Due: Apr 8

Measure theory with	Homework 6
ergodic horizons	HOMEWORK 0

**1.** Follow the steps below to prove the **Steinhaus theorem**: For every Lebesgue measurable non-null set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , the difference set  $A - A := \{a_0 - a_1 : a_0, a_1 \in A\}$  contains an open neighbourhood of  $\vec{0}$ .

TIP: For simplicity of thought and pictures, only think of d = 1 and replace boxes with intervals.

- (i) Check that for all sets  $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have  $U \subseteq V V$  if and only if  $(V + u) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for each  $u \in U$ .
- (ii) Let *B* be a nonempty bounded open box whose at least  $(1-1/2^{d+2})100\%$  is *A*. Let  $b_0$  be the midpoint of *B* and put  $U := B b_0$ , so *U* is an open box centered at  $\vec{0}$ . Show that for each  $u \in U$ , the intersection  $B_u := B + u \cap B$  is a box whose each dimension is at least half of that of *B*, so  $B_u$  occupies at least  $(1/2^d)100\%$  of *B* and of B + u.
- (iii) Conclude that at least 75% of  $B_u$  is A while at least 75% of  $B_u$  is A+u, so  $A+u \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $u \in U$ , hence  $U \subseteq A A$ .

Remark: The exact same theorem holds for the Bernoulli(1/2) measure on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  identified with the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ . As usual, the proof is easier than for the Lebesgue measure.

- 2. Use the 99% lemma to prove that the equivalence relation  $\mathbb{E}_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $\mu_{1/2}$ -ergodic. Remark:  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is actually  $\mu_p$ -ergodic for all  $p \in (0, 1)$ , although the action of the group  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  that induces  $\mathbb{E}_0$  does not preserve  $\mu_p$  for  $p \neq 1/2$ . Try proving this, thinking about whether it is *really* used in your argument for  $\mu_{1/2}$  that the action of the group  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  preserves  $\mu_{1/2}$ .
- **3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be nonzero atomless measure space. Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be an action of  $\Gamma$  on X mapping sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  to sets in  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e.  $\gamma \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that this action is **null-preserving**, i.e.  $\gamma \cdot B$  is null if and only if B is null for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Prove that if this action is *ergodic*, then the orbit equivalence relation  $E_{\alpha}$  does not admit any  $\mu$ -measurable transversal.

HINT: For any subset  $B \subseteq X$ , the set  $[B]_{E_{\alpha}} := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \cdot B$  is the least  $E_{\alpha}$ -invariant set containing B.

- **4.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras on sets  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$ , and denote by  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_d$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_d$  generated by the sets of the form  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_d$ .
  - (a) Prove that for all second countable topological spaces  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^d X_i) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathcal{B}(X_i).$$

(Here  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} X_i$  is the product topology.) In particular,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ , i = 1, 2, be measurable spaces, i.e., sets equipped with  $\sigma$ -algebras. Prove that for  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_i)$ -measurable functions  $f_i : X \to Y_i$ , the function  $(f_1, f_2) : X \to Y_1 \times Y_2$  defined by  $x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x))$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2)$ -measurable.
- (c) Now let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space<sup>1</sup> and conclude that if  $f_1, f_2 : X \to \mathbb{R}$  are  $\mu$ -measurable and  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is Borel, then  $g(f_1, f_2) : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. In particular,  $f_1 + f_2$  and  $f_1 \cdot f_2$  are  $\mu$ -measurable.
- **5.** For an equivalence relation *E* on a set *X*, a **selector** is a function  $s : X \to X$  that picks a point from each *E*-class, more precisely, s(x)Ex and  $xEy \Leftrightarrow s(x) = s(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . By a selector/transversal for a group action, we mean that for its orbit equivalence relation.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and  $(X, \mu)$  be a second countable metric space equipped with a Borel measure  $\mu$ . Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a **Borel action** of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. each group element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  acts as a Borel function from X to X.

Prove that  $\alpha$  admits a Borel transversal if and only if it admits a Borel selector.

HINT: For  $\leftarrow$ , note that a selector is an idempotent (i.e.  $s^2 = s$ ), so  $s(X) = \{x \in X : s(x) = x\}$ and note that the diagonal  $\{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$  by Question 4(a).

- **6.** Follow the steps below to build an example of a Borel function  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  (in fact, a homeomorphism<sup>2</sup>) and a Lebesgue measurable function  $g : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that the composition  $g \circ f$  is **not** Lebesgue measurable.
  - (i) Prove that every Lebesgue measurable set *A* of positive measure contains a non-measurable subset.

HINT: Any transversal of  $E_V|_A$  is non-measurable, and the proof is the same as for A := [0, 1] done in class after restricting A to a set of finite positive measure.

- (ii) Let  $C_0$  and  $C_+$  be Cantor sets contained in (0,1) where  $C_0$  is Lebesgue null, while  $C_+$  has positive Lebesgue measure. For convenience, construct  $C_0$  and  $C_+$  by removing middle open intervals at every step. Then there is a homeomorphism  $f:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f(C_+) = C_0$  and  $f([0,1] \setminus C_+) \subseteq [0,1] \setminus C_0^c$ .
- (iii) Let  $Y \subseteq C_+$  be a non-measurable set and put  $g := \mathbb{1}_{f(Y)}$ . Observe that g is Lebesgue measurable, however  $g \circ f$  is not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We suppress the  $\sigma$ -algebra from the notation if it is not important.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A **homeomorphism** is a continuous bijection so that its inverse is also continuous.