

# SPECTRAL SEQUENCES FOR SURFACES

ANDREW ARCHIBALD

Let  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  be surfaces over  $\mathbb{k}$ , and suppose  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a birational morphism. Suppose also that there is a finite set of closed points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $f$  is an isomorphism from  $\tilde{X} \setminus f^{-1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$  to  $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . For example,  $X$  could be a singular surface, and  $\tilde{X}$  could be a resolved model of  $X$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -modules on  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $f_*(\mathcal{F})$  is a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$ . One might well want to ask how  $H^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$  and  $H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{F})$  are related, especially when  $i = 0$ .

## 1. SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

We can apply the Leray spectral sequence as discussed in [God58, II.4.17]. There the author constructs a sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})$  on  $X$  as the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^q(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}|_U).$$

By [Har77, Prop. III.8.1], this is just  $R^q f_*\mathcal{F}$ .

Then the Leray spectral sequence tells us that

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Before we look at what this actually tells us, let us examine the groups involved. First of all, since we are dealing with surfaces, all the nonzero groups will have  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ . We know  $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{F}) = R^0 f_*\mathcal{F} = f_*\mathcal{F}$ . But what is  $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})$ ? Let  $V = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then we have seen already that  $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F}) = R^i f_*\mathcal{F}$ , and by [Har77, Cor. III.8.2] we have  $R^i f_*\mathcal{F}|_U = R^i f'_*(\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(U)})$ , where  $f' : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is the restriction of  $f$ . But by assumption  $f'$  is an isomorphism, so  $f'_*$  is exact and  $R^i f'_*\mathcal{F} = 0$ . In particular, if  $x \in U$ , the stalk  $R^i f'_*\mathcal{F}_x$  is zero. So  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F})$  is supported on a set of dimension zero. Thus  $H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})) = 0$  for  $p > 0$  (to see this, take a Čech cover consisting of  $V$  and an affine neighborhood of each  $x_i$ ). Finally, we know the fibers of  $f$  have dimension at most one, so since  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent, by [Har77, Cor. III.11.2] all the nonzero terms will have  $0 \leq q \leq 1$ . Thus the nonzero terms of the spectral sequence look like:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F})) & & & & \\ & \searrow & d & \searrow & \\ & & & & \\ H^0(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) & & H^1(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & H^2(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

Since  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are varieties over  $\mathbb{k}$ , all these objects are naturally  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector spaces, which will be finite-dimensional since  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent ([Har77, Thm. III.5.2]). Write  $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F}))$  as  $M(\mathcal{F})$ , for convenience.

*Remark 1.1.* Let  $X$  be a surface and let  $\tilde{X}$  be a resolved model of  $X$ . Then, by the definition of rational singularities,  $R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$  if and only if all the singularities of

$X$  are rational. Since  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  is supported on a finite set of points, this occurs if and only if  $H^0(X, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ .

As we can see from the map  $d$ , this spectral sequence has not converged yet, but it will if we extract cohomology one more time. The fully converged object looks like:

$$\ker d$$

$$H^0(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) \quad H^1(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) \quad H^2(X, f_* \mathcal{F})/\mathrm{im} d$$

Now, as is usual with spectral sequences, we get formulas relating the fully converged terms and the values to which the spectral sequence converges. In particular,  $H^0(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $H^2(X, f_* \mathcal{F})/\mathrm{im} d \cong H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$ , and we have an injection  $\ker d \hookrightarrow H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$ , which gives  $H^1(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})/\ker d$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $m = \dim \mathrm{im} d$ , so that  $0 \leq m \leq \dim M(\mathcal{F})$ . Then we have shown:*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \dim H^1(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) + m - \dim M(\mathcal{F}) \\ \dim H^2(X, f_* \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) - m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\chi(f_* \mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathcal{F}) + \dim M(\mathcal{F}).$$

We will obtain a description of  $M(\mathcal{F})$  in Section 2.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Recall that  $p_a$  is the arithmetic genus of a scheme. We have:*

$$p_a(X) = p_a(\tilde{X}) + \dim M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}).$$

*Proof.* Consider this last formula for  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ . We know  $f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ , so we get  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) + \dim M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ . Recalling that the arithmetic genus of a variety  $Y$  is defined by  $p_a(X) = (-1)^{\dim Y} (\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) - 1)$ , we see that

$$p_a(X) = p_a(\tilde{X}) + \dim M(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}). \quad \square$$

## 2. UNDERSTANDING $M(\mathcal{F})$

It is clear that an understanding of  $M(\mathcal{F})$  would greatly aid calculations comparing cohomology groups.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\widehat{\tilde{X}}_i$  denote the formal scheme obtained by completing  $\tilde{X}$  along  $f^{-1}(x_i)$ , and let  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_i$  denote  $v^* \mathcal{F}$ , where  $v: \widehat{\tilde{X}}_i \rightarrow X$  is the canonical map. Similarly, let  $\widehat{X}$  be the formal completion of  $X$  along  $f^{-1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$  be the corresponding sheaf. Then*

$$M(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_i H^1(\widehat{\tilde{X}}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_i) = H^1(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}).$$

*Remark 2.2.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf of abelian groups on a topological space  $T$  whose stalks are all zero except for the stalk at  $t$ , which is  $G$ . Then, recalling the description of a sheaf in terms of its espace étalé ([Har77, Ex. I.1.13], we see that

$$\mathcal{G}(U) = \begin{cases} G & \text{if } t \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that if  $t$  were not a closed point, then for  $t_0 \neq t$  in its closure,

$$\mathcal{G}_{t_0} = \varprojlim_{U \ni t_0} \mathcal{G}(U) = \varprojlim_{U \ni t_0} G = G,$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathcal{G}_t$  was the only nonzero stalk.

*Proof of proposition 2.1.* Recall  $M(\mathcal{F})$  is defined to be  $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F}))$ . But  $H^0(X, \cdot) = \Gamma(X, \cdot)$ , the global sections functor. Also, we had that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F})$  was the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^q(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}|_U),$$

and its stalk was zero everywhere except (possibly) for  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{F}) = R^1 f_* \mathcal{F}$  we see from [Har77, Cor. III.8.6] that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent, so is  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $\text{Spec } A$  be any affine scheme, and let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module, so that  $\widetilde{M}$  (the sheaf associated to  $M$ ) is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\text{Spec } A$ . Suppose that  $\widetilde{M}$  has exactly one nonzero stalk, at a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then, as an abelian group,  $\widetilde{M}(U) = M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for every  $U$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Choosing  $U = \text{Spec } A$ , we see  $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = \widetilde{M}(\text{Spec } A) = M$ .

Let  $m \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $U = \text{Spec } A \setminus \{\mathfrak{p} \ni m\}$  is an open set in  $\text{Spec } A$  which is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec } A_m$ . By our choice of  $m$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} \not\subset U$  so  $0 = \widetilde{M}(U) = M_m$  and for every  $\mu \in M$ ,  $m^n \mu = 0$  for some  $n$ .

Now, let us look again at the sheaf  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ . Write  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} = \oplus \mathcal{M}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is a sheaf whose only nonzero stalk is at  $x_i$ . Each  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is then completely described by an  $\mathcal{O}_{X, x_i}$ -module  $M_i$ .

Can we describe these modules  $M_i$  in more detail? We can apply [Har77, Thm. III.11.1], which states that

$$\varprojlim R^1 f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n = H^1(\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{F}),$$

where  $\widehat{X}_i$  is the formal scheme constructed by completing  $X$  along  $f^{-1}(x_i)$ .

First consider the sheaves on  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i}$  given by  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n$ . We see that  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n = \mathcal{M}_i / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n \mathcal{M}$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n, R^1 f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n) &= \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n, \mathcal{M}_i / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n \mathcal{M}) \\ &= M_i / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n M_i \end{aligned}$$

But since every element  $\mu$  of  $M_i$  is annihilated by a high enough power of every element of  $\mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}$  and all our rings are Noetherian, we see that

$$\varprojlim R^1 f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, x_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_i}^n = M_i.$$

So we see that

$$M(\mathcal{F}) = H^0(X, R^1 f_* (\mathcal{F})) = \oplus_i H^1(\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{F}).$$

The final equality we observe by noticing that the preimages of the  $x_i$  are disjoint closed sets.  $\square$

3. UNDERSTANDING  $d$ 

It would certainly be nice to understand the kernel and image of  $d$  better, or at least know their dimensions.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  be local complete intersections, and suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. Then  $d = 0$  and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) &\cong H^0(\tilde{X}, f_*\mathcal{F}) \\ H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})/M(\mathcal{F}) &\cong H^1(\tilde{X}, f_*\mathcal{F}) \\ H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) &\cong H^2(\tilde{X}, f_*\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence due to the fact that we're dealing with a spectral sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d} H^2(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

We can append the final zero (which is normally not present) because in our case we know  $H^2(X, f_*\mathcal{F})/\text{im } d \cong H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$ . We see that  $\text{im } d$  is the kernel of the map induced by  $f_*$  on the second cohomology groups, and so  $\dim \text{im } d = \dim H^2(X, f_*\mathcal{F}) - \dim H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})$ .

Now, by assumption  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are local complete intersections. Then for  $\tilde{X}$  there is a dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ , and  $f_*\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \omega_X$  is a dualizing sheaf for  $X$  ([Arc03]).

We have also assumed that  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. Then clearly  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free.<sup>1</sup> Using Serre duality ([Har77, Sec. III.7]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}) \\ &= \dim H^0(X, f_*(\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}})) \\ &= \dim H^0(X, f_*(\mathcal{F})^\vee \otimes f_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}})) \\ &= \dim H^0(X, f_*(\mathcal{F})^\vee \otimes \omega_X) \\ &= \dim H^2(X, f_*(\mathcal{F})). \end{aligned}$$

Together, this implies that  $d = 0$  for every such sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . □

A good example of such a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $f^*\mathcal{G}$  for some invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$ . Unfortunately, for the purposes of intersection theory one is often interested in sheaves on  $X$  that are not locally principal — these correspond to divisors that are not linearly equivalent to any divisor missing the singularities.

## REFERENCES

- [Arc03] Andrew Archibald. Intersection theory on surfaces. Master's thesis, McGill University, May 2003. Available at <http://www.math.mcgill.ca/~archibal/>.
- [God58] R. Godement. *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*. Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1252. Publ. Math. Univ. Strasbourg. No. 13. Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE ST. W., MONTRÉAL, CANADA  
E-mail address: archibal@math.mcgill.ca

<sup>1</sup>The converse is certainly not true: if we take a divisor on  $\tilde{X}$  passing through the exceptional fiber, its push-forward may well yield a Weil divisor that is not locally principal.