

Optimal adaptive wavelet methods for linear operator equations

T. Gantumur R. Stevenson

Numerical Colloquium 17 June



Overview

- Linear operator equation $Au = g$ with $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$
- Riesz basis $\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda\}$ of \mathcal{H} , e.g. $u = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$
- Infinite dimensional matrix-vector system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$, with $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_\lambda)_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{A} : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$
- Convergent iterations such as $\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(i)} + \alpha[\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}]$
- We **can** approximate $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}$ by a finitely supported vector
- How cheap can we **compute** this approximation?
- The answer will depend on A and Ψ



Outline

- 1 **Continuous problem, discretization, and convergent iterations**
 - Linear operator equations
 - Discretization
 - Convergent iterations in discrete space
- 2 **Complexity analysis**
 - Uniform methods - convergence, complexity
 - Nonlinear approximation
 - Optimal complexity
 - Computability
- 3 **An adaptive Galerkin method**
 - Optimal complexity with coarsening
 - Optimal complexity without coarsening



Linear Operator Equations

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, \mathcal{H}' be its dual
- $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is boundedly invertible
- $g \in \mathcal{H}'$ is a linear functional

Problem

$u \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $Au = g$

- For $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}'$, $\langle h, v \rangle = h(v)$ the **duality pairing**



Sobolev Spaces

- Let Ω be an n -dimensional domain or smooth manifold
- $\mathcal{H} = H^t \subset H^t(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace
- $\mathcal{H}' = H^{-t}$ the dual space



Linear Differential Operators

- Partial differential operators of order $2t$

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq t} \langle a_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta u, \partial^\alpha v \rangle,$$

- Example: The reaction-diffusion equation ($t = 1$)

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \kappa^2 uv,$$



Boundary Integral Operators

- Boundary integral operators

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} v(x)K(x, y)u(y)d\Omega_y d\Omega_x$$

with the kernel $K(x, y)$ singular at $x = y$

- Example: The single layer operator for the Laplace BVP in 3-d domain ($t = -\frac{1}{2}$)

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x - y|}$$



Convergent Iterations in Continuous Space

Gradient Iterations

$$u^{(i+1)} = u^{(i)} + B_i(g - Au^{(i)}), \quad B_i : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

- $u - u^{(i+1)} = u - u^{(i)} - B_i A(u - u^{(i)}) = (I - B_i A)(u - u^{(i)})$
- $\|u - u^{(i+1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|I - B_i A\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} \|u - u^{(i)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

Convergence

$$\rho_i := \|I - B_i A\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} < 1$$



Normal Equations

Observation

Let $R : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be **self-adjoint**: $\langle Rf, h \rangle = \langle f, Rh \rangle$ for $f, h \in \mathcal{H}'$
 and **\mathcal{H}' -elliptic**: with some $\alpha > 0$ $\langle Rf, f \rangle \geq \alpha \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}'}^2$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}'$.
 Then $A'RA : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is self-adjoint and \mathcal{H} -elliptic.

Normal Equation

$$Au = g \quad \implies \quad A'RAu = A'Rg$$

Assumption

A is **self-adjoint** and **\mathcal{H} -elliptic**.



Riesz bases

$\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$ is a **Riesz basis** for \mathcal{H}

– each $v \in \mathcal{H}$ has a unique expansion

$$v = \sum_{\lambda \in \nabla} d_\lambda(v) \psi_\lambda \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \nabla} |d_\lambda(v)|^2 \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

- $d_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}'$ and $d_\lambda(\psi_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$
- $\{d_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H}'
- $\tilde{\Psi} = \{\tilde{\psi}_\lambda\} := \{d_\lambda\}$ is the **dual basis**: $\langle \tilde{\psi}_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$

For $v \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_\lambda\} := \{d_\lambda(v)\} \in \ell_2(\nabla)$



Wavelet bases

- Ψ Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H} = H^t$
- Nested index sets $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla_1 \subset \dots \subset \nabla_j \subset \dots \subset \nabla$,
- $\mathcal{S}_j = \text{span}\{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_j = \text{span}\{\tilde{\psi}_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\} \subset \mathcal{H}'$

Locality, Polynomial exactness and Vanishing moments

$\text{diam}(\text{supp } \psi_\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(2^{-j})$ if $\lambda \in \nabla_j \setminus \nabla_{j-1}$

All polynomials of degree $d - 1$, $P_{d-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_0$

$P_{\tilde{d}-1} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0$ more precisely, $\langle P_{\tilde{d}-1}, \cdot \rangle_{L_2} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0$

- $\{\mathcal{S}_j\}$ has a good **approximation property**
- If $\lambda \in \nabla \setminus \nabla_0$, we have $\langle P_{\tilde{d}-1}, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{L_2} = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ **cancellation property**



Equivalent Discrete Problem

[Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '02]

- Wavelet basis $\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$
- **Stiffness** $\mathbf{A} = \langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle_{\lambda,\mu}$ and **load** $\mathbf{g} = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_\lambda$

Linear equation in $\ell_2(\nabla)$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}, \quad \mathbf{A} : \ell_2(\nabla) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla) \text{ SPD and } \mathbf{g} \in \ell_2(\nabla)$$

- $u = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$ is **the solution** of $Au = g$
- $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2(\nabla)} \approx \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ with $v = \sum_\lambda \mathbf{v}_\lambda \psi_\lambda$
- A good approx. of \mathbf{u} induces a good approx. of u
- Ψ defines a **topological isomorphism** between \mathcal{H} and $\ell_2(\nabla)$



Convergent Iterations in Discrete Space

Richardson's iterations

$$\mathbf{u}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(i)} + \alpha[\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}] \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

- $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)} - \alpha\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}) = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)})$
- $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i+1)}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\|_{\ell_2}$

Convergence

$$\rho := \|\mathbf{I} - \alpha\mathbf{A}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} < 1$$

- \mathbf{g} and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(i)}$ are **infinitely** supported
- Approximate them by **finitely** supported sequences



Approximate Iterations

Approximate right-hand side

$$\mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$$

Approximate application of the matrix

$$\mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$$

Approximate Richardson's iterations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha (\mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i]) \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

- Choose ε_i such that $\|\mathbf{u}^{(i)} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}\| \approx \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\|$



Convergence

RICHARDSON $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$\varepsilon_i := C\rho^i$; $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i]$

if $\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)}\|_{\ell_2} + 2\varepsilon_i \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$ then terminate;

$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha\mathbf{r}^{(i)}$

endfor

Lemma

RICHARDSON $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- Computational cost of **RICHARDSON** $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon]$ depending on ε ?



Uniform Refinement Galerkin Methods

- Wavelet basis $\Psi_j := \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla_j\}$ of \mathcal{S}_j
- **Stiffness** $\mathbf{A}_j = \langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle_{\lambda, \mu \in \nabla_j}$
- **Load** $\mathbf{g}_j = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda \in \nabla_j}$

Linear equation in $\ell_2(\nabla_j)$

$$\mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{g}_j, \quad \mathbf{A}_j : \ell_2(\nabla_j) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla_j) \text{ SPD and } \mathbf{g}_j \in \ell_2(\nabla_j)$$

- $u_j = \sum_\lambda [\mathbf{u}_j]_\lambda \psi_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_j$ approximates the solution of $Au = g$
- With the orthogonal projector $\mathbf{P}_j : \ell_2(\nabla) \rightarrow \ell_2(\nabla_j)$, the above equation is equivalent to $\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{g}$



Convergence and Complexity

If $u \in H^{t+ns}$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}]$

$$\varepsilon_j := \|u - u_j\|_{H^t} \leq C \inf_{v \in \mathcal{S}_j} \|u - v\|_{H^t} \leq \mathcal{O}(2^{-jns})$$

- $N_j = \dim \mathcal{S}_j = \mathcal{O}(2^{jn})$
- $\varepsilon_j \leq \mathcal{O}(N_j^{-s})$
- Solve $\mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{g}_j$ with Cascadic CG \rightsquigarrow complexity $\mathcal{O}(N_j)$
- Similar estimates for FEM



Best N -term Approximation

Given $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_\lambda)_\lambda \in \ell_2$, approximate \mathbf{u} using N nonzero coeffs

$$\mathfrak{N}_N := \bigcup_{\Lambda \subset \nabla: \#\Lambda=N} \ell_2(\Lambda)$$

- \mathfrak{N}_N is a nonlinear manifold
- Let \mathbf{u}_N be such that $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_N\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2}$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{N}_N$
- \mathbf{u}_N is a best approximation of \mathbf{u} with $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{u}_N \leq N$
- \mathbf{u}_N can be constructed by picking N largest in modulus coeffs from \mathbf{u}



Nonlinear vs. linear approximation

Nonlinear approximation

If $u \in B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$ with $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} + s$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$

$$\varepsilon_N = \|\mathbf{u}_N - \mathbf{u}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-s})$$

Linear approximation

If $u \in H^{t+ns}$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}]$, uniform refinement

$$\varepsilon_j = \|\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N_j^{-s})$$

- H^{t+ns} is a proper subset of $B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$
- [Dahlke, DeVore]: $u \in B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$ much milder than $u \in H^{t+ns}$



Approximation spaces

- **Approximation space** $\mathcal{A}^s := \{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2 : \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2} \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-s})\}$
- **Quasi-semi-norm** $|\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s} := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} N^s \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2}$
- $u \in B_\tau^{t+ns}(L_\tau)$ with $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} + s$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^s$

Assumption

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^s \text{ for some } s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$$

Best approximation

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ satisfies } \#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} \leq \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$$



Requirements on the Subroutines

Complexity of RHS

RHS $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + 1$

Complexity of APPLY

For $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} < \infty$

APPLY $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \#\text{supp } \mathbf{v} + 1$



Complexity of RICHARDSON

RICHARDSON $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$\varepsilon_i := C\rho^i$; $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon_i] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_i]$

if $\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} + 2\varepsilon_i\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$ then terminate;

$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} + \alpha \mathbf{r}^{(i)}$

endfor

Lemma

RICHARDSON $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$.

- $\varepsilon_0 := \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}\|_{\ell_2}$
- $\#\text{supp } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \varepsilon_0^{-1/s} (\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)^C |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + \varepsilon^{-1/s} (\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)^C |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory \lesssim the same expression



Coarsening

COARSE $[\mathbf{v}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$

$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{v}$ is minimal

Lemma

$\theta < 1/2$. Let $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| \leq \theta\varepsilon$. $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{v}, (1 - \theta)\varepsilon]$ satisfies

$$\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \varepsilon$$


Complexity with coarsening

SOLVE $[\varepsilon_{\text{fin}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)} := \mathbf{0}; \varepsilon_0 := \|\mathbf{f}\|$
 for $i = 0, 1, \dots$
 $\varepsilon_{i+1} := \varepsilon_i/2$
 $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{RICHARDSON}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}, \theta\varepsilon_{i+1}]$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i+1)} := \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{v}, (1 - \theta)\varepsilon_{i+1}]$
 until $\varepsilon_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fin}}$

Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '02]

SOLVE $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$.

- $\#\text{supp } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory \lesssim the same expression



Computing the Right Hand Side

Complexity of RHS

RHS $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon\|_{l_2} \leq \varepsilon$

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory $\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s} + 1$

A naive approach:

- Compute $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \langle g, \psi_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for some $\Lambda \subset \nabla$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{g} - \tilde{\mathbf{g}}\| \leq \delta$
- Arrange the coeffs in $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ in modulus beforehand
- **RHS** $[\mathbf{g}, \varepsilon] := \mathbf{COARSE}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \varepsilon - \delta]$



The Subroutine **APPLY**

Computability

Matrix \mathbf{A} is called q^* -**computable**, when for each N one can construct an infinite matrix \mathbf{A}_N s.t.

- for any $q < q^*$, $\|\mathbf{A}_N - \mathbf{A}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(N^{-q})$
- having in each column $\mathcal{O}(N)$ non-zero entries
- whose computation takes $\mathcal{O}(N)$ operations

Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '01]

Recall $s \in (0, \frac{d-t}{n})$. Let \mathbf{A} be q^* -computable with $q^* > s$. Then we can construct **APPLY** satisfying the requirements.

- \mathbf{A} needs to be approximated well by computable sparse matrices



Compressibility

- Assume $A, A' : H^{t+\sigma} \rightarrow H^{-t+\sigma}$
- **Level** $|\lambda| := j$ such that $\lambda \in \nabla_j \setminus \nabla_{j-1}$
- $\|\psi_\lambda\|_{H^r} \approx 2^{|\lambda|(r-t)}$ for $r \in [-\tilde{d}, \gamma)$, $\gamma := \sup\{q : \psi_\lambda \in H^q\}$
- $r \leq \min\{t + \tilde{d}, \sigma\}$ and $r < \gamma - t$, $|\mu| \geq |\lambda|$

$$|\langle A\psi_\lambda, \psi_\mu \rangle| \leq \|A\psi_\lambda\|_{H^{-t+r}} \|\psi_\mu\|_{H^{t-r}} \lesssim \|\psi_\lambda\|_{H^{t+r}} \|\psi_\mu\|_{H^{t-r}}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-r(|\mu|-|\lambda|)}$$

Theorem [Stevenson '04]

- $\{\psi_\lambda\}$ are piecewise polynomial wavelets that are **sufficiently smooth** and have **sufficiently many vanishing moments**
- A is either **differential** or **singular integral** operator
- any entry of \mathbf{A} can be computed spending $\mathcal{O}(1)$ operations

then \mathbf{A} is q^* -computable for some $q^* \geq \frac{d-t}{n}$ ($> s$)



Computability

Unit cost assumption

Any entry of \mathbf{A} can be computed spending $\mathcal{O}(1)$ operations

- Only satisfied for very special cases: differential operators with constant coefficients, single layer potential operator on \mathbb{R}
- **Numerical quadrature** is needed

Theorem [Gantumur, Stevenson '04, '05]

- $\{\psi_\lambda\}$ are piecewise polynomial wavelets that are **sufficiently smooth** and have **sufficiently many vanishing moments**
- A is either **differential** or **singular integral** operator

then \mathbf{A} is q^* -computable for some $q^* \geq \frac{d-t}{n}$ ($> s$)



Galerkin solutions

- $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle := \langle \mathbf{A} \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on ℓ_2 , $\| \cdot \| := \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a **norm**
- Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$ be an approx. to \mathbf{u} inside **SOLVE**
- $\mathbf{A}_\Lambda := \mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{A}|_{\ell_2(\Lambda)} : \ell_2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell_2(\Lambda)$, and $\mathbf{g}_\Lambda := \mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{g} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$
- $\mathbf{u}_\Lambda \in \ell_2(\Lambda)$ is the solution to $\mathbf{A}_\Lambda \mathbf{u}_\Lambda = \mathbf{g}_\Lambda$

$$\| \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda \| = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2(\Lambda)} \| \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \|$$

- In a sense, \mathbf{u}_Λ is the best approx. from $\ell_2(\Lambda)$
- The next set $\tilde{\Lambda}$ generated by **SOLVE** can be too big, not optimal



Saturation

Galerkin orthogonality

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda \perp_{\mathbf{A}} \ell_2(\Lambda)$$

Lemma

$\mu \in (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{w} \in \ell_2$, and $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$ s.t.

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w})\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|$$

Then we have

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Lambda\| \leq [1 - \kappa(\mathbf{A})^{-1} \mu^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}\|$$



Adaptive Galerkin Method

GROW $[\mathbf{w}] \rightarrow [\Lambda, \nu]$:

$\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{RHS}[\mathbf{g}, \zeta] - \mathbf{APPLY}[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta]$

$\nu := \|\mathbf{r}\| + 2\zeta$

determine a set $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$, with minimal cardinality, such that $\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda \mathbf{r}\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{r}\|$

GALSOLVE $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_k$:

$k := 0; \mathbf{w}_k := 0$

while *with* $[\Lambda_{k+1}, \nu_k] := \mathbf{GROW}[\mathbf{w}_k], \nu_k > \varepsilon$ do

Solve $\mathbf{A}_{\Lambda_{k+1}} \mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{g}_{\Lambda_{k+1}}$

$k := k + 1$

if $k = 0 \pmod{K}$ then $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{w}_{k+1}, \xi]$

enddo



Complexity

Theorem [Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore '01]

Let $k < \infty$ suitably chosen. **GALSOLVE** $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$.

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory \lesssim the same expression



Optimal expansion

Lemma [Gantumur, Harbrecht, Stevenson '05]

$\mu \in (0, \kappa(\mathbf{A})^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $\mathbf{w} \in \ell_2$. Then **the smallest set** $\Lambda \supset \text{supp } \mathbf{w}$ with

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\Lambda(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w})\| \geq \mu \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|$$

satisfies

$$\#(\Lambda \setminus \text{supp } \mathbf{w}) \lesssim \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$$



Optimal Complexity without Coarsening

Theorem [Gantumur, Harbrecht, Stevenson '05]

Let $K = \infty$. **GALSOLVE** $[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$ terminates with $\|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \varepsilon$.

- $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s} |\mathbf{u}|_{\mathcal{A}^s}^{1/s}$
- flops, memory \lesssim the same expression



Summary

- There exist asymptotically optimal **fully discrete** adaptive wavelet algorithms for solving linear operator equations.
- There exist adaptive Galerkin methods **without coarsening** of the intermediate iterands.



References

- A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. DeVore. Adaptive wavelet methods II - Beyond the elliptic case. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 2(3):203–245, 2002.
- R.P. Stevenson. On the compressibility of operators in wavelet coordinates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 35(5):1110–1132, 2004.
- T. Gantumur and R.P. Stevenson. Computation of differential operators in wavelet coordinates. Technical Report 1306, Utrecht University, August 2004. To appear in *Math. Comp.*.
- T. Gantumur and R.P. Stevenson. Computation of singular integral operators in wavelet coordinates. Technical Report 1321, Utrecht University, January 2005. Submitted.
- T. Gantumur, H. Harbrecht, R.P. Stevenson. An optimal adaptive wavelet method without coarsening. Technical Report 1325, Utrecht University, March 2005. Submitted.

