

557: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS II

LARGE SAMPLE AND ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS IN HYPOTHESIS TESTING

Recall that the **Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT)** statistic for testing H_0 against H_1

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: \theta \in \Theta_0 \\ H_1 &: \theta \in \Theta_1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is based on the statistic

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_n(\hat{\theta}_{n0})}{\mathcal{L}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)} \tag{2}$$

say, where H_0 is **rejected** if $\lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ is **small enough**, that is, $\lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$ for some c to be defined.

Theorem Consider using the likelihood ratio statistic $\lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})$ for testing

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: \theta = \theta_0 \\ H_1 &: \theta \neq \theta_0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for a $k \times 1$ parameter θ . Then under regularity assumptions, the maximum likelihood estimator for θ under H_1 , $\hat{\theta}_n$, is consistent and asymptotically normally distributed, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under H_0 ,

$$-2(\ell_n(\theta_0) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) \xrightarrow{d} Q \sim \chi_k^2.$$

For the behaviour under H_1 , suppose that, the true data generating model has $\theta = \theta_0^*$. Then, under regularity conditions

$$-\frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) = \frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0^*) - \ell_n(\theta_0)) - \frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0^*) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{f_X(X_i; \theta_0^*)}{f_X(X_i; \theta_0)} - \frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0^*) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n))$$

But, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{f_X(X_i; \theta_0^*)}{f_X(X_i; \theta_0)} \xrightarrow{p} 2K(\theta_0^*, \theta_0) \quad \frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0^*) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

as $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0^*$, so

$$-\frac{2}{n}(\ell_n(\theta_0) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) \xrightarrow{p} 2K(\theta_0^*, \theta_0) > 0$$

Theorem Consider testing the hypothesis in (1) using the likelihood ratio statistic (2). Suppose H_0 specifies a model that constrains $k - k_1$ parameters, and $H_0 \cup H_1$ and constrains only a subset of $k - k_2$ parameters, with $k_2 > k_1$; that is, H_0 imposes a further $k_2 - k_1$ constraints compared to those imposed by H_1 . Then, under regularity conditions, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, under H_0

$$-2 \log \lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}) = -2(\ell_n(\hat{\theta}_{n0}) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)) \xrightarrow{d} Q \sim \chi_{k_2 - k_1}^2$$

Such hypotheses can often be specified in the form of parameter $\psi = (\theta, \phi)$,

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, \quad \phi \text{ unspecified}$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0, \quad \phi \text{ unspecified}$$

that is, H_0 places constraints on one component, θ , of ψ , but leaves the other component, ϕ , unconstrained.

OTHER ASYMPTOTIC TESTS: THE WALD AND SCORE STATISTICS

The **Wald** and **Rao/Score** test statistics derived from a sample of size n , W_n and R_n , for testing (3) in the case of a vector-valued parameter are constructed as follows:

- **Wald Test** : The **Wald Statistic**, W_n , is defined by

$$W_n = n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^\top \hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is a solution to the likelihood equations, \hat{I}_n is the observed information.

- **Score Test** : Let

$$Z_n \equiv Z_n(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0).$$

Then the (Rao) Score Test Statistic, R_n , is defined by

$$R_n = Z_n^\top \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0)^{-1} Z_n \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0)$ can be replaced by the observed information $\hat{I}_n(\theta_0)$ if necessary.

In the one parameter case, the statistics can be expressed as

$$W_n = -(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2 \ddot{\ell}_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \quad R_n = -\left\{ \dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^2 \left\{ \ddot{\ell}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^{-1}$$

(a) Under the **null hypothesis**

- **Wald Test** : For the Wald test, as

$$D_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \text{Normal}(\mathbf{0}_k, \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0)^{-1})$$

it follows that

$$W_n = n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^\top \hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = D_n^\top \hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_n) D_n \xrightarrow{d} Z^\top \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_k^2$$

- **Score Test** : For the Score test,

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0) \right) \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \text{Normal}(\mathbf{0}_k, \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0))$$

and hence for the Score Test Statistic,

$$R_n = Z_n^\top \{ \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0) \}^{-1} Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z^\top \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_k^2$$

(b) If the null hypothesis is **not true**, let θ_0^* denote the true value of the parameter.

- **Wald Test** : For the Wald test,

$$\frac{1}{n} W_n = (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^\top \hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{p} (\theta_0^* - \theta_0)^\top \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0^*}(\theta_0^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_0) > 0$$

- **Score Test** : For the Score test, from above

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}_X[\dot{\ell}_n(\theta_0)] = \mu(\theta_0^*, \theta_0)$$

say, and hence for the Score Test Statistic,

$$\frac{1}{n} R_n = \frac{1}{n} Z_n^\top \{ \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0) \}^{-1} Z_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu(\theta_0^*, \theta_0)^\top \{ \mathcal{I}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0) \}^{-1} \mu(\theta_0^*, \theta_0) > 0$$

Composite Hypotheses

Consider testing the hypothesis for parameter $\psi = (\theta, \phi)$ where θ is $k_1 \times 1$, and ϕ is $(k - k_1) \times 1$

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, \quad \phi \text{ unspecified}$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0, \quad \phi \text{ unspecified}$$

that is, H_0 places constraints on one component of ψ , but leaves the other unspecified.

Let $\hat{\psi}_{n0} = (\theta_0, \hat{\phi}_{n0})^\top$ and $\hat{\psi}_{n1} = (\hat{\theta}_{n1}, \hat{\phi}_{n1})^\top$ denote MLEs under H_0 and H_1 respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{I}_\psi(\psi) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{00}(\psi) & \mathcal{I}_{01}(\psi) \\ \mathcal{I}_{10}(\psi) & \mathcal{I}_{11}(\psi) \end{bmatrix}$$

denotes the Fisher information for θ , with blocks $\mathcal{I}_{00}(\psi)$ ($k_1 \times k_1$), $\mathcal{I}_{01}(\psi)$ ($k_1 \times (k - k_1)$) etc. Let

$$\{\mathcal{I}_\psi(\psi)\}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{00}(\psi) & \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{01}(\psi) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{10}(\psi) & \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{11}(\psi) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then for the hypotheses above the Wald and Score tests are constructed as follows:

- **Wald Test :** The Wald Statistic, W_n , is defined by

$$W_n = n(\hat{\theta}_{n1} - \theta_0)^\top \hat{I}_{n00.1}(\hat{\psi}_{n1})(\hat{\theta}_{n1} - \theta_0) \quad (6)$$

where \hat{I}_n is the observed information, and $\hat{I}_{n00.1}$ is the upper $k_1 \times k_1$ block of the inverse of $\hat{I}_n(\hat{\psi}_{n1})$. It can be shown that if

$$\hat{I}_n(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{I}_{n00}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) & \hat{I}_{n01}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) \\ \hat{I}_{n10}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) & \hat{I}_{n11}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with inverse

$$\tilde{I}_n(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{I}_{n00}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) & \tilde{I}_{n01}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) \\ \tilde{I}_{n10}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) & \tilde{I}_{n11}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\hat{I}_{n00.1}(\hat{\theta}_{n0}) = \left(\tilde{I}_{n00}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) - \tilde{I}_{n01}(\hat{\psi}_{n1})\{\tilde{I}_{n11}(\hat{\psi}_{n1})\}^{-1}\tilde{I}_{n10}(\hat{\psi}_{n1}) \right)^{-1}$$

- **Score Test :** Let

$$Z_n \equiv Z_n(\hat{\psi}_{n0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_n(\hat{\psi}_{n0}).$$

Then the (Rao) Score Test Statistic, R_n , is defined by

$$R_n = Z_n^\top \{\mathcal{I}_{\hat{\psi}_{n0}}(\hat{\psi}_{n0})\}^{-1} Z_n \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_\psi(\psi)$ can be replaced by the observed information $\hat{I}_n(\hat{\psi}_{n0})$ if the Fisher information is not available.

In both cases, under H_0 , the statistics converge in distribution to a Chi-squared distribution,

$$W_n \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{k_1}^2 \quad R_n \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{k_1}^2$$