189.523B Assignment #2 (corrected 20001.02.06) Due Friday 9 Febuary 2001 in class

Notes:

- This Assignment comprises 5 questions on 3 pages.
- The data are available in R format with the extension .dput or in text format with the extension .dat with the first row containing the variable names.
- Data files in R format can be read in using the dget command and attach'ed as in Assignment 1.
- If you use the R format, be aware that the factors are not coded as such. You will have to modify them appropriately.
- 1. The data in malepigs.* and fempigs.* are from an experimental piggery arranged for individual feeding of six pigs in each of five pens (covariate pen). From each of five litter, six young pigs, three males and three females, were selected and allotted to one of the pens. Three feeding treatments denoted by 1, 2, 3 (covariate food), containing increasing proportions $(p_1 < p_2 < p_3)$ of protein, were used and each given to one male and one female in each pen. The pigs were individually weighed each week for 16 weeks. For each pig, the growth rate in pounds per week (covariate growth) was calculated as the slope of a line fitted by least-squares. The weight of each pig at the beginning of the experiment is also included (covariate weight). The variables in each of the files are summarized in the following table:

		Factor/		
Column	Var. name	Continous	Description	Values
Col. 1	food:	Factor	Type of food	1,2,3
Col. 2	pen:	Factor	Pen number	1,2,3,4,5
Col. 3	growth:	Continuous	Average growth rate	(in lbs/wk)
Col. 4	weight:	Continuous	Original weight	(in lbs)

The male students should use malepigs.* and the female students fempigs.*. Use the data to show that

- (a) pen and food are orthogonal for the outcome growth with respect to the intercept.
- (b) pen and food are not orthogonal for the outcome growth with respect to both the intercept and weight.

2. We wish to choose between the two models

$$M_1 : \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = x_{i,1}\beta_1 + x_{i,2}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{i,p}\beta_p$$

 $M_2 : \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = x_{i,1}\beta_1 + x_{i,2}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{i,p}\beta_p + x_{i,p+1}\beta_{p+1}$

for i = 1, ..., n, where the $x_{i,j}$ and β_j , j = 1, ..., p+1, are respectively covariates and unknown scalars.

Show that the model chosen by the criterion $\widehat{\text{MSEP}}_3$ is approximately the same as that which is chosen by a t-test of the hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_{p+1} = 0$$

at the $\sqrt{2}$ critical value (i.e., we choose M_1 if $|t| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and M_2 if $|t| > \sqrt{2}$, for $t = \hat{\beta}_{p+1}/\widehat{\text{s.e.}}(\hat{\beta}_{p+1})$).

- 3. Show that \widehat{MSEP}_2 (also known as PRESS) is a slightly upwardly biased estimator of MSEP.
- 4. The file cars.* contains data on cars that were advertised for sale in a French newspaper in September 1985. There are 164 observations, each containing 4 variables:

Column	Var. name	Factor/ Continous	Description	Values
Col. 1	type:	Factor	Type of car	1=Peugeot 104
	31		J I	2= Citroën 2CV
				3= Peugeot 304/305
				4= Renault 4
				5= Renault 5
				6 = Peugeot 504/505
				7= Renault 18
Col. 2	year:	Continuous	Year of car	$66, 67, \dots, 85$
Col. 3	kilo:	Continuous	Kilometrage	(in 1000km)
Col. 4	price:	Continuous	Asking price	(in 1000F)

We are interested in the relation between price and the other variables.

- (a) Make a plot of price against year. Does there seem to be a strong linear relationship?
- (b) Calculate the variable logpr<-log(price) and produce a plot of logpr against year. Notice the improvement in linearity. Test that there is a significant linear relationship between logpr and year.
- (c) Is it possible that there are different basic prices for each type of car? Fit a model with different intercepts according to type, and test the hypothesis

- that these intercepts are really different, assuming (for the moment) that the linear relationship with year is the same for each type.
- (d) Do the different types of car depreciate in value at the same rate? Test the hypothesis that the linear effect of year is the same for all types of car, or, if you like, that there is an interaction between type and year. Which type of car depreciates the most? the least?
- (e) Is there an effect of kilo on logpr allowing for type, year and their interaction?
- (f) Is there an interaction between kilo and type, allowing for the variables fitted in part (e)?
- 5. (a) Among the models that you have fitted in Question 4. (and any others that you think are reasonable), which is the best from the point of view of prediction? Use the MSEP criterion.
 - (b) A particular Renault 5, having logged 45,000 km, was purchased in France in 1979 for 16,000F. Use the model chosen in part (a) to predict the asking price for such a car.

End of Assignment 2.