

Parameterization of Factor Predictor Models

What the models and the parameters mean

Example

For example: $M_1 = 4, M_2 = 3$.

- Factor X_1 : levels $1, 2, \dots, M_1$
 - ▶ indices will be $j = 0, 1, \dots, M_1 - 1$
- Factor X_2 : levels $1, 2, \dots, M_2$
 - ▶ indices will be $l = 0, 1, \dots, M_2 - 1$

Example (cont.)

Most complicated possible model: Main Effects plus Interaction

$$1 + X_1 + X_2 + X_1 : X_2$$

(or equivalently $X_1 + X_2 + X_1 : X_2$) that is, we have

- a baseline mean: β_0
- a contrast for each non-baseline level of Factor X_1 : β_{1j}^C
- a contrast for each non-baseline level of Factor X_2 : β_{2l}^C
- an interaction that modifies the effect of changing levels of Factor X_1 at each level of Factor X_2 : β_{12jl}^C

Example

The modelled mean is therefore

$$\beta_0 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{M_1-1} \beta_{1j}^c \mathbb{1}_j(x_{i1})}_{\text{main effect of } X_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{l=1}^{M_2-1} \beta_{2l}^c \mathbb{1}_l(x_{i2})}_{\text{main effect of } X_2} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{M_1-1} \sum_{l=1}^{M_2-1} \beta_{12jl}^c \mathbb{1}_j(x_{i1}) \mathbb{1}_l(x_{i2})}_{\text{interaction}} .$$

For any i , the intercept β_0 is always present, but there is **at most** one contribution from each of the three summations.

Two-way table: 4×3

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0			
	1			
	2			
	3			

Null Model : Baseline Mean Only

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	β_0	β_0
	1	β_0	β_0	β_0
	2	β_0	β_0	β_0
	3	β_0	β_0	β_0

Null Model: cell entries are modelled means for data for each factor level combination.

Effect of Factor X_1 only

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	β_0	β_0
	1	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$
	2	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$
	3	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$

Main Effect Only: X_1

Effect of Factor X_2 only

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$
	1	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$
	2	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$
	3	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$

Main Effect Only: X_2

Effect of Factor X_1 plus Effect of Factor X_2

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$
	1	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + \beta_{22}^C$
	2	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + \beta_{22}^C$
	3	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + \beta_{22}^C$

Main Effects Only: $X_1 + X_2$

Main effects plus Interaction between A and B

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_{21}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{22}^C$
	1	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + \beta_{21}^C + \beta_{1211}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + \beta_{22}^C + \beta_{1212}^C$
	2	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + \beta_{21}^C + \beta_{1221}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + \beta_{22}^C + \beta_{1222}^C$
	3	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + \beta_{21}^C + \beta_{1231}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + \beta_{22}^C + \beta_{1232}^C$

Main Effects Plus Interaction: $X_1 + X_2 + X_1 : X_2$.

‘Illegal’ models

Q. Why are the following models

- $X_1 : X_2$
- $X_1 + X_1 : X_2$
- $X_2 + X_1 : X_2$

not considered ?

A. Because they make specific and perhaps **unrealistic** assumptions about the data, and they imply that the levels of the factors are **not arbitrarily labelled**.

Therefore, although it is possible *in general* to fit such models, it is no longer possible to talk of the “effect of Factor X_1 ” etc.

‘Illegal’ models

Recall the definition of interaction:

- Variation in the effect of changing levels of one factor at the different levels of the other factor.
- For example, the effect on the response mean of moving from level 1 to level 2 for Factor X_2 is **different** at different levels of Factor X_1 .

Consider the model

$$X_1 : X_2$$

this model implies that all parameters apart from the **baseline** and the **interaction** parameters are zero.

Interaction between X_1 and X_2 only

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	$\beta_0 + 0$	$\beta_0 + 0$
	1	$\beta_0 + 0$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1211}^C$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1212}^C$
	2	$\beta_0 + 0$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1221}^C$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1222}^C$
	3	$\beta_0 + 0$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1231}^C$	$\beta_0 + 0 + 0 + \beta_{1232}^C$

- for Factor X_1 , Level 1 ($j = 0$): the effect of moving from Level 2 ($l = 1$) to Level 1 ($l = 0$) of factor X_2 is **zero**
- for Factor X_1 , Level 2 ($j = 1$): the effect of moving from Level 2 ($l = 1$) to Level 1 ($l = 0$) of factor X_2 is β_{1211}^C .

Therefore, there is a **fundamental difference** between the way that we regard the levels of Factor X_1 .

Main Effects of Factor X_1 Plus Interaction: $X_1 + X_1 : X_2$.

		Factor X_2		
		0	1	2
Factor X_1	0	β_0	$\beta_0 + 0$	$\beta_0 + 0$
	1	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + 0 + \beta_{1211}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{11}^C + 0 + \beta_{1212}^C$
	2	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + 0 + \beta_{1221}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{12}^C + 0 + \beta_{1222}^C$
	3	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + 0 + \beta_{1231}^C$	$\beta_0 + \beta_{13}^C + 0 + \beta_{1232}^C$

- for Factor X_1 , Level 1 ($j = 0$): the effect of moving from Level 2 ($l = 1$) to Level 1 ($l = 0$) of factor X_2 is **zero**
- for Factor X_1 , Level 2 ($j = 1$): the effect of moving from Level 2 ($l = 1$) to Level 1 ($l = 0$) of factor X_2 is β_{1211}^C .

Therefore, there is a **fundamental difference** between the way that we regard the levels of Factor X_1 . If we rearrange the labels of the levels of Factor X_1 , **we may get a different result.**