

Stationary solution to stochastically forced Euler–Poisson equations in bounded domain: Part 2. 1-D Ohmic contact boundary

Yachun Li¹ | Ming Mei^{2,3,4} | Lizhen Zhang⁵ 

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, CMA-Shanghai, MOE-LSC, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China

³Department of Mathematics, Champlain College Saint-Lambert, Saint-Lambert, Quebec, Canada

⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁵School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Correspondence

Lizhen Zhang, School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.

Email: Zhanglizhen@sjtu.edu.cn

Funding information

McGill University; National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 12371221, W2431005, 12161141004, 11831011; Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities; Shanghai Frontiers Science Center of Modern Analysis; NSERC, Grant/Award Number: RGPIN 2022-03374

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the asymptotic stability of the steady state for the 1-D stochastic Euler–Poisson equations with Ohmic contact boundary conditions forced by the Wiener process. We utilize Banach’s fixed-point theorem and the *a priori* energy estimates uniformly in time to ensure the global existence of solutions around the steady state. In contrast to the deterministic case, the presence of stochastic forces leads to the lack of temporal derivatives of momentum, posing challenges for energy estimates. Furthermore, Ohmic contact boundary conditions pose greater challenges for energy estimates compared to the insulating boundary conditions. To address this issue, we establish asymptotic stability concerning the spatial derivatives through weighted energy estimates for the estimates of stochastic integrals, employing a technique distinct from that of the deterministic case. Furthermore, we demonstrate the existence of an invariant measure based on the *a priori* energy estimates. This invariant measure precisely

corresponds to the Dirac measure generated by the steady state, due to the time-exponential decay of the perturbed solutions around the steady state.

MSC 2020

35B40, 35Q81 (primary), 35D35, 35K51, 60H15, 82D37 (secondary)

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. THE A PRIORI ESTIMATES UP TO SECOND ORDER	8
2.1. Zeroth-order estimates on $\mathbf{w}$	9
2.2. First-order estimates on $\mathbf{w}$	17
2.3. Second-order estimates on $\mathbf{w}$	21
3. GLOBAL EXISTENCE	34
3.1. Local existence	35
3.2. Global existence	43
4. ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF 1-D SOLUTIONS	44
4.1. Weighted decay estimates.	44
5. INVARIANT MEASURE AND STATIONARY SOLUTIONS.	50
APPENDIX A	56
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	60
REFERENCES.	60

1 | INTRODUCTION

The model of the stochastic Euler–Poisson (SEP) equations provides a precise depiction of physical phenomena for the analysis and design of semiconductor devices [2] since the stochastic effects occasionally lead to undesired defects and pattern roughness in chips. Thus, we explore the dynamic model of semiconductors perturbed by stochastic forces within mathematical frameworks. In Part 1 [37], we studied the existence and the symptomatic behavior of the stationary solution to SEP in 3-D bounded domain with the insulating boundary conditions. The Ohmic contact boundary conditions pose more challenges than the insulating boundary conditions. For a multidimensional bounded domain with Ohmic contact boundary conditions, there are no results on the well-posedness of deterministic solutions around the steady states. Hence, we merely consider the 1-D SEP. In 1-D bounded smooth domain U , the 1-D SEP reads as

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + J_x = 0, \\ dJ + \left(\left(\frac{J^2}{\rho} + P(\rho) \right)_x + \frac{J}{\tau} \right) dt = \rho \Phi_x dt + \mathbb{F}(\rho, J) dW, \\ \Phi_{xx} = \rho - b, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where “d” is the differential notation with respect to time t , ρ is the electron density, J is the current, $P(\rho)$ is the pressure, Φ is the electrostatic potential, and τ is the velocity relaxation time, which is regarded as a constant, assumed to be 1 without loss of generality thereafter. $b := b(x)$ is called the doping profile, which is positive and immobile. We also introduce the electric field E satisfying $E = -\Phi_x$. Stochastic processes ρ , J , Φ , E , and $P(\rho)$ are actually functions of ω , t , and x , where ω is a sample in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For convenience, we use the simplified notions ρ , J , Φ , E , and $P(\rho)$ here and hereafter. W is an $\mathcal{H}$ -valued cylindrical Wiener process defined on the filtrated probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is an auxiliary separable Hilbert space, and $\mathfrak{F}$ is the filtration, referring the definitions of filtration and Wiener process in Appendix A. Let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Then, the cylindrical Wiener process is $W = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e_k \beta_k$, where $\{\beta_k(t); k \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 0\}$ is a sequence of independent, real-valued standard Brownian motions. Let H be a Bochner space, and $\mathbb{F}(\rho, J)$ be an H -valued operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Denoting the inner product in $\mathcal{H}$ as $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, the inner product

$$\langle \mathbb{F}(\rho, J), e_k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = F_k(\rho, J) \quad (1.2)$$

is an H -valued vector function, which shows the strength of the external stochastic forces,

$$\mathbb{F}(\rho, J) dW = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} F_k(\rho, J) d\beta_k e_k, \quad \mathbb{F}(\rho, J) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} F_k(\rho, J) e_k. \quad (1.3)$$

We will write $F_k(\rho, J)$ as F_k for short in the sequel.

We assume that

$$\mathbb{F}(\rho, J) dW = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} F_k(\rho, J) d\beta_k e_k, \quad (1.4)$$

$$F_k = a_k J Y(J), \quad \sum a_k^2 = 1, \quad |Y(J)| \leq CJ, \quad |Y'(J)| \leq C, \quad |Y''(J)| \leq C, \quad (1.5)$$

where a_k are positive constants. Regarding the Euler–Poisson equations (1.1), the Ohmic contact boundary condition is given by

$$\rho(\omega, t, 0) = \rho_1, \quad \rho(\omega, t, 1) = \rho_2, \quad (1.6)$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are positive constants, and the boundary condition for the electrostatic potential Φ is required:

$$\Phi(\omega, t, 0) = \Phi_1, \quad \Phi(\omega, t, 1) = \Phi_2, \quad (1.7)$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are constants.

The stability problem of (1.1) with (1.6), (1.7), along with the following initial data in $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$

$$(\rho_0(\omega, x), J_0(\omega, x), \Phi_0(\omega, x)), \quad (1.8)$$

is studied in this paper. We denote $(\rho_0(\omega, x), J_0(\omega, x), \Phi_0(\omega, x))$ by (ρ_0, J_0, Φ_0) for short.

For the multiple dimensional case, Guo–Strauss [18] considered the deterministic 3-D Euler–Poisson equations in a bounded domain with insulating boundary, and showed the convergence of solutions to the 3-D subsonic steady states. The stability analysis of semiconductor systems

with the contact boundary conditions is more complicated compared to those with the insulating boundary conditions. This complexity arises due to the absence of studies on well-posedness of steady state for 2-D or 3-D deterministic semiconductor systems with the contact boundary conditions. Generally, there are no solutions or no uniqueness of solutions to the elliptic equation with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Researchers make attempts to get close to this problem. Mei–Wu–Zhang [41] considered the stability of the steady state for 3-D spherical symmetric semiconductors in a hollow ball, that is, the radius $r > \varepsilon$ is required, for some small constant ε . Markowich [39] discussed the existence of the subsonic solution in two dimensions with the irrotational currents on the boundary. Further exploration into the stability of steady-state solutions for the Cauchy problem was undertaken by Hattori [23]. The Cauchy problems to the deterministic Euler–Poisson equations were extensively studied in [9, 27–30, 33]. For the ill-posedness of solutions of multidimensional Cauchy problem, one can refer to Ogawa–Suguro–Wakui’s work [44]. For the case of free boundary with vacuum, we refer to [38, 42, 50] and the references therein. For the formulation of singularities in compressible Euler–Poisson equations and the large time behavior of Euler equations with damping, one can refer to [47] and [49], respectively.

For the deterministic case with the 1-D contact boundary condition, Degond–Markowich [7] studied the existence of steady states and the uniqueness for a given current density $\bar{J}$. Li–Markowich–Mei [36] explored the existence and uniqueness of steady-state solution for the flat doping profile. Guo–Strass [18] also considered the steady state $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$, and constructed a global solution near the steady state. While the current $\bar{J}$ is small, $\bar{\rho}$, $\bar{\Phi}$, and the doping profile $b(x)$ have large variation. Then, the uniqueness is reconsidered by Nishibada–Suzuki [43] for a given boundary voltage.

As we addressed in Part I [37], the stochastic forces exhibit at most Hölder- $\frac{1}{2}$ continuity in time t , leading to the decreased regularity of velocity over time. From a mathematical perspective, investigating the stochastic problem enables us to examine the long time behavior of solutions to the SEP equations in the absence of strong regularity in time and determine whether the desired properties persist in the presence of specific types of noise, providing valuable theoretical guidance.

The solutions to stochastic evolving systems are called the stationary solutions, provided that the expectations of the increments of solutions during evolution are time-independent. Originally, the study of stationary measures dates back to the works of Hopf [26], Doeblin [8], Doob [10], Halmos [19, 20], Feller [11], and Harris and Robbins [21, 22], for the theory of discrete Markov processes. The study of invariant measure of fluid models dates back to Cruzeiro [6] for stochastic incompressible Navier–Stokes equations in 1989, by Galerkin approximation with dimensions $D \geq 2$. Flandoli [12] and Flandoli–Gatarek [13] proved the existence of an invariant measure for multidimensional incompressible Navier–Stokes equations by different methods with [6]. Mattingly [40] proved the existence of exponentially attracting invariant measure with respect to initial data, for incompressible N-S equations. Later, Goldys–Maslowski [17] showed that transition measures of 2-D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations converge exponentially fast to the corresponding invariant measures in the distance of total variation. Then, for the 3-D case, Da Prato and Debussche [45] constructed a transition semigroup for 3-D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations, which allows for rather irregular solutions without the uniqueness. Flandoli–Romito [15] used the classical Stroock–Varadhan type argument to find the almost-sure Markov selection. For stochastic compressible Navier–Stokes equations, Breit–Feireisl–Hofmanová–Maslowski [4] proved the existence of stationary solutions. Hofmanová–Zhu–Zhu [25] selected the dissipative global martingale solutions to the stochastic incompressible Euler system, and obtained the nonuniqueness of strong Markov solutions. Very recently, they [24] showed that stationary

solution to the Euler equations is a vanishing viscosities limit in law of stationary analytically weak solutions to Navier–Stokes equations. In terms of the nonuniqueness studies, some scholars believe that a certain stochastic perturbation can provide a regularizing effect of the underlying PDE dynamics. For instance, Flandoli–Luo [14] showed that a noise of transport type prevents a vorticity blow-up in the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. A linear multiplicative noise prevents the blow up of the velocity with high probability for the 3-D Euler system, which was shown by Glatt–Holtz–Vicol [16]. Tang [48] extended the noise of transport type to pseudodifferential/multiplicative noise for stochastic Euler–Poincaré equations. To the best of our knowledge, the stationary solutions of SEP with Ohmic contact boundary have not been explored previously. For our SEP, with better regularity than Euler equations, we could show the existence and uniqueness of invariant measure in more regular space.

To study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to SEP, we first establish the global existence and uniqueness of perturbed solutions around the steady state for the Euler–Poisson equations. Subsequently, we demonstrate the existence of stationary solutions and invariant measure, based on the *a priori* energy estimates and weighted energy estimates.

In the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the random variables $(\bar{\rho}(\omega, x), \bar{J}(\omega, x), \bar{\Phi}(\omega, x))$ are supposed to satisfy the same equations as the steady-states equations

$$\begin{cases} \bar{J} \equiv \text{Constant}, \\ \left(\frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}} + P(\bar{\rho}) \right)_x + \bar{J} = \bar{\rho} \bar{\Phi}_x, \\ \bar{\Phi}_{xx} = \bar{\rho} - b(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

By multiplying $\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}}$ with (1.9), and taking divergence on the resultant equation, we have

$$\left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\rho}_{xx} + \left(\frac{4\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^4} + \frac{P''(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{P'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) |\bar{\rho}_x|^2 + -\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x = \bar{\rho} - b(x). \quad (1.10)$$

We consider the case that $\bar{J}$ is sufficiently small so that the subsonic condition $P'(\bar{\rho}) - \frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} > 0$ holds. The equation of $\bar{\rho}$ (1.10) is uniformly elliptic. Since we consider the small perturbation around the steady state, the solutions ρ and J are subjected to the subsonic condition $P'(\rho) - \frac{J^2}{\rho^2} > 0$. The existence and uniqueness of the system (1.9) with the contact boundary condition was obtained by [36].

Proposition 1.1 ([18]). *Let $b(x) > 0$ in $\bar{U}$, $\bar{J}$ be a small constant, and $P : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be smooth with $P(0) = 0$. Then there exists $(\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi}(x))$, a unique smooth steady-state solution, with the Ohmic contact boundary condition*

$$\bar{\rho}(0) = \rho_1, \quad \bar{\rho}(1) = \rho_2, \quad \bar{\Phi}(0) = \Phi_1, \quad \bar{\Phi}(1) = \Phi_2, \quad (1.11)$$

such that there holds

$$\bar{\rho}(x) > \underline{\rho} > 0, \quad \int_U \bar{\rho}(x) \, dx = \int_U b(x) \, dx, \quad \forall x \in \bar{U}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\underline{\rho}$ is a constant, and $\bar{Q}$ is supposed to satisfy

$$\bar{Q}_x(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\Phi}_x. \quad (1.13)$$

In this paper, we make a clear distinction between stationary solution for the stochastically forced system (1.1) and the steady state for the deterministic system (1.9).

For every $\omega \in \Omega$, $(\bar{\rho}(x, \omega), \bar{J}(\omega), \bar{\Phi}(x, \omega)) = (\bar{\rho}(x), \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi}(x))$ holds. We denote by $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$ for convenience. Hence, the law of steady state is Dirac measure $\delta_{\bar{\rho}} \times \delta_{\bar{J}} \times \delta_{\bar{\Phi}}$, see Appendix A.

Our result is about the existence and asymptotic stability of solutions near the steady state with the Ohmic contact boundary condition. We denote

$$\sigma = \rho - \bar{\rho}, \quad j = J - \bar{J}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\phi = \Phi - \bar{\Phi}, \quad \tilde{e} = \phi_x.$$

We apply Banach's fixed-point theorem and uniform energy estimates to establish the global existence of $(\sigma, j, \tilde{e})$. Furthermore, we demonstrate the weighted energy estimates to achieve the asymptotic stability for steady states with the Ohmic contact boundary conditions. Based on the *a priori* estimates uniformly in t , by Krylov–Bogoliubov's theorem, we imply that the approximating measures will converge to an invariant measure. For this case, the invariant measure for (1.1) precisely coincides with the law of steady state.

We denote $\|\cdot\|$ as the $L^2(U)$ -norm; the $L^\infty(U)$ -norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$; $\|\cdot\|_k$ means the H^k -norm. $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is the law of random variables; see the definition of law in Appendix A. $L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^k(U)))$ is the space in which the $2m$ th moment of the $C([0, T]; H^k(U))$ -norm of random variables is bounded. The following is the statement of our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$ be the smooth steady state of (1.1) in Proposition 1.1. If there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the initial condition (ρ_0, J_0, Φ_0) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J_0 - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|(\Phi_0)_x - \bar{\Phi}_x\|^2 \right)^m \right] \leq \varepsilon^{2m}, \quad (1.15)$$

for any $m \geq 2$, and

$$|\bar{J}| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (\Phi_0)_{xx} = \rho_0 - b(x), \quad (1.16)$$

then in $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, there exists a unique strong global-in-time solution of (1.1): ρ, J are in $L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^2([0, 1])))$, $\Phi \in L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^4([0, 1])))$ up to a modification. Moreover, there hold the asymptotic stability and the existence of invariant measure:

(1) There are positive constants C and ζ such that the expectation

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left(\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|\Phi_x - \bar{\Phi}_x\|^2 \right) \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq C e^{-\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J_0 - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|(\Phi_0)_x - \bar{\Phi}_x\|^2 \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

holds, where C is independent of t , and C is the m th power of some constant.

(2) The invariant measure generated by $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho) \times \mathcal{L}(J) \times \mathcal{L}(\Phi) dt$ is exactly the Dirac measure of steady state $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$.

Remark 1.1. After $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.1), the stationary solution coincides with the steady state $\mathbb{P}$ a.s., on account that the m th moment of their difference tends to zero.

Remark 1.2. For

$$\left(\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J_0 - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E_0 - \bar{E}\|^2 \right) \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad (1.18)$$

recalling $E = \Phi_x$, we have the $\mathbb{P}$ a.s asymptotic stability for some constant $\tilde{C}$:

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left(\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E - \bar{E}\|^2 \right) \leq 2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2. \quad (1.19)$$

In fact, by Chebyshev's inequality (see Appendix A), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E - \bar{E}\|^2 > 2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2 \right\} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E - \bar{E}\|^2 \right|^m \right]}{(2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2)^m} \\ & \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \left(\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J_0 - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E_0 - \bar{E}\|^2 \right)^m \right]}{(2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2)^m} = \frac{1}{2^m}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Sending $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E - \bar{E}\|^2 > 2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2 \right\} \right] \rightarrow 0,$$

which means that

$$\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_2^2 + \|J - \bar{J}\|_2^2 + \|E - \bar{E}\|^2 \leq 2\tilde{C}e^{-\alpha t} \varepsilon^2 \text{ holds } \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s. for every } s \in [0, t].$$

The challenges and their corresponding strategies are analyzed as follows.

- (1) **The a priori estimates with the contact boundary condition.** For the contact boundary condition, the second-order estimates of perturbed solutions involve the boundary of $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xx}$, which cannot be directly dealt by the contact boundary condition as presented in previous works such as [18, 41]. We solve this problem by substituting $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xx}$ with its formula in the first-order derivative of the system. Subsequently, we focus on estimating other lower-order derivatives in the first-order derivative of the system. Further, for the second-order estimates, the symmetrizing matrix in the first-order estimates is not applicable. We use another symmetrizing matrix to multiply the system, enabling us to handle the integral of $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xxx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xx}$. For the zeroth-order estimates, due to the Ohmic boundary conditions, we use the method of error analysis compared to the symmetrizing method for the insulating boundary case.
- (2) **No temporal solutions due to the stochastic term.** Since the Wiener process is at most Höder- $\frac{1}{2}$ -continuous with respect to t and nowhere differentiable, we lack $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}$ or $\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t}$. The temporal derivatives are not involved in the norm of solutions. Therefore, the estimates bounded by the norm of the temporal derivatives, which are applicable in the deterministic cases [18, 41], do not hold in this case. As a result, different energy estimates are necessary in this paper. For instance, for the second-order estimates, we use another symmetrizing matrix

to multiply the system, enabling us to handle the integral of $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xxx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{xx}$ compared to the first-order estimates.

(3) **Weighted energy estimates on account of the estimates of the stochastic integral.**

In the deterministic case, it is common practice to multiply the ordinary differential equation of solutions by an exponential function of t to facilitate stability analysis. However, in this paper, the *a priori* estimates are already in the form of time integrals rather than a differential inequality, owing to Burkholder–Davis–Gundy’s inequality. Consequently, direct acquisition of asymptotic stability becomes challenging. To overcome this obstacle, we employ the weighted energy estimates. Furthermore, besides the role of ensuring the global existence, the *a priori* estimates play a crucial role in the weighted energy estimates.

The paper is structured as follows. In §2, we demonstrate the *a priori* estimates up to second order. In §3, we establish the global existence of solutions around the steady state. In §4, we investigate the asymptotic stability of solutions. §5 is about the global existence of invariant measures. §6 is the Appendix, in which we outline some stochastic analysis theories utilized in this study.

2 | THE A PRIORI ESTIMATES UP TO SECOND ORDER

In terms of $(\sigma, j, \tilde{e})$, the perturbed Euler–Poisson system becomes

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_t + j_x = 0, \\ d j + \left(\left(\frac{(j+j)^2}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma} - \frac{\bar{j}^2}{\bar{\rho}} + P(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - P(\bar{\rho}) \right)_x + j - \bar{\rho}\tilde{e} - \sigma\bar{\Phi}_x \right) dt = \sigma\tilde{e} dt + \mathbb{F} dW, \\ \tilde{e}_x = \sigma. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The compatible conditions are $\rho_1|_{t=0} = \bar{\rho}(0)$, $\rho_2|_{t=0} = \bar{\rho}(1)$. Since $\sigma_t + j_x = 0$, the boundary conditions for the triple of perturbations $(\sigma, \mathbf{u}, \phi)$ are

$$\sigma(t, 0) \equiv \sigma(t, 1) \equiv j_x(t, 0) \equiv j_x(t, 1) \equiv \phi(t, 0) \equiv \phi(t, 1) \equiv 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, from $(\tilde{e}_t + j)_x = \sigma_t + j_x = 0$, as in [18], we still have

$$\tilde{e}_t = -j + \int_0^1 j dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Denoting $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ j \end{bmatrix}$, we write the system as

$$d\mathbf{w} + (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + \mathcal{B}\mathbf{w} + \mathcal{C})dt = \mathcal{N}dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}dW \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\left(\frac{j+j}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma}\right)^2 + P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) & 2\frac{j+j}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \bar{\rho}_x + P''(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho}_x - \bar{E} & -\frac{2\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\bar{\rho} \tilde{e} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\tilde{e}|^2 + |\bar{J}||\mathbf{w}|) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

Under the assumption that

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2(t) + \|\tilde{e}\|^2 \quad (2.9)$$

is small, we obtain the *a priori* estimates. Motivated by [18], we perform the zeroth-order estimates through error analysis. Furthermore, the symmetrizing matrix for the second-order derivative of the system differs from that for the first-order, serving different purposes. In the subsequent subsections, we provide the *a priori* estimates up to second order.

2.1 | Zeroth-order estimates on $\mathbf{w}$

We calculate $d\left(\frac{J^2}{2\rho}\right)$ by Itô's formula (see Appendix A), taking $X = \frac{J}{2\rho}$, $Y = J$ in (A.20),

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{J^2}{2\rho}\right) &= J d\left(\frac{J}{2\rho}\right) + \frac{J}{2\rho} dJ + \langle d\frac{J}{2\rho}, dJ \rangle \\ &= \frac{J}{\rho} dJ + \frac{-J^2}{2\rho^2} d\rho + \frac{1}{2\rho} \langle dJ, dJ \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$= \frac{J}{\rho} \left(-\left(\frac{J^2}{\rho} + P(\rho)\right)_x - J + \rho \Phi_x \right) dt + \frac{J^2}{2\rho^2} J_x dt + \frac{J}{\rho} \mathbb{F} dW + \frac{1}{2\rho} |\mathbb{F}|^2 dt, \quad (2.11)$$

in which we used the property of cross quadratic variations $\langle d\rho, dJ \rangle = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2\rho} \langle dJ, dJ \rangle = \frac{1}{2\rho} |\mathbb{F}|^2 dt$. We calculate

$$\frac{J}{\rho} \left(-\frac{J^2}{\rho} \right)_x = \frac{J^3}{\rho^3} \rho_x - 2 \frac{J^2}{\rho^2} J_x. \quad (2.12)$$

Setting $G''(\rho) = \frac{P'(\rho)}{\rho}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\rho)_x \frac{J}{\rho} &= P'(\rho) \rho_x \frac{J}{\rho} = \frac{P'(\rho)}{\rho} \rho_x J = (G'(\rho))_x J \\ &= -G'(\rho) J_x + (G'(\rho) J)_x = G(\rho)_t + (G'(\rho) J)_x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since $\tilde{e}_t = -j + \int_0^1 j dx$ and $\bar{J}$ being a constant, there holds

$$\tilde{e}_t = -J + \int_0^1 J dx, \quad (2.14)$$

$$J = -\tilde{e}_t + \int_0^1 J \, dx. \quad (2.15)$$

Together with $\tilde{e} = \Phi_x - \bar{\Phi}_x = \phi_x$, it holds that

$$\frac{J}{\rho} \Phi_x = J \Phi_x = \Phi_x \left(-E_t + \int_0^1 J \, dx \right) = -\Phi_x \Phi_{xt} + \Phi_x \int_0^1 J \, dx. \quad (2.16)$$

Combining the above terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d \left(\frac{J^2}{2\rho} + G(\rho) + \frac{\Phi_x^2}{2} \right) + \left(\left(\frac{J^3}{2\rho^2} + G'(\rho)J \right)_x - \Phi_x \int_0^1 J \, dx + \frac{J^2}{\rho} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{J\mathbb{F}}{\rho} \, dW + \frac{1}{2\rho} |\mathbb{F}|^2 \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

We denote Equation (2.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\rho, J, \Phi_x) &= d \left(\frac{J^2}{2\rho} + G(\rho) + \frac{\Phi_x^2}{2} \right) + \left(\left(\frac{J^3}{2\rho^2} + G'(\rho)J \right)_x - \Phi_x \int_0^1 J \, dx + \frac{J^2}{\rho} \right) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{J\mathbb{F}}{\rho} \, dW - \frac{1}{2\rho} |\mathbb{F}|^2 \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From the process of deriving the expression of $\mathcal{G}$, we notice that

$$\mathcal{G}(\rho, J, \Phi_x) = \mathcal{F}(\rho, J, \Phi_x) \frac{J}{\rho}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\rho, J, \Phi_x) &= dJ + \frac{-J}{2\rho} \, d\rho - \left(- \left(\frac{J^2}{\rho} + P(\rho) \right)_x - J + \rho \Phi_x \right) dt - \mathbb{F} \, dW - \frac{J}{2\rho} J_x \, dt \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi}_x) = -\mathbb{F}(\bar{J}) \, dW. \quad (2.21)$$

Let $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \bar{\mathbf{w}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{w}$ be the solution of the continuity equation, where $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$. It also holds

$$\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) = \mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) \frac{\bar{J} + \varepsilon j}{\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \sigma}, \quad (2.22)$$

since we just use the mass equation and Poisson equation to do the substitution in the above deformative equalities. We denote

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) = \frac{(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho)} + G(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho) + \frac{(\bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x)^2}{2}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)^3}{2(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho)^2} + G'(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho)(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) = \left(-(\bar{\Phi} + \varepsilon \phi)_x \int_0^1 (\bar{J} + \varepsilon j) dx + \frac{(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)^2}{\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho} \right). \quad (2.25)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x) &= d\mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi} + \varepsilon \phi) + \mathcal{D}_x(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) dt + \mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho)} |\mathbb{F}(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)|^2 dt - \frac{(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)\mathbb{F}(\bar{J} + \varepsilon j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho)} dW, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \\ &= d\mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) + \mathcal{D}_x(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) dt + \mathcal{R}_x(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) dt - \frac{1}{2\bar{\rho}} |\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2 dt - \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} dW. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

By Taylor's expansion, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}(\bar{\mathbf{w}} + \mathbf{w}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) + \mathcal{G}'_\varepsilon(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}''_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} + O(|\mathbf{w}|^3 + |\phi_x|^3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

There holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\left. \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} (\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x)) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \left. \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \left(\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \varepsilon \phi_x) \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \mathcal{F}''(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{w} \quad \phi_x) \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}'(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \left(\frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{j\sigma}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) + \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) O(|\mathbf{w}|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

By Taylor's expansion, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathcal{F}'(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}} + \mathbf{w}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x) - \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) - \mathcal{F}'(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} \quad \phi_x) \mathcal{F}''(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where $(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x)$ lies between $(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x)$ and $(\bar{\mathbf{w}} + \mathbf{w}, \bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x)$. The error terms

$$\left| \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}''(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) [\mathbf{w} \quad \phi_x] \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} dx \right| \leq C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^4 \leq C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}'(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \left(\frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{\bar{J}\sigma}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \right| \\
&= \left| \left(\mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{w} \quad \phi_x] \mathcal{F}''(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{\bar{J}\sigma}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \right| = O(|\mathbf{w}|^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\left| O(|\mathbf{w}|^2) \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\Phi}_x) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \phi_x \end{bmatrix} \right| = O(|\mathbf{w}|^4) \, dW, \tag{2.33}$$

are small for $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2$ small enough. We calculate term by term:

$$d \left(\frac{(\bar{J} + j)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)} - \left(\frac{\bar{J}^2}{2\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} j - \frac{\bar{J}^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} \sigma \right) \right) = d \left(\frac{(\bar{\rho} j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} \right), \tag{2.34}$$

$$d \left(\frac{(\bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x)^2}{2} - \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}_x^2}{2} - \bar{\Phi}_x \phi_x \right) \right) = d \left(\frac{\phi_x^2}{2} \right), \tag{2.35}$$

$$\left(\frac{(\bar{J} + j)^3}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} - \left(\frac{\bar{J}^3}{2(\bar{\rho})^2} - \frac{\bar{J}^3}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma + \frac{3\bar{J}^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} j \right) \right)_x \tag{2.36}$$

$$= \left(\frac{3\bar{J}j^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} - \frac{3\bar{J}^2 j \sigma}{\bar{\rho}^3} + \frac{3\bar{J}^2 \sigma^2}{\bar{\rho}^4} + O((|j| + |\sigma|)^3) \right)_x,$$

$$G'(\rho)J - G'(\bar{\rho})\bar{J} - G''(\bar{\rho})\bar{J}\sigma - G'(\bar{\rho})j = O(|\bar{J}||\mathbf{w}|^2), \tag{2.37}$$

$$(\bar{\Phi}_x + \phi_x) \int_0^1 (\bar{J} + j) \, dx - (\bar{\Phi}_x) \int_0^1 \bar{J} \, dx - \phi_x \int_0^1 \bar{J} \, dx - \bar{\Phi}_x \int_0^1 j \, dx \tag{2.38}$$

$$= 2\phi_x \int_0^1 j \, dx |\phi_x|^2 + o(|\phi_x|^3) = O(|\phi_x|^3) = O(|\bar{\epsilon}|^3),$$

$$\frac{J\mathbb{F} \, dW}{\rho} - \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J}) \, dW}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{j\mathbb{F}(\bar{J}) \, dW}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{\bar{J}j\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) \, dW}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\sigma \, dW}{\bar{\rho}^2} \tag{2.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 \, dW - 2 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^3} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \, dW - \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dW \\
&+ O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3) \, dW),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\rho} |\mathbb{F}|^2 \, dt - \frac{1}{2\bar{\rho}} |\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2 \, dt - \frac{\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} j \, dt - \left((-1) \frac{|\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \sigma \, dt \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})^2 + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{2\bar{\rho}} j^2 \, dt - \frac{\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dt + 2 \frac{|\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 \, dt \\
&+ O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3) |\bar{J}|) \, dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Combining the above terms and integrating over x , noticing that the two ways to describe the errors should be equivalent, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} + G(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - G(\bar{\rho}) - G'(\bar{\rho})\sigma + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}^2}{2} \right) dx \\
 & + \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} dx dt + \left(\frac{3\bar{J}j^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} + O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \right) \Big|_0^1 dt + O(|\bar{J}|\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) dt \\
 & + \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})^2 + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{2\bar{\rho}} j^2 dx dt - \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j\sigma dx dt \\
 & - \int_0^1 2 \frac{|\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 dx dt + \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)|\bar{J}|) dx dt + O(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3) dt \\
 & + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 dx dW + \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 dx dW \\
 & + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j\sigma dW + \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) dx dW = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

We estimate the stochastic integral $\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 dx dW$ by Burkholder–Davis–Gundy’s inequality (see Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 dx dW \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |\bar{J}|^2 \|j\|_2^4 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq \vartheta_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + C_{\vartheta_1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where C is a m th power of some constant. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 dx dW \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + C_{\vartheta_2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J} \mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + C_{\vartheta_2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \vartheta_3 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \right)^m \right] + C_{\vartheta_3} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

where ϑ_3 is sufficiently small such that $\vartheta_3 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3$ can be controlled by the error term in the left side. In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} + G(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - G(\bar{\rho}) - G'(\bar{\rho})\sigma + \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} \right) (s) \, dx \right|^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{3\bar{J}j^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} + O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \right) \, ds \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t O(|\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)|\bar{J}|) \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \vartheta_1 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 + \vartheta_2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \vartheta_1 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 + \vartheta_2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right|^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

where C is a m th power of some constant. Since $G''(\rho) > 0$, G is strictly convex, the steady state is smooth, so the first integral above is at least $\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(2\zeta_1 \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) \, dx \right) \, ds \right|^m \right]$ for small enough $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2$ and $|\bar{J}|$. ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 are small enough such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \vartheta_1 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 + \vartheta_2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right|^m \right] \quad (2.47)$$

is bounded by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^s d_\tau \left(\zeta_1 \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx \right) \right|^m \right]. \quad (2.48)$$

Therefore, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \zeta_1 \int_0^t d \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\rho} j - \bar{J} \sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) \bar{\rho}^2} dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t O(|\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3) |\bar{J}|) dx ds \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

where C is a m th power of some constant. Additionally, the estimate for the dissipation in terms of $\int_0^t \int_0^1 (\sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx ds$ is needed. We divide the momentum equation by $\bar{\rho}$, and use the steady-state equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dj}{\bar{\rho}} + \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} (P(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - P(\bar{\rho}))_x - \tilde{e} - \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\rho}} \Phi_x - \frac{\sigma \tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} \right) dt \\ & = \left(-\frac{2(\bar{J} + j)}{\bar{\rho}(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)} j_x + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \left(\left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right) \bar{\rho}_x + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 \sigma_x \right) dt + \frac{\mathbb{F}}{\bar{\rho}} dW. \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

As in [18], we have

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} (P(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - P(\bar{\rho}))_x = \left(\frac{P'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}} \sigma \right)_x + \frac{\bar{\rho}_x}{\bar{\rho}^2} P'(\bar{\rho}) \sigma + (O(\sigma^2))_x + O(\sigma^2). \quad (2.51)$$

By (1.9), it holds

$$\Phi_x = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \left(\frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}} \right)_x + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} (P(\bar{\rho}))_x + \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}}, \quad (2.52)$$

and

$$-\frac{\sigma \Phi_x}{\bar{\rho}} = -\frac{P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho}_x \sigma}{\bar{\rho}^2} + O(\bar{J}) \sigma. \quad (2.53)$$

Combining the terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{P'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}} \sigma \right)_x dt + \tilde{e} dt \\ & = \frac{dj + j dt + \mathbb{F} dW}{\bar{\rho}} + O(\bar{J})(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|) dt + O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

By Itô's formula, we calculate

$$d\left(\frac{j\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}}\right) = \frac{(dj)\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{j(d\tilde{e})}{\bar{\rho}}, \quad (2.55)$$

due to $\langle d\tilde{e}, dj \rangle = 0$. We multiple (2.54) with $\tilde{e}$, and then integrate it over x . Since $\tilde{e}_x = \sigma = 0$ at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{P'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{e}_x^2 dx dt + \int_0^1 \tilde{e}^2 dx dt \\ &= d\left(\int_0^1 \frac{j\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} dx\right) + \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt - \int_0^1 j dx \int_0^1 \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{j\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} dx dW + \int_0^1 O(|\tilde{J}|\tilde{e}(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|)) dx dt + \int_0^1 O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + \tilde{e}^2)\tilde{e} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

We deal with the right-hand side by Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^1 j dx \int_0^1 \frac{j}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{j\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{\bar{\rho}} dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} dx dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 j^2 dx dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

where C depends on $\bar{\rho}$. For the stochastic term, we estimate it as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}\tilde{e}}{\bar{\rho}} dx dW\right|^m\right] \leq C\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t |\tilde{J}|\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds\right|^m\right] + C\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds\right|^m\right], \quad (2.58)$$

where C is the m th power of some constant. Multiplying (2.56) with some small constant, adding (2.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2)(t) dx + \zeta_2 \int_0^t \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx ds\right|^m\right] \\ & \leq C_1^m \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t |\tilde{J}|\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\tilde{J}|\tilde{e}(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|) dx ds\right|^m\right] \\ & + C_1^m \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + \tilde{e}^2)\tilde{e} dx ds\right|^m\right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|C_2 \int_0^t |\tilde{J}|\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds\right|^m\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|C_2 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds\right|^m\right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

where ζ_2 , C_1 , and C_2 are positive constants.

2.2 | First-order estimates on $\mathbf{w}$

Inspired by [18], we choose the approximately symmetrizing matrix:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.60)$$

where $s = \left\{ -\frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} + P'(\bar{\rho}) \right\} r$. r satisfies

$$\left\{ \frac{\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} - P'(\bar{\rho}) \right\} r_x + \left\{ \frac{3\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \bar{\rho}_x + P''(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho}_x - \frac{P'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\rho}_x - \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right\} r = 0. \quad (2.61)$$

We multiply (2.4) on the left by D to obtain

$$d(D\mathbf{w}) + (\bar{A}\mathbf{w}_x + \bar{B}\mathbf{w} + \bar{C}) dt = \bar{\mathcal{N}} dt + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.62)$$

$\bar{A} = DA$, $\bar{B} = DB$, $\bar{C} = DC$, $\bar{\mathcal{N}} = D\mathcal{N}$. Differentiating (3.9) with respect to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d(D\mathbf{w}_x) - (D_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N})) dt + (\bar{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx} + (\bar{A}_x + \bar{B})\mathbf{w}_x + \bar{B}_x\mathbf{w} + \bar{C}_x) dt \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{N}}_x dt + D_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

By Itô's formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & d\left(D \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{2}\right) = D d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x + \frac{r^{-1}|r\mathbb{F}_x + r_x\mathbb{F}|^2}{2} dt \\ &= \mathbf{w}_x D_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) dt - \bar{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}\mathbf{w}_x dt - (\bar{A}_x + \bar{B})\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dt \\ &\quad - \bar{B}_x\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}_x dt - \bar{C}_x\mathbf{w}_x dt + \bar{\mathcal{N}}_x\mathbf{w}_x dt + r_x\mathbb{F}j_x dW + r\mathbb{F}_xj_x dW + \frac{r^{-1}|r\mathbb{F}_x + r_x\mathbb{F}|^2}{2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

As in [18], by separating $\bar{A}$ into symmetric matrix and an antisymmetric matrix, we have

$$\int_0^1 \bar{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx = \int_0^1 O\left((|\mathbf{w}| + |\sigma_x| + |j_x|)^3\right) dx. \quad (2.65)$$

Now we collect all the terms containing $\mathbf{w}_x^2$:

$$\int_0^1 \left(-D_x\mathcal{A} + \bar{A}_x + \bar{B} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}_x \right) \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx = \int_0^1 Q\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx, \quad (2.66)$$

where $Q = \frac{1}{2}D\mathcal{A}_x - \frac{1}{2}D_x\mathcal{A} + DB = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. r is chosen such that

$$|q_{12} + q_{21}| = O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|), \quad (2.67)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}r \left\{ 2 \frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right\}_x - \frac{1}{2}r_x \left(2 \frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right) + r \left(1 - 2 \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x \right) \\
 &= r - \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} r_x - 3 \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x r + O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

Equation (2.61) admits a positive solution $r(x)$. Thus, for small $\bar{J}$, there is a constant $\zeta_3 > 0$, such that

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \geq \zeta_3 j_x^2 + O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|) |\mathbf{w}_x|^2. \tag{2.69}$$

For the terms containing $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x$, we estimate

$$(-D_x \mathcal{B} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_x) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x = D\mathcal{B}_x \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x = O(|\mathbf{w}| |\mathbf{w}_x|) \leq \vartheta_3 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + C_{\vartheta_3} |\mathbf{w}|^2, \tag{2.70}$$

where ϑ_3 is small enough, balanced by the good term $\zeta_4 j_x^2$ and $\zeta_4 \sigma_x^2$ in the later estimates. For terms concerning $\mathbf{w}_x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-D_x \mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \, dt &= D\mathcal{C}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \, dt = -r(\bar{\rho}\bar{e})_x j_x \, dt = r(\bar{\rho}\sigma + \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e}) \sigma_t \, dt \\
 &= d \left(\frac{1}{2} r \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma \right) - r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e}_t \sigma \, dt = d \left(\frac{1}{2} r \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma \right) + O(\sigma^2 + j^2) \, dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x \mathbf{w}_x = O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\bar{e}|) (|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2). \tag{2.72}$$

We estimate

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r^{-1} |r \mathbb{F}_x + r_x \mathbb{F}|^2}{2} \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2)^2 \, dx \, dt, \tag{2.73}$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r^{-1} |r_x \mathbb{F}|^2}{2} \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^3 \, dx \, dt. \tag{2.74}$$

For the stochastic term, with the assumption that $|\bar{J}|^3 \leq \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^2]$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F} j_x \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F} j_x \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r_x |\bar{J} + j|^2 j_x \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r_x (\bar{J}^2 + 2\bar{J}j + j^2) j_x \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_4}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_{\vartheta_4} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^3 \, ds \right)^m \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.75}$$

where ϑ_4 is taken that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\vartheta_4 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j|^2 dx \right)^m \right]$ can be balanced by the zeroth-order estimates and $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_4}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j_x|^2 dx \right)^m \right]$ can be balanced by the left-hand side of this first-order estimates. Combining the above terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2)(s) dx + \zeta_5 \int_0^t \int_0^1 j_x^2 dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma \right) dx + C_3 \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + (|\mathbf{w}_x| + |\mathbf{w}| + |\bar{e}|)^3 \right) dx ds \right|^m \right] \quad (2.76) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma \right) dx + C_4 \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds + C_4 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we give the estimate of $\int_0^t \int_0^1 \sigma_x^2 dx ds$ by multiplying (3.10) with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix}$. By Itô's formula, we know

$$D d \left(\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right) = D d \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} + D \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_x \end{bmatrix} dt. \quad (2.77)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -D d \left(\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right) + D \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_x \end{bmatrix} dt + (D_x (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{w}_x + \mathcal{B} \mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N})) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} dt \\ & - (\bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} + (\bar{\mathcal{A}}_x + \bar{\mathcal{B}}) \mathbf{w}_x + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_x \mathbf{w} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} dt \quad (2.78) \\ & = - \left(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_x dt + D_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term in the left-hand side in (2.78) holds

$$-D d \left(\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right) = -d(r j_x \sigma), \quad (2.79)$$

and the second term in the left-hand side in (2.78) holds

$$D \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_x \end{bmatrix} dt = -r j_x^2 dt. \quad (2.80)$$

We consider the stochastic term. For $D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} = r \mathbb{F}_x \sigma dW$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 r \mathbb{F}_x \sigma dx dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r \mathbb{F}_x \sigma dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \quad (2.81) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\vartheta_4}{4} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] + C_{\vartheta_4} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of $\mathcal{D}_x \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is obtained similarly and upper bounded by the same quantity as the above formula. By substitution, the key term holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - \bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\ &= - \left(\bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right)_x \, dt + \bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\ &= - \left(\bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right)_x \, dt + \left(- \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 + P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) \right) r \sigma_x^2 \, dt + 2 \frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} r j_x \sigma_x \, dt \\ &\quad + \left(\left(- \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 + P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) \right) r \right)_x \sigma_x \sigma \, dt + 2 \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} r \right)_x j_x \sigma \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.82)$$

We integrate the above formula in $[0,1]$ with respect to x . Then, the first term holds

$$- \int_0^1 \left(\bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \right)_x \, dx \, dt = 0; \quad (2.83)$$

the second term is at least $\zeta_4 \sigma_x^2$; the other three terms are bounded by

$$C(\bar{J} + j) \mathbf{w}_x^2 + C |\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}| \leq C(\bar{J} + j) \mathbf{w}_x^2 + \vartheta_5 \mathbf{w}_x^2 + C_{\vartheta_5} \mathbf{w}^2, \quad \vartheta_5 \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\zeta_5}{4}, \frac{\zeta_4}{4} \right\}. \quad (2.84)$$

To summarize, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \zeta_5 \sigma_x^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 C(\bar{J} + j) \mathbf{w}_x^2 + C_{\vartheta_5} \mathbf{w}^2 + C |j_x|^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] + \vartheta_4^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C_{\vartheta_4} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right] + \vartheta_5^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_x^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 r j_x \sigma \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ &\leq \vartheta_4^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 C_{\vartheta_5} \mathbf{w}^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.85)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \vartheta_5^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_x^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C_{\vartheta_4} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 r j_x \sigma \, dx \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above formula with some small constants such that the coefficient constant is less than $\frac{\zeta_5}{4}$, $\zeta_6 = \min \left\{ \frac{\zeta_5}{2}, \frac{\zeta_4}{2} \right\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2)(t) \, dx \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \zeta_6 \int_0^t \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2) \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma - r j_x \sigma \right) \, dx \right|^m \right] + \vartheta_4^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] \\
 & + \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C_4 \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds + C_4 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 C_{\vartheta_5} \mathbf{w}^2 \, dx \, dt \right|^m \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.86}$$

Together with the zeroth-order estimates (2.59), since $\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \sigma^2 + r \bar{\rho}_x \bar{e} \sigma - r j_x \sigma \right) \, dx \right| \leq \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \vartheta_6 \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} C_{\vartheta_6} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2)(s) \, dx \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \zeta_7 \int_0^t \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2) \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 (j_x^2 + \sigma_x^2)(0) \, dx \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_0|^2 \, dx \right|^m \right] \\
 & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C_5 \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds + C_5 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.87}$$

2.3 | Second-order estimates on w

For the second-order estimates, we use a different symmetrizing matrix, which involves t . Let $\tilde{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{r} \end{bmatrix}$, $\tilde{s} = \left(P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - \frac{(\bar{J} + j)^2}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \right) \tilde{r}$, where $\tilde{r}$ is determined later. Then, the system becomes

$$\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w} + \tilde{D}(A\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C) \, dt = \tilde{D}\mathcal{N} \, dt + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.88}$$

Differentiating (2.88) with respect to x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{D}_x d\mathbf{w} + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xx} + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}})\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x) dt \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x dt + \tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.89)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{D}\mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{D}\mathcal{B}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{D}\mathcal{C}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \tilde{D}\mathcal{N}$. Differentiating (2.89) with respect to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + 2\tilde{D}_x d\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{D}_{xx} d\mathbf{w} \\ &+ (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xxx} + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x)\mathbf{w}_x + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}})\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{xx}\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{xx}) dt \\ &= N_{xx} dt + \tilde{D}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + 2\tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{xx} dW \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.90)$$

Substituting (2.88) and (2.89) into (2.90), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{D}_x (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + \mathcal{B}\mathbf{w} + \mathcal{C}) dt - 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{D}_x \left(\mathcal{N} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &- 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xx} dt - 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} ((\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}})\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x) dt + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x dt \\ &+ 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \left(\tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \tilde{D}_{xx} (-\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x - \mathcal{B}\mathbf{w} - \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{N}) dt + \tilde{D}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xxx} + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x)\mathbf{w}_x + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}})\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{xx}\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{xx}) dt \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{xx} dt + \tilde{D}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + 2\tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{xx} dW \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.91)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx}) &= \mathbf{w}_{xx} d\tilde{D} + \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \langle d\tilde{D}, d\mathbf{w}_{xx} \rangle \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (d\tilde{\mathcal{J}})\sigma_{xx} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \frac{-2(\tilde{J} + j)}{(\tilde{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \mathbb{F} \mathbb{F}_x dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.92)$$

by Itô's formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx}) &= \mathbf{w}_{xx} d(\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx}) + 2\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \langle d(\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx}), d\mathbf{w}_{xx} \rangle \\ &= d\tilde{D}|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + 2\mathbf{w}_{xx} \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \frac{-2(\tilde{J} + j)}{(\tilde{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbb{F}_x dt + \langle d(\tilde{D}\mathbf{w}_{xx}), d\mathbf{w}_{xx} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d\tilde{D}|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + 2\mathbf{w}_{xx}\tilde{D}d\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \frac{-2(\bar{J}+j)}{(\bar{\rho}+\sigma)^2}\mathbb{F}\cdot\mathbb{F}_x dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{r}^{-1}\langle 2\tilde{r}_x\tilde{r}^{-1}(\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F} + \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_x)dW - 2\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F}_x dW - \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_{xx}dW \rangle \\
&= d\tilde{D}|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\mathbf{w}_{xx}\left(-2\tilde{D}_x\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{D}_x(A\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C)dt + 2\tilde{D}_x\tilde{D}^{-1}\left(\tilde{D}_x\mathcal{N}dt + \tilde{D}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}dW \end{bmatrix}\right)\right. \\
&\quad + 2\tilde{D}_x\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}dt + 2\tilde{D}_x\tilde{D}^{-1}((\tilde{A}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{B}_x\mathbf{w} + \tilde{C}_x)dt - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_xdt \\
&\quad - 2\tilde{r}_x\tilde{r}^{-1}(\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F}dW + \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_xdW) - \tilde{D}_{xx}(-A\mathbf{w}_x - B\mathbf{w} - C + \mathcal{N})dt \\
&\quad - (\tilde{A}_x\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xxx} + (\tilde{A}_{xx} + \tilde{B}_x)\mathbf{w}_x + (\tilde{A}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{B}_{xx}\mathbf{w} + \tilde{B}_x\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{C}_{xx})dt \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{xx}dt + \tilde{r}_{xx}\mathbb{F}dW + 2\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F}_xdW + 2\tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_{xx}dW\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{-2(\bar{J}+j)}{(\bar{\rho}+\sigma)^2}\mathbb{F}\cdot\mathbb{F}_x dt + \tilde{r}^{-1}\langle 2\tilde{r}_x\tilde{r}^{-1}(\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F} + \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_x)dW - 2\tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F}_xdW - \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_{xx}dW \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.93}$$

To estimate the terms in form of $\int_0^1(\cdot)|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx$ suffices to consider $\int_0^1\mathbf{w}_{xx}\tilde{Q}\mathbf{w}_{xx}dx$, where $\tilde{Q} = -4\tilde{D}_x\tilde{D}_{-1}\tilde{A} + 4\tilde{A}_x + 2\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}_{xx}$. Actually, we give the reasons as the followings. The identity

$$\int_0^1\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}_x\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xxx}\right)\mathbf{w}_{xx}dx = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}\cdot\mathbf{w}_{xx}\Big|_0^1, \tag{2.94}$$

holds because

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{D}A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tilde{s} \\ \tilde{s} & \tilde{r}\frac{2(\bar{J}+j)}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma} \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.95}$$

is symmetric. We claim that

$$\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}\cdot\mathbf{w}_{xx}dt\Big|_0^1 = 0. \tag{2.96}$$

Actually, we use two different ways to represent $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}\cdot\mathbf{w}_{xx}\Big|_0^1$. We directly calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}\cdot\mathbf{w}_{xx}\Big|_0^1 &= 2\tilde{s}\sigma_{xx}j_{xx}\Big|_0^1 + \tilde{r}\frac{2(\bar{J}+j)}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma}j_{xx}^2\Big|_0^1 \\
&= 2\tilde{s}\sigma_{xx}j_{xx}(1) - 2\tilde{s}\sigma_{xx}j_{xx}(0) + \tilde{r}\frac{2(\bar{J}+j)}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma}j_{xx}^2(1) - \tilde{r}\frac{2(\bar{J}+j)}{\bar{\rho}+\sigma}j_{xx}^2(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.97}$$

On the one hand, from the system (2.90), there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{A}\mathbf{w}_{xx}dt\Big|_0^1 \\
&= -\tilde{D}d\mathbf{w}_x\Big|_0^1 + (\tilde{D}_x(A\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{A}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x)dt\Big|_0^1 \\
&\quad + \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x\mathbb{F}dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}\mathbb{F}_xdW \end{bmatrix}\right)\Big|_0^1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.98}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= \left(\tilde{D}_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x \right) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 & \quad - \tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1 + \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \right) \Big|_0^1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.99}$$

We deal with the right-hand side in the above formula term by term. Fortunately, since $d j_x \Big|_0^1 = d \sigma_t \Big|_0^1 = 0$, we have

$$-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1 = -\tilde{s} \, d\sigma_x \sigma_{xx} \Big|_0^1 - \tilde{r} \, d j_x j_{xx} \Big|_0^1 = -\tilde{s} \, d\sigma_x \sigma_{xx} \Big|_0^1 = \tilde{s} j_{xx} \sigma_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1. \tag{2.100}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\tilde{D}_x \mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x \mathbf{w}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 = -\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= -\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x) \Big|_0^1 \\
 & \quad - \tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 & \quad - \tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \Big|_0^1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.101}$$

By direct calculation, since $d j_x \Big|_0^1 = d \sigma_t \Big|_0^1 = 0$, we have

$$-\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x) \Big|_0^1 = 0. \tag{2.102}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= \tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot (\mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 + \tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \tilde{B} \mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 & \quad + \tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.103}$$

$$\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot (\mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \tag{2.104}$$

$$\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot (\mathcal{A}^{-1} \tilde{B} \mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \tag{2.105}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= -\tilde{D} \mathcal{A}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x B\mathbf{w} - \tilde{D} C_x + \tilde{D} \mathcal{N}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.106}$$

Similarly, we have

$$-\tilde{D}\mathcal{A}_x\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix}\right)\Big|_0^1 = 0. \quad (2.107)$$

Therefore, we have

$$(\tilde{D}_x\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x\mathbf{w}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0. \quad (2.108)$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} - \tilde{D}B\mathbf{w}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 - \tilde{D}B\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1 = \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.109)$$

since $j_x|_0^1 = 0$. Similarly, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\ &= -\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\ & \quad - \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\ & \quad - \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix}\right)\Big|_0^1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.110)$$

Since $j_x|_0^1 = 0$, we have

$$-\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.111)$$

$$-\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x\mathbf{w}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.112)$$

$$-\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\tilde{B}\mathbf{w}_x \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.113)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 \\ &= -\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} - \tilde{D}C_x + \tilde{D}\mathcal{N}_x) \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.114)$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$-\int_0^t \tilde{D}_xB\mathbf{w} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix}\right)\Big|_0^1 = 0. \quad (2.115)$$

Hence, we have

$$(\tilde{D}_x B \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.116)$$

$$(\tilde{D}_x C - \tilde{C}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.117)$$

$$(-\tilde{D}_x \mathcal{N} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \quad (2.118)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \right) \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} (\tilde{D}_x B \mathbf{w} - \tilde{D} C_x + \tilde{D} \mathcal{N}_x) \Big|_0^1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.119)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tilde{s} \sigma_{xx} j_{xx} dt \Big|_0^1 + \tilde{r} \frac{2(\tilde{J} + j)}{\tilde{\rho} + \sigma} j_{xx}^2 dt \Big|_0^1 = 0. \quad (2.120)$$

On the other hand, we deal with $-\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1$ in (2.99) by another way, and other terms in (2.99) are shown as before, which are proved equal to 0. From (2.98), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} = -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{\mathbf{w}_{xx} dt}{dt} \\ &= -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x}{dt} \\ & \quad -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} (\tilde{D}_x (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{w}_x + B \mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}) \mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) dt}{dt} \\ & \quad -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \right)}{dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.121)$$

Since $j_x \Big|_0^1 = 0$ and (2.119), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_x \Big|_0^1 \cdot \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \Big|_0^1}{dt} \\ &= \frac{-\tilde{s} d\sigma_x \frac{1}{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW)}{dt} \Big|_0^1 = j_{xx} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW) \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} dW \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \right) \Big|_0^1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.122)$$

By the previous calculation, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{-\tilde{A}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_x(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}) - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x - \tilde{C}_x + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x) \, dt}{dt} \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= -\tilde{s} \, d\sigma_x \frac{1}{\tilde{s}} \tilde{r}_x \left(\frac{-2\tilde{J}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \tilde{\rho}_x + 1 \right) j + \tilde{s} \, d\mathbf{w}_x (-C_x + \mathcal{N}_x) \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= \tilde{D}_x B\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1 + (-C_x + \mathcal{N}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \Big|_0^1 = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.123}$$

By the mass conservation equation, it holds that

$$-\tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \frac{-\tilde{A}^{-1} \tilde{D} \, d\mathbf{w}_x}{dt} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{2\tilde{r} \, d\sigma_x \frac{\tilde{J}+j}{\tilde{\rho}+\sigma} \, d\sigma_x}{dt} \Big|_0^1 = 2\tilde{r} \frac{\tilde{J}+j}{\tilde{\rho}+\sigma} j_{xx}^2 \, dt \Big|_0^1. \tag{2.124}$$

Hence, from (2.97), there holds

$$2\tilde{s} \sigma_{xx} j_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \, dt = 0. \tag{2.125}$$

Together with (2.120), we obtain that

$$\tilde{r} \frac{2(\tilde{J}+j)}{\tilde{\rho}+\sigma} j_{xx}^2 \, dt \Big|_0^1 = 0, \tag{2.126}$$

$$2\tilde{s} \sigma_{xx} j_{xx} \, dt \Big|_0^1 \, dt = 0. \tag{2.127}$$

We proved the claim.

For $\tilde{Q} = -4\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}_{-1} \tilde{A} + 4\tilde{A}_x + 2\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}_x$, we directly calculate

$$\tilde{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.128}$$

where $q_{22} = 2\tilde{r}_x \frac{\tilde{J}+j}{\tilde{\rho}+\sigma} + 2\tilde{r} \left(1 - \frac{2\tilde{J}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \tilde{\rho}_x + 5 \left(\frac{\tilde{J}+j}{\tilde{\rho}+\sigma} \right)_x \right)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{12} + q_{21} &= 5\tilde{s}_x - 4 + \tilde{r}_x \left(P'(\tilde{\rho} + \sigma) - \frac{(\tilde{J}+j)^2}{(\tilde{\rho}+\sigma)^2} \right) + 5\tilde{r} \left(P'(\tilde{\rho} + \sigma) - \frac{(\tilde{J}+j)^2}{(\tilde{\rho}+\sigma)^2} \right)_x \\
 &\quad + 2\tilde{r} \left(\frac{-2\tilde{J}^2}{\tilde{\rho}^3} \tilde{\rho}_x + P''(\tilde{\rho}) \tilde{\rho}_x - \tilde{E} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.129}$$

We do Taylor's expectation to the above formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{12} + q_{21} &= 6\tilde{r}_x \left(P'(\tilde{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\tilde{J}}{\tilde{\rho}} \right)^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \tilde{r} \left(10 \left(P'(\tilde{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\tilde{J}}{\tilde{\rho}} \right)^2 \right)_x - \frac{4\tilde{J}^2}{\tilde{\rho}^3} \tilde{\rho}_x + 2P''(\tilde{\rho}) \tilde{\rho}_x - 2\tilde{E} \right) \\
 &\quad - 4 + O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.130}$$

We need $q_{12} + q_{21} = O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|)$, so we let $\tilde{r}$ satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned} & 6\tilde{r}_x \left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right) \\ & + \tilde{r} \left(10 \left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right)_x - \frac{4\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \bar{\rho}_x + 2P''(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}_x - 2\bar{E} \right) - 4 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.131)$$

We denote the above equation as

$$\tilde{r}_x + G\tilde{r} + M = 0, \quad (2.132)$$

where

$$G = \frac{1}{3} \left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} \left(5 \left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right)_x - \frac{2\bar{J}^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \bar{\rho}_x + P''(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}_x - \bar{E} \right), \quad (2.133)$$

$$M = -\frac{2}{3} \left(P'(\bar{\rho}) - \left(\frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} \right)^2 \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.134)$$

Solving the ordinary differential equation, we get

$$\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}(0)e^{-\int_0^x G \, dx} - \int_0^x M \, dx. \quad (2.135)$$

where $-M > 0$. With $\tilde{r}(0)$ being chosen as some positive constant, $\tilde{r}$ has positive lower bound. So does $\tilde{s}$. Hence, we have

$$\tilde{Q}\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \geq \zeta_9 j_{xx}^2 + O(|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|)|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2. \quad (2.136)$$

As in the deterministic case, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}_x^{-1} \tilde{D}_x B \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_{xx} B \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_x B_x \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^1 |j|^2 \, dx + \int_0^1 |j_x|^2 \, dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.137)$$

For the terms involving $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\bar{\rho}\tilde{e} \end{bmatrix}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 2\tilde{D}_x (\tilde{D}_x^{-1} \tilde{D}_x C - \tilde{D}_x^{-1} \tilde{C}_x) \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_{xx} C \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx + \int_0^1 \tilde{C}_{xx} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \, dx \\ & = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^1 \left(-r(\bar{\rho}_{xx}\tilde{e} + 2\bar{\rho}_x\sigma)\sigma_x - \frac{1}{2}r|\sigma_x|^2 \right) \, dx + \int_0^1 r(\bar{\rho}_{xx}(-j) + 2\bar{\rho}_x(-j_x))\sigma_x \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.138)$$

in which $\int_0^1 r(\bar{\rho}_{xx}(-j) + 2\bar{\rho}_x(-j_x))\sigma_x dx \leq C \left(\int_0^1 j^2 dx + \int_0^1 \sigma_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 j_x^2 dx \right)$. For the terms with $\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\tilde{e}|^2 + |\bar{J}||\mathbf{w}|) \end{bmatrix}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x \mathbf{w}_{xx} dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_{xx} \mathcal{N} \mathbf{w}_{xx} dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_x \mathcal{N}_x \mathbf{w}_{xx} dx - \int_0^1 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{xx} \mathbf{w}_{xx} dx \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.139)$$

The first term in (2.93) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left((d\tilde{s})|\sigma_{xx}|^2 + (d\tilde{r})|j_{xx}|^2 \right) dx \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(P''(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) d\sigma - \frac{2(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} dj + 2 \frac{(\bar{J} + j)^2}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^3} d\sigma \right) \tilde{r} |\sigma_{xx}|^2 dx dt \\ & = \int_0^1 - \left(P''(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) + \frac{2(\bar{J} + j)^2}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^3} \right) (\bar{J} + j)_x \tilde{r} |\sigma_{xx}|^2 dx dt - \int_0^1 \frac{2(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} (dj) \tilde{r} |\sigma_{xx}|^2 dx \\ & \leq O(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3) dt - \int_0^1 \frac{2(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} (dj) \tilde{r} |\sigma_{xx}|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.140)$$

By (2.4), we know that

$$dj + (\mathcal{A}_{21}\sigma_x + \mathcal{A}_{22}j_x + \mathcal{B}_{21}\sigma + \mathcal{B}_{22}j - \bar{\rho}\tilde{e} - O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\tilde{e}|^2 + |\bar{J}||\mathbf{w}|)) dt = \mathbb{F} dW. \quad (2.141)$$

So, we estimate

$$- \int_0^1 \frac{2(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} (dj) \tilde{r} |\sigma_{xx}|^2 dx \leq C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + C \left| \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} J^2 \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx dW \right|. \quad (2.142)$$

By Burkholder–Davis–Gundy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} J^2 \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \frac{(\bar{J} + j)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} J^2 \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \frac{(\bar{J}^3 + 3\bar{J}^2 j + 3\bar{J} j^2 + j^3)}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.143)$$

We estimate term by term as follows:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}^3}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}|^6 \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}|^5 \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^2 C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}|^5 \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.144}$$

where $|\bar{J}|^5$ is small enough such that the first term can be balanced by the left side of this second-order estimate; there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}^2 j}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}|^4 \|j\|_\infty^2 \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.145}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\bar{J}|^4 \|j\|_\infty^2 \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\vartheta_7}{3} \right)^m \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_{\vartheta_7} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right], \\
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J} j^2}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}|^2 \|j\|_\infty^4 \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.146}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\bar{J}|^2 \|j\|_\infty^4 \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\vartheta_7}{3} \right)^m \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_{\vartheta_7} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right)^m \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \frac{j^3}{(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)^2} \tilde{r} |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \|j\|_\infty^6 \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.147}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|j\|_\infty^6 \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}_{xx}\|^4 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\vartheta_7}{3} \right)^m \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_{\vartheta_7} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let ϑ_7 be such that $\vartheta_7^m \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^{2m} \right]$ can be bounded by the first-order estimates. The covariation in (2.93) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{r}^{-1} \langle 2\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) dW - 2\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x dW - \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} dW \rangle \\
&= \tilde{r}^{-1} \left| 2\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) \right|^2 dt - 8\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x dt \\
&\quad - 4\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} dt - 4\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} dt + 4\tilde{r}_x^2 \mathbb{F}_x^2 dt + \tilde{r}^2 \mathbb{F}_{xx}^2 dt. \tag{2.148}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate $-\int_0^1 8\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x dx dt$, by (1.4),

$$\left| -\int_0^1 8\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x dx dt \right| \leq C(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) dt. \tag{2.149}$$

Other terms are dealt with similarly. Therefore, there holds

$$\int_0^1 \tilde{r}^{-1} \langle 2\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) dW - 2\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x dW - \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} dW \rangle dx \leq C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 dt. \tag{2.150}$$

Next, we consider the stochastic terms in $2\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \tilde{D} d\mathbf{w}_{xx}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} j_{xx} dW - 4\tilde{r}_x \tilde{r}^{-1} (\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F} + \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_x) j_{xx} dW - 2\tilde{r}_{xx} \mathbb{F} j_{xx} dW \\
&\quad + 2\tilde{r}_{xx} \mathbb{F}_x j_{xx} dW + 4\tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x j_{xx} dW + 2\tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} j_{xx} dW = 2\tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} j_{xx} dW.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.151}$$

Since (1.4), by Sobolev's inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 2\tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} j_{xx} dx dW \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 C\tilde{r} (|J_x|^2 + |J| |J_{xx}|) j_{xx} dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C\tilde{r} (\|J_x\|^2 \|J_x\|_\infty^2 \|j_{xx}\|^2 + \|J\|_\infty^2 \|j_{xx}\|^4) ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C\tilde{r} \|J_x\|^2 \|j_{xx}\|^4 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_8}{2} \right)^m \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|j_x\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C_{\vartheta_8} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right)^m \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.152}$$

Combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 (|\sigma_{xx}|^2 + |j_{xx}|^2)(t) \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\zeta_{10} \int_0^t \int_0^1 j_{xx}^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 (|\sigma_{xx}|^2 + |j_{xx}|^2)(0) \right)^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + C \mathbb{E} [\|(\mathbf{w}_0)_x\|^{2m}] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C(|\tilde{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3) \, ds \right)^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.153}$$

Similarly as in the first-order estimates, we multiply $-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xxx}$ with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix}$, and integrate with respect to x to give the estimate of $\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\sigma_{xx}|^2 \, dx \, ds$. In fact, by Itô's formula, we know

$$\tilde{D} \, d \left(\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right) = \tilde{D} \, d \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_{xx} \end{bmatrix} \, dt. \tag{2.154}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\tilde{D} \, d \left(\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right) + \tilde{D} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_{xx} \end{bmatrix} \, dt - 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{D}_x (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x + B\mathbf{w} + C) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\
 & + 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{D}_x \left(\mathcal{N} \, dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} + 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\
 & + 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} ((\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{B}_x \mathbf{w} + \tilde{C}_x) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\
 & - 2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \left(\tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \\
 & - \tilde{D}_{xx} (-\mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}_x - B\mathbf{w} - C + \mathcal{N}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt - \tilde{D}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \\
 & - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x \mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w}_{xxx} + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{xx} + \tilde{B}_x)\mathbf{w}_x + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x + \tilde{B})\mathbf{w}_{xx} + \tilde{B}_{xx}\mathbf{w} + \tilde{B}_x \mathbf{w}_x + \tilde{C}_{xx}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt \\
 & = -\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \, dt + \tilde{D}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F} \, dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} + 2\tilde{D}_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x \, dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \\
 & \quad + \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{xx} \, dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.155}$$

where the first term holds

$$-\tilde{D} d \left(\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right) = -d(\tilde{r} j_{xx} \sigma_x), \quad (2.156)$$

the second term holds

$$\tilde{D} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -j_{xx} \end{bmatrix} dt = \tilde{r} j_{xx}^2 dt. \quad (2.157)$$

We consider the stochastic terms $\tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{xx} dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} \sigma_x dx dW \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \tilde{r} \mathbb{F}_{xx} \sigma_x dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \quad (2.158)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_8}{3} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + C_{\vartheta_8} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right)^m \right],$$

where $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_8}{3} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^m \right]$ can be balanced. Taking $2\tilde{D}_x \tilde{D}^{-1} \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_x dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix}$, for example, we estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x \sigma_x dx dW \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \tilde{r}_x \mathbb{F}_x \sigma_x dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \quad (2.159)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma_x|^2 dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right)^m \right],$$

while the estimates for other terms are also bounded by

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma_x|^2 dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right)^m \right].$$

We calculate the key term

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xxx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} dt \\ &= - \left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right)_x dt + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_x \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} dt + \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_{xx} \end{bmatrix} dt \\ &= - \left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right)_x dt + \left(- \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 + P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) \right) r \sigma_{xx}^2 dt + 2 \frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} r j_{xx} \sigma_x dt \\ & \quad + \left(\left(- \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right)^2 + P'(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) \right) r \right)_x \sigma_{xx} \sigma_x dt + 2 \left(\frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} r \right)_x j_{xx} \sigma_x dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.160)$$

We integrate the above formula in $[0,1]$ with respect to x . Then, the first term holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{w}_{xx} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_x \end{bmatrix} \right)_x \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ & = \int_0^t \int_0^1 2 \frac{\bar{J} + j}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} r(\sigma_x^2)_t \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \leq C \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 |\sigma_x|^2 \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.161)$$

The second term is at least $\zeta_{11} \sigma_{xx}^2$. The other three terms are bounded by

$$C(\bar{J} + j) \mathbf{w}_{xx}^2 + C |\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x| \leq C(\bar{J} + j) \mathbf{w}_{xx}^2 + \frac{\vartheta_8}{3} \mathbf{w}_{xx}^2 + C_{\vartheta_8} \mathbf{w}_x^2, \quad (2.162)$$

for $\vartheta_8 \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\zeta_{10}}{4}, \frac{\zeta_{11}}{4} \right\}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \zeta_{12} (\sigma_{xx}^2 + j_{xx}^2) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \mathrm{d}s \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 C \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \mathrm{d}s \right)^m \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_8}{3} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 |j_{xx}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.163)$$

In conclusion, together with (2.153), the second-order estimates can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \int_0^1 (j_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xx}^2)(s) \mathrm{d}x \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \zeta_{13} \int_0^t \int_0^1 (j_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xx}^2) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 (j_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xx}^2)(0) \mathrm{d}x \right|^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m}] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C_6 \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \mathrm{d}s + C_6 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \mathrm{d}s \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.164)$$

3 | GLOBAL EXISTENCE

To give the global existence, we first establish the local existence of solutions by Banach's fixed-point theorem. In the following estimates, the constants in the onto mapping estimates can depend on a given fixed time T , demanding less on the fineness of energy estimates compared to the estimates in the last section.

3.1 | Local existence

For given σ and j , we consider the following linearized system with $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ known,

$$d\mathbf{w} + (\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_x + B(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w} + C(\mathbf{w}))dt = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w})dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})dW \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

We denote $M = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\hat{\sigma}, \hat{j}\|_2$.

Step 1: Uniform bound.

3.1.1 | Zeroth-order estimates for linearized system

For the zeroth-order estimates, we just multiply system (3.1) by $\mathbf{w}$, then we integrate it with respect to x and t . From (2.5), the expression of $\mathcal{A}$, we estimate

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w} dx dt \leq C\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 dt.$$

By (2.6) and smoothness of steady state, there holds

$$\int_0^1 B(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} dx dt \leq C\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 dt. \quad (3.2)$$

Due to (2.7), it holds that

$$\int_0^1 C \cdot \mathbf{w} dx dt \leq C\|\tilde{e}\| \|\mathbf{w}\| dt. \quad (3.3)$$

By (2.8) and the smoothness of steady state, we have

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx dt \leq C(\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 + 1) \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 dt. \quad (3.4)$$

For the stochastic term, taking the m -expectation, we estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})dW \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{w} dx \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})j dx dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})j dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \|\hat{j}\|_1^4 \|j\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\hat{j}\|_1^4 \int_0^t \|j\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \|\hat{j}\|_1^4 ds \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$d|\mathbf{w}|^2 = 2 d\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \langle \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) dW, \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) dW \rangle = 2 d\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} + |\mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})|^2 dt. \quad (3.6)$$

We directly estimate

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})|^2 dx \leq C \|\bar{J} + \hat{J}\|^2 \|\bar{J} + \hat{J}\|_\infty \leq C (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 + 1) (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\| + 1). \quad (3.7)$$

Combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}(s)|^2 dx \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1) \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx ds \right)^m \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^4 + \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^3 + \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 + 1) ds \right)^m \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx ds + \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}(0)|^2 dx \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq C_{M, m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2) dx ds \right)^m \right] + C_{M, m} t^m + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_0|^2 dx \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $C_{M, m}$ depends on m and $M = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\hat{\sigma}, \hat{f}\|_2$.

3.1.2 | Higher-order estimates for linearized system

We multiply (3.1) on the left by D to obtain

$$d(D\mathbf{w}) + (\bar{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_x + \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w} + \bar{C}) dt = \bar{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) dt + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) dW \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

where D is defined in (2.60), $\bar{A} = DA$, $\bar{B} = DB$, $\bar{C} = DC$, $\bar{\mathcal{N}} = D\mathcal{N}$. Differentiating (3.9) with respect to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d(D\mathbf{w}_x) - (D_x(\bar{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_x + \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w} + \bar{C} - \bar{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}))) dt \\ & \quad + (\bar{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_{xx} + ((\bar{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x + \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))\mathbf{w}_x + (\bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))_x\mathbf{w} + \bar{C}_x) dt \\ & = (\bar{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}))_x dt + D_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) dW \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ (\mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x dW \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By Itô's formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\left(D\frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{2}\right) &= D d\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x + \frac{r^{-1}|r(\mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x + r_x \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})|^2}{2} dt \\
 &= \mathbf{w}_x D_x (\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_x + B(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w} + C - \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w})) dt - \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_{xx} \mathbf{w}_x dt \\
 &\quad - ((\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x + \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))\mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dt - (\bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))_x \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}_x dt - \bar{C}_x \mathbf{w}_x dt \\
 &\quad + (\bar{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}))_x \mathbf{w}_x dt + r_x \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) j_x dW + r(\mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x j_x dW + \frac{r^{-1}|r\mathbb{F}_x + r_x \mathbb{F}|^2(\hat{\mathbf{w}})}{2} dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By the boundary condition $j_x(0) = j_x(1) = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx = - \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_x \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx. \tag{3.12}$$

Now we collect all the terms containing $\mathbf{w}_x^2$, for (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \left(-D_x \mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + (\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x + \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))_x \right) \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx \\
 &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} D_x \mathcal{A}_x - \frac{1}{2} D_x \mathcal{A} + DB \right) (\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{11} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. r is chosen such that

$$|q_{12} + q_{21}| = O(|\hat{\mathbf{w}}| + |\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x|), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} r \left\{ 2 \frac{\bar{J} + \hat{j}}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right\}_x - \frac{1}{2} r_x \left(2 \frac{\bar{J} + \hat{j}}{\bar{\rho} + \sigma} \right) + r \left(1 - 2 \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x \right) \\
 &= r - \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}} r_x - 3 \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{\rho}^2} \bar{\rho}_x r + O(|\hat{\mathbf{w}}| + |\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Equation (2.61) admits a positive solution $r(x)$. Thus, for small $\bar{J}$, there is a constant $\eta_1 > 0$, such that

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{w}_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx \geq \eta_1 \int_0^1 j_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 O(|\hat{\mathbf{w}}| + |\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x|) |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx, \tag{3.16}$$

where it holds that

$$\int_0^1 O(|\hat{\mathbf{w}}| + |\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x|) |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx \leq \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x\|_\infty \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^2 \leq \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_2 \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^2. \tag{3.17}$$

For the terms containing $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 (-D_x B(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) + (\bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))_x) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx = \int_0^1 D(B(\bar{\mathbf{w}}))_x \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x dx \\
 &= \int_0^1 O(|\mathbf{w}| |\mathbf{w}_x|) dx \leq C \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx + C \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

with the formula of $\mathcal{B}$ (2.6). For the terms concerning $\mathbf{w}_x$, from (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-D_x C + \bar{C}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \, dx \, dt &= DC_x \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \, dx \, dt = -r(\bar{\rho}\bar{\epsilon})_x j_x \, dx \, dt = r(\bar{\rho}\sigma + \bar{\rho}_x \bar{\epsilon})\sigma_t \, dx \, dt \\ &= d\left(\frac{1}{2}r\bar{\rho}\sigma^2 + r\bar{\rho}_x \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\right) - r\bar{\rho}_x \bar{\epsilon}_t \sigma \, dx \, dt = d\left(\frac{1}{2}r\bar{\rho}\sigma^2 + r\bar{\rho}_x \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\right) + O(\sigma^2 + j^2) \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}))_x \mathbf{w}_x = O(|\hat{\mathbf{w}}| + |\hat{\epsilon}| + 1)(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2). \quad (3.20)$$

Integrating them over x , due to $\bar{\epsilon}_x = \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (-D_x C + \bar{C}_x) \cdot \mathbf{w}_x \, dx &\leq d \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2}r\bar{\rho}\sigma^2 + r\bar{\rho}_x \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\right) \, dx + C \int_0^1 (\sigma^2 + j^2) \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq d \int_0^1 C(\sigma^2 + \bar{\epsilon}^2) \, dx + C \int_0^1 (\sigma^2 + j^2) \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq C d (\|\sigma\|^2 + \|\bar{\epsilon}\|_1^2) + C \int_0^1 (\sigma^2 + j^2) \, dx \, dt = C d \|\sigma\|^2 + C \|\sigma\|^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}))_x \mathbf{w}_x \, dx \leq C(\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 + \|\hat{\epsilon}\|_1 + 1) \int_0^1 \left(|\mathbf{w}|^2 \, dx + \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 \, dx\right) \, dx. \quad (3.22)$$

Under (1.4), the assumption for $\mathbb{F}$, we estimate

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r^{-1}|r\mathbb{F}_x + r_x\mathbb{F}|^2(\hat{\mathbf{w}})}{2} \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 (|\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x|^2)^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^4 \, dt, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r^{-1}|r_x\mathbb{F}|^2(\hat{\mathbf{w}})}{2} \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 |\hat{\mathbf{w}}|^4 \, dx \, dt \leq C \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^4 \, dt. \quad (3.24)$$

For the stochastic term, since $\bar{J}$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) j_x \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) j_x \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 r_x |\bar{J} + \hat{j}|^2 j_x \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_9}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |\hat{j}|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_9}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j_x|^2 \, dx \right)^m \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_{\vartheta_9} \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 |\hat{j}| |\mathbf{w}_x| \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where ϑ_9 is chosen such that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_9}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j|^2 dx \right)^m \right]$ can be balanced by the zeroth-order estimates, $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_9}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 |j_x|^2 dx \right)^m \right]$ can be balanced by the left-hand side of this first-order estimates. Further, there holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 |\hat{j}| |\mathbf{w}_x| dx \right|^2 ds \right)^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right].$$

Combining the above terms, along with the zeroth-order estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 (\sigma_x^2 + j_x^2)(s) dx + \eta_2 \int_0^t \int_0^1 j_x^2 dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \|\sigma(t)\|^2 + C \int_0^t (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_2^2 + 1) \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_2 + 1) \|\mathbf{w}_x\|^2 ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C (\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 + \|\hat{\ell}\|_1 + 1) \int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2) dx ds \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\|_1^4 ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq C_{M,m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2) dx ds \right)^m \right] + C_{M,m} t^m + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_{0,x}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_0|^2) dx \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where $C_{M,m}$ depends on m and $M = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}\|_2$, η_2 is a positive constant.

Similarly, the second-order estimates of uniform upper bound can be obtained similarly to boundary estimates (2.96):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^1 (\sigma_{xx}^2 + j_{xx}^2)(s) dx + \eta_3 \int_0^t \int_0^1 j_{xx}^2 dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq C_{M,m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2) dx ds \right)^m \right] + C_{M,m} t^m \\ & \quad + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_{0,xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{0,x}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_0|^2) dx \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where $C_{M,m}$ depends on m and $M = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}\|_2$, η_3 is a positive constant. In summary of the estimates, there holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_0^s d_\tau (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \right)^m \right] \leq C_{M,m} \left(t^m + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right)^m \right] \right). \quad (3.28)$$

By Grönwall's inequality, we have $\mathbf{w} \in L^m(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^2(U)))$. Actually, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2)(s) \right)^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[((\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2)(0))^m \right] + C_{M,m} t^m$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2(0) \right)^m \right] + C_{M,m} t^m \right) C_{M,m} e^{\int_0^s C_{M,m} d\tau} ds \quad (3.29) \\
& \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + C_{M,m} t^m \right) e^{C_{M,m} t}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.29), by applying Kolmogorov–Centov’s theorem, following the standard argument in stochastic analysis [3], we deduce the time continuity of $\mathbf{w}$ up to a modification in a completed probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, and so, we omit the details.

The iteration scheme is

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\mathbf{w}_n + (\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1})(\mathbf{w}_n)_x + B(\bar{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{w}_n + C) dt \quad (3.30) \\
& = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n) dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) dW \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

By energy estimates (3.29), we take T_0 such that

$$e^{C_{M,m}T_0} \leq 2, \quad C_{M,m}T_0 \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2(0) \right)^m \right]. \quad (3.31)$$

If

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_2^{2m} \right] \leq 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 \right)^m \right], \quad (3.32)$$

holds, then there holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_n\|_2^{2m} \right] \leq 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 \right)^m \right]. \quad (3.33)$$

Step 2: Contraction

We shall prove the contraction and the local existence of classical solutions σ, j in the space $L^m(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^2([0, 1])))$. $(\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d\mathbf{w}_n - d\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) + \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1})(\mathbf{w}_n)_x - (\mathbf{w}_{n-1})_x) dt \\
& + (\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}))(\mathbf{w}_{n-1})_x dt + B(\bar{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) dt + C(\tilde{\epsilon}_n) - C(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n-1}) dt \quad (3.34) \\
& = (\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n) - \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1})) dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ (\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2})) dW \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we multiply (3.34) with $(\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1})$ and integrate over x . By Itô’s formula, there holds

$$d\|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 = 2(\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \cdot (d\mathbf{w}_n - d\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) + |\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2})|^2 dt. \quad (3.35)$$

Recalling (2.5), we estimate by integration by parts

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1})(\mathbf{w}_n)_x - (\mathbf{w}_{n-1})_x) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) dx dt \leq C \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_1 \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 dt. \quad (3.36)$$

We directly estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}))(\mathbf{w}_{n-1})_x \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) dx dt \quad (3.37) \\
& \leq C \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_1 \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\| \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

With (2.6), we have

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \, dx \, dt \leq C \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \, dt. \quad (3.38)$$

Due to $\tilde{e}_x = \sigma$ and (2.7), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 C(\tilde{e}_n) - C(\tilde{e}_{n-1}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C \|\tilde{e}_n - \tilde{e}_{n-1}\| \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\| \, dt \leq C \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Since (2.8) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n) - \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \\ & = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n) - \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n) - \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}, \mathbf{w}_{n-1})) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 ((\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) + (\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2})) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}) \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \, dt + \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\| \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\| \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

For the stochastic integral, under the assumption for $\mathbb{F}$ (1.4), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}))(j_n - j_{n-1}) \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 (\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2}))(j_n - j_{n-1}) \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right|^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \|J_{n-1} - J_{n-2}\|^2 \|j_n - j_{n-1}\|^2 \, ds \right|^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4^m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|j_n - j_{n-1}\|^2 \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \|j_{n-1} - j_{n-2}\|^2 \, ds \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Immediately, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-1}) - \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{w}_{n-2})|^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \|j_{n-1} - j_{n-2}\|^2 \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|^2 \, ds \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Combining the above estimates, for some $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \mathrm{d} \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t C \left(\|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\| \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\| \right) \mathrm{d}s \right|^m \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4^m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|j_n - j_{n-1}\|^2 \right|^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

where C depends on M . By Cauchy's inequality and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C \left(\|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\| \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\| \right) \mathrm{d}s \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t C \left(\|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_7 \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_7 \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|^2 \right)^m \right] \right) \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

The higher order contraction estimates are proved similarly to zeroth order, with the symmetrizing matrix and the boundary estimates (2.96), and so, the detailed proof is omitted here.

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_8 \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C_8 \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] \right) \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

By Grönwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] C_8^m t + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] \tau C_8^{2r} e^{C_8^m \tau} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq 3C_8^m \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Setting $T_1 \leq T_0$ and $3C_8^m T_1 < 1$, $e^{C_8^m T_1} \leq 2$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_n - \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\|_2 \right)^m \right] \leq a \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}_{n-1} - \mathbf{w}_{n-2}\|_2 \right)^m \right], \quad a < 1, \quad (3.48)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence, $a = 3C_8^m T_1$. By Banach's fixed-point theorem, there exists a unique solution $\mathbf{w}$ in $L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^2([0, 1])))$. Since $\phi_{xx} = \sigma$ is the unique solution under the boundary condition (2.2), and $\phi \in L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^4([0, 1])))$, it follows that $\tilde{e} = \phi_x$ is a unique solution, $\tilde{e} \in L^{2m}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^3([0, 1])))$.

By the proof of Theorem 5.2.9 in [32], (ρ, J, Φ) is the unique strong solutions to SEP, where $\rho, J \in C([0, T_1]; H^2(U))$ and $\Phi \in C([0, T_1]; H^4(U))$ hold $\mathbb{P}$ a.s. Although it is smooth in PDE, it is a strong solution in stochastic analysis. We give the definition of the local strong solution.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a fixed stochastic basis with a complete right-continuous filtration $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and W be the fixed Wiener process. (ρ, J, Φ) is called a strong solution to initial and boundary problem (1.1)–(1.4)–(1.6)–(1.7)–(1.8)–(1.16), if:

- (1) (ρ, J, Φ) is adapted to the filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$;
- (2) $\mathbb{P}[\{(\rho(0), J(0), \Phi(0)) = (\rho_0, J_0, \Phi_0)\}] = 1$;
- (3) the equation of continuity

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 - \int_0^t J_x \, ds,$$

holds $\mathbb{P}$ a.s., for any $t \in [0, T_1]$;

- (4) the momentum equation

$$J(t) = J_0 - \int_0^t \left(\frac{J^2}{\rho} + P(\rho) \right)_x \, ds - \int_0^t J \, ds + \int_0^t \rho \Phi_x \, ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{F}(\rho, J) \, dW(s), \quad (3.49)$$

holds $\mathbb{P}$ a.s., for any $t \in [0, T_1]$;

- (5) the electrostatic potential equation

$$\Phi_{xx} = \rho - b, \quad (3.50)$$

holds $\mathbb{P}$ a.s. for any $t \in [0, T_1]$.

Remark 3.1. Reviewing the above proof, the onto mapping and contraction (3.48) hold for general stochastic forces with linear growth. Thus, the existence holds without (1.4) and (1.15).

3.2 | Global existence

Combining the zeroth-order, first-order, and second-order energy estimates together, and considering that $|\bar{J}|$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) \, ds \right|^m \right] \quad (3.51)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right] + C \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_0\|^{2m} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds + C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right],$$

where ζ is a small positive constant with $\zeta \leq \zeta_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 13$. Hence, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) + \zeta \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t C (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \, ds \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

For $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2$ and $|\bar{J}|$ being small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) + \zeta \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) \, ds - C \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 + |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \, ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_0\|^2 \right) \right)^m \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2)(s) \right|^m \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right]. \quad (3.54)$$

Based on energy estimates (3.54) uniformly in t and local existence, we have the global estimates by following the standard bootstrap, see also [34, 37]. The global existence of $\rho, J \in L^m(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^2(U)))$ holds in probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

4 | ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF 1-D SOLUTIONS

The *a priori* estimates is insufficient for investigating the decay rate since the *a priori* estimates are already in the form of time integrals rather than a differential inequality. The asymptotic decay of solution is established in this section based on the weighted decay estimates.

4.1 | Weighted decay estimates

4.1.1 | Zeroth-order weighted decay estimates

We multiply (2.41) with $e^{\zeta t}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\zeta t} \, d \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} + G(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - G(\bar{\rho}) - G'(\bar{\rho})\sigma + \frac{\bar{e}^2}{2} \right) dx \\ & + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} \, dx \, dt + e^{\zeta t} \left(\frac{3\bar{J}j^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} + O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \right) \Big|_0^1 \, dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 O(|\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \, dx \, dt + e^{\zeta t} O(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3) \, dt \\
 & + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})^2 + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{2\bar{\rho}} j^2 \, dx \, dt - e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dx \, dt \\
 & - e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 2 \frac{|\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})|^2}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 \, dx \, dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)|\bar{J}|) \, dx \, dt \\
 & + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 \, dx \, dW + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 \, dx \, dW \\
 & + e^{\zeta t} \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dW + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \, dx \, dW = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We estimate the stochastic term in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{2\zeta s} \left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}''(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{2} j^2 \, dx \right|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{2\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|j\|_2^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & \leq e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^3} \sigma^2 \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \leq e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right], \\
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{J}\mathbb{F}'(\bar{J}) + \mathbb{F}(\bar{J})}{\bar{\rho}^2} j \sigma \, dW \right|^m \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\leq e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{J}| \|\sigma\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] \quad (4.4)$$

$$\leq e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|j\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right],$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) dx dW \right|^m \right] \quad (4.5)$$

$$\leq e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right].$$

From the zeroth-order estimates in Subsection 2.1, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} ds \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} + G(\bar{\rho} + \sigma) - G(\bar{\rho}) - G'(\bar{\rho})\sigma + \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} \right) (s) dx \right|^m \right] \\ & \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} ds \left(\zeta_1 \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx \right) \right|^m \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \zeta_1 \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} ds \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx \right|^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} ds \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\rho}j - \bar{J}\sigma)^2}{2(\bar{\rho} + \sigma)\bar{\rho}^2} dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \left(\frac{3\bar{J}j^2}{2\bar{\rho}^2} + O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3)) \right) ds \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} O(|\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) ds \right|^m \right] \quad (4.7) \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 O((|j|^3 + |\sigma|^3) |\bar{J}|) dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & + e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] \\ & + e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right] \\ & \leq e^{\zeta mt} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, the estimate for the dissipation in terms of $\int_0^1 (\sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx$ is needed. From the zeroth energy estimates in Subsection 2.1, we multiply (2.54) with $e^{\zeta t} \tilde{e}$, and then integrate it over x . Since $\tilde{e}_x = \sigma = 0$ at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{P'(\tilde{\rho})}{\tilde{\rho}} \tilde{e}_x^2 dx dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \tilde{e}^2 dx dt \\ &= e^{\zeta t} d \left(\int_0^1 \frac{j \tilde{e}}{\tilde{\rho}} dx \right) + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt - e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 j dx \int_0^1 \frac{j}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{j \tilde{e}}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt \quad (4.8) \\ &+ e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F} \tilde{e}}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dW + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 O(|\bar{J}| \tilde{e} (|\mathbf{w}| + |\mathbf{w}_x|)) dx dt \\ &+ e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 O(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + \tilde{e}^2) \tilde{e} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We deal with the right-hand side by Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & -e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 j dx \int_0^1 \frac{j}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{j^2}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{j \tilde{e}}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dt \quad (4.9) \\ & \leq \tilde{C} e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 j^2 dx dt + e^{\zeta t} \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ depends on $\tilde{\rho}$. For the stochastic term, we estimate it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{F} \tilde{e}}{\tilde{\rho}} dx dW \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}|^2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 ds \right)^m \right] \quad (4.10) \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.8) with some small constant, adding (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} d \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2)(t) dx + \zeta \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 (j^2 + \sigma^2 + \tilde{e}^2) dx ds \right|^m \right] \\ & \leq e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] \quad (4.11) \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right)^m \right] + e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}|^2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \right)^m \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 ds \right)^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4.1.2 | First-order weighted estimates

For the first-order weighted estimates, we multiply (3.11) with $e^{\zeta t}$ and integrate it on U . For the stochastic term, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F} j_x \, dx \, dW \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \left| \int_0^1 r_x \mathbb{F} j_x \, dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \left| \int_0^1 r_x |\bar{J} + j|^2 j_x \, dx \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\
 & = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \int_0^1 r_x (\bar{J}^2 + 2\bar{J}j + j^2) j_x \, dx \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} ds \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t |\bar{J}| e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^3 \, ds \right)^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Repeating the argument in Subsection 4.1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} d \left(\int_U |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \right) \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \zeta e^{\zeta s} \int_U |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C |\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

4.1.3 | Second-order weighted estimates

Similarly, we multiply (2.93) with $e^{\zeta t}$, and integrate it on U . Repeating the procedure in Subsection 4.1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} d \left(\int_U |\partial^2 \mathbf{w}|^2 \, dx \right) \right|^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \zeta e^{\zeta s} \int_U |\partial^2 \mathbf{w}|^2 \, dx \, ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \, ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \, ds \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \, ds \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

4.1.4 | Asymptotic stability

Combining the weighted estimates in the previous subsections, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} d(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2) + \int_0^t \zeta e^{\zeta s} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}\|^2) ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| e^{\zeta t} (\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 ds \right)^m \right] + e^{\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(|\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right)^m \right] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right)^m \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| C \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Since $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2$ is small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| e^{\zeta t} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - C |\bar{J}| e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 - \int_0^t C |\bar{J}| e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t C |\bar{J}|^2 e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 ds - \int_0^t C e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \right|^m \right] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

We estimate

$$\int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 ds \leq \sup_{s \in [0, t]} e^{\frac{3\zeta s}{2}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^3 \int_0^t e^{-\frac{3\zeta s}{2}} ds \leq \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} (e^{\zeta s} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}| \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 ds \leq |\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} ds \leq |\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 e^{\zeta t}. \tag{4.19}$$

If we denote $y(t) = e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$, then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} -e^{\zeta t} |\bar{J}|^2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 &= -|\bar{J}|^2 \frac{e^{\zeta t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2}{\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2} = -y(t) \frac{|\bar{J}|^2}{\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2} \\ &\geq -y(t) \frac{|\bar{J}|^2}{\inf_{s \in [0, t]} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2} \geq -y(t) \frac{|\bar{J}|^2}{\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2} = -|\bar{J}|y(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} |\bar{J}|^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \, ds &\leq |\bar{J}|^2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \int_0^t e^{\zeta s} \, ds = |\bar{J}|^2 \frac{\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2}{\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2} e^{\zeta t} \\ &\leq |\bar{J}| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 e^{\zeta t} = |\bar{J}|y(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

On the account of the smallness of $(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| (1 - C\bar{J})y(t) (1 - \sqrt{y(t)}) \right|^m \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right]. \quad (4.22)$$

Since $\bar{J}$ is small, we obtain the asymptotic decay estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \right|^m \right] \leq C^m e^{-\zeta m t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{e}_0\|^2 \right)^m \right], \quad (4.23)$$

where $m \geq 2$, and C is independent of t .

5 | INVARIANT MEASURE AND STATIONARY SOLUTIONS

In this section, the existence of invariant measure requires (1.4) and (1.15), which is unnecessary in the global existence.

The law generated by the initial data $\mathbf{z}_0 := (\rho_0, J_0, E_0)$ in probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}_0)$. The system (1.1), with initial data $\mathbf{z}_0$ satisfying (1.15), and forced by (1.4), admits a unique strong solution

$$\mathbf{z}(t, x, \omega) := (\rho(t, x, \omega), J(t, x, \omega), E(t, x, \omega)), \quad (5.1)$$

in $C([0, T]; H^3(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^3(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^5(U))$. We denote $\mathbf{z}(t)$ for short. Let S_t be the transition semigroup [46]:

$$S_t \psi(\mathbf{z}_0) = \mathbb{E}[\psi(\mathbf{z}((t, \mathbf{z}_0)))], \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where ψ is the bounded function on $\mathcal{H} := H^2(U) \times H^2(U) \times H^4(U)$, that is, $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$. $S(t, \mathbf{z}_0, \Gamma)$ is the transition function:

$$S(t, \mathbf{z}_0, \Gamma) := S_t(\mathbf{z}_0, \Gamma) = S_t \mathbb{1}_\Gamma(\mathbf{z}_0) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}(t, \mathbf{z}_0))(\Gamma), \quad \mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.3)$$

For $\mathbf{v}_0 := (\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, J_0 - \bar{J}, E_0 - \bar{E})$ in probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the perturbed system (2.1) admits a unique strong solution

$$\mathbf{v}(t, x, \omega) := (\mathbf{w}(t, x, \omega), \phi(t, x, \omega)) := (\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, \mathbf{u}_0, \Phi_0 - \bar{\Phi}), \quad (5.4)$$

on $C([0, T]; H^2(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^2(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^4(U))$. We denote $\mathbf{v}(t)$ for short. Let $\tilde{S}_t$ be the transition semigroup for (2.1):

$$\tilde{S}_t \psi(\mathbf{v}_0) = \mathbb{E}[\psi(\mathbf{v}((t, \mathbf{v}_0)))], \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.5)$$

where ψ is the bounded function on $\mathcal{H} := H^2(U) \times H^2(U) \times H^4(U)$. We denote $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$ and denote $\tilde{S}(t, \mathbf{v}_0, \Gamma)$ as the transition function for (2.1).

We introduce the definition of a stationary solution for (1.1).

Definition 5.1. A strong solution $(\rho; J; \Phi; W)$ to system (1.1) and initial boundary conditions (1.6)–(1.7)–(1.8), is called stationary, provided that the joint law of the time shift

$$(S_\tau \rho, S_\tau J, S_\tau \Phi, S_\tau W) \quad (5.6)$$

on

$$C([0, T]; H^2(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^2(U)) \times C([0, T]; H^4(U)) \times C([0, T]; H) \quad (5.7)$$

is independent of $\tau \geq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of all bounded measures on $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. For any $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$ and any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, we set the action

$$\mu(\psi) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \psi(x) \mu(dx). \quad (5.8)$$

For $t \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, S_t^* acts on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$S_t^* \mu(\Gamma) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} S(t, x, \Gamma) \mu(dx), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (5.9)$$

And there holds

$$(S_t^* \mu)(\psi) = \mu(S_t \psi), \quad \forall \psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H}), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (5.10)$$

Particularly, for SEP system (1.1) and $\mathbf{z}$ in probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, after the action of the transition semigroup S_t for (2.4), there holds

$$S_t^* \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}_0) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}(t)). \quad (5.11)$$

That is,

$$(S_t \psi) \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}_0) = \mathbb{E}[\psi(\mathbf{z}(t))], \quad (5.12)$$

where $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}_0)$ is the law generated by the initial data $\mathbf{z}_0$.

Definition 5.2. A measure μ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an invariant (stationary) measure, if

$$S_t^* \mu = \mu, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (5.13)$$

In particular, Dirac measure centered at the steady state $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{E})$ is the invariant measure for (1.9), since it keeps the same after the action of the transition semigroup for (1.9).

For $\mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $T > 0$, the formula

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S_t(\mathbf{z}_0, \Gamma) dt = R_T(\mathbf{z}_0, \Gamma), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad (5.14)$$

defines a probability measure. For any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, $R_T^* \nu$ is defined as follows:

$$R_T^* \nu(\Gamma) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} R_T(x, \Gamma) \nu(dx), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (5.15)$$

For any $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$, there holds

$$(R_T^* \nu)(\psi) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (S_t^* \nu)(\psi) dt. \quad (5.16)$$

$S_t, t \geq 0$, is a Feller semigroup, if for arbitrary $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$, the function

$$[0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{H}, \quad (t, x) \mapsto S_t \psi(x) \quad (5.17)$$

is continuous.

The method of constructing an invariant measure described in the following theorem is due to Krylov–Bogoliubov [35].

Theorem 5.1. If for some $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ and some sequence $T_n \uparrow +\infty$, $R_{T_n}^* \nu \rightarrow \mu$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then μ is an invariant measure for Feller semigroup $S_t, t \geq 0$.

The following lemma is obtained similarly to [4], and we provide a proof for the convenience of the readers. $\mathbf{v}_t^{\mathbf{v}_0}$ represents the stochastic process initiated from $\mathbf{v}_0$ for the sake of expediency.

Lemma 5.1. The perturbed SEP system (2.1) defines a Feller–Markov process, that is, $\tilde{S}_t : C_b(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C_b(\mathcal{H})$, and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\psi(\mathbf{v}_{t+s}^{\mathbf{v}_0}) \middle| \mathfrak{F}_t \right] = (\tilde{S}_s \psi)(\mathbf{v}_t^{\mathbf{v}_0}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H}), \quad \forall t, s > 0. \quad (5.18)$$

Proof. From the continuity of solutions, it is easy to see the Feller property that $\tilde{S}_t : C_b(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C_b(\mathcal{H})$ is continuous. For the Markov property, it suffices to prove

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(\mathbf{v}_{t+s}^{\mathbf{v}_0})Z] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}_s \psi(\mathbf{v}_t^{\mathbf{v}_0})Z], \quad (5.19)$$

where $Z \in \mathfrak{F}_t$.

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be any $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable random variable. We denote $\mathbf{D}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{D}^i \mathbf{1}_{\Omega^i}$, where $\mathbf{D}^i \in \mathcal{H}$ are deterministic and $(\Omega^i) \subset \mathcal{F}_t$ is a collection of disjoint sets such that $\bigcup_i \Omega^i = \Omega$. $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ implies $\tilde{S}_t \varphi(\mathbf{D}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{S}_t \varphi(\mathbf{D})$ in $\mathcal{H}$. For every deterministic $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, the random variable $\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}}$ depends only on the increments of the Brownian motion $W_{t+s} - W_t$, and hence, it is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}} \right) Z \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}[Z], \quad \forall \mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{F}_t. \quad (5.20)$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}}$ has the same law as $\mathbf{v}_s^{\mathbf{D}}$ by uniqueness, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}} \right) Z \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\mathbf{v}_s^{\mathbf{D}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}[Z] = \tilde{S}_s \psi(\mathbf{D}) \mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{S}_s \psi(\mathbf{D}) Z \right]. \quad (5.21)$$

So,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{D}} \right) Z \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\tilde{S}_s \varphi \right) (\mathbf{D}) Z \right] \quad (5.22)$$

holds for every $\mathbf{D}$. By uniqueness,

$$\mathbf{v}_{t+s}^{\mathbf{v}_0} = \mathbf{v}_{t,t+s}^{\mathbf{v}_t}, \quad \mathbb{P} \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (5.23)$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

We prove the tightness of the law

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}(t)) \, dt, \quad T > 0 \right\}, \quad (5.24)$$

so as to apply Krylov–Bogoliubov’s theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *There exists an invariant measure for the system (2.1).*

Proof. From the energy estimates of global existence, we know that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_2^{2m} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2 + \|\tilde{e}(0)\|^2 \right)^m \right], \quad (5.25)$$

where C is independent of T . Consequently, for compact sets

$$B_L = \left\{ \mathbf{w}(t) \in H^2(U) \mid \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_2 \leq L \right\}, \quad L > 0, \quad (5.26)$$

in $C^1(U)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}(t)) (B_L^c) \, dt &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_2 > L \right\} \right] \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L^{2m} T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_2^{2m} \right] \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{L^{2m}} C \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_2^{2m} \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } L \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

The tightness of $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\varepsilon}(t)) dt$ is obtained similarly due to the energy estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \|\tilde{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2 \right|^m \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_3^2 + \|\tilde{\varepsilon}(0)\|^2 \right)^m \right]. \quad (5.29)$$

Hence, the tightness of (5.24) holds. Thus, there exists an invariant measure by Krylov-Bogoliubov's theorem. $\square$

Equation (1.1) also defines a Feller-Markov process, similarly to (2.1). Since $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{E})$ is smooth, by the uniqueness of solutions, $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho) \times \mathcal{L}(J) \times \mathcal{L}(E) dt$ is also a tight measure, which generates an invariant measure as $T \rightarrow +\infty$. In fact, for compact sets

$$B_{\rho,L} = \left\{ \rho \in H^2(U) \mid \|\rho\|_2 \leq L \right\}, \quad L > 0, \quad (5.30)$$

in $C^1(U)$, there holds

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho_t^{\rho_0}) (B_{\rho,L}^c) dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\{\|\rho\|_2 > L\}] dt \quad (5.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{L^{2m} T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\|\rho\|_2^{2m}] dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L^{2m}} C \left(\mathbb{E} [\|\rho_0\|_2^{2m}] + \mathbb{E} [\|\bar{\rho}\|_2^{2m}] \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } L \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Remark 5.1. In the above proof, we require that the constant in energy estimate (5.25) is independent of T . That is the reason why we assume (1.4) and (1.15).

We care about what holds for the limit of $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho) \times \mathcal{L}(J) \times \mathcal{L}(E) dt$.

Theorem 5.3. *The invariant measure generated by $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho) \times \mathcal{L}(J) \times \mathcal{L}(E) dt$, for system (1.1), is the Dirac measure of the steady state $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{J}, \bar{E})$, that is, the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(\rho) \times \mathcal{L}(J) \times \mathcal{L}(E) dt = \delta_{\bar{\rho}} \times \delta_{\bar{J}} \times \delta_{\bar{E}} \quad (5.33)$$

holds weakly.

Proof. For any $\psi \in C_b(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\mathcal{L}(\rho))(\psi) dt &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\psi(\rho)] dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\mathbb{E}[\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})] + \mathbb{E}[\psi(\bar{\rho})]) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

We claim that $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})] dt = 0$. Actually, separating Ω into $\Omega_t = \left\{ \psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \right\}$, $s > 0$, and Ω_t^c , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})] &= \int_{\Omega} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_t} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) + \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_t^c} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

For I_1 , it holds that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_t} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) dt \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} dt = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

For I_2 , by the weighted energy estimates and Chebyshev's inequality, with an approximation of the bounded function ψ by polynomials (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_t^c} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) &\leq C \mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho}) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \right\} \right] \\ &\leq C \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})|^{2m}]}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^{2m}} \leq C s^m e^{-\gamma m s} \mathbb{E}[\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_2^{2m}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_t^c} (\psi(\rho) - \psi(\bar{\rho})) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) dt \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} C \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t^m e^{-\gamma m t} dt = 0. \quad (5.38)$$

Therefore, there holds

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\mathcal{L}(\rho)) \psi dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\psi(\bar{\rho})] dt = \mathbb{E}[\psi(\bar{\rho})] = \delta_{\bar{\rho}} \psi. \quad (5.39)$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}(J) dt = \delta_J; \quad (5.40)$$

and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}(E) dt = \delta_{\bar{E}}. \quad (5.41)$$

This completes the proof by the tightness of a joint distribution. $\square$

APPENDIX A

We provide an overview of the fundamental theory concerning stochastic analysis. Let E be a separable Banach space and $\mathcal{B}(E)$ be the σ -field of its Borel subsets, respectively. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a stochastic basis. A filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ is a family of σ -algebras on Ω indexed by $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $s \leq t$, $s, t \in \mathbf{T}$. $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is also called a filtered space. We first list some definitions.

1. **E -valued random variables.** [46] For $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ and $(E, \mathcal{E})$ being two measurable spaces, a mapping X from Ω into E such that the set $\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in A\} = \{X \in A\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$ for arbitrary $A \in \mathcal{E}$, is called a measurable mapping or a random variable from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ into $(E, \mathcal{E})$ or an E -valued random variable.
2. **Strongly measurable operator valued random variables.** [46] Let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be two separable Hilbert spaces that can be infinite-dimensional, and denote by $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ the set of all linear bounded operators from $\mathcal{U}$ into $\mathcal{H}$. A functional operator $\Psi(\cdot)$ from Ω into $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ is said to be strongly measurable, if, for arbitrary $X \in \mathcal{U}$, the function $\Psi(\cdot)X$ is measurable, as a mapping from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ into $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the smallest σ -field of subsets of $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ containing all sets of the form

$$\{\Psi \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}) : \Psi X \in A\}, \quad X \in \mathcal{U}, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ is a strongly measurable mapping from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ into $(L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}), \mathcal{L})$.

3. **Law of a random variable.** For an E -valued random variable $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E})$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}[X]$ the law of X on E , that is, $\mathcal{L}[X]$ is the probability measure on $(E, \mathcal{E})$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}[X](A) = \mathbb{P}[X \in A], \quad A \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

4. **Stochastic process.** [46] A stochastic process X is defined as an arbitrary family $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ of E -valued random variables X_t , $t \in \mathbf{T}$. X is also regarded as a mapping from Ω into a Banach space like $C([0, T]; E)$ or $L^p = L^p(0, T; E)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, by associating $\omega \in \Omega$ with the trajectory $X(\cdot, \omega)$.
5. **Cylindrical Wiener process valued in Hilbert space.** [46] A $\mathcal{U}$ -valued stochastic process $W(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is called a cylindrical Wiener process, if
 - $W(0) = 0$;
 - W has continuous trajectories;
 - W has independent increments;
 - the distribution of $(W(t) - W(s))$ is $\mathcal{N}(0, (t - s))$, $0 \leq s < t$.
6. **Adapted stochastic process.** A stochastic process X is $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted, if X_t is $\mathfrak{F}_t$ -measurable for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$;
7. **Martingale.** The E -valued process X is called integrable provided $\mathbb{E}[\|X_t\|] < +\infty$ for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$. An integrable and adapted E -valued process X_t , $t \in \mathbf{T}$, is a martingale if
 - X is adapted;
 - $X_s = \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathfrak{F}_s]$, for arbitrary $t, s \in \mathbf{T}$, $0 \leq s \leq t$.
8. **Stopping time.** On $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, a random time is a measurable mapping $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \cup \infty$. A random time is a stopping time if $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathfrak{F}_t$ for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$. For a process X and a subset V of the state space, we define the hitting time of X in V as

$$\tau_V(\omega) = \inf \{t \in \mathbf{T} | X_t(\omega) \in V\}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

If X is a continuous adapted process, and V is closed, then τ_V is a stopping time.

9. **Modification.** A stochastic process Y is called a modification or a version of X if

$$\mathbb{P}\{\{\omega \in \Omega : X(t, \omega) \neq Y(t, \omega)\}\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{T}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

10. **Progressive measurability.** In $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, stochastic process X is progressively measurable or simply progressively measurable, if, for $\omega \in \Omega$, $(\omega, s) \mapsto X(s, \omega)$, $s \leq t$ is $\mathfrak{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{T} \cap [0, t])$ -measurable for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$.
11. **Progressive measurability of continuous functions.** Let $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, be a stochastically continuous and adapted process with values in a separable Banach space E . Then, X has a progressively measurable modification.
12. **Cross quadratic variation.** Fixing a number $T > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_T^2(E)$ the space of all E -valued continuous, square integrable martingales M , such that $M(0) = 0$. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$, then there exists a unique increasing predictable process $\langle M(\cdot) \rangle$, starting from 0, such that the process

$$M^2(t) - \langle M(\cdot) \rangle, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{A.5})$$

is a continuous martingale. The process $\langle M(\cdot) \rangle$ is called the quadratic variation of M . If $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$, then the process

$$\langle M_1(t), M_2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\langle (M_1 + M_2)(t) \rangle - \langle (M_1 - M_2)(t) \rangle] \quad (\text{A.6})$$

is called the cross quadratic variation of M_1, M_2 . It is the unique, predictable process with trajectories of bounded variation, starting from 0 such that

$$M_1(t)M_2(t) - \langle M_1(t), M_2(t) \rangle, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{A.7})$$

is a continuous martingale.

For $M \in \mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is Hilbert space, the quadratic variation is defined by

$$\langle M(t) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle M_i(t), M_j(t) \rangle e_i \otimes e_j, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (\text{A.8})$$

as an integrable adapted process, where $M_i(t)$ and $M_j(t)$ are in $\mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$. If $a \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $b \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then $a \otimes b$ denotes a linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_2$ into $\mathcal{H}_1$ given by the formula

$$(a \otimes b)x = a \langle b, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}_2. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We define a cross quadratic variation for $M^1 \in \mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $M^2 \in \mathcal{M}_T^2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ where $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two Hilbert spaces. Namely, we define

$$\langle M^1(t), M^2(t) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle M_i^1(t), M_j^2(t) \rangle e_i^1 \otimes e_j^2, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $\{e_i^1\}$ and $\{e_j^2\}$ are complete orthonormal bases in $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$, respectively.

13. **Stochastic integral.** Let W be the Wiener process. Let $\Psi(t), t \in [0, T]$, be a measurable Hilbert–Schmidt operators in $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$, which is set in the space $\mathcal{L}_2$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 ds \right] := \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle \Psi(s), \Psi^*(s) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds < +\infty, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ means the inner product in $\mathcal{H}$. For the stochastic integral $\int_0^t \Psi dW$, there holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \Psi dW \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 ds \right]. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Furthermore, the following properties hold:

- Linearity: $\int (a\Psi_1 + b\Psi_2) dW = a \int \Psi_1 dW + b \int \Psi_2 dW$ for constants a and b ;
- Stopping property: $\int_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \Psi dW = \int \Psi dM^\tau = \int_0^{\wedge \tau} \Psi dW$;
- Itô-isometry: for every t ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \Psi dW \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 ds \right]. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

14. **Dirac measure.** Let $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ be a measurable space. Given $x \in E$, the Dirac measure δ_x at x is the measure defined by

$$\delta_x(A) := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

for each measurable set $A \subseteq E$. In this paper, there holds

$$\delta_{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{L}[\bar{\rho}](A) = \mathbb{P}[\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \bar{\rho}(x) \in A\}] = 1.$$

15. **Tightness of measures.** [1] Let E be a Hausdorff space, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a σ -algebra on E . Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a collection of measures defined on $\mathcal{E}$. The collection $\mathcal{M}$ is called tight if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact subset K_ε of E such that, for all measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$|\mu|(E \setminus K_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where $|\mu|$ is the total variation measure of μ . More specially, for probability measures μ , (A.15) can be written as

$$\mu(K_\varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

We list some important theorems in stochastic analysis.

1. **Itô's formula.** [31, 46] Assume that Ψ is an $\mathcal{L}_2$ -valued process stochastically integrable in $[0, T]$, φ being a $\mathcal{H}$ -valued predictable process Bochner integrable on $[0, T]$, $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., and $X(0)$ being a $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable $\mathcal{H}$ -valued random variable. Then, the following process

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds + \int_0^t \Psi(s) dW(s), \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{A.17})$$

is well defined. Assume that a function $F : [0, T] \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and its partial derivatives F_t, F_x, F_{xx} are uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $[0, T] \times \mathcal{H}$. Under the above conditions, $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, X(t)) &= F(0, X(0)) + \int_0^t \langle F_x(s, X(s)), \Psi(s) dW(s) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\{ F_t(s, X(s)) + \langle F_x(s, X(s)), \varphi(s) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{1}{2} F_{xx}(s, X(s)) \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \right\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Applying the above formula for $F = \langle x, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, we have Itô's formula for $\langle X, X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\langle X + Y, X + Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle X - Y, X - Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{4} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

in Hilbert space, the following Itô's formula holds for X and Y in form of (A.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle X_0, Y_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \int \langle X, dY \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds + \int \langle Y, dX \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds + \int d \langle \langle X, Y \rangle, \langle X, Y \rangle \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \langle X_0, Y_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \int \langle X, dY \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds + \int \langle Y, dX ds \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle \langle X, Y \rangle, \langle X, Y \rangle \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

where $\langle X, Y \rangle$ means the cross quadratic variation of X and Y defined above. In this paper, for the convenience of notations, we still use $\langle X, Y \rangle$ to denote $\langle \langle X, Y \rangle, \langle X, Y \rangle \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2. **Chebyshev's inequality.** Let Y be a random variable in probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $0 < r < \infty$, Chebyshev's inequality reads

$$\mathbb{P}[\{|Y| \geq \varepsilon\}] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^r} \mathbb{E}[|Y|^r]. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

3. **Burkholder–Davis–Gundy's inequality.** [5, 46] Let M be a continuous local martingale in $\mathcal{H}$. Let $M^* = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} |M(s)|$, $m \geq 1$. $\langle M \rangle_T$ denotes the quadratic variation stopped by T . Then, there exist constants K^m and K_m such that

$$K_m \mathbb{E}[(\langle M \rangle_T)^m] \leq \mathbb{E}[(M_T^*)^{2m}] \leq K^m \mathbb{E}[(\langle M \rangle_T)^m], \quad (\text{A.22})$$

for every stopping time T . For $m \geq 1$, $K^m = \left(\frac{2m}{2m-1} \right)^{\frac{2m(2m-2)}{2}}$, which is equivalent to e^m as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Specifically, for every $m \geq 1$, and for every $t \geq 0$, there holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s \Psi(s) dW(s) \right|^{2m} \right] \leq K^m \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 ds \right] \right)^m. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

4. **Stochastic Fubini theorem.** Assume that $(E, \mathcal{E})$ is a measurable space and let

$$\Psi : (t, \omega, x) \rightarrow \Psi(t, \omega, x)$$

be a measurable mapping from $(\Omega_T \times E, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T) \times \mathcal{B}(E))$ into $(\mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2))$. Moreover, assume that

$$\int_E \left[\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle \Psi(s), \Psi^*(s) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu(dx) < +\infty, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

then $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. there holds

$$\int_E \left[\int_0^T \Psi(t, x) dW(t) \right] \mu(dx) = \int_0^T \left[\int_E \Psi(t, x) \mu(dx) \right] dW(t). \quad (\text{A.25})$$

5. **Kolmogorov–Centov’s continuity theorem.** [32, 46] Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $\bar{X}$ a process on $[0, T]$ with values in a complete metric space $(E, \mathcal{E})$. Suppose that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\bar{X}_t - \bar{X}_s|^a] \leq C|t - s|^{1+b}, \quad (\text{A.26})$$

for every $s < t \leq T$ and some strictly positive constants a, b and C . Then, $\bar{X}$ admits a continuous modification X , $\mathbb{P}[\{X_t = \bar{X}_t\}] = 1$ for every t , and X is locally Hölder continuous for every exponent $0 < \gamma < \frac{b}{a}$, namely,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \omega : \sum_{0 < t-s < h(\omega), t, s \leq T} \frac{|X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^\gamma} \leq \delta \right\} \right] = 1, \quad (\text{A.27})$$

where $h(\omega)$ is an strictly positive random variable a.s., and the constant satisfies $\delta > 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their thanks to Prof. Deng Zhang for the valuable discussions. This work was commenced when L. Zhang studied in McGill University as a joint Ph.D. training student. She would like to express her gratitude to McGill University. The research of Y. Li was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 12371221, 12161141004, and 11831011. Y. Li was also grateful to the supports by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and Shanghai Frontiers Science Center of Modern Analysis. The research of M. Mei was supported by NSERC of Canada grant RGPIN 2022-03374 and NNSFC of China grant No. W2431005.

JOURNAL INFORMATION

The *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* is wholly owned and managed by the London Mathematical Society, a not-for-profit Charity registered with the UK Charity Commission. All surplus income from its publishing programme is used to support mathematicians and mathematics research in the form of research grants, conference grants, prizes, initiatives for early career researchers and the promotion of mathematics.

ORCID

Lizhen Zhang  <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1316-218X>

REFERENCES

1. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2013.
2. P. D. Bisschop and E. Hendrickx, *Stochastic effects in EUV lithography*, Proceedings of SPIE, Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) Lithography IX, Advanced Lithography 2018, San Jose, CA, 2018, no. 105831K.

3. D. Breit, E. Feireisl, and M. Hofmanová, *Stochastically forced compressible fluid flows*, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin, 2018.
4. D. Breit, E. Feireisl, M. Hofmanová, and B. Maslowski, *Stationary solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system driven by stochastic forces*, Probab. Theory. Relat. Fields. **174** (2019), no. 3–4, 981–1032.
5. D. L. Burkholder, B. J. Davis, and R. F. Gundy, *Integral inequalities for convex functions of operators on martingales*, Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Berkeley, vol. 2 (1972), 223–240.
6. A. B. Cruzeiro, *Solutions et mesures invariantes pour des equations stochastiques du type Navier–Stokes*, Expo. Math. **7** (1989), 73–82.
7. P. Degond and P. A. Markowich, *On a one-dimensional steady-state hydrodynamic model*, Appl. Math. Lett. **3** (1990), 25–29.
8. W. Doeblin, *Sur deux problèmes de m. Kolmogoroff concernant les chaînes dénombrables*, Bull. Soc. Math. **66** (1938), 210–220.
9. D. Donatelli, M. Mei, B. Rubino, and R. Sampalmieri, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem of Euler–Poisson equations*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), 3150–3184.
10. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1953.
11. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1957.
12. F. Flandoli, *Dissipativity and invariant measures for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **1** (1994), 403–423.
13. F. Flandoli and D. Gatarek, *Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **102** (1995), 367–391.
14. F. Flandoli and D. Luo, *High mode transport noise improves vorticity blow-up control in 3D Navier–Stokes equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **180** (2021), 309–363.
15. F. Flandoli and M. Romito, *Markov selections for the 3D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **140** (2008), 407–458.
16. N. E. Glatt-Holtz and V. Vicol, *Local and global existence of smooth solutions for the stochastic Euler equations with multiplicative noise*, Ann. Probab. **42** (2014), 80–145.
17. B. Goldys and B. Maslowski, *Exponential ergodicity for stochastic Burgers and 2D Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **226** (2005), no. 1, 230–255.
18. Y. Guo and W. Strauss, *Stability of semiconductor states with insulating and contact boundary conditions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **179** (2006), 0–30.
19. P. Halmos, *An ergodic theorem*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **32** (1946), 156–161.
20. P. Halmos, *Invariant measures*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 735–754.
21. T. E. Harris, *The existence of stationary measures for certain Markov processes*, Proceedings of the 3rd Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Berkeley (1956), no. 2, 113–124.
22. T. E. Harris and H. E. Robbins, *Ergodic theory of Markov chains admitting an infinite invariant measure*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **39** (1953), 860–864.
23. H. Hattori, *Stability and instability of steady-state solutions for a hydrodynamic model of semiconductors*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math. **127** (1997), no. 4, 781–796.
24. M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu, and X. Zhu, *Non-unique ergodicity for deterministic and stochastic 3D Navier–Stokes and Euler equations*, arxiv: 2208.08290.
25. M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu, and X. Zhu, *On ill- and well-posedness of dissipative martingale solutions to stochastic 3D Euler equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **75** (2022), 2446–2510.
26. E. Hopf, *Theory of measure and invariant integrals*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 373–393.
27. F. Huang, M. Mei, and Y. Wang, *Large-time behavior of solutions to n-dimensional bipolar hydrodynamical model of semiconductors*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43** (2011), 1595–1630.
28. F. Huang, M. Mei, Y. Wang, and T. Yang, *Long-time behavior of solutions for bipolar hydrodynamic model of semiconductors with boundary effects*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44** (2012), 1134–1164.
29. F. Huang, M. Mei, Y. Wang, and H. Yu, *Asymptotic convergence to planar stationary waves for multi-dimensional unipolar hydrodynamic model of semiconductors*, J. Differential Equations **251** (2011), 1305–1331.
30. F. Huang, M. Mei, Y. Wang, and H. Yu, *Asymptotic convergence to stationary waves for unipolar hydrodynamic model of semiconductors*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43** (2011), no. 1, 411–429.
31. K. Itô, *Stochastic integral*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 519–524.

32. I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Springer, New York, 1988.
33. S. Kawashima, *Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Tokyo, 1984.
34. S. Kawashima, Y. Nikkuni, and S. Nishibata, *Large-time behavior of solutions to hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **170** (2003), 297–329.
35. N. Krylov and N. Bogoliubov, *La théorie générale de la mesure dans son application à l'étude des systèmes de la mécanique nonlinéaire*, Ann. Math. **38** (1937), 65–113.
36. H. Li, P. Markowich, and M. Mei, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the hydrodynamic model of semiconductors*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **132** (2002), no. 2, 359–378.
37. Y. Li, M. Mei, and L. Zhang, *Stationary solution to stochastically forced Euler-Poisson equations in bounded domain: Part I. 3-D insulating boundary*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **45** (2025), no. 10, 3877–3920.
38. T. Luo and H. Zeng, *Global existence of smooth solutions and convergence to Barenblatt solutions for the physical vacuum free boundary problem of compressible Euler equations with damping*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), 1354–1396.
39. P. A. Markowich, *On steady state Euler-Poisson models for semiconductors*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **42** (1991), 389–407.
40. J. Mattingly, *Exponential convergence for the stochastically forced Navier–Stokes equations and other partially dissipative dynamics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **230** (2002), no. 3, 421–462.
41. M. Mei, X. Wu, and Y. Zhang, *Stability of steady-state for 3-D hydrodynamic model of unipolar semiconductor with Ohmic contact boundary in hollow ball*, J. Differential Equations **277** (2021), 57–113.
42. R. Meng, L. Mai, and M. Mei, *Free boundary value problem for damped euler equations and related models with vacuum*, J. Differential Equations **321** (2022), 349–380.
43. S. Nishibata and M. Suzuki, *Asymptotic stability of a stationary solution to a hydrodynamic model of semiconductors*, Osaka J. Math. **44** (2007), 639–665.
44. T. Ogawa, T. Suguro, and H. Wakui, *Finite time blow up and concentration phenomena for a solution to drift-diffusion equations in higher dimensions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **62** (2022), no. 47, 1–34.
45. G. Da Prato and A. Debussche, *Ergodicity for the 3D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **82** (2003), 877–947.
46. G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
47. T. Sideris, B. Thomases, and D. Wang, *Long time behavior of solutions to the 3d compressible Euler equations with damping*, Comm. Partial Differ. Equ. **28** (2003), 795–816.
48. H. Tang, *On the stochastic Euler-Poincaré equations driven by pseudo-differential/multiplicative noise*, J. Funct. Anal. **285** (2023), no. 9–110075, 1–61.
49. D. Wang and G.-Q. Chen, *Formation of singularities in compressible Euler-Poisson fluids with heat diffusion and damping relaxation*, J. Differential Equations **144** (1998), 44–65.
50. H. Zeng, *Global solution to the physical vacuum problem of compressible Euler equations with damping and gravity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **55** (2023), 6375–6424.