

$\vee$ -permutation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & [A_1] & [A_2] \\
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_2 \\
 A_1 \vee A_2 & B & B
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 [B] \\
 \Sigma_3 \\
 C
 \end{array}
 \Rightarrow
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & [A_1] & [A_2] \\
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_2 \\
 A_1 \vee A_2 & B & B
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_3 & \Sigma_3 \\
 A_1 \vee A_2 & C & C
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 C
 \end{array}$$

(provided the RHS is a derivation)

$\exists$ -permutation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cc}
 & [A(a)] \\
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_1 \\
 \exists x Ax & B
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 [B] \\
 \Sigma_3 \\
 C
 \end{array}
 \Rightarrow
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cc}
 & [A(a)] \\
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_1 \\
 \exists x Ax & B
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \begin{array}{cc}
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_3 \\
 \exists x Ax & C
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 C
 \end{array}$$

(provided the RHS is a derivation)

We remark that (given the reductions) the existence of Prawitz'  $\vee$ -expansion and  $\vee$ -permutation is equivalent to the existence of the following form of  $\vee$ -expansion:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \Sigma_0 \\
 [A \vee B] \\
 \Sigma_1 \\
 C
 \end{array}
 \Rightarrow
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & [A] & [B] \\
 \hline
 & [A \vee B] & [A \vee B] \\
 \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_1 \\
 A \vee B & C & C
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 C
 \end{array}$$

(And analogously for  $\exists$  ; we shall henceforth use these stronger forms of