

# Optimal adaptive wavelet methods for linear operator equations

T. Gantumur   R. Stevenson

Numerical Colloquium 17 June

# Overview

- Linear operator equation  $Au = g$  with  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$
- Riesz basis  $\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , e.g.  $u = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$
- Infinite dimensional matrix-vector system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , with  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_\lambda)_\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{A} : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$
- Convergent iterations such as  $\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(i)} + \alpha[\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}]$
- We **can** approximate  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}$  by a finitely supported vector
- How cheap can we **compute** this approximation?
- The answer will depend on  $A$  and  $\Psi$

# Outline

## Continuous problem, discretization, and convergent iterations

- Linear operator equations

- Discretization

- Convergent iterations in discrete space

## Complexity analysis

- Uniform methods - convergence, complexity

- Nonlinear approximation

- Optimal complexity

- Computability

## An adaptive Galerkin method

- Optimal complexity with coarsening

- Optimal complexity without coarsening

# Linear Operator Equations

- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H}'$  be its dual
- $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  is boundedly invertible
- $g \in \mathcal{H}'$  is a linear functional

## Problem

$u \in \mathcal{H}$  is such that  $Au = g$

- For  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\langle h, v \rangle = h(v)$  the **duality pairing**

# Sobolev Spaces

- Let  $\Omega$  be an  $n$ -dimensional domain or smooth manifold
- $\mathcal{H} = H^t \subset H^t(\Omega)$  is a closed subspace
- $\mathcal{H}' = H^{-t}$  the dual space

# Linear Differential Operators

- Partial differential operators of order  $2t$

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq t} \langle a_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta u, \partial^\alpha v \rangle,$$

- Example: The reaction-diffusion equation ( $t = 1$ )

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \kappa^2 uv,$$

# Boundary Integral Operators

- Boundary integral operators

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} v(x)K(x, y)u(y)d\Omega_y d\Omega_x$$

with the kernel  $K(x, y)$  singular at  $x = y$

- Example: The single layer operator for the Laplace BVP in 3-d domain ( $t = -\frac{1}{2}$ )

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x - y|}$$

# Convergent Iterations in Continuous Space

## Gradient Iterations

$$u^{(i+1)} = u^{(i)} + B_i(g - Au^{(i)}), \quad B_i : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

- $u - u^{(i+1)} = u - u^{(i)} - B_i A(u - u^{(i)}) = (I - B_i A)(u - u^{(i)})$
- $\|u - u^{(i+1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|I - B_i A\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} \|u - u^{(i)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

## Convergence

$$\rho_i := \|I - B_i A\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} < 1$$



# Normal Equations

## Observation

Let  $R : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be **self-adjoint**:  $\langle Rf, h \rangle = \langle f, Rh \rangle$  for  $f, h \in \mathcal{H}'$   
and  **$\mathcal{H}'$ -elliptic**: with some  $\alpha > 0$   $\langle Rf, f \rangle \geq \alpha \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}'}^2$  for  $f \in \mathcal{H}'$ .  
Then  $A'RA : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  is self-adjoint and  $\mathcal{H}$ -elliptic.

## Normal Equation

$$Au = g \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A'RAu = A'Rg$$

## Assumption

$A$  is **self-adjoint** and  **$\mathcal{H}$ -elliptic**.

# Riesz bases

$\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$  is a **Riesz basis** for  $\mathcal{H}$

– each  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  has a unique expansion

$$v = \sum_{\lambda \in \nabla} d_\lambda(v) \psi_\lambda \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \nabla} |d_\lambda(v)|^2 \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

- $d_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}'$  and  $d_\lambda(\psi_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$
- $\{d_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}'$
- $\tilde{\Psi} = \{\tilde{\psi}_\lambda\} := \{d_\lambda\}$  is the **dual basis**:  $\langle \tilde{\psi}_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$

For  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_\lambda\} := \{d_\lambda(v)\} \in \ell_2(\nabla)$

# Wavelet bases

- $\Psi$  Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H} = H^t$
- Nested index sets  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla_1 \subset \dots \subset \nabla_j \subset \dots \subset \nabla$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_j = \text{span}\{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  
 $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_j = \text{span}\{\tilde{\psi}_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\} \subset \mathcal{H}'$

## Locality, Polynomial exactness and Vanishing moments

$\text{diam}(\text{supp } \psi_\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(2^{-j})$  if  $\lambda \in \nabla_j \setminus \nabla_{j-1}$

All polynomials of degree  $d - 1$ ,  $P_{d-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_0$

$P_{\tilde{d}-1} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0$  more precisely,  $\langle P_{\tilde{d}-1}, \cdot \rangle_{L_2} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0$

- $\{\mathcal{S}_j\}$  has a good **approximation property**
- If  $\lambda \in \nabla \setminus \nabla_0$ , we have  $\langle P_{\tilde{d}-1}, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{L_2} = 0 \rightsquigarrow$  **cancellation property**

# Equivalent Discrete Problem

[Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '02]

- Wavelet basis  $\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$
- **Stiffness**  $\mathbf{A} = \langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle_{\lambda,\mu}$  and **load**  $\mathbf{g} = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_\lambda$

Linear equation in  $\ell_2(\nabla)$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}, \quad \mathbf{A} : \ell_2(\nabla) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla) \text{ SPD and } \mathbf{g} \in \ell_2(\nabla)$$

- $u = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$  is **the solution** of  $Au = g$
- $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2(\nabla)} \approx \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  with  $v = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{v}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$
- A good approx. of  $\mathbf{u}$  induces a good approx. of  $u$
- $\Psi$  defines a **topological isomorphism** between  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\ell_2(\nabla)$

# Convergent Iterations in Discrete Space

## Richardson's iterations

$$\mathbf{u}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(i)} + \alpha[\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}] \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

- $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)} - \alpha\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}) = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)})$
- $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i+1)}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\|_{\ell_2}$

## Convergence

$$\rho := \|\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} < 1$$

- $\mathbf{g}$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}$  are **infinitely** supported
- Approximate them by **finitely** supported sequences

# Approximate Iterations

## Approximate right-hand side

$$\mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$$

## Approximate application of the matrix

$$\mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$$

## Approximate Richardson's iterations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha \left( \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i] \right) \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

- Choose  $\varepsilon_i$  such that  $\|\mathbf{u}^{(i)} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}\| \approx \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\|$

# Convergence

**RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$\varepsilon_i := C\rho^i$ ;  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i]$

if  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)}\|_{\ell_2} + 2\varepsilon_i \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$  then terminate;

$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha \mathbf{r}^{(i)}$

endfor

## Lemma

**RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- Computational cost of **RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon]$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ ?

# Uniform Refinement Galerkin Methods

- Wavelet basis  $\Psi_j := \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_j$
- **Stiffness**  $\mathbf{A}_j = \langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle_{\lambda, \mu \in \nabla_j}$
- **Load**  $\mathbf{g}_j = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda \in \nabla_j}$

## Linear equation in $\ell_2(\nabla_j)$

$$\mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{g}_j, \quad \mathbf{A}_j : \ell_2(\nabla_j) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla_j) \text{ **SPD** and } \mathbf{g}_j \in \ell_2(\nabla_j)$$

- $u_j = \sum_\lambda [\mathbf{u}_j]_\lambda \psi_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_j$  approximates the solution of  $Au = g$
- With the orthogonal projector  $\mathbf{P}_j : \ell_2(\nabla) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla_j)$ , the above equation is equivalent to  $\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{g}$



# Convergence and Complexity

If  $u \in H^{t+ns}$  for some  $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}]$

$$\varepsilon_j := \|u - u_j\|_{H^t} \leq C \inf_{v \in \mathcal{S}_j} \|u - v\|_{H^t} \leq \mathcal{O}(2^{-jns})$$

- $N_j = \dim \mathcal{S}_j = \mathcal{O}(2^{jn})$
- $\varepsilon_j \leq \mathcal{O}(N_j^{-s})$
- Solve  $\mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{g}_j$  with Cascadic CG  $\rightsquigarrow$  complexity  $\mathcal{O}(N_j)$
- Similar estimates for FEM

# Best $N$ -term Approximation

Given  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_\lambda)_\lambda \in \ell_2$ , approximate  $\mathbf{u}$  using  $N$  nonzero coeffs

$$\mathfrak{N}_N := \bigcup_{\Lambda \subset \nabla: \#\Lambda=N} \ell_2(\Lambda)$$

- $\mathfrak{N}_N$  is a nonlinear manifold
- Let  $\mathbf{u}_N$  be such that  $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_N\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2}$  for  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{N}_N$
- $\mathbf{u}_N$  is a best approximation of  $\mathbf{u}$  with  $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{u}_N \leq N$
- $\mathbf{u}_N$  can be constructed by picking  $N$  largest in modulus coeffs from  $\mathbf{u}$

# Nonlinear vs. linear approximation

## Nonlinear approximation

If  $u \in B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$  with  $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} + s$  for some  $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$

$$\varepsilon_N = \|\mathbf{u}_N - \mathbf{u}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-s})$$

## Linear approximation

If  $u \in H^{t+ns}$  for some  $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}]$ , uniform refinement

$$\varepsilon_j = \|\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N_j^{-s})$$

- $H^{t+ns}$  is a proper subset of  $B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$
- [Dahlke, DeVore]:  $u \in B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$  much milder than  $u \in H^{t+ns}$

# Approximation spaces

- **Approximation space**  $\mathcal{A}^s := \{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2 : \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2} \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-s})\}$
- **Quasi-semi-norm**  $|\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s} := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} N^s \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2}$
- $u \in B_{\tau}^{t+ns}(L_{\tau})$  with  $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} + s$  for some  $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^s$

## Assumption

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^s \text{ for some } s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$$

## Best approximation

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} \leq \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$$

# Requirements on the Subroutines

## Complexity of **RHS**

**RHS** $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + 1$

## Complexity of **APPLY**

For  $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} < \infty$

**APPLY** $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} + 1$

# Complexity of RICHARDSON

**RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$\varepsilon_i := C\rho^i$ ;  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i]$

if  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$  then terminate;

$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha \mathbf{r}^{(i)}$

endfor

## Lemma

**RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$ .

- $\varepsilon_0 := \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}\|_{\ell_2}$
- $\#\text{supp } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \varepsilon_0^{-1/s} (\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)^C |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \varepsilon^{-1/s} (\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)^C |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim$  the same expression

# Coarsening

**COARSE** $[\mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$

$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{v}$  is minimal

**Lemma**

$\theta < 1/2$ . Let  $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \theta\varepsilon$ .  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{v}, (1 - \theta)\varepsilon]$  satisfies  
 $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$  and  $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \varepsilon$

## Complexity with coarsening

**SOLVE** $[\varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$   
 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} := \mathbf{0}; \varepsilon_0 := \|\mathbf{f}\|$   
for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$   
     $\varepsilon_{i+1} := \varepsilon_i/2$   
     $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{RICHARDSON}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \theta\varepsilon_{i+1}]$   
     $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{v}, (1 - \theta)\varepsilon_{i+1}]$   
until  $\varepsilon_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$

**Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '02]**

**SOLVE** $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$ .

- $\#\text{supp } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim$  the same expression



# Computing the Right Hand Side

## Complexity of RHS

**RHS** $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + 1$

A naive approach:

- Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  for some  $\Lambda \subset \nabla$  s.t.  $\|\mathbf{g} - \tilde{\mathbf{g}}\| \leq \delta$
- Arrange the coeffs in  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  in modulus beforehand
- **RHS** $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] := \mathbf{COARSE}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \varepsilon - \delta]$

# The Subroutine **APPLY**

## Computability

Matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is called  $q^*$ -computable, when for each  $N$  one can construct an infinite matrix  $\mathbf{A}_N$  s.t.

- for any  $q < q^*$ ,  $\|\mathbf{A}_N - \mathbf{A}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-q})$
- having in each column  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  non-zero entries
- whose computation takes  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  operations

## Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '01]

Recall  $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $q^*$ -computable with  $q^* > s$ . Then we can construct **APPLY** satisfying the requirements.

- $\mathbf{A}$  needs to be approximated well by computable sparse matrices

# Compressibility

- Assume  $A, A' : H^{t+\sigma} \rightarrow H^{-t+\sigma}$
- **Level**  $|\lambda| := j$  such that  $\lambda \in \nabla_j \setminus \nabla_{j-1}$
- $\|\psi_\lambda\|_{H^r} \approx 2^{|\lambda|(r-t)}$  for  $r \in [-\tilde{d}, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma := \sup\{q : \psi_\lambda \in H^q\}$
- $r \leq \min\{t + \tilde{d}, \sigma\}$  and  $r < \gamma - t$ ,  $|\mu| \geq |\lambda|$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle| &\leq \|A\psi_\lambda\|_{H^{-t+r}} \|\psi_\mu\|_{H^{t-r}} \lesssim \|\psi_\lambda\|_{H^{t+r}} \|\psi_\mu\|_{H^{t-r}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-r(|\mu| - |\lambda|)} \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem [Stevenson '04]

- $\{\psi_\lambda\}$  are piecewise polynomial wavelets that are **sufficiently smooth** and have **sufficiently many vanishing moments**
- $A$  is either **differential** or **singular integral** operator
- any entry of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be computed spending  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operations

then  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $q^*$ -computable for some  $q^* \geq \frac{d-t}{n}$  ( $> s$ )

# Computability

## Unit cost assumption

Any entry of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be computed spending  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operations

- Only satisfied for very special cases: differential operators with constant coefficients, single layer potential operator on  $\mathbb{R}$
- **Numerical quadrature** is needed

## Theorem [Gantumur, Stevenson '04, '05]

- $\{\psi_\lambda\}$  are piecewise polynomial wavelets that are **sufficiently smooth** and have **sufficiently many vanishing moments**
- $A$  is either **differential** or **singular integral** operator

then  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $q^*$ -computable for some  $q^* \geq \frac{d-t}{n}$  ( $> s$ )

# Galerkin solutions

- $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle := \langle \mathbf{A} \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\ell_2$ ,  $\| \cdot \| := \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a **norm**
- Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$  be an approx. to  $\mathbf{u}$  inside **SOLVE**
- $\mathbf{A}_\Lambda := \mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{A}|_{\ell_2(\Lambda)} : \ell_2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell_2(\Lambda)$ , and  $\mathbf{g}_\Lambda := \mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{g} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$
- $\mathbf{u}_\Lambda \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$  is the solution to  $\mathbf{A}_\Lambda \mathbf{u}_\Lambda = \mathbf{g}_\Lambda$

$$\| \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda \| = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)} \| \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \|$$

- In a sense,  $\mathbf{u}_\Lambda$  is the best approx. from  $\ell_2(\Lambda)$
- The next set  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  generated by **SOLVE** can be too big, not optimal

# Saturation

## Galerkin orthogonality

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda \perp_{\mathbf{A}} \ell_2(\Lambda)$$

## Lemma

$\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \ell_2$ , and  $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$  s.t.

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w})\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|$$

*Then we have*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda\| \leq [1 - \kappa(\mathbf{A})^{-1} \mu^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\|$$

# Adaptive Galerkin Method

**GROW**[ $\mathbf{w}$ ]  $\rightarrow$   $[\Lambda, \nu]$ :

$\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \zeta] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta]$

$\nu := \|\mathbf{r}\| + 2\zeta$

determine a set  $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$ , with minimal cardinality, such that  $\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{r}\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{r}\|$

**GALSOLVE**[ $\varepsilon$ ]  $\rightarrow \mathbf{w}_k$ :

$k := 0; \mathbf{w}_k := 0$

while *with*  $[\Lambda_{k+1}, \nu_k] := \mathbf{GROW}[\mathbf{w}_k], \nu_k > \varepsilon$  do

    Solve  $\mathbf{A}_{\Lambda_{k+1}} \mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{g}_{\Lambda_{k+1}}$

$k := k + 1$

    if  $k = 0 \pmod{K}$  then  $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{w}_{k+1}, \xi]$

enddo

# Complexity

## Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '01]

Let  $k < \infty$  suitably chosen. **GALSOLVE** $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$ .

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim$  the same expression



# Optimal expansion

Lemma [Gantumur, Harbrecht, Stevenson '05]

$\mu \in (0, \kappa(\mathbf{A})^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \ell_2$ . Then **the smallest set**  $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$  with

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w})\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|$$

satisfies

$$\#(\Lambda \setminus \text{supp } \mathbf{w}) \lesssim \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$$

# Optimal Complexity without Coarsening

Theorem [Gantumur, Harbrecht, Stevenson '05]

Let  $K = \infty$ . **GALSOLVE** $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$  terminates with  $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$ .

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory  $\lesssim$  the same expression

# Summary

- There exist asymptotically optimal **fully discrete** adaptive wavelet algorithms for solving linear operator equations.
- There exist adaptive Galerkin methods **without coarsening** of the intermediate iterands.

# References

- A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. DeVore. Adaptive wavelet methods II - Beyond the elliptic case. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 2(3):203–245, 2002.
- R.P. Stevenson. On the compressibility of operators in wavelet coordinates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 35(5):1110–1132, 2004.
- T. Gantumur and R.P. Stevenson. Computation of differential operators in wavelet coordinates. Technical Report 1306, Utrecht University, August 2004. To appear in *Math. Comp.*.
- T. Gantumur and R.P. Stevenson. Computation of singular integral operators in wavelet coordinates. Technical Report 1321, Utrecht University, January 2005. Submitted.
- T. Gantumur, H. Harbrecht, R.P. Stevenson. An optimal adaptive wavelet method without coarsening. Technical Report 1325, Utrecht University, March 2005. Submitted.