## MATH 387 ASSIGNMENT 3

## DUE WEDNESDAY MARCH 14

*Note*: You are encouraged to do additional reading for this assignment, and strongly encouraged to type your solutions in LATEX.

1. (a) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be a matrix with full column rank. Show that the reduced QR factorization

$$A = QR$$

exists and is unique, where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  has orthonormal columns and R is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries.

- (b) Recall that two matrices A and B are called *similar*, if there is an invertible matrix  $\Theta$  such that  $\Theta A \Theta^{-1} = B$ . Show that similar matrices share the same collection of eigenvalues. In particular, if A is similar to B and B is diagonal, then we can simply read off the eigenvalues of A from the diagonal entries of B. If such B exists, then we say that A is *diagonalizable*. Show that even in exact arithmetic, there is no general procedure to construct  $\Theta$  for any given diagonalizable A, such that  $\Theta A \Theta^{-1}$  is diagonal, where by a "procedure" we mean a finite sequence of elementary operations, including taking *n*-th roots.
- 2. (a) Describe an algorithm for QR decomposition that is based on Givens rotations. Estimate the asymptotic complexity of the algorithm, and compare it to that of the Householder QR algorithm.
  - (b) Adapt the Householder QR algorithm so that it can efficiently handle the case when  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  has lower bandwidth p and upper bandwidth q, i.e., when  $a_{ij} = 0$  for i j > p or j i > q.
  - (c) A square matrix B is called Hessenberg if  $b_{ij} = 0$  for i-j > 1, i.e., if all entries below the first sub-diagonal are zero. Come up with a procedure based on Householder reflections, that constructs an orthogonal matrix Q such that  $QAQ^{\top} = B$ , where A is a given square matrix, and B is a Hessenberg matrix. Show that in this setting, if A is symmetric, then we can make B tridiagonal. (In view of 1(b), this is about the best we can do for eigenvalue problems, without resorting to infinite processes.)
- 3. In this exercise, we will study the *Cholesky factorization*  $A = R^{\top}R$ , which is an adaptation of the LU factorization to symmetric and positive definite matrices. Recall that A is called *positive definite* if  $x^{\top}Ax > 0$  for all nonzero x. Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive definite, and justify the following steps in detail.
  - (a) All eigenvalues of A are positive.
  - (b) All principal minors of A are positive, and therefore an LU factorization of A exists.

Date: Winter 2018.

## DUE WEDNESDAY MARCH 14

- (c) Let A = LU be the LU factorization, and let D be the diagonal matrix consisting of the diagonal entries of U. Then  $M = D^{-1}U$  satisfies  $M = L^{\top}$ , and hence  $A = LDL^{\top}$ .
- (d) There exists a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda^2 = D$ , and with  $R = \Lambda L^{\top}$ , we have the Cholesky factorization  $A = R^{\top}R$ , where R is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries.
- (e) The entries of  $R = [r_{ij}]$  satisfy the bound

$$r_{ij}^2 \le a_{jj} \qquad (1 \le i, j \le n),$$

where  $a_{jj}$  are the diagonal entries of A. This indicates a strong stability property of the Cholesky factorization.

(f) The *j*-th column of the relation  $A = R^{\top}R$  is

$$A_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{j} r_{kj} (R^{\top})_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} r_{kj} (R^{\top})_{k} + r_{jj} (R^{\top})_{j},$$

where  $(R^{\top})_k$  is the k-th column of  $R^{\top}$ , or the transposed k-th row of R. Let us rewrite it as

$$r_{jj}(R^{\top})_j = A_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} r_{kj}(R^{\top})_k =: v.$$
 (\*)

The vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  depends only on the first j-1 rows of R, and hence the j-th row of R can be computed by

$$(R^{\top})_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_j}}v, \qquad (**)$$

where  $v_j$  is of course the *j*-th component of *v*. Taking the second equality of (\*) as a prescription to compute *v*, the relations (\*) and (\*\*), with j = 1, ..., n, define an algorithm to compute the Cholesky factor *R*.

- (g) The purpose of the *j*-th step of the aforementioned algorithm is to compute the *j*-th row of *R*. Hence we only need to be compute the last n j + 1 components of v in (\*). Taking this into account, we estimate the number multiplications in the Cholesky factorization algorithm as  $\frac{1}{6}n^3 + O(n^2)$ , which shows that it is twice as efficient as the Gaussian elimination.
- 4. In class, we have shown that if K is a square matrix with ||K|| < 1, then I K is invertible, and

$$I + K + K^2 + \ldots + K^m \to (I - K)^{-1}$$
 as  $m \to \infty$ .

We can use this fact to design an iterative method to solve Ax = b. The starting point should be to somehow write A in terms of I - K, where K has small norm. We can write A = I - (I - A) and set K = I - A, but we would need ||I - A|| < 1 to ensure convergence. As a simple way to introduce some flexibility, let us multiply Ax = b by some number  $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , to get

$$\omega A x = \omega b,$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

and then introduce  $K = I - \omega A$ , yielding

$$(I - K)x = \omega b \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad Ax = b$$

If  $||K|| = ||I - \omega A|| < 1$ , then

$$x_m := (I + K + K^2 + \ldots + K^m)\omega b \to x.$$

The iterates  $x_m$  satisfy the recurrent relation

$$x_{m+1} = \omega b + K(I + K + \dots + K^m)\omega b = \omega b + Kx_m = \omega b + (I - \omega A)x_m$$
$$= x_m + \omega (b - Ax_m),$$

which is convenient for implementation.

- (a) Assuming that  $||I \omega A|| < 1$ , derive an estimate on  $||x_m x||$  that goes to 0 geometrically as  $m \to \infty$ .
- (b) Assuming that A is diagonalizable, and that all its eigenvalues are positive, estimate  $||I \omega A||$  in terms of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_n$ , and  $\omega$ . Here  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  are the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of A, respectively.
- (c) In the estimate derived in (b), optimize the choice of the parameter  $\omega$ .