## MATH 556: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS I

## GENERAL RESULTS FOR THE SAMPLE MEAN AND VARIANCE STATISTICS

Suppose that  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is a random sample from a distribution, with finite expectation  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Consider the sample mean and sample variance statistics  $\overline{X}_n$  and  $s^2$  and denote

$$T_1 = \overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
  $T_2 = s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$ .

Then

(a)  $\mathbb{E}_{T_1}[T_1] = \mu$ 

(b) 
$$Var_{T_1}[T_1] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

(c) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{T_2}[T_2] = \sigma^2$$

(a) and (b) follow from elementary properties of expectations and variances for independent random variables. For (c), note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - n \overline{X}_n^2.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_2}[T_2] = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n \overline{X}_n^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{X_i}[X_i^2] - n \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \overline{X}_n^2 \right] \right] = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \right) \right] = \sigma^2 \quad (1)$$

where line (1) follows from the fact that for any random variable *X* 

$$\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}_X[X^2] - \mathbb{E}_X[X]^2 = \mathbb{E}_X[X^2] - \mu^2$$

and the result of parts (a) and (b).

**Normal case:** For the same calculations in the Normal case, recall the fundamental transformation results for Normal random variables that can be established easily using mgfs,

(i) If  $X \sim Normal(0, 1)$ , then

$$X^2 \sim \chi_1^2 \equiv Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

(ii) If  $X_1, \ldots, X_r \sim Normal(0, 1)$  are independent random variables, then

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i^2 \sim \chi_r^2 \equiv Gamma\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

(iii) If  $Y_1 \sim \chi^2_{r_1}$  and  $Y_2 \sim \chi^2_{r_2}$  are independent random variables, then

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \chi^2_{r_1 + r_2}$$

1

Suppose that  $X_1,...,X_n$  is a random sample from a normal distribution, say  $X_i \sim Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Define the sample mean and sample variance statistics  $\overline{X}_n$  and  $s^2$  as the random variables

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$ .

Then

- (a)  $\overline{X}_n \sim Normal(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- (b)  $\overline{X}_n$  is independent of  $\{X_i \overline{X}_n, i = 1, ..., n\}$ , and  $\overline{X}_n$  and  $s^2$  are independent random variables
- (c) The random variable

$$\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$$

has a **chi-squared distribution** with n-1 degrees of freedom.

For (a) the proof straightforward using mgfs. For (b) the result follows by considering the multivariate transformation theorem: the joint pdf  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is the normal density

$$f_{X_1,...,X_n}(x_1,...,x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}$$

Consider the multivariate transformation to  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  where

$$\begin{array}{ll} Y_1 &= \overline{X}_n \\ Y_i &= X_i - \overline{X}_n, \ i = 2, ..., n \end{array} \right\} \Longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_1 &= Y_1 - \sum\limits_{i=2}^n Y_i \\ \\ X_i &= Y_i + Y_1, \ i = 2, ..., n \end{array} \right.$$

Thus  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ , or equivalently  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix with (i, j)th element

$$[\mathbf{A}]_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & i = 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n} & i = j = 2, 3, \dots, n \\ -\frac{1}{n} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

that is, we have a linear transformation. Note that, as in an earlier result, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}_n + \overline{x}_n - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2 + 2(x_i - \overline{x}_n)(\overline{x}_n - \mu) + (\overline{x}_n - \mu)^2 \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2 + n(\overline{x}_n - \mu)^2$$

where  $\overline{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is the observed sample mean. Thus the joint pdf of  $X_1, ..., X_n$  takes the form

$$f_{X_1,..,X_n}(x_1,..,x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2 + n(\overline{x}_n - \mu)^2\right]\right\}.$$

Now

$$x_1 - \overline{x}_n = -\sum_{i=2}^n (x_i - \overline{x}_n) = -\sum_{i=2}^n y_i$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2 = (x_1 - \overline{x})^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2 = \left(-\sum_{i=2}^{n} y_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} y_i^2$$

The Jacobian of the transformation is n, so the joint density of  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  is given by the multivariate transformation theorem as

$$f_{Y_1,..,Y_n}(y_1,..,y_n) = n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n y_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n y_i^2 + n (y_1 - \mu)^2\right]\right\}$$

$$= n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n y_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n y_i^2\right]\right\} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (y_1 - \mu)^2\right\}$$

$$= f_{Y_2,...,Y_n}(y_2,..,y_n) f_{Y_1}(y_1)$$

and therefore  $Y_1$  is independent of  $Y_2,...,Y_n$ . Hence  $\overline{X}_n$  is **independent** of the random variables  $\{Y_i=X_i-\overline{X}_n, i=2,...,n\}$ . Finally,  $\overline{X}_n$  is also independent of  $X_1-\overline{X}_n$  as

$$X_1 - \overline{X}_n = -\sum_{i=2}^n \left( X_i - \overline{X}_n \right)$$

and of  $s^2$ , which is a function only of  $\{X_i - \overline{X}_n, i = 1, ..., n\}$ . As  $\overline{X}_n$  is independent of these variables,  $\overline{X}_n$  and  $s^2$  are also independent.

For (c) the random variables that appear as sums of squares terms in the joint pdf are

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{n(\overline{X}_n - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

or  $V_1 = V_2 + V_3$ , say. Now,  $X_i \sim Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , so therefore by elementary transformation results

$$\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim Normal(0, 1) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_1^2 \equiv Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and hence

$$V_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2$$

as the  $X_i$ s are independent, and, using mgfs, the sum of n independent Gamma(1/2, 1/2) variables has a Gamma(n/2, 1/2) distribution. Similarly, as  $\overline{X}_n \sim Normal(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ ,  $V_3 \sim \chi_1^2$  By part (b),  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  are independent, and so the mgfs of  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  are related by

$$M_{V_1}(t) = M_{V_2}(t)M_{V_3}(t) \Longrightarrow M_{V_2}(t) = \frac{M_{V_1}(t)}{M_{V_3}(t)}$$

As  $V_1$  and  $V_3$  are Gamma random variables,  $M_{V_1}$  and  $M_{V_3}$  are given by

$$M_{V_1}(t) = \left(rac{1/2}{1/2-t}
ight)^{n/2} \quad ext{and} \quad M_{V_3}(t) = \left(rac{1/2}{1/2-t}
ight)^{1/2}.$$

So therefore

$$M_{V_2}(t) = \left(\frac{1/2}{1/2 - t}\right)^{(n-1)/2}$$

which is also the mgf of a Gamma random variable, and hence

$$V_2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

and the result follows.

Alternative inductive proof of (c): Let  $\overline{X}_k$  and  $s_k^2$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,n$  denote the sample mean and sample variance random variables derived from the first k variables. Now, for  $k\geq 2$ , it can be shown after some manipulation that

$$(k-1)s_k^2 = (k-2)s_{k-1}^2 + \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)(X_k - \overline{X}_{k-1})^2$$
 (2)

For k=2

$$(2-1)s_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(X_2 - X_1)^2 = \left(\frac{X_2 - X_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = Z^2$$

say, where  $Z \sim Normal(0,1)$ . Thus  $s_2^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ . Now for the inductive hypothesis, presume that

$$(k-1)s_k^2 \sim \chi_{k-1}^2$$

so that, using the identity in (2),

$$ks_{k+1}^2 = (k-1)s_k^2 + \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)(X_{k+1} - \overline{X}_k)^2$$

The two terms on the right hand side are independent (using the result in (b)); the first term is  $\chi^2_{k-1}$  distributed, the second term is  $\chi^2_1$  distributed, so  $ks^2_{k+1}$  is  $\chi^2_k$  distributed and the inductive argument is completed.