

The Chowla–Selberg Formula

Subham Roy

MATH726

November 18, 2020

Complex Multiplication

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, and \mathcal{O} be an order of K . We denote $\Gamma_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Complex Multiplication

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, and \mathcal{O} be an order of K . We denote $\Gamma_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

- An elliptic curve E has *complex multiplication* or *CM* by \mathcal{O} if $\text{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}$.
- A *CM point* of \mathbb{H} is a point $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ which satisfies a quadratic equation over \mathbb{Q} , so that $\tau = a + b\sqrt{d}$ for some $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $d < 0$ and $b > 0$.

Complex Multiplication

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, and \mathcal{O} be an order of K . We denote $\Gamma_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

- An elliptic curve E has *complex multiplication* or *CM* by \mathcal{O} if $\text{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}$.
- A *CM* point of \mathbb{H} is a point $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ which satisfies a quadratic equation over \mathbb{Q} , so that $\tau = a + b\sqrt{d}$ for some $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $d < 0$ and $b > 0$.
- The discriminant of a *CM* point is the smallest discriminant of a quadratic polynomial over \mathbb{Z} of which it is a root. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_D \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the set of *CM* points of discriminant D . In particular, the cardinality of $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{Z}_D$ is $h(D)$, the class number of D .

Complex Multiplication

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, and \mathcal{O} be an order of K . We denote $\Gamma_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

- An elliptic curve E has *complex multiplication* or *CM* by \mathcal{O} if $\text{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}$.
- A *CM* point of \mathbb{H} is a point $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ which satisfies a quadratic equation over \mathbb{Q} , so that $\tau = a + b\sqrt{d}$ for some $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $d < 0$ and $b > 0$.
- The discriminant of a *CM* point is the smallest discriminant of a quadratic polynomial over \mathbb{Z} of which it is a root. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_D \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the set of *CM* points of discriminant D . In particular, the cardinality of $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{Z}_D$ is $h(D)$, the class number of D .
- Elliptic curves with *CM* by \mathcal{O} correspond to the elliptic curves $\mathbb{C} / \langle 1, \tau \rangle$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \cap K$.

Complex Multiplication

Theorem 1

Let E/\mathbb{C} be an elliptic curve with CM by \mathcal{O} . Then $j(E) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In fact, $j(E) \in H_K$, where H_K is the Hilbert class field of K .

Complex Multiplication

Theorem 1

Let E/\mathbb{C} be an elliptic curve with CM by \mathcal{O} . Then $j(E) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In fact, $j(E) \in H_K$, where H_K is the Hilbert class field of K .

Theorem 2

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \cap K$, and let f be a modular function with rational or algebraic Fourier coefficients. Then $f(\tau)$ is algebraic.

Periods

- If $f \in M_k(1)$ and $g \in M_n(1)$ then f^n/g^k has weight 0, and by **Theorem 2** it is algebraic at τ . Therefore $f(\tau)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and $g(\tau)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is algebraically proportional.

Periods

- If $f \in M_k(1)$ and $g \in M_n(1)$ then f^n/g^k has weight 0, and by **Theorem 2** it is algebraic at τ . Therefore $f(\tau)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and $g(\tau)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is algebraically proportional.
- **Theorem 2** implies that for any modular form of weight k for the modular group Γ_1 , the value of $f(\tau)$ is an algebraic multiple of Ω_τ^k , where Ω_τ depends on τ only.

Periods

The number Ω_τ (up to an algebraic number) is unchanged if we replace τ by $M\tau$ for any $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, because $f(M\tau)/f(\tau)$ is a modular function.

Periods

The number Ω_τ (up to an algebraic number) is unchanged if we replace τ by $M\tau$ for any $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, because $f(M\tau)/f(\tau)$ is a modular function.

Proposition 1

Let $G_{2k}(z)$ be the Eisenstein series of weight k on the modular group Γ_1 , and let τ be a *CM* point in \mathbb{H} . Then there is a transcendental number Ω_τ such that

$$\frac{G_{2k}(\tau)}{\Omega_\tau^{2k}} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Moreover, Ω_τ can be viewed as a fundamental period of a *CM* elliptic curve defined over the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$.

Periods

Since any two *CM* points which generate the same imaginary quadratic field are related by some $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we can show that:

Proposition 2

For each imaginary quadratic field K there is a number $\Omega_K \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $f(\tau) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \Omega_K^k$ for all $\tau \in K \cap \mathbb{H}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and all modular forms f of weight k (of the modular group Γ_1) with algebraic coefficient.

Periods

Since any two *CM* points which generate the same imaginary quadratic field are related by some $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we can show that:

Proposition 2

For each imaginary quadratic field K there is a number $\Omega_K \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $f(\tau) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \Omega_K^k$ for all $\tau \in K \cap \mathbb{H}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and all modular forms f of weight k (of the modular group Γ_1) with algebraic coefficient.

- A natural choice of f is the modular form $\Delta(z)$, since it never vanishes. Recall that $\Delta(z) = (2\pi)^{12}\eta(z)^{24}$.
- A better choice would be $\eta(z)^2$ in order to achieve weight 1. As $F(z) = \text{Im}(z)|\eta(z)|^4$ is Γ_1 -invariant, we can choose our f to be $F(z)$.

Periods

Let $\text{disc}(K) = D$, and let $w(D) = |\mathcal{O}_K^*|$

- In order to choose τ , we can consider $\tau \in \mathfrak{Z}_D$ (CM points of discriminant D).

Periods

Let $\text{disc}(K) = D$, and let $w(D) = |\mathcal{O}_K^*|$

- In order to choose τ , we can consider $\tau \in \mathfrak{I}_D$ (CM points of discriminant D).
- There are $h(D)$ of them in $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{I}_D$, and none should be preferred over the others. Therefore, multiplying all of them together is a feasible choice.

Periods

Let $\text{disc}(K) = D$, and let $w(D) = |\mathcal{O}_K^*|$

- In order to choose τ , we can consider $\tau \in \mathfrak{I}_D$ (CM points of discriminant D).
- There are $h(D)$ of them in $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{I}_D$, and none should be preferred over the others. Therefore, multiplying all of them together is a feasible choice.
- Later we can take the $h(D)$ -th root of the product to obtain Ω_K . In fact, it is reasonable to take the $2h(D)/w(D)$ -th root, as the elliptic fixed points i and ρ are always to be counted with multiplicity $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$, respectively. In other words,

$$h'(D) = \frac{2h(D)}{w(D)} = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{ or } h(K) \quad \text{for } D = -3, D = -4, \text{ or } D < -4.$$

Chowla–Selberg formula

Let χ_D be the quadratic character associated to K , and $\Gamma(x)$ be the *Euler gamma function*. Then the product of the invariants $F(\tau)$ over $\tau \in \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{H}_D$ can be evaluated as a product of $\Gamma(r)$ s, where $r \in \mathbb{Q}$:

Chowla–Selberg formula

Let χ_D be the quadratic character associated to K , and $\Gamma(x)$ be the *Euler gamma function*. Then the product of the invariants $F(\tau)$ over $\tau \in \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{H}_D$ can be evaluated as a product of $\Gamma(r)$ s, where $r \in \mathbb{Q}$:

Theorem [S.Chowla, A. Selberg (1949)]

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant D . Then

$$\prod_{\tau \in \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{H}_D} \left(4\pi \sqrt{|D|} F(\tau) \right)^{\frac{2}{w(D)}} = \prod_{m=1}^{|D|-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{|D|}\right)^{\chi_D(m)}, \quad (1)$$

where χ_D and $\Gamma(x)$ are defined as above.

Recall that $|\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathfrak{H}_D| = h(D) < \infty$, and therefore the product on the left is well-defined.

Chowla–Selberg formula

As a corollary to the Theorem, the constant Ω_K in *Proposition 2* can be chosen to be:

$$\Omega_K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi|D|)}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{|D|-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{|D|}\right)^{\chi_D(m)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2h^+(D)}}. \quad (2)$$

Chowla–Selberg formula

Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K , and let \mathfrak{a}_i be ideals of \mathcal{O}_K which represent the distinct ideal classes. We fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so the ideals \mathfrak{a}_i give lattices and the quotients $\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}_i$ corresponds to the $h(K)$ distinct complex elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O}_K . Then (1) can also be expressed as:

Chowla–Selberg formula

Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K , and let \mathfrak{a}_i be ideals of \mathcal{O}_K which represent the distinct ideal classes. We fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so the ideals \mathfrak{a}_i give lattices and the quotients $\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}_i$ corresponds to the $h(K)$ distinct complex elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O}_K . Then (1) can also be expressed as:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{h(K)} \Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i) \Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{|D|} \right)^{12h(K)} \prod_{\substack{0 < a < |D| \\ (a, |D|) = 1}} \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right)^{6w(D)\chi_D(a)}, \quad (3)$$

where the product on the left $\Delta(\mathfrak{a})\Delta(\mathfrak{a}^{-1})$ depends only on the ideal class of \mathfrak{a} .

Examples

- Hurwitz was able to show using elliptic functions that

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ \lambda \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} = \frac{H_k}{k!} \omega^k \quad \text{for all } k \geq 3, \quad (4)$$

for certain rational numbers H_k , where

$$\omega = 4 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Examples

- Hurwitz was able to show using elliptic functions that

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ \lambda \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} = \frac{H_k}{k!} \omega^k \quad \text{for all } k \geq 3, \quad (4)$$

for certain rational numbers H_k , where

$$\omega = 4 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

- Note that the sum on the left of (4) is the special value of the modular form $2G_k(z)$ at $z = i$.

Examples Contd.

- Using *Proposition 1* and (2) for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we get

$$\Omega_K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(8\pi)}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^3 \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{|D|} \right)^{\chi_D(m)} \right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(3/4)} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2,$$

where $D = -4$, $h'(D) = 1$, and the last equality follows from the functional equation $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin \pi z$.

Examples Contd.

- Using *Proposition 1* and (2) for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we get

$$\Omega_K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(8\pi)}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^3 \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{|D|} \right)^{\chi_D(m)} \right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(3/4)} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2,$$

where $D = -4$, $h'(D) = 1$, and the last equality follows from the functional equation $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin \pi z$.

- Therefore $\omega = 2\pi\sqrt{2}\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$.

Examples Contd.

- Using *Proposition 1* and (2) for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we get

$$\Omega_K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(8\pi)}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^3 \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{|D|} \right)^{\chi_D(m)} \right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(3/4)} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2,$$

where $D = -4$, $h'(D) = 1$, and the last equality follows from the functional equation $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin \pi z$.

- Therefore $\omega = 2\pi\sqrt{2}\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$.
- For $k \geq 1$, G_{2k} can be expressed as a rational linear combination of monomials $G_4^m G_6^n$, where m and n are integers with $4m + 6n = 2k$. As $G_6(i) = 0$, we get that $G_{4k}(i) = c_k G_4(i)^k = r\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^{4k}$, where $r \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Examples Contd.

- Using *Proposition 1* and (2) for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we get

$$\Omega_K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(8\pi)}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^3 \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{|D|} \right)^{\chi_D(m)} \right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(1/4)}{\Gamma(3/4)} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2,$$

where $D = -4$, $h'(D) = 1$, and the last equality follows from the functional equation $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin \pi z$.

- Therefore $\omega = 2\pi\sqrt{2}\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$.
- For $k \geq 1$, G_{2k} can be expressed as a rational linear combination of monomials $G_4^m G_6^n$, where m and n are integers with $4m + 6n = 2k$. As $G_6(i) = 0$, we get that $G_{4k}(i) = c_k G_4(i)^k = r\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^{4k}$, where $r \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- A similar argument for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\rho)} = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ shows that

$$G_{6k}(\rho) = r'\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}(\rho)}^{6k} = r' (\Gamma(1/3)^3/\pi)^{6k},$$

where $r' \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Sketch of the proof of (3)

The analytic proof involves the computation of the logarithmic derivative of the zeta function of K at the point $s = 0$ in two different ways, where the zeta function of K is given by

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{a} \neq 0} \frac{1}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

with a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, and a simple pole at $s = 1$ (no other singularities).

Sketch of the proof of (3)

The analytic proof involves the computation of the logarithmic derivative of the zeta function of K at the point $s = 0$ in two different ways, where the zeta function of K is given by

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{a} \neq 0} \frac{1}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

with a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, and a simple pole at $s = 1$ (no other singularities).

Let $\zeta_K(\mathfrak{a}_i, s)$ be the partial zeta functions which are defined by taking the partial sum over the ideals \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_K in the same class as \mathfrak{a}_i , for $i = 1, \dots, h(K)$. We will also denote $h(K) = h$, $w(D) = w$, and $\chi_D(\cdot) = \chi(\cdot)$ for a fixed K .

Proof Contd.

- Kronecker's limit formula gives the first two terms in the Taylor expansion of $\zeta_K(\mathfrak{a}_i, s)$ at $s = 0$, and summing them over the ideal classes yields:

$$\zeta_k(s) = -\frac{h}{w} - \frac{1}{12w} \log(\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i)\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1}))s + O(s^2).$$

Proof Contd.

- Kronecker's limit formula gives the first two terms in the Taylor expansion of $\zeta_K(\mathfrak{a}_i, s)$ at $s = 0$, and summing them over the ideal classes yields:

$$\zeta_k(s) = -\frac{h}{w} - \frac{1}{12w} \log(\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i)\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1}))s + O(s^2).$$

- Therefore,

$$\left. \frac{d \log(\zeta_k(s))}{ds} \right|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{12h} \sum_{i=1}^h \log(\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i)\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1})).$$

Proof Contd.

On the other hand:

- For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ we may also write:

$$\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s)L(\chi, s);$$

by identifying the terms in the Euler product this equality holds even for all s by analytic continuation.

Proof Contd.

On the other hand:

- For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ we may also write:

$$\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s)L(\chi, s);$$

by identifying the terms in the Euler product this equality holds even for all s by analytic continuation.

- It follows that $d \log(\zeta_K(s)) = d \log \zeta(s) + d \log L(\chi, s)$. These logarithmic derivative at $s = 0$ can be calculated from Lerch's expansion of the Hurwitz zeta function (with $0 < x \leq 1$):

$$H(x, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^s} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right) + \log\left(\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) s + O(s^2).$$

Proof Contd.

- Taking $x = 1$ yields $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ and $d \log(\zeta(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi)$.

Proof Contd.

- Taking $x = 1$ yields $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ and $d \log(\zeta(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi)$.
- We also obtain by summing over $0 < a < |D|$ with $(a, |D|) = 1$:

$$d \log L(\chi, s)|_{s=0} = \frac{w}{2h} \sum_{0 < a < |D|} \chi(a) \log \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right) - \log |D|.$$

Proof Contd.

- Taking $x = 1$ yields $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ and $d \log(\zeta(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi)$.
- We also obtain by summing over $0 < a < |D|$ with $(a, |D|) = 1$:

$$d \log L(\chi, s)|_{s=0} = \frac{w}{2h} \sum_{0 < a < |D|} \chi(a) \log \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right) - \log |D|.$$

- Therefore

$$d \log(\zeta_K(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi) - \log d + \frac{w}{2h} \sum_{0 < a < |D|} \chi(a) \log \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right).$$

Proof Contd.

- Taking $x = 1$ yields $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ and $d \log(\zeta(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi)$.
- We also obtain by summing over $0 < a < |D|$ with $(a, |D|) = 1$:

$$d \log L(\chi, s)|_{s=0} = \frac{w}{2h} \sum_{0 < a < |D|} \chi(a) \log \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right) - \log |D|.$$

- Therefore

$$d \log(\zeta_K(s))|_{s=0} = \log(2\pi) - \log d + \frac{w}{2h} \sum_{0 < a < |D|} \chi(a) \log \Gamma \left(\frac{a}{|D|} \right).$$

- Comparing this with the one obtained using Kronecker's limit formula and exponentiation gives the Chowla-Selberg formula (3).

Proof Contd.

- Summing over $0 < a < |D|$ with $(a, |D|) = 1$ we find

$$L(\chi, s) = d^{-s} \sum \chi(a) H\left(\frac{a}{|D|}, s\right),$$

$$\text{and } L(\chi, 0) = - \sum \chi(a) \frac{a}{|D|}, \zeta_K(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \chi(a) \frac{a}{|D|}.$$

Proof Contd.

- Summing over $0 < a < |D|$ with $(a, |D|) = 1$ we find

$$L(\chi, s) = d^{-s} \sum \chi(a) H\left(\frac{a}{|D|}, s\right),$$

and $L(\chi, 0) = -\sum \chi(a) \frac{a}{|D|}$, $\zeta_K(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \chi(a) \frac{a}{|D|}$.

- Comparing the last formula with the formula for $\zeta_K(0)$ obtained by summing the partial zeta functions gives the *Dirichlet's Class Number formula*:

$$h = -\frac{w}{2} \sum_{0 < a < d} \chi(a) \frac{a}{|D|}.$$

Thank you for your attention!