

# HJORTH'S PROOF OF THE EMBEDDABILITY OF HYPERFINITE EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS INTO $E_0$

ANUSH TSERUNYAN

The following theorem was proven in [DJK94, Theorem 7.1]. This note gives an alternative proof by Greg Hjorth.

**Theorem** (Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris). *Every hyperfinite equivalence relation is Borel embeddable into  $E_0$ .*

*Proof* (Hjorth). Without loss of generality assume that  $X = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $E$  is a hyperfinite equivalence relation on  $X$  with  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ , where  $\{F_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of finite Borel equivalence relations on  $X$  with  $F_0$  being the identity relation. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the Baire space. It is enough to show that  $E$  is Borel embeddable into  $E_0$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  (eventual agreement of sequences of natural numbers).

Fix a Borel linear ordering  $<$  on  $X$ . Define a map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  by  $x \mapsto \sigma_x$ , where each  $\sigma_x(n)$  is defined as follows: if  $[x]_{F_n} = \{y_0^n, \dots, y_{k_n}^n\}$  with  $y_0^n < \dots < y_{k_n}^n$  then

- $\sigma_x(0)$  is the code (a natural number) of  $(y_0^0 \upharpoonright_0; 0)$  (in some a priori fixed coding);
- for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma_x(n)$  is the code of

$$(y_0^n \upharpoonright_n, y_1^n \upharpoonright_n, \dots, y_{k_n}^n \upharpoonright_n; i(n, 0), i(n, 1), \dots, i(n, k_{n-1})),$$

where  $i(n, j) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y_j^{n-1} = y_{i(n,j)}^n$  for all  $j \leq k_{n-1}$ .

It is clear from the definition that  $f$  is a Borel homomorphism from  $E$  to  $E_0$  since  $\forall x, y \in X$ , if  $xEy$  then  $xF_ny$  for some  $n$  and hence  $\sigma_x(m) = \sigma_y(m)$ , for all  $m \geq n + 1$ .

*Claim.* For all  $x, y \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\forall m \geq n$ ,  $\sigma_x(m) = \sigma_y(m)$  then  $xF_ny$ .

*Proof of Claim.* Let  $[x]_{F_n} = \{y_0^n, \dots, y_{k_n}^n\}$  with  $y_0^n < \dots < y_{k_n}^n$ . It is enough to show that we can recover every  $y_j^n$  from  $\sigma_x \upharpoonright_{[n, +\infty)}$ , for  $j \leq k_n$ . Indeed, for all  $m \geq n$ , let  $\sigma_x(m)$  be equal to the code of

$$(s_0^m, s_1^m, \dots, s_{k_m}^m; i(m, 0), i(m, 1), \dots, i(m, k_{m-1})),$$

where  $s_j^m \in \mathbb{N}^m$  and  $i(m, l) \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $j \leq k_m, l \leq k_{m-1}$ . Fix  $j \leq k_n$  and define  $J : \{n, n + 1, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  recursively by

$$J(m) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } m = n \\ i(m, J(m-1)) & \text{if } m \geq n + 1 \end{cases} .$$

By the definitions of  $\sigma_x$  and  $J$ ,  $s_{J(m)}^m \sqsubseteq s_{J(m+1)}^{m+1}$ , for all  $m \geq n$ , and  $y_j^n = \bigcup_{m \geq n} s_{J(m)}^m$ . ⊠

This claim implies that  $f$  is a Borel reduction from  $E$  to  $E_0$ . Moreover, it implies that  $f$  is an embedding since  $F_0$  is the identity relation on  $X$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [DJK94] R. Dougherty, S. Jackson, and A. S. Kechris, *The Structure of Hyperfinite Borel Equivalence Relations*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 1, 193–225.