

557: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS II

THE EM ALGORITHM

The EM Algorithm is a method for producing the maximum likelihood estimates in **incomplete data** problems, that is, models formulated for data that are only partially observed.

Suppose that random variables to be modelled can be partitioned $(\underline{X}, \underline{Y})$ where

- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_m)^\top$ are **unobserved**, termed the **augmented data**
- $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^\top$ are **observed**, termed the **incomplete data**
- $(\underline{X}, \underline{Y})$ are termed the **complete data**

where

$$f_{\underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) = \int f_{\underline{X}, \underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) d\underline{x}$$

In this formulation,

$$L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) = f_{\underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}).$$

is the **incomplete data** likelihood, and

$$L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = f_{\underline{X}, \underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}|\underline{\theta})$$

is the **complete data** likelihood.

Algorithm

The EM Algorithm facilitates maximization of the incomplete data likelihood $L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y})$ by working with the complete data likelihood $L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ and the conditional distribution

$$f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}) = \frac{f_{\underline{X}, \underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}|\underline{\theta})}{f_{\underline{Y}|\underline{\theta}}(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta})} = \frac{L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{x}, \underline{y})}{L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{x})} = K(\underline{x}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}) \quad (1)$$

say. It follows from equation (1) that

$$\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) = \log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{x}, \underline{y}) - \log K(\underline{x}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}) \quad (2)$$

However, the data \underline{x} are not observed, so consider replacing the right-hand side of equation (2) by the expectations with respect to the conditional density $f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}'}(\underline{x}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}')$, for some $\underline{\theta}' \in \Theta$. This yields

$$\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) = E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}'}}[\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}'] - E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}'}}[\log K(\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}']. \quad (3)$$

Note that the notation indicates that we condition on a specific (but as yet unspecified) value of $\underline{\theta}'$ when computing the expectations of $\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})$ and $\log K(\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta})$ at the $\underline{\theta}$ at which the likelihood on the left-hand side of equation (3) is being computed.

The EM algorithm is an iterative algorithm that produces a sequence of estimates that converges to the (incomplete data) maximum likelihood estimate. Generically, starting from an initial value $\underline{\theta} = \underline{\theta}^{(0)}$, the $(r + 1)$ st value in the sequence, $\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}$, is constructed given the r th value, $\underline{\theta}^{(r)}$,

$$\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \underset{\underline{\theta} \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}'}}[\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}]$$

Two components of this calculation are

- **E-step** : compute the expected conditional log-likelihood
- **M-step** : carry out the maximization of the expectation.

In the traditional notation, we write

$$Q(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}') = E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y},\underline{\theta}}}[\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}']$$

We wish to show that the sequence of estimates produced by

$$\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \underset{\underline{\theta} \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

converges to the maximum likelihood estimate. First, note that for two pdfs f_1 and f_2 for random variable Z , we have by the usual argument that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{f_1}[\log f_1(Z)] - E_{f_1}[\log f_2(Z)] &= -E_{f_1}[\log\{f_2(Z)/f_1(Z)\}] \geq -\log E_{f_1}[\{f_2(Z)/f_1(Z)\}] \\ &= -\log \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \{f_2(z)/f_1(z)\} f_1(z) dz \\ &= -\log \int_{\mathcal{Z}} f_2(z) dz = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E_{f_1}[\log f_1(Z)] \geq E_{f_1}[\log f_2(Z)].$$

with equality if and only if $f_1 \equiv f_2$. Hence, for $\underline{\theta} \in \Theta$, recalling that

$$K(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = \frac{L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})}{L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{Y})} = f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y},\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta})$$

is itself a (conditional) pdf for all $\underline{\theta} \in \Theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) - \log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) &= E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y},\underline{\theta}}}[\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}] - \log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) \\ &= E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y},\underline{\theta}}} \left[\log K(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}^{(r)} \right] \\ &\leq E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y},\underline{\theta}}} \left[\log K(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}^{(r)} \right] \\ &= Q(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) - \log L(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) - Q(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)})$ achieves its **minimum** value when $\underline{\theta} = \underline{\theta}^{(r)}$. Now suppose that $\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}$ is the value that maximizes $Q(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)})$ over Θ ; we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}|\underline{y}) &\equiv Q(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) + \left(\log L(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}|\underline{y}) - Q(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \right) \\ &\geq Q(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) + \left(\log L(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{y}) - Q(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \right) \\ &= \log L(\underline{\theta}^{(r)}|\underline{y}) \end{aligned}$$

and the likelihood attained is **increasing** with the sequence $\underline{\theta}^{(0)}, \underline{\theta}^{(1)}, \underline{\theta}^{(2)}, \dots$

EXAMPLE: Finite Mixture Model

Suppose that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are a random sample from the K component finite mixture model

$$f_{Y|\underline{\theta}}(y|\underline{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k f_k(y|\theta_k) \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

where f_1, \dots, f_K are component densities, and

$$0 < \omega_k < 1 \quad \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k = 1$$

Estimation of $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^\top$ from the likelihood $L(\underline{\theta}|y)$ is in general difficult. However, consider the augmented data X_1, \dots, X_n , where

$$\Pr[X_i = k] = \omega_k \quad i = 1, \dots, K$$

are independent random variables so that

$$L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^K \{\omega_k f_k(y_i|\theta_k)\}^{I_{\{k\}}(X_i)}$$

and

$$\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K I_{\{k\}}(X_i) (\log \omega_k + \log f_k(y_i|\theta_k)).$$

The conditional distribution $f_{X|Y, \underline{\theta}}(x|y, \underline{\theta})$ is a discrete distribution on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ where for each $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\Pr[X_i = k|Y, \underline{\omega}, \underline{\theta}] = \frac{\omega_k f_k(y_i|\theta_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \omega_j f_j(y|\theta_j)} = \varpi_k(y_i, \underline{\theta}) \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

where X_1, \dots, X_n are conditionally independent. Thus

$$E_{f_{X_i|Y_i, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\omega}}} [I_{\{k\}}(X_i)|y_i, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\omega}] = \varpi_k(y_i, \underline{\theta})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\underline{\theta}, \underline{\omega}|\underline{\theta}^{(r)}, \underline{\omega}^{(r)}) &= E_{f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\omega}}} [\log L(\underline{\theta}|\underline{X}, \underline{Y})|y, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}, \underline{\omega}^{(r)}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) (\log \omega_k + \log f_k(y_i|\theta_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \right\} \log \omega_k + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \log f_k(y_i|\theta_k) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We seek to maximize over $(\underline{\theta}, \underline{\omega})$ to obtain $(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}, \underline{\omega}^{(r+1)})$ presuming that the values $\varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})$ are fixed. From the form of equation (4) it is evident that the function is sum of two parts, the first only depending on $\underline{\omega}$, the second only dependent on $\underline{\theta}$. We can therefore maximize the two parts separately to obtain $(\underline{\theta}^{(r+1)}, \underline{\omega}^{(r+1)})$.

The first part of equation (4) is of the form of a multinomial likelihood in ω , therefore, by previous results, it follows that

$$\omega_k^{(r+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})}{\sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_j^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})} \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

The second part of equation (4) is the sum of K log-likelihoods for the K mixture components which can be maximized separately

$$\theta_k^{(r+1)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) \log f_k(y_i | \theta_k) \quad (5)$$

For certain choices of the component densities, this maximization can be carried out analytically. For example, if $f_k(y|\theta_k)$ is the normal density with expectation μ_k and variance σ_k^2 , it follows that the new maximizing value equals $\theta_k^{(r+1)} = (\mu_k^{(r+1)}, \sigma_k^{(r+1)})$ where

$$\mu_k^{(r+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})}$$

and

$$\sigma_k^{(r+1)} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)}) (y_i - \mu_k^{(r+1)})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})}}$$

Note that in the normal model the terms in (5) correspond to likelihood components of the form

$$\{f_k(y_i|\theta_k)\}^{\varpi_k^{(r)}} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_k^2}\right)^{\varpi_k^{(r)}/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\varpi_k^{(r)}}{2\sigma_k^2}(y_i - \mu_k^{(r)})^2\right\}$$

so the terms $\varpi_k^{(r)} \equiv \varpi_k^{(r)}(y_i, \underline{\theta}^{(r)})$ are acting as weighting factors.