

Reviewing end of Lecture 9.

Lemma 1. Let Γ be a finite angled graph, whose angle girth is $\geq 2\pi$, i.e. $|\sigma|_{\angle} \geq 2\pi$ for each immersed closed path σ . Then there exists a constant $c_2 \leq 0$ such that $|\gamma|_{\angle} \geq \pi - c_2$ for arbitrary immersed path $\gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

PROOF. (figure) Divide γ into closed paths and two “tails”, which give some bounded negative contribution.

EXERCISE. Write the proof.

Lemma 2. If X satisfies negative weight test and X is compact, then $\exists K = K(X)$ such that $\text{Area}(D) \leq K|\partial_P D|$ for any near-immersed $D \rightarrow X$.

PROOF. Without loss of generality assume D is non-singular. Pull back angles to D . Apply combinatorial Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

$$2\pi = 2\pi\chi(D) = \sum_f \kappa(f) + \sum_{v \in \partial D} \kappa(v) + \sum_{v \in \text{Int} D} \kappa(v)$$

(figure: D)

Let c_1, c_2 be such that $\kappa(f) \leq -c_1 < 0$, c_2 as in lemma 1 applied to any link $(x), x \in X^0$. $|\gamma|_{\angle} \geq \pi - c_2$, so $\kappa(v) = \pi - |\gamma|_{\angle} \leq c_2$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &\leq c_1 \text{Area}(D) + c_2 |\partial_P D| + 0 \\ c_1 \text{Area}(D) &\leq c_2 |\partial_P D| - 2\pi \\ \text{Area}(D) &\leq \frac{c_2}{c_1} |\partial_P D| \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_g | a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_g^2 \rangle$, whose standard complex is genus $2g$ non-orientable surface. It is $(2g, 2g)$ -complex, and corresponding disk diagram is a $2g$ -gon.

$g = 2$ $(4, 4)$ -complex — nonpositive weight test,

$g \geq 3$ $(2g, 2g)$ -complex — negative weight test.

EXERCISE. Let $0 < g < h$ and Σ_g denote genus g surface. There is no injection $\pi_1 \Sigma_g \hookrightarrow \pi_1 \Sigma_h$ (both surfaces orientable or both non-orientable).

Dehn Complex of a Link.

EXAMPLE. (Max Dehn) Naturally occurring $(4, 4)$ -complexes.

(figure: knot)

Knot is embedding $S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, or $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^3$. (Smooth or piecewise linear.)

Link is embedding $\sqcup S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ is a nice presentation of a link.

(figure: knot over a plane) Imagine link L covering above or below a “projection plane” $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

On the plane, triple crossings are not allowed (see figure)

(figure: allowed crossing, forbidden crossing)

Since valency of each vertex is 4, projection has a checkerboard coloring.

Dehn complex D is determined by the following:

two 0-cells α, β above and below \mathbb{R}^2 ;

1-cells correspond to regions in projection;

2-cells correspond to crossing points in projection. They come in two flavors, depending on coloring of crossing point neighborhood (see figure). 2-cells are attached to four 1-cells each as shown (see figure).

(figure: two types of crossing)

(figure: attaching a 2-cell)

(figure: Dehn complex)

Theorem 1. $D \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L)$ induces a π_1 -isomorphism $\pi_1 D \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L)$.

Definition 1. *Link projection is alternating, if each circle alternatively travels above and below at crossing.*

(figure: alternating link projection)

Definition 2. *Link projection is prime, if every circle C in \mathbb{R}^2 , which intersects the projection in two regular points, has a simple arc on one side.*

(figure: prime link projection)

A projection is not prime iff it is a “connected sum” of two projections.

Theorem 2. *If projection is prime and alternating, then Dehn complex D is $(4, 4)$ -complex.*

PROOF. Each 2-cell has ≥ 2 sides. We need to check that $\text{link}(\alpha), \text{link}(\beta)$ have girth ≥ 4 . Examine $\text{link}(\alpha)$ ($\text{link}(\beta)$ similar).

(figure)

In fact, $\text{link}(\alpha)$ inbeds in the projection picture. Checkerboard coloring gives bipartite structure to $\text{link}(\alpha)$. Therefore, there are no cycles of length 1, 3. Then girth ≥ 4 if no bigons. Two types of bigons:

(figure) — not alternating

(figure) — not prime

EXERCISE. Think through logical details.

□

4-valent graph \rightarrow make alternating projection \rightarrow Dehn $(4, 4)$ -complex.

Quadratic isoperimetric function for $(4, 4)$ -complex. Let D be a disc diagram, whose 2-cells are squares, 0-cells have links of girth ≥ 4 . D has a system of “dual curves”, each curve passing through opposite sides of squares (see figure).

(figure: disc diagram with dual curves)

Lemma 3. *No dual curve is a circle. (figure)*

Lemma 4. *No dual curve self-intersects. (figure)*

Lemma 5. *No two dual curves intersect in a bigon. (figure)*

Lemma 6. *No two three curves intersect in a triangle. (figure)*

PROOF. Subdivide D along dual curves. Consider a subdiagram E bounded by dual curves. Note: E is a $(4, 4)$ -complex. Apply combinatorial Gauss-Bonnet theorem to E :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\chi(E) &= \sum_{v \in \partial E} \kappa(v) + \sum_{v \in \text{Int} E} \kappa(v) + \sum_f \kappa(f) \\ 2\pi \leq &= \sum_{v \in \partial E} \kappa(v) + (\leq 0) + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: E has at least four $\frac{\pi}{2}$ corners.

Finally we show that $\text{Area}(D) \leq (|\partial_P D|)^2$ (without loss of generality D is non-singular). Each 2-cell in D gives distinct dual curves (by lemma 4). By lemma 3, these curves hit the boundary. By lemma 5, the map $\{2\text{-cells}\} \rightarrow \{\text{distinct pairs of dual curves}\}$ is injective.

(figure: square and corresponding dual curves)

This gives inequality:

$$\text{Area}(D) \leq \left(\frac{\partial_P D}{2}\right)^2 \leq |\partial_P D|^2$$

□

EXERCISE. Find an interesting (thick) $(3, 6)$ -complex.