

## 557: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS II

### NONPARAMETRIC MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD

Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are a random sample from a distribution with cdf  $F_X$  that is not specified using a parametric model, that is, the whole function

$$F_X(x) = \Pr[X \leq x] \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

is the (infinite dimensional) parameter of the data-generating model. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the parameter space, that is, the set of distribution functions (non-decreasing right-continuous functions mapping  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ). Finally, denote the *probability measure* associated with  $F_X$  by  $P_{F_X}$ , so that

$$F_X(x) = P_{F_X}((-\infty, x])$$

Given observed data  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we wish to estimate  $F_X$ .

The likelihood function for such data in this nonparametric setting takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{F_X}(\{x_i\}) \quad F_X \in \mathcal{F}$$

matching precisely the definition in the parametric setting. It is evident from this definition that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X) \geq 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad P_{F_X}(\{x_i\}) = 0, \text{ for some } i.$$

so to find the maximum likelihood estimate, we attempt to maximize over functions  $F_X$  for which  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X) > 0$ . Let  $0 < c \leq 1$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{F}_c$  the subset of  $\mathcal{F}$  whose elements satisfy

$$p_i = P_{F_X}(\{x_i\}) > 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = c.$$

Note that  $0 < c \leq 1$ , as  $P_{F_X}$  assigns probabilities to sets in (the  $\sigma$ -algebra defined on)  $\mathbb{R}$ . To maximize  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X)$  for  $F_X \in \mathcal{F}_c$  subject to the constraint, consider the function

$$G(p_1, \dots, p_n, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i + \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i - c \right)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a Lagrange multiplier. We have to solve the  $n + 1$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_j} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i}{p_j} + \lambda = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda} &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i - c = 0 \end{aligned}$$

simultaneously for  $p_1, \dots, p_n, \lambda$ . From the first set of equations, rearranging and summing over  $j$  we have

$$\frac{n}{\lambda} = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i}$$

so that from the second equation

$$\frac{n}{\lambda} = -\frac{c}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} \quad \therefore \quad \lambda = -\frac{n \prod_{i=1}^n p_i}{c}$$

and hence for the  $j$ th equation in the first batch

$$\hat{p}_j = -\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{p}_i}{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{c}{n} \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

yielding

$$\hat{\lambda} = -\left(\frac{c}{n}\right)^{n-1}.$$

At this solution,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \hat{F}_X) = \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{p}_i = \left(\frac{c}{n}\right)^n$$

and it is easy to see (by the concavity of the log function) that for any probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  summing to  $c$ , as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_i \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) = \log \left( \frac{c}{n} \right)$$

it follows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq \left(\frac{c}{n}\right)^n.$$

Thus we have a **global** maximum of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X)$  at the computed solution, that is,

$$\max_{F_X \in \mathcal{F}_c} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; F_X) = \left(\frac{c}{n}\right)^n$$

which is maximized when  $c = 1$ . Hence the maximum likelihood estimate of  $F_X$ , denoted  $\hat{F}_X$ , in this nonparametric setting, is defined by the **discrete** probability measure

$$P_{\hat{F}_X}(\{x\}) = \begin{cases} \hat{p}_i & x = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & x = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which may be equivalently written

$$\Pr[X = x] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i\}}(x)$$

Thus, the nonparametric maximum likelihood estimate of  $F_X$  is the **empirical cdf**

$$\hat{F}_X(x) \equiv \hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{[x_i, \infty)}(x).$$

## ALTERNATIVE DERIVATION

An alternative derivation used in failure time data allows for a similar construction for potentially censored data, that is, data for which for some  $i$ , only the event  $X_i > x$  is observed. Suppose that data including values with censoring are collected; let  $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$  denote the  $n$  independent failure/censoring times sorted into non-descending order, and let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  denote the corresponding censoring variables, where  $z_j = 1$  if failure is observed, and is zero otherwise. For completeness, define  $t_0 = -\infty, t_{n+1} = \infty$ .

Failure modelling for such data is achieved via functions such as the failure pmf  $f$ , survivor function  $S$ , hazard function  $h$  and cumulative hazard  $H$ , where, in discrete time,

$$f(j) = q_j = P[X = j] \quad S(j) = S_j = P[X > j] \quad h_j = \frac{q_j}{P[X \geq j]} = \frac{q_j}{S_{j-1}} \quad H_j = \sum_{i=1}^j h_i$$

**Nonparametric Likelihood:** Define a partition of the observed data range into the disjoint, half-open intervals

$$(-\infty, t_1], (t_1, t_2], \dots, (t_{n-1}, t_n], (t_n, \infty)$$

with corresponding interval probabilities  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, q_{n+1}$ ,

$$q_j = F_X(t_j) - F_X(t_{j-1}) = S_X(t_{j-1}) - S(t_j)$$

and discrete hazards

$$h_1 = q_1 \quad h_j = \frac{q_j}{1 - q_1 - q_2 - \dots - q_{j-1}}$$

so that  $q_1 = h_1$ ,

$$q_j = h_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - h_i) \quad S_j = P[X > t_j] = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^j q_i = \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - h_i)$$

Suppose now that, for time point  $t_j$ , there are  $N_j$  observed failures/censorings, defined by binary indicators  $(z_{j1}, \dots, z_{jN_j})$  (this generalizes the  $N_j = 1$  case described in the first section, and allows for the possibility of ties). The likelihood for such observed data is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{q}) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{N_j} q_j^{z_{jk}} S_j^{(1-z_{jk})} \right\} \quad (1)$$

that will form the basis for inference.

For the data  $(t, z)$ , the log likelihood from (1) is

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \log q_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (1 - z_{jk}) \log S_j \right\}$$

which, in terms of the hazard parameterization yields

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{h}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \left[ \log h_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \log (1 - h_i) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (1 - z_{jk}) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^j \log (1 - h_i) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \log h_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} z_{jk} \log (1 - h_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^j (1 - z_{jk}) \log (1 - h_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \right\} \log h_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=i+1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \right\} \log (1 - h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=i}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (1 - z_{jk}) \right\} \log (1 - h_i) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \{m_{1j} \log h_j + m_{2j} \log (1 - h_j)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_{1j} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} \quad m_{2j} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \sum_{i=j+1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{ik} \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{i=j}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (1 - z_{ik}) \right\} & 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} (1 - z_{nk}) & j = n \end{cases}$$

In terms of the hazard parameters, the likelihood is the of the form of a *product binomial* expression. The expression for  $m_{2j}$  simplifies to be

$$m_{2j} = \sum_{i=j+1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \{z_{ik} + (1 - z_{ik})\} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} (1 - z_{jk}) = \sum_{i=j+1}^n N_i + N_j - \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk} = \sum_{i=j}^n N_i - \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk}$$

The maximum likelihood estimates of the hazard probabilities are thus

$$\hat{h}_j = \frac{m_{1j}}{m_{1j} + m_{2j}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{jk}}{\sum_{i=j}^n N_i}$$

and thus

$$\hat{q}_1 = \hat{h}_1 \quad \hat{q}_j = \hat{h}_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - \hat{h}_i) \quad \hat{S}_j = \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - \hat{h}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^j \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_j} z_{ik}}{\sum_{i=j}^n N_i} \right)$$

If all  $N_j = 1$

$$\hat{q}_j = \frac{z_j}{n - j + 1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \left( 1 - \frac{z_i}{n - i + 1} \right) \quad \hat{S}_j = \prod_{i=1}^j \left( 1 - \frac{z_i}{n - i + 1} \right)$$

and if all  $z_i = 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \\
q_2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n-1+1} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \\
q_3 &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n-1+1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n-2+1} \right) = \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

and so on, so that  $q_i = 1/n$  for all  $i$ .