

# Nonconstant mean curvature solutions of the Einstein constraint equations

Gantumur Tsogtgerel

McGill University

CRM Workshop on Geometric PDE

Montréal

Friday April 27, 2012



# Outline

Einstein field equations	$\text{Ric} = 0$
Einstein constraint equations	$\text{Ric}(N, \cdot) = 0$
Conformal parameterization	$g = u^4 g_0$
Momentum constraint	$Aw = \omega$
Lichnerowicz equation	$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = \beta u^{-7}$
Coupling	$\beta =  \sigma + Lw ^2$ and $\omega = u^6 d\tau$
Near-CMC solutions	$d\tau$ small
Small-TT solutions	$\sigma$ small
Extensions	Compact manifolds with boundary

# Einstein constraint equations

Let  $M$  be a closed 3-manifold. The **initial data** for the Einstein evolution equation on  $M$  consist of

- Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$
- Symmetric 2-tensor  $K$  (extrinsic curvature of  $M$  inside the space-time that is to be “grown”)

They must satisfy the **constraint equations**

$$\text{scal} - |K|^2 + \underbrace{(\text{tr}K)}_{\text{MC}}^2 = \rho, \quad \text{div}K - \text{d} \underbrace{\text{tr}K}_{\text{MC}} = j,$$

where  $\rho$  and  $j$  are related to energy-momentum density.

The system is highly underdetermined.

# Conformal parameterization

This is a proposed way to parameterize the constraint solution set. The **free (or conformal) data** consist of

- Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ , representing the conformal class  $[g] = \{e^\alpha g : \alpha \in C^\infty(M)\}$ ,
- Symmetric transverse traceless 2-tensor  $\sigma$  (TT-tensor),
- Scalar function  $\tau$ , specifying the mean curvature,

and the **determined data** consist of

- Positive scalar function  $u \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,
- 1-form  $w \in \Omega^1(M)$ .

We assume  $h = u^4 g$ ,  $K = u^{-2}(\sigma + Lw) + \frac{1}{3}\tau g$ ,

where

$$(Lw)_{ab} = \nabla_a w_b + \nabla_b w_a - \frac{2}{3}g_{ab} \operatorname{div} w.$$

Then the (vacuum) constraint equations are equivalent to

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \frac{2}{3}\tau^2 u^5 = |\sigma + Lw|^2 u^{-7}, \quad \operatorname{div} Lw = \frac{2}{3}u^6 d\tau.$$

# Momentum constraint

Note that if  $d\tau = 0$  (CMC case), then the constraint system

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \frac{2}{3}\tau^2 u^5 = |\sigma + Lw|^2 u^{-7}, \quad \operatorname{div}Lw = \frac{2}{3}u^6 d\tau,$$

decouples. The equation

$$Aw \equiv \operatorname{div}Lw = \omega,$$

is called the **momentum (or vector) constraint** equation. Note that in the constraint system, the momentum constraint appears with  $\omega = \frac{2}{3}u^6 d\tau$ .

The operator  $A$  is self-adjoint and strongly elliptic, and its kernel is given by the conformal Killing fields.

$$\ker A = \ker L$$

In particular, we have the elliptic estimate

$$\|w\|_{W^{2,p}} \lesssim \|Aw\|_{L^p} + \|w\|_{L^p}$$

# Lichnerowicz equation

With  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , the equation

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = \beta u^{-7}$$

is called the [Lichnerowicz equation](#). Note that in the constraint system, the Lichnerowicz equation appears with  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}\tau^2$  and  $\beta = |\sigma + Lw|^2$ .

Suppose  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in L^p$  with  $p > 3$ . Then there exists a positive solution  $u \in W^{2,p}$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- $g$  is Yamabe positive, and  $\beta \neq 0$
- $g$  is Yamabe null,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , and  $\beta \neq 0$
- $g$  is Yamabe negative, and there is a metric in  $[g]$  with scalar curvature equal to  $-\alpha$

Moreover, in each case the solution is unique.

This settles the CMC case. There one even has  $\alpha = \text{const}$ .

Contributors: York, Choquet-Bruhat, Isenberg, Ó Murchadha, Maxwell, ...

# Conformal invariance

Let  $\bar{g} = \theta^4 g$ . Then

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 \geq \beta u^{-7} \quad \iff \quad -8\bar{\Delta} v + \bar{R}v + \alpha v^5 \geq \bar{\beta} v^{-7}$$

with  $v = \theta^{-1} u$ , and  $\bar{\beta} = \theta^{-12} \beta$ .

Example usage: Isenberg and Ó Murchadha proved that the constraint system has **no solution** if  $R \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma \equiv 0$ , and  $\frac{\|d\tau\|_\infty}{\min|\tau|} \sim 0$ . Let us extend it to the full nonnegative Yamabe class.

Let  $\theta > 0$  be such that  $\bar{g} = \theta^4 g$  has  $\bar{R} \geq 0$ . Suppose that the constraint system has a solution  $u$ . Then  $v = \theta^{-1} u$  is the solution of the  $\theta$ -scaled Lichnerowicz equation with  $\bar{\beta} = \theta^{-12} |Lw|^2$ . At maximum of  $v$

$$\alpha v^5 \leq \bar{\beta} v^{-7} = \theta^{-12} |Lw|^2 v^{-7} \lesssim \theta^{-12} \|d\tau\|_{L^p}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{12} v^{-7} \leq \theta^{-12} \|d\tau\|_{L^p}^2 \|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^{12} v^5$$

which gives a contradiction if  $\frac{\|d\tau\|_{L^p}^2}{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\|d\tau\|_{L^p}^2}{\tau^2}$  is small enough.

# Sub- and supersolutions

Positive function  $u$  is called a **supersolution** if

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 \geq \beta u^{-7}.$$

Subsolutions are defined analogously.

If  $u_- > 0$  is a subsolution and  $u_+ \geq u_-$  is a supersolution, then there exists a solution  $u$  to the Lichnerowicz equation, satisfying  $u_- \leq u \leq u_+$ . If uniqueness available, this implies **pointwise bounds**. Note also that subsolutions (supersolutions) can always be scaled **down (up)**.

Example subsolution: Let  $\theta > 0$  be such that  $\bar{g} = \theta^4 g$  has  $\bar{R} \geq 0$ . Then solve

$$-8\bar{\Delta}v + (\bar{R} + \alpha)v = \bar{\beta} \equiv \theta^{-12}\beta,$$

which has a unique positive solution if  $\bar{R} + \alpha \neq 0$  and  $\bar{\beta} \equiv 0$ . From

$$-8\bar{\Delta}cv + \bar{R}cv + \alpha(cv)^5 - \bar{\beta}(cv)^{-7} = \alpha((cv)^5 - cv) + \bar{\beta}(c - (cv)^{-7}),$$

we see that  $cv$  is a subsolution of the  $\theta$ -scaled Lichnerowicz equation if  $c > 0$  is sufficiently small. Hence  $\theta^{-1}cv$  is a subsolution of the original Lichnerowicz equation.



# Uniqueness

Suppose  $u > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$  are two solutions of

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = \beta u^{-7}.$$

Let  $\bar{g} = \theta^4 g$ . Then

$$\bar{R} = \theta^{-5}(-8\Delta\theta + R\theta) = \theta^{-5}(\beta\theta^{-7} - \alpha\theta^5) = \bar{\beta} - \alpha,$$

and so  $v = \theta^{-1}u$  solves

$$0 = -8\bar{\Delta}v + \bar{R}v + \alpha v^5 - \bar{\beta}v^{-7} = -8\bar{\Delta}v + \alpha(v^5 - 1) + \bar{\beta}(1 - v^{-7}).$$

Hence

$$\int 8|\bar{\nabla}(v-1)|^2 = -\int 8(v-1)\bar{\Delta}v = -\int \alpha(v^5 - 1)(v-1) - \int \bar{\beta}(1 - v^{-7})(v-1).$$

We conclude that  $v = \text{const}$ , and so  $v \equiv 1$  unless  $\alpha = \beta \equiv 0$ . The latter condition would force  $\bar{R} \equiv 0$  hence Yamabe null.

The scaling argument also implies nonexistence for Yamabe positive with  $\beta \equiv 0$  and Yamabe negative with  $\alpha \equiv 0$ .

Recall the constraint system

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = |\sigma + Lw|^2 u^{-7}, \quad \operatorname{div} Lw = \frac{2}{3} u^6 d\tau,$$

with  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3} \tau^2$ . A picture to have in mind is

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = c |\nabla(1 - \Delta)^{-1}(u^6)|^2 u^{-7}.$$

- Isenberg-Moncrief '96: Near-CMC, Yamabe negative
- Allen-Clausen-Isenberg '07: Near-CMC, Yamabe nonnegative
- Holst-Nagy-Tsogtgerel '07: Small-TT, Yamabe positive, nonvacuum
- Maxwell '08: Small-TT, Yamabe positive, vacuum
- Maxwell '09: Model problem on  $\mathbb{T}^n$
- Dahl-Gicquaud-Humbert '10: Near-CMC, compactness of the set of solutions,  $C^0$ -density of metrics that admit solution
- Tcheng '11: Model problem on  $S^1 \times S^2$

# Fixed point iterations

Let us write the constraint system

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = |\sigma + Lw|^2 u^{-7}, \quad \operatorname{div} Lw = \frac{2}{3} u^6 d\tau,$$

with  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3} \tau^2$ , as

$$u = \mathcal{L}(|\sigma + Lw|^2), \quad w = \mathcal{M}(u^6 d\tau).$$

We assume  $g$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  are so that  $\mathcal{L}(|\sigma|^2)$  is well-defined. For  $\sigma$  this means that  $\sigma \neq 0$  if  $g$  is Yamabe nonnegative. Since  $\sigma$  is  $L^2$ -orthogonal to  $Lw$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$  implies  $\sigma + Lw \neq 0$ . The constraint system is equivalent to

$$u = \mathcal{N}(u) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{N}(u) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}(u^6 d\tau)).$$

This iteration was introduced by Isenberg and Moncrief in 96.

In [Holst-Nagy-Tsogtgerel '07] we inverted only a linear part of the Lichnerowicz equation.

# Invariant set via supersolutions

To solve  $u = \mathcal{N}(u)$ , we need to establish an invariant set consisting of positive functions for the operator  $\mathcal{N}$ . For this purpose, **global barriers** have been used.

A positive function  $u_+$  is called a **global upper barrier** if it is a supersolution of the Lichnerowicz equation with  $\beta = |\sigma + L\mathcal{M}(u^6 d\tau)|^2$  for all  $0 < u \leq u_+$ . If  $u_+$  is a global upper barrier, then  $0 < \mathcal{N}(u) \leq u_+$  pointwise for all  $0 < u \leq u_+$ .

Example: Let  $u_+ > 0$  be a constant. We want

$$Ru_+ + \alpha u_+^5 \geq |\sigma + L\mathcal{M}(u^6 d\tau)|^2 u_+^{-7}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < u \leq u_+.$$

Since

$$|\sigma + L\mathcal{M}(u^6 d\tau)| \lesssim |\sigma| + \|d\tau\|_{L^p} \|u^6\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\sigma| + \|d\tau\|_{L^p} \|u_+^6\|_{L^\infty},$$

provided that  $\frac{\|d\tau\|}{\min \tau}$  is smaller than some threshold value, any sufficiently large constant  $u_+$  yields a global upper barrier.

The same constant  $u_+$  also provides an *a priori* upper bound.

# Maxwell's floor

Global lower barriers were used in [Holst-Nagy-Tsogtgerel '07] to bound the iteration from below. This restricted us to nonvacuum case for Yamabe positive metrics. Shortly after, Maxwell in '08 resolved the issue by the following elegant argument.

Let  $V \geq 0$  and  $V \not\equiv 0$ . Then the Green function  $G$  of  $-\Delta + V$  satisfies  $G(x, y) \geq c$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .

For the solution  $u$  of  $-\Delta u + Vu = f$  with  $f \geq 0$ , this implies

$$u(x) = \int G(x, y)f(y)dy \geq c \int f = c\|f\|_{L^1}.$$

We saw that  $\theta^{-1}cv$  is a subsolution (and so a lower bound), where

$$-8\bar{\Delta}v + (\bar{R} + \alpha)v = \bar{\beta} \equiv \theta^{-12}\beta,$$

and  $c = \min\{1, \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}\}$ . Hence

$$\theta^{-1}cv \gtrsim \frac{\|\beta\|_{L^1}}{\|\beta\|_{L^p}} = \frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Lw\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\beta\|_{L^p}} \geq \frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\beta\|_{L^p}} \gtrsim \frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2}{1 + \|\mathbf{d}\tau\|_{2p}^2 \|u_+^{12}\|_{L^\infty}}$$

One can apply the Schauder theorem with the invariant set  $\{c \leq u \leq u_+\}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a contraction if  $\frac{\|\mathrm{d}\tau\|}{\min \tau}$  is small enough.

However, we want the invariant set to be the  $L^r$ -ball  $U = \{u : \|u\|_{L^r} \leq M\}$ .

The Lichnerowicz solution operator  $\mathcal{L} : L^p \rightarrow W^{2,p}$  is  $C^1$ , [Maxwell '08].

For  $u = \mathcal{L}(\beta)$ , we have

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p} + \|\alpha\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^{5p}}^5 + \|\beta\|_{L^p} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{-7},$$

and  $u^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded, so  $\mathcal{N} : U \rightarrow U$  is compact.

## Invariant set via *a priori* estimates

From

$$-8\Delta u + Ru + \alpha u^5 = \beta u^{-7}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad -8u^7 \Delta u + Ru^8 + \alpha u^{12} = \beta,$$

we get, with  $\beta = |\sigma + L\mathcal{M}(v^6 d\tau)|$

$$\int |\nabla u^4|^2 + Ru^8 + \alpha u^{12} \lesssim \|\beta\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\sigma^2\| + \|d\tau\|^2 \|v\|_{L^r}^{12}.$$

Supposing  $R > 0$  and  $\|v\|_{L^r} \leq M$ , this yields

$$\|u\|_{L^8}^8 \lesssim \|\sigma\|^2 + \|d\tau\|^2 M^{12}.$$

Similar argument gives

$$\|u\|_{L^{8+q}}^{8+q} \lesssim (\|\sigma\|^2 + \|d\tau\|^2 M^{12}) \|u\|_{L^q}^q, \quad \text{hence} \quad \|u\|_{L^{8n}}^{8n} \lesssim \|\sigma\|^{2n} + \|d\tau\|^{2n} M^{12n}.$$

Take  $r = 8n$ , and an invariant set is obtained if  $\|\sigma\|$  is small enough (small-TT solutions).

# Compact manifolds with boundary

What boundary conditions?

Outer boundary: Dirichlet  $u = 1$  or Robin  $\partial_r u + u = 1$ .

Inner boundary: Trapped surface condition. We want

$$\theta_{\pm} \equiv \mp 2H + \tau - K(\nu, \nu) \leq 0.$$

In conformal quantities, this is

$$\theta_{\pm} \equiv \mp 2u^{-3} (2\partial_{\nu} u + Hu) + \frac{2}{3}\tau - u^{-6}\sigma(\nu, \nu),$$

and one ends up with a condition that looks like

$$2\partial_{\nu} u + Hu + \alpha u^3 + \beta u^{-3} + \gamma u^e = 0.$$

The theory of Lichnerowicz equation can be extended to this case [Holst-Tsogtgerel].