

MATH 556 - ASSIGNMENT 3 SOLUTIONS

- 1 (a) (i) This is not an Exponential Family distribution; the support is parameter dependent. 1 MARK
(ii) This is an EF distribution with $k = 1$:

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{I_{\{1,2,3,\dots\}}(x)}{x} \frac{-1}{\log(1-\theta)} \exp\{x \log \theta\} = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\{w(\theta)t(x)\}$$

where $h(x) = \frac{I_{\{1,2,3,\dots\}}(x)}{x}$ $c(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\log(1-\theta)}$ $w(\theta) = \log(\theta)$ $t(x) = x$,

so the natural parameter is $\eta = \log(\theta)$. 2 MARKS

- (iii) This is an EF distribution with $k = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\phi, \lambda) &= \frac{I_{(0,\infty)}(x)}{(2\pi x^3)^{1/2}} \sqrt{\lambda} e^\phi \exp\left\{-\frac{\phi^2}{2\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{x}\right\} \\ &= h(x)c(\phi, \lambda) \exp\{w_1(\phi, \lambda)t_1(x) + w_2(\phi, \lambda)t_2(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{I_{(0,\infty)}(x)}{(2\pi x^3)^{1/2}} \quad c(\phi, \lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda} e^\phi$$

and

$$w_1(\phi, \lambda) = -\frac{\phi^2}{2\lambda} \quad w_2(\phi, \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \quad t_1(x) = x \quad t_2(x) = \frac{1}{x},$$

so the natural parameter is $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^\top$ where

$$\eta_1 = -\phi^2/2\lambda \quad \eta_2 = -\lambda/2$$

2 MARKS

In the natural parameterization

$$c^*(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sqrt{-2\eta_2} \exp\{2\sqrt{\eta_1\eta_2}\}$$

so, using the results from lectures

$$E_{f_X}[1/X] = E_{f_X}[t_2(X)] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \log c^*(\eta_1, \eta_2).$$

We have

$$\log c^*(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log(-2\eta_2) + 2\sqrt{\eta_1\eta_2}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{f_X}[1/X] &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log(-2\eta_2) + 2\sqrt{\eta_1\eta_2} \right\} = -\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{-2\eta_2} (-2) + 2\sqrt{\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}} \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\eta_2} - \sqrt{\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\phi}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

3 MARKS

(b) (i) We can re-write f_X as

$$f_X(x|\eta) = h(x) \exp\{\eta t(x) - \kappa(\eta)\}$$

where $\kappa(\eta) = -\log c^*(\eta)$, and by integrating with respect to x , we note that

$$\int h(x) \exp\{\eta t(x)\} dx = \exp\{\kappa(\eta)\}$$

for $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ as given in lectures. Thus, for s in a suitable neighbourhood of zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(s) &= E_{f_X}[e^{st(X)}] = \int e^{st(x)} h(x) \exp\{\eta t(x) - \kappa(\eta)\} dx \\ &= \exp\{-\kappa(\eta)\} \int h(x) \exp\{t(x)(\eta + s)\} dx = \exp\{-\kappa(\eta)\} \exp\{\kappa(\eta + s)\} \end{aligned}$$

as $\eta \in \mathcal{H} \implies \eta + s \in \mathcal{H}$ for s small enough, as \mathcal{H} is open. Hence, as $K_T(s) = \log M_T(s)$,

$$K_T(s) = \kappa(\eta + s) - \kappa(\eta)$$

for $s \in (-h, h)$, some $h > 0$ as required.

4 MARKS

(ii) By inspection

$$\ell(x; \eta_1, \eta_2) = (\eta_1 - \eta_2)t(x) - (\kappa(\eta_1) - \kappa(\eta_2))$$

2 MARKS

2 By iterated expectation

$$E_{f_{X_1}}[X_1] = E_{f_M} [E_{f_{X_1|M}}[X_1|M = m]] = E_{f_M} [M] = \mu$$

and

$$E_{f_{X_1}}[X_1^2] = E_{f_M} [E_{f_{X_1|M}}[X_1^2|M = m]] = E_{f_M} [M^2 + \sigma^2] = \mu^2 + \tau^2 + \sigma^2$$

so that

$$Var_{f_{X_1}}[X_1] = E_{f_{X_1}}[X_1^2] - \{E_{f_{X_1}}[X_1]\}^2 = \tau^2 + \sigma^2.$$

By symmetry

$$E_{f_{X_2}}[X_2] = \mu \quad Var_{f_{X_2}}[X_2] = \tau^2 + \sigma^2.$$

Now,

$$E_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1 X_2] = E_{f_M} [E_{f_{X_1, X_2|M}}[X_1 X_2|M = m]] = E_{f_M} [E_{f_{X_1|M}}[X_1|M = m] \times E_{f_{X_2|M}}[X_2|M = m]]$$

by conditional independence. Therefore

$$E_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1 X_2] = E_{f_M} [M \times M] = E_{f_M} [M^2] = \mu^2 + \tau^2$$

Hence

$$Cov_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1, X_2] = E_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1 X_2] - E_{f_{X_1}}[X_1]E_{f_{X_2}}[X_2] = \mu^2 + \tau^2 - \mu^2 = \tau^2$$

and

$$Corr_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1, X_2] = \frac{Cov_{f_{X_1, X_2}}[X_1, X_2]}{\sqrt{Var_{f_{X_1}}[X_1]Var_{f_{X_2}}[X_2]}} = \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}$$

5 MARKS

X_1 and X_2 are not independent; their covariance is non zero.

1 MARK