{q,2}(13, 285)$  given in the first two columns of Table 3.34.

Now, let  $p = 7$ . We computed that the invariants  $J_7^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 4$  coincide to at least 200 digits of 7-adic precision with the solutions of

$$1936x^4 + 308x^3 - 1887x^2 + 308x + 1936 = 0.$$

Likewise, the invariants  $J_7^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$  satisfied, to the same precision, the polynomial

$$12390400x^4 - 41050240x^3 + 57394209x^2 - 41050240x + 12390400 = 0.$$

The corresponding data in this situation is listed in Table 3.35.

Table 3.35. Newton slopes for  $D_1 = 13$ ,  $D_2 = 285$ ,  $p = 7$ 

$q$	$I_{q,7}(13, 285)$	$I'_{q,7}(13, 285)$	$\text{ord}_q J_7^+(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$	$\text{ord}_q J_7^-(\tau_1, \tau_2^{(j)})$
2	$2(1-s_1)(2+s_2)$	$2(1-s_1)(-1-2s_2)$	$2_2, -2_2$	$6_2, -6_2$
5	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	$(1-s_1)(-1+s_2)$	$0_4$	$2_2, -2_2$
11	$(1-s_1)(1-s_2)$	0	$1_2, -1_2$	$1_2, -1_2$

We similarly verified Conjecture 3.27 for all other prime pairs  $(p, q)$  in this example.

*Acknowledgments.* This article builds on the ideas of a great many people: the seminal work of Marvin Knopp, Avner Ash, Youngju Choie, and Don Zagier on rational modular cocycles is the basis for Sections 1 and 2. One of the main conjectures of Section 3 concerning the factorizations of “real quadratic singular moduli” is modeled on the analogous factorizations in the CM setting explored by Benedict Gross and Don Zagier. The spark for the present work was ignited when the authors became aware of the beautiful article [12] by Bill Duke, Özlem Imamoğlu, and Árpád Tóth expressing the topological linking numbers of real quadratic modular geodesics on  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of RM values of multiplicative rational modular cocycles. It is a pleasure to thank all these mathematicians for the inspiration they have given us. Finally, the algorithms devised and implemented by James Rickards for computing the  $q$ -weighted topological intersection numbers of real quadratic geodesics on Shimura curves, which are a part of his ongoing Ph.D. dissertation [17] were invaluable in testing the Gross–Zagier-style factorizations of Section 3.4.

## References

- [1] A. ASH, *Parabolic cohomology of arithmetic subgroups of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with coefficients in the field of rational functions on the Riemann sphere*, Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989) no. 1, 35–51. [MR 0980298](#). [DOI 10.2307/2374478](#). (26, 31, 39)
- [2] Y. CHOIE and D. B. ZAGIER, “Rational period functions for  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ” in *A Tribute to Emil Grosswald: Number Theory and Related Analysis*, Contemp. Math. **143**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993, 89–108. [MR 1210514](#). [DOI 10.1090/conm/143/00992](#). (26, 31, 39, 43, 44, 52)
- [3] H. DARMON, *Integration on  $\mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}$  and arithmetic applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **154** (2001), no. 3, 589–639. [MR 1884617](#). [DOI 10.2307/3062142](#). (30, 51, 69)
- [4] ———, *The  $p$ -adic uniformisation of modular curves by  $p$ -arithmetic groups*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **2120** (2019), 52–61. (30)
- [5] H. DARMON and S. DASGUPTA, *Elliptic units for real quadratic fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **163** (2006), no. 1, 301–346. [MR 2195136](#). [DOI 10.4007/annals.2006.163.301](#). (29, 30)

- [6] H. DARMON and R. POLLACK, *Efficient calculation of Stark–Heegner points via overconvergent modular symbols*, Israel J. Math. **153** (2006), no. 1, 319–354. [MR 2254648](#). [DOI 10.1007/BF02771789](#). (71)
- [7] H. DARMON and J. VONK, *A real quadratic Borchers lift*, in preparation. (37, 51)
- [8] S. DASGUPTA, *Stark–Heegner points on modular Jacobians*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **38** (2005), no. 3, 427–469. [MR 2166341](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.ansens.2005.03.002](#). (30)
- [9] S. DASGUPTA, H. DARMON, and R. POLLACK, *Hilbert modular forms and the Gross–Stark conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 439–484. [MR 2811604](#). [DOI 10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.12](#). (30)
- [10] S. DASGUPTA and M. KAKDE, in preparation. (30)
- [11] S. DASGUPTA, M. KAKDE, and K. VENTULLO, *On the Gross–Stark conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **188** (2018), no. 3, 833–870. [MR 3866887](#). [DOI 10.4007/annals.2018.188.3.3](#). (30)
- [12] W. DUKE, O. IMAMOĞLU, and A. TÓTH, *Modular cocycles and linking numbers*, Duke Math. J. **166** (2017), no. 6, 1179–1210. [MR 3635902](#). [DOI 10.1215/00127094-3793032](#). (39, 59, 91)
- [13] L. GERRITZEN and M. VAN DER PUT, *Schottky Groups and Mumford Curves*, Lecture Notes in Math. **817**, Springer, Berlin, 1980. [MR 0590243](#). (59, 60)
- [14] E. GETHNER, “Rational period functions with irrational poles are not Hecke eigenfunctions” in *A Tribute to Emil Grosswald: Number Theory and Related Analysis*, Contemp. Math. **143**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993, 371–383. [MR 1210526](#). [DOI 10.1090/conm/143/01005](#). (51)
- [15] B. H. GROSS and D. B. ZAGIER, *On singular moduli*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **355** (1985), 191–220. [MR 0772491](#). (29, 82)
- [16] M. I. KNOPP, *Rational period functions of the modular group*, with an appendix by G. Grinstein, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), no. 1, 47–62. [MR 0485700](#). (26, 31, 39)
- [17] J. RICKARDS, *Intersections of closed geodesics on Shimura curves*, Ph.D. dissertation, McGill University, 2020. (87, 91)
- [18] P. SCHNEIDER and J. TEITELBAUM,  *$p$ -adic boundary values*, Astérisque **278** (2002), 51–125. [MR 1922824](#). (37)
- [19] J.-P. SERRE, *Trees*, Springer, New York, 1980. [MR 0607504](#). (53)
- [20] M. VAN DER PUT, *Discrete groups, Mumford curves and theta functions*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **1** (1992), no. 3, 399–438. [MR 1225666](#). (60)
- [21] D. B. ZAGIER, *A Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields*, Math. Ann. **213** (1975), 153–184. [MR 0366877](#). [DOI 10.1007/BF01343950](#). (55)

*Darmon*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, Canada;

[darmon@math.mcgill.ca](mailto:darmon@math.mcgill.ca)

*Vonk*

Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands; [j.b.vonk@math.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:j.b.vonk@math.leidenuniv.nl)