

Let  $A$  strongly elliptic with smooth coefficients of order  $2m$  and

$$Au = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u \in H^m(\Omega).$$

We want to prove if  $f \in H^s \implies u \in H^{s+2m}$ .

## 0.1 Interior Regularity

$$f \in H_{loc}^s(\Omega) \implies H_{loc}^{s+2m}(\Omega).$$

**Definition 1.**  $v \in H_{loc}^s(\Omega) \iff \varphi v \in H^s$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

Simplified picture:

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta + 1)u &= f, \quad u \in L^2(\Omega), \quad f \in H_0^s(\Omega). \\ &\implies (|\xi|^2 + 1)\widehat{u}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \\ \|u\|_{H^{s+2}} &= \|\langle \xi \rangle^{s+2} \widehat{u}\|_{L^2} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^{s+2-2} \widehat{f}\| = \|f\|_{H^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose

$$(-\Delta + 1 - a)u = f, \quad u \in L_{loc}^2(\Omega), \quad f \in H_{loc}^s(\Omega),$$

$\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\varphi u) &= \varphi \Delta u + [\Delta, \varphi]u = \varphi \Delta u + 2\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u + u \Delta \varphi \\ (-\Delta + 1)(\varphi u) &= \varphi f - a\varphi u - 2\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u - u \Delta \varphi = g \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g \in H_0^1(\Omega)' &\implies \varphi \varphi u \in H^1 \quad \forall \varphi \\ &\implies u \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega) \\ &\implies g \in L_{loc}^2 \\ &\implies \varphi u \in H^2 \quad \forall \varphi \\ &\implies u \in H_{loc}^2 \\ &\dots \text{ iteratively.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies u \in H_{loc}^{s+2}(\Omega)$$

This procedure is called **boot strapping**. More generally, define

$$\delta_j^h u(x) = \frac{u(x + e_j h) - u(x)}{h}$$

**Lemma 1.**  $u \in H^s \implies \delta_j^h u \rightarrow \partial_j u$  in  $H^{s-1}$

*Proof.*

$$\widehat{\delta_j^h u}(\xi) = h^{-1}(e^{i\xi_j h} - 1)\widehat{u}(\xi)$$

$(e^{i\xi_j h})$  Taylor series)  $\rightarrow i\xi_j \widehat{u}(\xi) = \widehat{\partial_j u}(\xi)$  a.e.  $\xi$ .

$$\int \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1} |h^{-1}(e^{i\xi_j h} - 1) - i\xi_j| |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow 0$$

since  $|h^{-1}(e^{i\xi_j h} - 1) - i\xi_j| = \underbrace{|\xi_j|\theta^{-1}(e^{i\theta} - 1) - i|}_{< \infty} \leq C|\xi|$ , which follows from

$$\frac{e^{i\theta} - 1}{\theta} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *A is elliptic of order q, with smooth coefficients.*

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|Au\|_{H^{s-q}} + \|u\|_{L^2}$$

$s \geq 0$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Constant coefficients.  $A = a_q(D)$ . Ellipticity implies

$$|a_q(\xi)| \geq c|\xi|^q$$

$$\implies \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \int (1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int |\xi|^{2s-2q} |a_q(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

(This proof is similar to that of Garding)

$$= \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Au\|_{H^{s-q}}^2$$

For general case, localize and freeze coefficients. □

**Theorem 1.** *A elliptic of order q with smooth coefficients.*

$$Au = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u \in L_{loc}^2(\Omega), \quad f \in H_{loc}^s(\Omega).$$

Then,  $u \in H_{loc}^{s+q}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* We first consider the case  $u \in H_0^{s+q-1}(B_r)$ , with  $r > 0$  small. Suppose

$$A = \sum a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha.$$

Define

$$A_j^h = \sum a_\alpha(x + e_j h) \partial^\alpha$$

$$\implies A_j^h u(x + e_j h) = f(x + e_j h) \quad (\text{Note } f \in H_0^s(\Omega)).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\frac{A_j^h - A}{h} u(x + e_j h)}_{\substack{\text{converges in } H^{s-1} \\ \text{because } \delta_k^j a_\alpha \rightarrow \dots \text{ in } C^\infty \\ \text{and } \partial^\alpha u(x + e_j h) \rightarrow \dots \text{ in } H^{s-1}}} + \underbrace{A \delta_j^h u}_{\text{converges in } H^{s-1}} &= \underbrace{\delta_j^h f}_{\text{converges in } H^{s-1} \text{ by lemma}} \\ \|\delta_j^h u\|_{H^{s+q-1}} &\lesssim \|A \delta_j^h u\|_{H^{s-1}} + \underbrace{\|\delta_j^h u\|_{L^2}}_{\lesssim r^{s+q-1} \|\delta_j^h u\|_{H^{s+q-1}}} \\ &\implies \delta_j^h \rightarrow v \text{ in } H^{s+q-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_j^h u &\rightarrow \partial_j u \text{ in } H^{s+q-1} \\ \partial_j u &= v \in H^{s+q-1},\end{aligned}$$

$j$  arbitrary, hence  $u \in H^{s+q}$ . For the general case, take  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B_r)$ ,

$$\varphi Au = \varphi f \implies g := A(\varphi u) = \varphi f + [A, \varphi] \text{ differential operator of order } q-1 u$$

$[A, \varphi]$  differential operator of order  $q - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}u \in L^2 &\implies g \in H^{1-q} \\ &\implies \varphi u \in H^1 \\ &\implies u \in H_{loc}^1 \\ &\implies \dots\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark:** Results does not depends on boundary conditions.

**Corollary 1.**  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \implies u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ . In most generality,

$$f \in W^{s,p} \implies u \in W^{s+q,p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

## 0.2 Regularity at the Boundary

Suppose  $f \in H_0^s(b_r)$ ,  $u \in H_0^m(B_r^+)$ . ( $s \geq 0$  integer). We claim that  $u \in H_{loc}^{s+2m}(B_\delta^+)$  for  $A$  strongly elliptic operators of order  $2m$ .

*Proof.*  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B_\delta)$ : locally,  $\varphi \partial_x^\gamma u \in H_0^m(B_\delta)$   $|\gamma| \leq m + s$ . By using similar finite difference techniques in differential tangential to boundary.

$$\varphi Au = \varphi f \implies \partial_y^m \left( a_0 \partial y^m(\varphi u) + \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq m-1 \\ |\gamma|+k \leq m}} c_{\gamma,k} \partial_x^\gamma \partial_y^k u \right) + Bu = \varphi f$$

$B$  is order  $2m$  operator and order  $m$  in  $y$ . By ellipticity,  $a_0 \geq c > 0$ , for simplicity assume  $s = 0$ ,

$$\partial_x^\gamma g \in L^2 \quad |\gamma| \leq m.$$

$$\varphi f, Bu \in L^2 \implies \partial_y^m g \in L^2.$$

$$\implies \partial^\alpha g \in L^2 \quad |\alpha| \leq m$$

$$a_0 \partial_y^m(\varphi u) + q(\partial^{m-1} u, \dots) = g \quad (\text{boot strap}).$$

□

**Lemma 3.**  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\partial\Omega \in C^1$ .

$$u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \implies u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By coordinate transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u &\in C^1, \quad u|_{x_n=0} = 0 \\
 u(x) &= \int_0^{x_n} \partial_n u \, dx_n = 0 \\
 u^2(x) &= \left( \int \dots \right)^2 \leq h \int_0^{x_n} (\partial_n u)^2 \, dx_n \quad |x_n| < h \text{ in } Q \\
 \int_Q u^2 &\leq \underbrace{h^2}_Q \int_Q (\partial_n u)^2 : \quad \text{true for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ by density argument} \\
 \underbrace{\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^2}_{\rightarrow u^2(0) \text{ as } |Q| \rightarrow 0} &\leq \int_Q |\partial_n u|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |Q| \rightarrow 0 \\
 &\implies u^2(0) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.**  $u \in H_0^m(\Omega)$ ,  $\partial\Omega \in C^m$

$$u \in C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega}) \implies \partial^\alpha u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad |\alpha| \leq m-1.$$

**Reason:**  $\partial^\alpha u \in H_0^{m-|\alpha|}(\Omega) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ .