

# MATH 557 - MID-TERM 2017 - SOLUTIONS

1. (a) We have

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \right\}^n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right\}^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \right\}^{\beta-1}$$

suggesting the sufficient statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \right)^\top$  and the result follows using the Fisher-Neyman Factorization Theorem. 3 MARKS

- (b) Writing  $\lambda = \log \theta$ , we realize that this is the *Poisson*( $\log \theta$ ) model. Hence by elementary calculation,  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\log \theta$ . 3 MARKS

- (c) The joint pdf is only non-zero if  $X_i > \theta$  for all  $i$ , and hence can be written

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(x_{(1)}, \infty)}(\theta)}{\theta^n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n \right\}$$

and it follows that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  is a sufficient statistic. 4 MARKS

2. (a) Note first that by standard expansion into a quartic polynomial

$$\left( \frac{x - \theta}{\sigma} \right)^4 = w_0(\theta, \sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^4 w_j(\theta, \sigma) x^j = w_0(\theta, \sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^4 w_j(\theta, \sigma) t_j(x)$$

say, where  $w_j(\theta, \sigma)$  are constant functions of  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$ . Thus

$$f_X(x; \theta, \sigma) = h(x) c(\theta, \sigma) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^4 w_j(\theta, \sigma) t_j(x) \right\}$$

where  $h(x) = 1$ ,  $c(\theta, \sigma) = \exp\{w_0(\theta, \sigma) - \kappa(\theta, \sigma)\}$ ,  $t_j(x) = x^j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ , and hence the distribution is an Exponential Family distribution. By inspection, and using the Neyman factorization theorem in this Exponential family setting, we have

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (T_1(\mathbf{X}), T_2(\mathbf{X}), T_3(\mathbf{X}), T_4(\mathbf{X}))^\top \quad T_j(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_j(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j \quad j = 1, \dots, 4$$

is a sufficient statistic. As this is a regular Exponential Family distribution, it follows that this statistic is also minimal sufficient; this is easily verified using the minimal sufficiency theorem, as the log density is a polynomial function. 6 MARKS

- (b) This model is also a location family with standard member

$$f_0(x) = c \exp\{-x^4\} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence we may write for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $X_i \stackrel{d}{=} Z_i + \theta$ , where  $Z_i \sim f_0$ . Consider the minimum and maximum order statistics  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$ , and range  $R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ . As

$$R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{(n)} - Z_{(1)},$$

it follows that  $R$  is ancillary, as its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ . 4 MARKS

3. (a) The likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(x_i) \right\} \theta^n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \right\}^{\theta-1} = h(\mathbf{x}) \theta^n \{T(\mathbf{x})\}^{\theta-1} \propto \theta^n \{T(\mathbf{x})\}^{\theta}$$

say, where  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)$ . The log-likelihood is therefore

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \text{const.} + n \log \theta + \theta \log T(\mathbf{x})$$

with derivative

$$\dot{\ell}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \log T(\mathbf{x})$$

and this equating to zero we find that the MLE is

$$\hat{\theta}_n = -\frac{n}{\log T(\mathbf{x})} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - x_i)}$$

It is easy to check that the second derivative is negative at this solution, taking the value

$$-\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_n^2} < 0.$$

5 MARKS

(b) The likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(0,\beta)}(x_i) \right\} \frac{\alpha^n}{\beta^{n\alpha}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right\}^{\alpha-1}$$

Let  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ , and note that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(0,\beta)}(x_i) \equiv \mathbb{1}_{(0,\beta)}(x_{(n)})$$

The log-likelihood is therefore

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} n \log \alpha - n\alpha \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \log T(\mathbf{x}) & \beta > x_{(n)} \\ -\infty & \beta \leq x_{(n)} \end{cases}$$

It is evident that as the parameter space dictates that  $\alpha > 0$ , this log-likelihood is monotonic decreasing in  $\beta$  for  $\beta > x_{(n)}$  (and equal to negative infinity on  $(0, x_{(n)})$ ), so therefore the MLE for  $\beta$  must be  $x_{(n)}$ . For  $\alpha$ , the partial derivative is

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} - n \log \beta + \log T(\mathbf{x})$$

so therefore equating to zero and solving at  $\beta = \hat{\beta}_n = x_{(n)}$ , we have

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = -\frac{n}{n \log \hat{\beta}_n - \log T(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log x_{(n)} - \log x_i)}$$

At this solution, the second derivative is  $-n/\hat{\alpha}_n^2 < 0$ .

5 MARKS

4. (a) It is useful to re-write this density as

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) &= \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \left\{ \exp \left\{ \frac{x}{\theta_2} \right\} \right\}^{\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(x)} \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\frac{x}{\theta_1} \right\} \right\}^{\mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \exp \left\{ \frac{x \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(x)}{\theta_2} \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x)}{\theta_1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(x)}{\theta_2} - \frac{x \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x)}{\theta_1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the likelihood can be written

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \left( \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \right)^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{T_2}{\theta_2} - \frac{T_1}{\theta_1} \right\}$$

for the statistics

$$T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x_i) x_i \quad T_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(x_i) x_i$$

and hence the MLEs must be functions of these sufficient statistics as required.

6 MARKS

- (b) For a sample of size  $n = 1$ , we have that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^\top} \{ \log f_X(X; \theta) \} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} - \frac{2T_1}{\theta_1^3} & \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} \\ \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} & \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} - \frac{2T_2}{\theta_2^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, by direct calculation

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_1}[T_1; \theta_1, \theta_2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) x f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) dx = \int_0^{\infty} x \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \exp\{-x/\theta_1\} dx = \frac{\theta_1^2}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$$

and similarly

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_2}[T_2; \theta_1, \theta_2] = \frac{\theta_2^2}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\theta(\theta) &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} + \frac{2}{\theta_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} & -\frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} \\ -\frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} & \frac{2}{\theta_2(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{2\theta_2}{\theta_1} & -1 \\ -1 & 1 + \frac{2\theta_1}{\theta_2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at  $\theta = \theta_0$  gives the result.

4 MARKS