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The Classical van der Corput Difference Theorem

**Definition**

A sequence \((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]\) is **uniformly distributed** if for any open interval \((a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]\) we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in (a, b)\} \right| = b - a.
\]

**Theorem (van der Corput, 1931 [vdC31])**

If \((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]\) is such that \((x_{n+h} - x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is uniformly distributed for every \(h \in \mathbb{N}\), then \((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is itself uniformly distributed.

**Corollary**

If \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\) is irrational, then \((n^2 \alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is uniformly distributed.
Theorem (HvdCDT1, Bergelson, 1987 [Ber87, Theorem 1.4])

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = 0,$$

(2)

for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \right\| = 0.$$  

(3)
Theorem (HvdCDT2, Bergelson, 1987 [Ber87, Page 3])

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded sequence satisfying

\[
\lim_{h \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \text{ then } \tag{4}
\]

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \right| = 0. \tag{5}
\]
Theorem (HvdCDT3, Bergelson, 1987 [Ber87, Theorem 1.5])

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded seq. satisfying

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right| = 0.$$ 

Question

Why would we ever use HvdCDT1 or HvdCDT2 when they are both corollaries of HvdCDT3? Why are there at least 3 Hilbertian vdCDTs and only 1 vdCDT in the theory of uniform distribution?

See [Far22, Chapter 2] for variations of vdCT related to the levels of mixing in the ergodic hierarchy of mixing properties, as well as similar variations in the context of uniform distribution. See also [Tse16] and [EKN22].
Theorem (Poincaré)

For any measure preserving system (m.p.s.) \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)\), and any \(A \in \mathcal{B}\) with \(\mu(A) > 0\), there exists \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) for which

\[
\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0.
\]  

(6)

Does not need vdCDT.

Theorem (Furstenberg-Sárközy [Fur77],[Sár78])

For any m.p.s. \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)\), and any \(A \in \mathcal{B}\) with \(\mu(A) > 0\), there exists \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) for which

\[
\mu(A \cap T^{-n^2}A) > 0.
\]  

(7)

Furstenberg’s proof in [Fur77, Proposition 1.3] uses a form of vdCDT since it uses the uniform distribution of \((n^2 \alpha)_{n=1}^\infty\). See also [Ber96, Theorem 2.1] for a proof using HvdCDT1 directly.
Applications of HvdCDTs 2/2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theorem (Furstenberg multiple recurrence, [Fur77])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For any m.p.s. ((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)), any (A \in \mathcal{B}) with (\mu(A) &gt; 0), and any (\ell \in \mathbb{N}), there exists (n \in \mathbb{N}) for which</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \[
\mu \left( A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\ell n}A \right) > 0. \] (8) |

The proof presented in [EW11] uses HvdCT3 as Theorem 7.11, and the proof in [Fur81] uses a variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theorem (Bergelson and Leibman, [BL96, Theorem A₀])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For any m.p.s. ((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, {T_i}<em>{i=1}^{\ell})) with the (T_i)s commuting, any (A \in \mathcal{B}) with (\mu(A) &gt; 0), and any ({p_i(x)}</em>{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq x\mathbb{N}[x]), there exists (n \in \mathbb{N}) for which</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \[
\mu \left( A \cap T_1^{-p_1(n)}A \cap T_2^{-p_2(n)}A \cap \cdots \cap T_\ell^{-p_\ell(n)}A \right) > 0. \] (9) |

Uses an equivalent form of HvdCT3 as Lemma 2.4.
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Lebesgue spectrum and singular spectrum

**Definition**

Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be an invertible m.p.s. and let $U_T : L^2(X, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mu)$ be the Koopman operator induced by $T$. If $L^2_0(X, \mu)$ has an orthogonal basis of the form $\{U^n_Tf_m\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ has **Lebesgue spectrum**. This implies that for all $f \in L^2_0(X, \mu)$, the sequence $(\langle U^n_Tf, f \rangle)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the Fourier coefficients of some measure $\nu \ll \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lebesgue measure. On the other hand, if for every $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$, the sequence $(\langle U^n_Tf, f \rangle)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the Fourier coefficients of some positive measure $\nu \perp \mathcal{L}$, then the system $\mathcal{X}$ has **singular spectrum**.
Examples of systems with Lebesgue spectrum

Any K-mixing system has Lebesgue spectrum, hence all Bernoulli systems have Lebesgue spectrum. The Sinai factor theorem [Sin62] tells us that a non-atomic ergodic m.p.s. with positive entropy has a Bernoulli shift as a factor, and consequently has a factor with Lebesgue spectrum. It follows that the original system does NOT have singular spectrum. The horocycle flow is an example of a system with Lebesgue spectrum [Par53] that also has 0-entropy [Gur61].
Examples of systems with singular spectrum

In [Hal44] and [BdJLR14] it is shown that if \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) is a standard probability space, and \(\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) is endowed with the strong operator topology, then the set of transformations that are weakly mixing and rigid is a generic set. Since any rigid automorphism (such as a group rotation) has singular spectrum, we see that the set of singular automorphisms is generic. Now let \(\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) denote the collection of strongly mixing transformation, and note that \(\mathcal{S}\) is a meager set since an automorphism cannot simultaneously be rigid and strongly mixing. Since \(\mathcal{S}\) is not a complete metric space with respect to the strong operator topology, a new topology was introduced in [Tik07], with respect to which \(\mathcal{S}\) is a complete metric space. It is shown in the Corollary to Theorem 7 of [Tik07] that a generic \(T \in \mathcal{S}\) has singular spectrum, and such a \(T\) is mixing of all orders due a well known result of Host [Hos91]. See [Fay06],[KR97],[AH12], [BS22], and [FL06] for more examples of \(T\) that have singular spectrum.
Let $G$ be a locally compact Hausdorff group with left Haar measure $\lambda$. There is a unitary representation $L$ of $G$ on $L^2(G, \nu)$ given by $(L_g f)(h) = f(g^{-1} h)$, which is known as the **left regular representation**. If $f \in L^2(G, \nu)$ is a positive definite function, then there exists a function $h \in L^2(G, \lambda)$ for which $f(g) = \langle L_g h, h \rangle$. In particular, consider a representation $U$ of $G$ on $H$, and a cyclic vector $f \in H$ such that

$$\int_G |\langle U_g f, f \rangle|^2 \, d\lambda(g) < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Then $U$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the left regular representation.
Let $G$ be an amenable group and $\mathcal{X} := (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \{T_g\}_{g \in G})$ a measure preserving $G$-system, which we abbreviate to $G$-system.

We let $U : L^2(X, \lambda) \to L^2(X, \lambda)$ denote the unitary representation of $G$ induced by $T$, i.e., $U_g f = f \circ T_g^{-1}$. The system $\mathcal{X}$ has 

**Lebesgue spectrum** if $U$ decomposes into a direct sum of countably many copies of the left regular representation. The system $\mathcal{X}$ has **singular spectrum** if the representation $U$ is disjoint from the left regular representation. Dooley and Golodets [DG02] showed that if $G$ is countable and $\mathcal{X}$ has completely positive entropy (analogue of $K$-mixing) then it also has Lebesgue spectrum. Danilenko and Park [DP02] proved an analogue of Sinai’s factor theorem when $G$ is countable, from which we deduce that $\mathcal{X}$ does not have singular spectrum when it is free, ergodic, and has positive entropy.
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Theorem (F. 2023)

If \((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}\) is a bounded sequence satisfying

\[
\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right|^2 < \infty,
\]  

(11)

then \((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is a spectrally Lebesgue sequence. In particular, if \((c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) is bounded and spectrally singular, then

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n x_n \right\| = 0.
\]  

(12)

Furthermore, if \(\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)\) and \((c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)\) is bounded and spectrally singular, then we again have Equation (12).
Theorem (F. 2023)

Let \( G \) be a countable amenable group and \( (F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) a left Følner sequence. If \( (x_g)_{g \in G} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \) is a bounded sequence satisfying

\[
\sum_{h \in G} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{|F_N|} \sum_{g \in F_N} \langle x_{gh}, x_g \rangle \right|^2 < \infty, \tag{13}
\]

then \( (x_g)_{g \in G} \) is a spectrally Lebesgue sequence. In particular, if \( (c_g)_{g \in G} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) is bounded and spectrally singular, then

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_N|} \sum_{g \in F_N} c_g x_g \right\| = 0. \tag{14}
\]

Furthermore, if \( \mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu) \) and \( (c_g)_{g \in G} \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu) \) is bounded and spectrally singular, then we again have Equation (14).
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Theorem (Frantzikinakis [Fra22, Corollary 1.7])

Let \( a : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Hardy field function for which there exist some \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) satisfying

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{a(t)}{t^{d+\epsilon}} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t^{d+1}}{a(t)} = \infty. \quad \text{(e.g. } a(t) = t^{1.5}) \tag{15}
\]

Furthermore, let \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) be a probability space and \(T, S : X \to X\) be measure preserving transformations. Suppose that the system \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)\) has zero entropy. Then

(i) For every \(f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)\) we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n f \cdot S^{[a(n)]} g = \mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{I}_T] \cdot \mathbb{E}[g|\mathcal{I}_S], \tag{16}
\]

where the limit is taken in \(L^2(X, \mu)\).
Theorem (Continued)

(ii) For every $A \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu \left( A \cap T^{-n} A \cap S^{-\lfloor a(n) \rfloor} A \right) \geq \mu(A)^3. \quad (17)$$

Frantzikinakis and Host [FH21] proved a similar theorem for $a(n) = p(n)$ with $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at least 2.
Theorem (Frantzikinakis, Lesigne, Wierdl [FLW12, Lemma 4.1])

Let $a, b : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ be injective sequences and $F$ be any subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exist a probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, measure preserving automorphisms $T, S : X \to X$, both of them Bernoulli, and $A \in \mathcal{B}$, such that

$$\mu \left( T^{-a(n)} A \cap S^{-b(n)} A \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in F, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n \notin F. \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

In light of Sinai’s Factor Theorem, we see that the assumption of 0-entropy in the last 2 slides cannot be weakened.
Theorem (F., 2023)

Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) be a probability space and let \(T, S : X \to X\) be measure preserving automorphisms for which \(T\) has singular spectrum. Let \((k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\) be a sequence for which \(((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is uniformly distributed in the orbit closure of \(\alpha\) for all \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(h \in \mathbb{N}\).

(i) For any \(f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)\) we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n f \cdot S^{k_n} g = \mathbb{E}[f|\mathcal{I}_T] \mathbb{E}[g|\mathcal{I}_S],
\]

with convergence taking place in \(L^2(X, \mu)\).
Theorem (Continued)

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}$ then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu \left( A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S^{-kn}A \right) \geq \mu(A)^3. \quad (20)$$

(iii) If we only assume that $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly distributed for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$, then (i) and (ii) hold when $S$ is totally ergodic.

Examples include $k_n = \lfloor a(n) \rfloor$ with $a(n)$ being as in frame 19, $k_n = \lfloor n^2 \log^2(n) \rfloor$, and for part (iii) we may take $k_n = p(n)$ for $p(x) \in x\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with degree at least 2. An analogous result is now known for countable abelian groups.
Sets of $K$ but not $K+1$ recurrence?

Theorem (Frantzikinakis, Lesigne, Wierdl [FLW06])

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be irrational. Let

$$R_k = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^k \alpha \in \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \right\}.$$

(i) If $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a probability space and $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{k-1} : X \to X$ are commuting measure preserving transformations, then for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, there exists $n \in R_k$ for which

$$\mu \left( A \cap S_1^{-n}A \cap S_2^{-n}A \cap \cdots \cap S_{k-1}^{-n}A \right) > 0. \quad (21)$$

(ii) There exists a m.p.s. $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $\mu(A) > 0$ such that for all $n \in R_k$ we have

$$\mu \left( A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \cdots \cap T^{-kn}A \right) = 0. \quad (22)$$
Theorem (F., 2023)

Let \( k \geq 2 \) be an integer and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) be irrational. Let \( R_k = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n^k \alpha \in \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \} \). Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) be a probability space and \( S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_{k-1} : X \to X \) commuting measure preserving automorphisms. Let \( T : X \to X \) be an measure preserving automorphism with singular spectrum, and for which \{ \( T, S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_{k-1} \) \} generate a nilpotent group. For any \( A \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( \mu(A) > 0 \), there exists \( n \in R \) for which

\[
\mu \left( A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S_1^{-n}A \cap S_2^{-n}A \cap \cdots \cap S_{k-1}^{-n}A \right) > 0. \tag{23}
\]

Since the system \((\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{B}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, T)\) with \( T(x, y) = (x + \alpha, y + x) \) can be used in item (ii) of the last slide when \( k = 2 \), the current theorem does not hold for a general \( T \) with 0 entropy. Also note that the maximal spectral type of \( T \) is \( \mathcal{L} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{n\alpha} \).
Theorem (F., 2023)

Let $K$ be a countable field with characteristic 0. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ be a probability space and $T_g, S_g : X \to X$ measure preserving actions of $(K, +)$ for which the action $(T_g)_{g \in K}$ has singular spectrum and the action $(S_g)_{g \in K}$ is ergodic. Let $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Følner sequence in $(K, +)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1, \cdots, p_\ell \in K[x]$ be polynomials for which $\deg(p_{i+1}) \geq 2 + \deg(p_i)$ for $1 \leq i < \ell$. Then for any $f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_N|} \sum_{n \in F_N} T_n f_0 \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{p_j(n)} f_j = \mathbb{E}[f_0 \mid \mathcal{I}_T] \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \int_X f_j d\nu$$

with convergence taking place in $L^2(X, \nu)$.

This is a corollary of a more general result involving joint ergodicity.
Consider the m.p.s. \(([0, 1]^2, B, \mathcal{L}^2, T, S)\) with \(S(x, y) = (x + 2\alpha, y + x)\) for some \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\), and \(T(x, y) = (x, y + x)\). We see that \(([0, 1]^2, B, \mathcal{L}^2, S)\) and \(([0, 1]^2, B, \mathcal{L}^2, T)\) are both zero entropy systems that are not weakly mixing, and the former is totally ergodic. Furthermore, \(T\) and \(S\) generate a 2-step nilpotent group. For \(f_0(x, y) = e^{2\pi i(x-y)}\), \(f_1(x, y) = e^{2\pi iy}\), and \(f_2(x, y) = e^{-2\pi ix}\), we see that

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n f_0(x, y) S^n f_1(x, y) S^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)} f_2(x, y)
\]

\[
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i((1-n)x-y+y+nx+(n^2-n)\alpha-x-(n^2-n)\alpha)} = 1 \neq 0.
\]
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