

## 557: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS II

### METHODS OF EVALUATING ESTIMATORS

An estimator,  $T(\underline{X})$ , of  $\theta$  can be evaluated via its statistical properties. Typically, two aspects are considered:

- Expectation
- Variance

either in terms of **finite**  $n$  behaviour, or the hypothetical **limiting case** as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In a frequentist setting, these assessments are made **conditional** on a given value of  $\theta$ , by examining the distribution of  $T$  given  $\theta$ ,  $f_{T|\theta}$ .

#### Bias, Variance And Mean Square Error

For estimator  $T$  (in general a function of sample  $\underline{X}$ ) of parameter  $\tau(\theta)$ , the following quantities will be used to evaluate  $T$ .

- **Bias:** The **bias** of  $T$  is denoted  $b_T(\theta)$ , and is defined by

$$b_T(\theta) = E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] - \tau(\theta).$$

If  $b_T(\theta) = 0$  for all  $\theta$ , then  $T$  is termed **unbiased** for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- **Variance:** The **variance** of  $T$  is denoted in the usual way by  $\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T]$ , defined

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = E_{f_{T|\theta}}[(T - E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T])^2]$$

For an unbiased estimator,

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = E_{f_{T|\theta}}[(T - \tau(\theta))^2].$$

- **Mean Square Error:** The Mean Square Error (MSE) of  $T$  is denoted  $\text{MSE}_\theta(T)$  and defined by

$$\text{MSE}_\theta(T) = E_{f_{T|\theta}}[(T - \tau(\theta))^2]$$

By elementary calculation, it follows that

$$\text{MSE}_\theta(T) = \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] + (E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] - \tau(\theta))^2$$

so that

$$\text{Mean Square Error} = \text{Variance} + (\text{Bias})^2$$

The **Best Unbiased Estimator**, or **Uniform Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator** (UMVUE), of  $\tau(\theta)$ , denoted  $T^*$ , is the estimator with the **smallest variance** of all unbiased estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$ , that is, if  $T$  is any other unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \geq \text{Var}_{f_{T^*|\theta}}[T^*]$$

It transpires that there is a lower bound,  $B(\theta)$ , on the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$ , given by the following result. The result does not in general guarantee that an estimator with variance  $B(\theta)$  exists, and does not give a method of constructing such an estimator, but it does confirm that if  $T$  is such an unbiased estimator, and

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = B(\theta)$$

then  $T$  is the Best Unbiased Estimator.

**Theorem (The Cramér-Rao Inequality)**

Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sample of random variables from probability model described by pmf/pdf  $f_{X|\theta}$ , and let  $T(\underline{X})$  be an estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Suppose that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ T(\underline{x}) f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) \right\} d\underline{x}$$

that is, exchanging the order of integration and differentiation is legitimate, and that  $\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] < \infty$ . Then

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \geq \frac{\left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} \right)^2}{\mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[S(\underline{X}; \theta)^2]}$$

where  $S(\underline{x}; \theta)$  is the score function

$$S(\underline{x}; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \log f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) \right\}$$

**Proof** For any two random variables  $U$  and  $V$ , by a previous result (the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$\left\{ \text{Cov}_{f_{U,V}}[U, V] \right\}^2 \leq \text{Var}_{f_U}[U] \text{Var}_{f_V}[V] \quad \therefore \quad \text{Var}_{f_U}[U] \geq \frac{\left\{ \text{Cov}_{f_{U,V}}[U, V] \right\}^2}{\text{Var}_{f_V}[V]} \quad (1)$$

with equality if and only if  $U$  and  $V$  are linearly related. Now, note that, under the assumptions of the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} T(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) \right\} d\underline{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} T(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) \right\} f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) d\underline{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} T(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \log f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) \right\} f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) d\underline{x} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[T(\underline{X})S(\underline{X}; \theta)] \\ &\equiv \text{Cov}_{f_{T,S|\theta}}[T, S] \end{aligned}$$

as  $\mathbb{E}_{f_{S|\theta}}[S] \equiv \mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[S(\underline{X}; \theta)] = 0$ , by results from MATH 556. Similarly

$$\text{Var}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[S(\underline{X}; \theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[S(\underline{X}; \theta)^2].$$

Therefore, using the covariance inequality

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \geq \frac{\left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} \right\}^2}{\mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}}[S(\underline{X}; \theta)^2]}$$

as required. ■

**Corollary :** If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are a random sample, then

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \geq \frac{\left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} \right\}^2}{n\mathcal{I}(\theta)}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  is the **Fisher Information** as defined in MATH 556 as

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = E_{f_{X|\theta}}[S(X; \theta)^2]$$

Recall that, if second derivatives exist

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -E_{f_{X|\theta}}[\Psi(X; \theta)]$$

where

$$\Psi(X; \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \left\{ \log f_{X|\theta}(x|\theta) \right\}$$

is the second derivative function.

**Corollary :** By definition,  $E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = b_\theta(T) + \tau(\theta)$ , so

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \geq \frac{\left\{ \dot{b}_T(\theta) + \dot{\tau}(\theta) \right\}^2}{E_{f_{X|\theta}}[S(X; \theta)^2]}$$

### Vector Parameter Case

A similar result can be derived in the vector parameter case. Suppose that  $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^\top$ . If  $\underline{T}(\underline{X})$  is a  $d$ -dimensional estimator of a vector function of  $\underline{\theta}$ , then we have a similar bound for the variance-covariance matrix of the estimator. Recall first that for two  $(k \times k)$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we write  $A \geq B$  if  $A - B$  is **non-negative definite**, that is

$$\underline{x}^\top (A - B) \underline{x} \geq 0 \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Under the same assumptions as in the single parameter case, that differentiation and integration orders may be exchanged, and the required expectations and variances are finite, it follows that

$$\text{Var}_{f_{\underline{T}|\underline{\theta}}}[\underline{T}] \geq \dot{\ell}(\underline{\theta}) \mathcal{I}(\underline{\theta})^{-1} \dot{\ell}(\underline{\theta})^\top \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\underline{\theta}) = E_{f_{X|\underline{\theta}}}[\underline{S}(X; \underline{\theta}) \underline{S}(X; \underline{\theta})^\top]$$

and  $\underline{S}(X; \underline{\theta})$  is the  $k \times 1$  vector score function with  $j$ th component

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f_{X|\underline{\theta}}(x|\underline{\theta}) \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

and  $\dot{\ell}(\underline{\theta})$  is the  $d \times k$  matrix with  $(l, j)$ th element

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left\{ E_{f_{T_l|\underline{\theta}}}[T_l] \right\} \quad l = 1, \dots, d, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

Note that in equation (2), the left-hand and right-hand side are  $d \times d$  matrices. Note also that if the second-derivative matrix can be defined, then

$$\mathcal{I}(\underline{\theta}) = -E_{f_{X|\underline{\theta}}}[\Psi(X; \underline{\theta})]$$

where the  $(l, j)$ th element of the  $k \times k$  matrix  $\Psi$  is

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_l} \left\{ \log f_{X|\underline{\theta}}(x|\underline{\theta}) \right\}$$

## Attaining the Lower Bound.

### Theorem

Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sample of random variables from probability model described by pmf/pdf  $f_{X|\theta}$ , with likelihood  $L(\theta|\underline{x})$ . Let  $T(\underline{X})$  be an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Then  $T(\underline{X})$  attains the Cramér-Rao lower bound, that is

$$\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = B(\theta) = \frac{\left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \right\} \right)^2}{\mathbb{E}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}} [S(\underline{X}; \theta)^2]}$$

if and only if

$$a(\theta)(T(\underline{X}) - \tau(\theta)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X})$$

for some function  $a(\theta)$ .

**Proof** In the variance inequality in equation (1), set

$$U \equiv T(\underline{X}) \quad V \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X})$$

so that

$$\left\{ \text{Cov}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}} \left[ T(\underline{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X}) \right] \right\}^2 \leq \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \text{Var}_{f_{\underline{X}|\theta}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X}) \right]$$

with equality if and only if  $T$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X})$  are linearly related, that is

$$m(\theta)T + c(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_{X_i|\theta}(X_i|\theta) \right\} \quad (3)$$

for some functions  $m(\theta)$  and  $c(\theta)$  that do not depend on  $X$ , but may in general depend on  $\theta$ . Taking expectations with respect to  $f_{\underline{X}|\theta}$  on both sides of equation (3), and noting that the expectation on the right-hand side is zero, we must have

$$c(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = \tau(\theta)$$

and the result follows. ■

If an estimator can be found such that the bound is met, then that estimator is the best unbiased estimator. Note that, in the one-parameter Exponential Family, for a random sample  $\underline{X}$

$$L(\theta|\underline{x}) = f_{\underline{X}|\theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) = h(\underline{x})\{c(\theta)\}^n \exp\{w(\theta)T(\underline{x})\}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\underline{X}) = n \frac{\dot{c}(\theta)}{c(\theta)} + \dot{w}(\theta)T(\underline{x}) = \dot{w}(\theta) \left( T(\underline{x}) - \frac{n\dot{c}(\theta)}{c(\theta)\dot{w}(\theta)} \right) = a(\theta) (T(\underline{x}) - n\tau(\theta))$$

say, where  $\dot{c}(\theta)$  is the partial derivative of  $c(\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ . Hence, taking expectations on left and right hand sides, we note that

$$\mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = n\tau(\theta)$$

so that

$$\frac{T(\underline{X})}{n}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  that that has minimum variance.

## Sufficiency and Unbiasedness.

### Theorem The Rao-Blackwell Theorem

Let  $T$  be an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and  $S$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Define statistic  $U$  by

$$U \equiv g(S) = \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|S,\theta}}[T|S]$$

Then  $U$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and for all  $\theta$

$$\text{Var}_{f_{U|\theta}}[U] \leq \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T].$$

**Proof** Clearly  $U = g(S)$  is a valid estimator, as it does not depend on the  $\theta$ ; the conditional distribution of  $T$  given  $S$  does not depend on  $\theta$  by sufficiency. By iterated expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}_{f_{U|\theta}}[U] = \mathbb{E}_{f_{S|\theta}}[g(S)] = \mathbb{E}_{f_{S|\theta}}[\mathbb{E}_{f_{T|S,\theta}}[T|S]] = \mathbb{E}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = \tau(\theta)$$

so  $U$  is unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ , and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] &= \mathbb{E}_{f_{S|\theta}}[\text{Var}_{f_{T|S,\theta}}[T|S]] + \text{Var}_{f_{S|\theta}}[\mathbb{E}_{f_{T|S,\theta}}[T|S]] \\ &\geq \text{Var}_{f_{S|\theta}}[\mathbb{E}_{f_{T|S,\theta}}[T|S]] \\ &= \text{Var}_{f_{S|\theta}}[g(S)] = \text{Var}_{f_{U|\theta}}[U] \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $U$  is a better estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  than  $T$ , as it has lower variance. ■

## Uniqueness.

### Theorem

If  $T$  is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , that is, it achieves the lower bound on variance  $B(\theta)$ , then  $T$  is unique.

**Proof** Let  $T'$  be another best unbiased estimator. Let

$$T^* = \frac{1}{2}(T + T').$$

Then  $T^*$  is clearly unbiased, and by elementary results

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{f_{T^*|\theta}}[T^*] &= \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] + \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}_{f_{T'|\theta}}[T'] + \frac{1}{2}\text{Cov}_{f_{T,T'|\theta}}[T, T'] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] + \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}_{f_{T'|\theta}}[T'] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \text{Var}_{f_{T'|\theta}}[T']\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if  $T$  and  $T'$  are linearly related, as the variances of  $T$  and  $T'$  are equal. Thus, to avoid contradiction, we must have a linear relationship, that is

$$T' = m(\theta)T + c(\theta)$$

say. But, in this case

$$\text{Cov}_{f_{T,T'|\theta}}[T, T'] = \text{Cov}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T, m(\theta)T + c(\theta)] = \text{Cov}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T, m(\theta)T] = m(\theta)\text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T]$$

But, by the covariance equality above,

$$\text{Cov}_{f_{T,T'|\theta}}[T, T'] = \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T]$$

implying that  $m(\theta) \equiv 1$ . Hence, as  $T$  and  $T'$  both have expectation  $\tau(\theta)$ , we must also have  $c(\theta) = 0$ , so that  $T$  and  $T'$  are identical. ■

## Characterizing Best Unbiased Estimators.

### Theorem

An estimator  $T$  of  $\tau(\theta)$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  if and only if  $E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = \tau(\theta)$  and  $T$  is uncorrelated with all estimators  $U$  such that

$$E_{f_{U|\theta}}[U] = 0.$$

$U$  is termed an **unbiased estimator of zero**.

**Proof** Suppose first that  $T$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and  $U$  is an unbiased estimator of zero. Then estimator

$$S = T + aU$$

for constant  $a$  is also unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ , and

$$\text{Var}_{f_{S|\theta}}[S] = \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] + a^2 \text{Var}_{f_{U|\theta}}[U] + 2a \text{Cov}_{f_{T,U|\theta}}[T, U].$$

Thus choosing  $a$  so that

$$a^2 < -\frac{2a \text{Cov}_{f_{T,U|\theta}}[T, U]}{\text{Var}_{f_{U|\theta}}[U]}$$

renders  $\text{Var}_{f_{S|\theta}}[S] < \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T]$  and a contradiction. Such a choice can always be made if  $\text{Cov}_{f_{T,U|\theta}}[T, U]$  is non-zero. Hence we must have

$$\text{Cov}_{f_{T,U|\theta}}[T, U] = 0,$$

that is, that  $T$  and  $U$  are uncorrelated.

Now suppose that  $E_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] = \tau(\theta)$ , and that  $T$  is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of zero. Let  $T'$  be any other unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Now, writing

$$T' = T + (T' - T) = T + Z$$

say, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{f_{T'|\theta}}[T'] &= \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] + \text{Var}_{f_{Z|\theta}}[Z] + 2\text{Cov}_{f_{T,Z|\theta}}[T, Z] \\ &\geq \text{Var}_{f_{T|\theta}}[T] \end{aligned}$$

as  $Z$  is an unbiased estimator of zero, and is thus uncorrelated with  $T$  by assumption, and also  $\text{Var}_{f_{Z|\theta}}[Z] \geq 0$ . ■

**Corollary :** If  $T$  is a complete sufficient statistic for parameter  $\theta$ , and  $h(T)$  is an estimator which is a function of  $T$  only, then  $h(T)$  is the unique best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta) = E_{f_{T|\theta}}[h(T)]$ .

**Proof** If  $T$  is complete, then the only function  $g$  with

$$E_{f_{T|\theta}}[g(T)] = 0.$$

is  $g(t) = 0$  for all  $t$ , that is, the only unbiased estimator of zero is zero itself. But the previous result states that an estimator is a best unbiased estimator if it is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of zero. As

$$\text{Cov}_{f_{T|\theta}}[h(T), 0] = 0$$

for any  $h(T)$ , it follows that  $h(T)$  is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expectation. ■