Tits alternative for Artin groups of type FC

Alexandre Martin and Piotr Przytycki

Communicated by Adrian Ioana

Abstract. Given a group action on a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex, we give a simple criterion phrased purely in terms of cube stabilisers that ensures that the group satisfies the strong Tits alternative, provided that each vertex stabiliser satisfies the strong Tits alternative. We use it to prove that all Artin groups of type FC satisfy the strong Tits alternative.

1 Introduction

The Tits alternative and its many variants, originating in the work of Tits [27], deals with a striking dichotomy at the level of subgroups of a given group. A group satisfies the Tits alternative if every finitely generated subgroup either contains a non-abelian free subgroup or is virtually soluble, and satisfies the strong Tits alternative if this dichotomy holds also for infinitely generated subgroups. Tits showed that linear groups in any characteristic satisfy the Tits alternative, while linear groups in characteristic zero satisfy the strong Tits alternative [27]. Since then, many groups of geometric interest, and in particular groups displaying nonpositively curved features, have been shown to satisfy the Tits alternative, including mapping class groups of hyperbolic surfaces [20, 22], outer automorphism groups of free groups [2, 3], groups of birational transformations of compact complex Kähler surfaces [4]. The aim of this short note is to give a simple criterion to prove the Tits alternative for groups acting on finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes, and to discuss applications, in particular to a large family of Artin groups, a class of groups generalising braid groups. We emphasise here that the term "strong Tits alternative" has also been used in the literature to mean a different property, namely that every finitely generated subgroup virtually maps onto a non-abelian free group, see for instance [1].

Alexandre Martin was partially supported by EPSRC New Investigator Award EP/S010963/1. Piotr Przytycki was partially supported by NSERC and National Science Centre, Poland UMO-2018/30/M/ST1/00668. This work was partially supported by the grant 346300 for IMPAN from the Simons Foundation and the matching 2015–2019 Polish MNiSW fund.

Groups acting on CAT(0) cube complexes have a rich structure, and many tools have been developed over the years in connection with the Tits alternative. Let us mention in particular that Sageev-Wise proved the strong Tits alternative for groups acting properly on CAT(0) cube complexes with a bound on the order of finite subgroups [26]. Caprace-Sageev [5, Theorem F] found a non-abelian free subgroup for groups acting on finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes X without a finite orbit in $X \cup \partial X$, where ∂X is the visual boundary. Fernós [11, Theorem 1.1] proved the analogous result for groups without a finite orbit in $X \cup \partial X$ for ∂X the Roller boundary. For groups acting on CAT(0) cube complexes, it is natural to ask whether the strong Tits alternative for all vertex stabilisers implies the strong Tits alternative for the whole group. This is however not the case, as already noted in [26], see Remark 2.3 below. In order to obtain such a combination result, it is necessary to impose additional conditions on the group and the action. Such conditions do exist, and they generally require that, for a particular class of subgroups of G, increasing sequences of subgroups eventually stabilise. This condition is on the finite subgroups of G in the case of proper actions [26], and on the finitely generated virtually soluble subgroups of G in the general case, as was probably known to experts (see Corollary 2.2). However, such conditions presuppose an understanding of the global structure of G by requiring a control of subgroups of G in a given class. It seems to us preferable to have a criterion that does not involve the global structure of G but instead focuses solely on cube stabilisers. The main advantage of our criterion is thus to be formulated purely in local terms, i.e. in terms of the cube stabilisers.

All the actions we consider are by cellular isometries. Stab(C) denotes the setwise stabiliser of a cube C.

Theorem A. Let G be a group acting on a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex such that each vertex stabiliser satisfies the strong Tits alternative, and we have the following property:

for every pair of intersecting cubes
$$C, C'$$
, there exists a cube D
containing C such that $\operatorname{Stab}(C) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C') = \operatorname{Stab}(D)$. (*)

Then G satisfies the strong Tits alternative.

As an application, we prove the strong Tits alternative for a large class of Artin groups. Let us first recall their definition. Let *S* be a finite set. To every pair of elements $s \neq t \in S$, we associate $m_{st} = m_{ts} \in \{2, 3, ..., \infty\}$. The associated Artin group A_S is given by the following presentation:

$$A_S = \langle S \mid \underbrace{sts\cdots}_{m_{st}} = \underbrace{tst\cdots}_{m_{st}} \rangle,$$

and the associated *Coxeter group* W_S is obtained by adding the relation $s^2 = 1$ for every $s \in S$. For a subset S' of S, the subgroup of A_S generated by S' is isomorphic to $A_{S'}$ (see [28]), so we think of $A_{S'}$ as a subgroup of A_S , and call it a *standard parabolic subgroup*. The conjugates in A_S of standard parabolic subgroups are called *parabolic subgroups* of A_S . An Artin group is said to be *spherical* if W_S is finite, and is *of type FC* if, for every subset $S' \subseteq S$ such that $m_{st} < \infty$ for every $s, t \in S'$, the subgroup $A_{S'}$ is spherical.

Artin groups have been the topic of intense research in recent years, with a common theme being to show that they enjoy many of the features of non-positively curved groups [14,16,18,19,21]. Several classes of Artin groups have been shown to satisfy the strong Tits alternative, including spherical Artin groups [8], many two-dimensional Artin groups [21,25], and Artin groups that are virtually cocompactly cubulated [15,17]. In this note, we prove the following.

Theorem B. Artin groups of type FC satisfy the strong Tits alternative.

In order to emphasise the wider applicability of our criterion, we also mention a new proof of a result of Antolín–Minasyan [1], stating that the strong Tits alternative is stable under graph products (see Proposition 4.3).

2 First combination result

In this section, we prove a first combination result for the strong Tits alternative, under a "global" condition on the action.

Proposition 2.1. Let G be a group acting on a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex such that each vertex stabiliser satisfies the strong Tits alternative. Suppose that the poset of fixed-point sets of finitely generated virtually soluble subgroups of G satisfies the descending chain condition, *i.e. every decreasing sequence*

$$F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

of fixed-point sets of finitely generated virtually soluble subgroups of G satisfies $F_i = F_{i+1}$ for *i* large enough. Then G satisfies the strong Tits alternative.

Note that we have the following immediate corollary phrased purely in terms of subgroups of G, which was probably folklore and known to experts, although it does not seem to appear in the literature.

Corollary 2.2. Let G be a group acting on a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex such that each vertex stabiliser satisfies the strong Tits alternative. Suppose

that the poset of finitely generated virtually soluble groups of G satisfies the ascending chain condition, *i.e.* for every increasing sequence

$$H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of finitely generated virtually soluble subgroups of G, the inclusion $H_i \subseteq H_{i+1}$ is an isomorphism for i large enough. Then G satisfies the strong Tits alternative.

Remark 2.3. Note that Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 do not hold if we only assume that all the vertex stabilisers satisfy the strong Tits alternative. Indeed, consider the case of the wreath product

$$G = A_5 \wr \mathbb{Z} = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_5\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z},$$

where A_5 denotes the alternating group on 5 elements and \mathbb{Z} acts on $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_5$ by shifting the indices. It is known that *G* acts properly on a two-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex [29, Proposition 9.33], and in particular vertex stabilisers satisfy the strong Tits alternative. However, *G* itself does not satisfy the Tits alternative. Indeed, *G* does not contain non-abelian free subgroups, and *G* is not virtually soluble since finite index subgroups of *G* contain a copy of the non-soluble group A_5 .

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let H be a subgroup of G. Since X is finite-dimensional, by [11, Theorem 1.1], we have that H contains a non-abelian free subgroup or virtually fixes a point in the Roller boundary of X. In the latter case, by [7, Theorem B.1], we have that H admits a finite index subgroup H' that fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \to N \to H' \to Q \to 1,$$

where Q is a finitely generated virtually abelian group of rank at most dim(X), and N is a *locally elliptic* subgroup of G, i.e. every finitely generated subgroup of N fixes a point of X. If N contains a non-abelian free subgroup, then we are done. If N does not contain a non-abelian free subgroup, consider the poset \mathcal{F} of fixed-point sets of finitely generated subgroups of G contained in N. Since each finitely generated subgroup of N fixes a point of X, and hence satisfies the strong Tits alternative, it is virtually soluble. By the descending chain condition, there exists a smallest element $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Consequently, for each element $g \in N$, we have $\operatorname{Fix}(\langle g \rangle) \supseteq F$, and so $\operatorname{Fix}(N) \supseteq F$. Thus $\operatorname{Fix}(N)$ is non-empty, and so N satisfies the strong Tits alternative. Since N does not contain a non-abelian free subgroup, it is virtually soluble. Since the class of virtually soluble groups is stable under extensions, it now follows that H', and hence H, is virtually soluble. **Remark 2.4.** It follows from [11, Theorem 1.1] and [7, Theorem B.1] that one can replace the first "strong Tits alternative" by "Tits alternative" in Corollary 2.2. However, we cannot do the same in Proposition 2.1 since N might not be finitely generated even if H is finitely generated.

Similarly, as observed by Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, since the family of amenable groups (resp. elementarily amenable groups) is closed under direct limits, if G acts on a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex such that each finitely generated subgroup of each vertex stabiliser contains a non-abelian free subgroup or is amenable (resp. elementarily amenable), then each subgroup of G contains a non-abelian free subgroup or is amenable (resp. elementarily amenable).

3 Local condition

The descending chain condition for fixed-point sets appearing in Proposition 2.1 is global in nature, as it requires an understanding of the virtually soluble subgroups of the groups under study (and their fixed-point sets). In this section, we prove Theorem A, which involves the more tractable local condition (*) that implies the descending chain condition.

A poset (\mathcal{F}, \leq) has *height at most n* if every chain

$$F_1 < F_2 < \cdots$$

of elements of \mathcal{F} has length at most n.

Proposition 3.1. Let G be a group acting on an n-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex X satisfying property (*) of Theorem A. Then the poset \mathcal{F} of non-empty fixed-point sets of subgroups of G has height at most n + 1.

In particular, Theorem A is a direct consequence of Propositions 2.1 and 3.1. We prove Proposition 3.1 in several steps. The first one is the following "local to global" result.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a group acting on a CAT(0) cube complex X satisfying property (*). Then property (*) holds also for disjoint cubes C, C'.

Proof. Let $C_0 = C, C_1, \ldots, C_k = C'$ be the unique normal cube path from C_0 to C_k (see [24, § 3]). We prove by downward induction on $l = k - 1, \ldots, 0$ the claim that $\text{Stab}(C_l) \cap \text{Stab}(C_k) = \text{Stab}(D_l)$ for some $D_l \supseteq C_l$. For l = k - 1, this is property (*). Now let m < k - 1, and assume that we have proved the claim for l = m + 1. We have

 $\operatorname{Stab}(C_m) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C_k) = \operatorname{Stab}(C_m) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C_{m+1}) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C_k)$

by the uniqueness of normal paths. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\operatorname{Stab}(C_m) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C_{m+1}) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C_k) = \operatorname{Stab}(C_m) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(D_{m+1})$$

for some $D_{m+1} \supseteq C_{m+1}$. Thus, by property (*), we have

$$D_m \supseteq C_m$$
 with $\operatorname{Stab}(D_m) = \operatorname{Stab}(C_m) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(D_{m+1})$,

proving the claim for l = m.

Corollary 3.3. Let G be a group acting on an n-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex X satisfying property (*). Then the poset \mathcal{P} of all cube stabilisers has height at most n + 1.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that we have $P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_{n+2}$ in \mathcal{P} . Let C_{n+2} be a cube with $P_{n+2} = \text{Stab}(C_{n+2})$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, we can choose a cube $C_{n+1} \supseteq C_{n+2}$ such that

$$\text{Stab}(C_{n+1}) = \text{Stab}(C_{n+2}) \cap P_{n+1} = P_{n+1}.$$

Analogously, we can inductively define C_l for l = n, ..., 1 with $C_l \supseteq C_{l+1}$ and $\operatorname{Stab}(C_l) = P_l$. Since the dimension of *X* is *n*, for some *l*, we have $C_l = C_{l+1}$, contradicting $P_l \neq P_{l+1}$.

Remark 3.4. Note that if a poset \mathcal{P} has finite height and the intersection of any two elements of \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P} is *stable under all intersections*, that is, the intersection of any family of elements of \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathcal{P} .

Definition 3.5. Let *G* be a group acting on a cube complex *X*. Suppose that the poset \mathcal{P} of all cube stabilisers is stable under all intersections, and let *H* be a subgroup of *G* that fixes a point of *X*. We denote by $P_H \in \mathcal{P}$ the intersection of the non-empty family of the elements of \mathcal{P} containing *H*.

Lemma 3.6. Let G be a group acting on a cube complex X. Suppose that the poset of all cube stabilisers is stable under all intersections, and let H be a subgroup of G that fixes a point of X. Then $Fix(H) = Fix(P_H)$.

Proof. Since $H \subseteq P_H$, we have $Fix(H) \supseteq Fix(P_H)$. Now, let *C* be a cube whose barycentre lies in Fix(H). Then $H \subseteq Stab(C) \in \mathcal{P}$, and so, by the definition of P_H , we also have $P_H \subseteq Stab(C)$, or in other words, the barycentre of *C* lies in $Fix(P_H)$. Thus, we get the reverse inclusion $Fix(H) \subseteq Fix(P_H)$. \Box

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Suppose by contradiction that we have a chain

$$\operatorname{Fix}(H_1) \supseteq \operatorname{Fix}(H_2) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \operatorname{Fix}(H_{n+2})$$

of non-empty fixed-point sets of subgroups of *G*. After replacing each H_i by $\langle H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_i \rangle$, we can assume $H_1 \subsetneq H_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq H_{n+2}$. By Lemma 3.2, Corollary 3.3, and Remark 3.4, the poset \mathcal{P} of all cube stabilisers has height at most n + 1 and is stable under all intersections. Consider then the chain

$$P_{H_1} \subseteq P_{H_2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_{H_{n+2}}$$

of elements of \mathcal{P} . For some k, we have $P_{H_k} = P_{H_{k+1}}$. Lemma 3.6 implies that, for i = k, k + 1, we have $Fix(H_i) = Fix(P_{H_i})$, which contradicts

$$\operatorname{Fix}(H_k) \neq \operatorname{Fix}(H_{k+1}).$$

4 Application: Graph products and Artin groups of type FC

As a first application, we recover the stability of the strong Tits alternative under graph products, as first proved by Antolín–Minasyan [1].

Definition 4.1. Let Γ be a simplicial graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$, and let

$$\mathscr{G} = \{ G_v \mid v \in V(\Gamma) \}$$

be a collection of groups. The graph product $\Gamma \mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma \mathscr{G} = {\binom{*}{v \in V(\Gamma)}} G_v / \langle \langle gh = hg, h \in G_u, g \in G_v, \{u, v\} \text{ an edge of } \Gamma \rangle \rangle.$$

For an induced subgraph Λ of Γ , the subgroup of $\Gamma \mathscr{G}$ generated by $\{G_v\}_{v \in \Lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ (see [13]). We thus think of $\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ as a subgroup of $\Gamma \mathscr{G}$.

We first recall the construction of a cube complex associated to a graph product of groups, introduced in [10].

Definition 4.2. The *Davis complex* of the graph product $\Gamma \mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows:

- Vertices correspond to left cosets $g\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ for $g \in \Gamma \mathscr{G}$ and $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ a complete subgraph of Γ .
- We add an edge between vertices $g\Lambda_1 \mathcal{G}$ and $g\Lambda_2 \mathcal{G}$ whenever $g \in \Gamma \mathcal{G}$ and $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$ are complete subgraphs of Γ that differ by exactly one vertex.
- More generally, for g ∈ Γ𝔅 and Λ₁ ⊂ Λ₂ complete subgraphs of Γ that differ by exactly k vertices, we add a k-cube spanned by the vertices gΛ𝔅 for all complete subgraphs Λ₁ ⊆ Λ ⊆ Λ₂.

The group $\Gamma \mathcal{G}$ acts on the vertices by left multiplication of left cosets, and this action extends to the entire Davis complex.

Davis [10, Theorem 5.1] showed that the Davis complex associated to a graph product of groups is a CAT(0) cube complex.

Proposition 4.3. Let Γ be a finite simplicial graph, and let $\mathscr{G} = \{G_u\}_{u \in V(\Gamma)}$ be a collection of groups that satisfy the strong Tits alternative. Then the graph product $\Gamma \mathscr{G}$ satisfies the strong Tits alternative.

Proof. Let us verify property (*) of Theorem A. Let C, C' be two cubes of the Davis complex with non-empty intersection $C'' = C \cap C'$. Up to the action of an element of $\Gamma \mathcal{G}$, we can assume that there exist complete subgraphs

$$\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_1'' \subseteq \Lambda_2'' \subseteq \Lambda_2$$

of Γ such that the following holds: *C* has vertices $\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ for all complete subgraphs $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_2$, and *C*" has vertices $\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ for all complete subgraphs $\Lambda_1'' \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_2''$. Moreover, since *C*' contains *C*", there exists an element $g \in \text{Stab}(C")$ and complete subgraphs $\Lambda_1' \subseteq \Lambda_2'$ of Γ , with $\Lambda_1' \subseteq \Lambda_1'' \subseteq \Lambda_2'' \subseteq \Lambda_2'$, such that the vertices of *C*' are the $g\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ for all complete subgraphs $\Lambda_1' \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_2'$. We thus get

$$\operatorname{Stab}(C') = g\left(\prod_{u \in V(\Lambda'_1)} G_u\right)g^{-1} = \prod_{u \in V(\Lambda'_1)} G_u,$$

the last equality following from the fact that $g \in \operatorname{Stab}(C'') = \prod_{u \in V(\Lambda''_1)} G_u$. In particular, $\operatorname{Stab}(C) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C') = \prod_{u \in V(\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'_1)} G_u$. Let *D* be the cube of the Davis complex with vertices $\Lambda \mathscr{G}$ for all complete subgraphs $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda'_1 \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_2$. The previous equality can then be rewritten as $\operatorname{Stab}(C) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(C') = \operatorname{Stab}(D)$. As the cube *D* contains the cube *C*, this implies property (*) of Theorem A.

Moreover, since the strong Tits alternative is stable under finite direct products, the stabilisers of vertices of the Davis complex satisfy the strong Tits alternative. It now follows from Theorem A that $\Gamma \mathcal{G}$ satisfies the strong Tits alternative. \Box

We conclude this note by proving the strong Tits alternative for Artin groups of type FC. Recall the following construction from [6].

Definition 4.4. The *Deligne cube complex* of an Artin group A_S of type FC is the cube complex defined as follows:

Vertices correspond to left cosets gA_{S'} for g ∈ A_S and S' ⊆ S with A_{S'} spherical.

- We add an edge between vertices gA_{S_1} and gA_{S_2} whenever $g \in A_S$ and $S_1 \subset S_2$ are subsets of S that differ by exactly one element.
- More generally, for g ∈ A_S and S₁ ⊂ S₂ subsets of S that differ by exactly k elements, with A_{S2} spherical, we add a k-cube spanned by the vertices gA_{S'} for all S₁ ⊆ S' ⊆ S₂.

The group A_S acts on the vertices by left multiplication of left cosets, and this action extends to the entire Deligne cube complex.

In [6, Theorem 4.3.5], Charney–Davis showed that the Deligne cube complex of an Artin group of type FC is a CAT(0) cube complex.

Proof of Theorem B. Let A_S be an Artin group of type FC. By construction, the stabilisers of vertices of the Deligne cube complex are exactly the parabolic subgroups of A_S that are spherical. Such Artin groups are known to be linear in characteristic zero [8], and thus satisfy the strong Tits alternative.

For property (*) in Theorem A, let $C, C', C'' = C \cap C'$ be cubes of the Deligne cube complex. After replacing C by a cube in its A_S -orbit, we can assume that there exist $S_1 \subseteq S''_1 \subseteq S''_2 \subseteq S_2$ with A_{S_2} spherical such that the vertices of Ccorrespond to the cosets $A_{S'}$ over $S_1 \subseteq S' \subseteq S_2$ and the vertices of C'' correspond to the cosets $A_{S'}$ over $S''_1 \subseteq S' \subseteq S''_2$. Moreover, since C' contains C'', there exists an element $g \in \text{Stab}(C'') = A_{S''_1}$ and $S'_1 \subseteq S''_1 \subseteq S''_2 \subseteq S'_2$ with $A_{S'_2}$ spherical such that the vertices of C' correspond to the cosets $gA_{S'}$ over $S'_1 \subseteq S' \subseteq S'_2$. To obtain property (*), it suffices to show that the intersection $A_{S_1} \cap gA_{S'_1}g^{-1}$ is a parabolic subgroup of A_{S_1} . The fact that the intersection of two parabolic subgroups of $A_{S''_1}$ is again a parabolic subgroup of $A_{S''_1}$ contained in A_{S_1} is a parabolic subgroup of A_{S_1} is a consequence of [12, Theorem 0.2]. It now follows from Theorem A that A_S satisfies the strong Tits alternative.

Note that here property (*) for arbitrary cubes C, C', which follows from Lemma 3.2, amounts to saying that the intersection of two parabolic subgroups is again a parabolic subgroup. This was proved independently by Rose Morris-Wright [23].

Acknowledgments. We thank Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Talia Fernós, and Anthony Genevois for explaining to us the state of affairs in the subject. We also thank María Cumplido and the anonymous referee for comments on a first version of this article. This work was conducted during the *Nonpositive curvature* conference at the Banach Center and the *LG&TBQ* conference at the University of Michigan.

Bibliography

- Y. Antolín and A. Minasyan, Tits alternatives for graph products, J. Reine Angew. Math. 704 (2015), 55–83.
- [2] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn and M. Handel, The Tits alternative for $Out(F_n)$. I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **151** (2000), no. 2, 517–623.
- [3] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn and M. Handel, The Tits alternative for $Out(F_n)$. II. A Kolchin type theorem, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **161** (2005), no. 1, 1–59.
- [4] S. Cantat, Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 299–340.
- [5] P.-E. Caprace and M. Sageev, Rank rigidity for CAT(0) cube complexes, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 21 (2011), no. 4, 851–891.
- [6] R. Charney and M. W. Davis, The $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1995), no. 3, 597–627.
- [7] I. Chatterji, T. Fernós and A. Iozzi, The median class and superrigidity of actions on CAT(0) cube complexes, *J. Topol.* 9 (2016), no. 2, 349–400; With an appendix by Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace.
- [8] A. M. Cohen and D. B. Wales, Linearity of Artin groups of finite type, *Israel J. Math.* 131 (2002), 101–123.
- [9] M. Cumplido, V. Gebhardt, J. González-Meneses and B. Wiest, On parabolic subgroups of Artin–Tits groups of spherical type, Adv. Math. 352 (2019), 572–610.
- [10] M. W. Davis, Buildings are CAT(0), in: *Geometry and Cohomology in Group Theory* (Durham 1994), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 252, Cambridge University, Cambridge (1998), 108–123.
- [11] T. Fernós, The Furstenberg–Poisson boundary and CAT(0) cube complexes, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **38** (2018), no. 6, 2180–2223.
- [12] E. Godelle, Normalisateur et groupe d'Artin de type sphérique, J. Algebra 269 (2003), no. 1, 263–274.
- [13] E. Green, Graph products of groups, PhD thesis, University of Leeds, 1990.
- [14] T. Haettel, Extra-large artin groups are CAT(0) and acylindrically hyperbolic, preprint (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11032.
- [15] T. Haettel, Virtually cocompactly cubulated Artin–Tits groups, preprint (2020), https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08711; to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.
- [16] T. Haettel, D. Kielak and P. Schwer, The 6-strand braid group is CAT(0), Geom. Dedicata 182 (2016), 263–286.
- [17] J. Huang, K. Jankiewicz and P. Przytycki, Cocompactly cubulated 2-dimensional Artin groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 91 (2016), no. 3, 519–542.

- [18] J. Huang and D. Osajda, Helly meets Garside and Artin, preprint (2019), https: //arxiv.org/abs/1904.09060.
- [19] J. Huang and D. Osajda, Large-type Artin groups are systolic, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 120 (2020), no. 1, 95-123.
- [20] N. V. Ivanov, Algebraic properties of the Teichmüller modular group, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 275 (1984), no. 4, 786-789.
- [21] A. Martin and P. Przytycki, Acylindrical actions for two-dimensional Artin groups of hyperbolic type, preprint (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.03154.
- [22] J. McCarthy, A "Tits-alternative" for subgroups of surface mapping class groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 291 (1985), no. 2, 583-612.
- [23] R. Morris-Wright, Parabolic subgroups in FC type Artin groups, preprint (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07058.
- [24] G. A. Niblo and L. D. Reeves, The geometry of cube complexes and the complexity of their fundamental groups, Topology 37 (1998), no. 3, 621-633.
- [25] D. Osajda and P. Przytycki, Tits alternative for groups acting properly on 2-dimensional recurrent complexes, preprint (2019), https://arxiv.org/abs/1904. 07796.
- [26] M. Sageev and D. T. Wise, The Tits alternative for CAT(0) cubical complexes, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 37 (2005), no. 5, 706-710.
- [27] J. Tits, Free subgroups in linear groups, J. Algebra 20 (1972), 250–270.
- [28] H. van der Lek, *The homotopy type of complex hyperplane complements*, PhD thesis, University of Nijmegan, 1983.
- [29] D. T. Wise, From Riches to Raags: 3-manifolds, Right-angled Artin groups, and Cubical Geometry, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 117, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012.

Received September 18, 2019; revised January 28, 2020.

Author information

Alexandre Martin, Department of Mathematics and the Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom. E-mail: alexandre.martin@hw.ac.uk

Piotr Przytycki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Burnside Hall, 805 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, QC, H3A 0B9, Canada. E-mail: piotr.przytycki@mcgill.ca