

P174, #24(a). After a rotation and a translation, we may express S as a graph over $\mathbb{R}^2_{(x,y)}$, where $p=(0,0)$, $\mathbb{R}^2_{(x,y)}$ is the tangent plane of S at p . (Why?). So, locally, $S = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid z = f(x,y)\}$ and at $(0,0)$, $z=0$, and $\nabla f(0,0) = (0,0)$ (since $\mathbb{R}^2_{(x,y)}$ is the tangent plane). So at p , the principal curvatures of S are the eigenvalues of $(\nabla^2 f(0,0))$. After one more rotation, we may assume $(\nabla^2 f(0,0)) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{pmatrix}$. By the assumption, $k_1, k_2 > 0$. they have the same sign, by Taylor expansion

$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 x^2 + k_2 y^2) + O((x^2+y^2)^{3/2})$, now it's clear either $f(x,y) \geq 0$ (near $(0,0)$) or $f(x,y) \leq 0$ and strict inequality if $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$.

(b). following the same argument as in (a)

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 x^2 + k_2 y^2) + O((x^2+y^2)^{3/2})$$

If S is locally convex, either $f(x,y) \geq 0$ for $\forall (x,y)$ close to $(0,0)$, or $f(x,y) \leq 0$ $\forall (x,y)$ close to $(0,0)$.

Now, we can deduce k_1, k_2 must have the same sign (or one of them is 0).

P212, #13(a), ~~Since S is without umbilical point, $\forall p \in S$, we may find a ^{local orthogonal} parametrization such that $\vec{e}_1$ and $\vec{e}_2$ are the directions of line of curvatures, i.e. $dN_p(\vec{e}_i) = k_i \vec{e}_i$, k_i the principal curvatures ($i=1,2$). Now $\forall w_1, w_2 \in T_p S$, $dN_p(w_i) = a_i \vec{e}_1 + b_i \vec{e}_2$, so $\langle dN_p(\vec{w}_1), dN_p(\vec{w}_2) \rangle = a_1 a_2 k_1^2 + b_1 b_2 k_2^2$~~

$$= \cancel{(a_1 a_2 k_1^2 + b_1 b_2 k_2^2)} k_1^2 \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \rangle + (k_1^2 - k_2^2) b_1 b_2$$

Now, it is a simple algebra that

$$k_1^2 \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \rangle + (k_1^2 - k_2^2) b_1 b_2 = \lambda \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \rangle, \quad \forall a_i, b_i$$

if and only if ~~$k_1 = k_2$~~ and $k_1 = -k_2$, i.e. $H=0$.

(b). follows from (a).

P228. #14, P. 228 #4 direct computations.

P228. #18. as $E = \lambda^2 \bar{E}$, $F = \lambda^2 \bar{F}$, $G = \lambda^2 \bar{G} \Rightarrow dA = \sqrt{G\bar{E} - F^2} du dv$
 $= \lambda^2 \sqrt{\bar{G}\bar{E} - \bar{F}^2} du dv = \lambda^2 d\bar{A} \Rightarrow \forall \delta > 0$.

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \lambda^2 \sqrt{\bar{G}\bar{E} - \bar{F}^2} du dv = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \sqrt{\bar{G}\bar{E} - \bar{F}^2} du dv \quad \text{by assumption}$$

$$\text{dividing by } \delta^2, \delta \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 \sqrt{\bar{G}\bar{E} - \bar{F}^2} = \sqrt{\bar{G}\bar{E} - \bar{F}^2} \Rightarrow \lambda^2 = 1$$

P237. #1. $\because F \equiv 0$, $P_{11}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_u}{E}$, $P_{11}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{E_v}{G}$, $P_{12}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_v}{E}$, $P_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_u}{G}$
 $P_{11}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_u}{E}$, $P_{22}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_v}{G}$. Put this to the Gauss formula
 (5) in page 234)

#2. follows from #1.

P260. #2 $k^2 = k_g^2 + k_n^2$, $k \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow k_g \equiv 0, k_n \equiv 0$.