

MATH 556 - ASSIGNMENT 2 – SOLUTIONS

1. By direct calculation

$$\varphi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k F_k(x)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF_k(x)\right)$$

(writing out the sum/integral in full) so therefore

$$\varphi_X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k \varphi_k(t).$$

4 Marks

2. We have seen in lectures the cf of $X \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$, which is absolutely continuous with cdf

$$F_X(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \quad x > 0$$

and zero otherwise, takes the form

$$\varphi_X(t) = \frac{1}{1 - it} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here we have that

$$|\varphi_X(t)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}$$

and which provides the counterexample, as this function integrates to infinity on \mathbb{R} .

A strategy for finding such a counterexample involves (a) finding any suitable real-valued function that integrates to infinity, then (b) proving it is a cf; the second step can be achieved either by looking the table of cfs (or Fourier transforms), applying Bochner's Theorem, or checking the sufficient conditions generated by Polya's Theorem.

4 Marks

3. The function

$$\varphi_X(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(t) + \cos(\pi t))$$

exhibits the behaviour that

$$\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_X(t)| = 1$$

due to the \cos terms. Therefore X is discrete by the result from lectures. Furthermore, $\varphi_X(t)$ is entirely real, and therefore must correspond to a distribution with mass function that is symmetric about zero. From the cf definition, and the nature of the \cos function, we deduce that the pmf can only have support on values $\{-\pi, -1, 1, \pi\}$, and hence

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{4} \quad x \in \{-\pi, -1, 1, \pi\}$$

and zero otherwise. Note that $\cos(-t) = \cos(t)$ and $\cos(-\pi t) = \cos(\pi t)$.

(a) No, it is the discrete distribution on the finite support identified above.

2 Marks

(b) As the distribution has finite support, moments of all orders are finite.

2 Marks

4. Using the formula sheet

(a) If $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, then $M_X(t) = \exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so

$$K_X(t) = \lambda(e^t - 1) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!}$$

Therefore all the cumulants are equal to λ .

2 Marks

(b) If $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $M_X(t) = \exp\{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so

$$K_X(t) = \mu t + \sigma^2 \frac{t^2}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\kappa_{X1} = \mu \quad \kappa_{X2} = \sigma^2 \quad \kappa_{X3} = 0.$$

2 Marks

5. We have that

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + 2t^2 + t^4)} = \frac{1}{(1 + t^2)^2} = \{\varphi_X(t)\}^2$$

where, from lectures, $\varphi_X(t)$ is the cf for $X \sim \text{Laplace}$ with pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence $\varphi(t)$ is the cf of a continuous random variable, Y say, which can be decomposed as the sum of two independent *Laplace* or *double exponential* random variables. 4 Marks

We can diagnose that $\varphi(t)$ is the cf of an absolutely continuous rv as

$$\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(t)| = 0$$

and we also have here that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(t)| dt < \infty$$

so in principle we can use the continuous version of the inversion formula to find the corresponding pdf.