

1.\* Prove the following theorems, noting first that Lovász's theorem is a special case of Łoś–Tarski. Nonetheless, I suggest proving Lovász's theorem first because it's more approachable.

- (a) **Łoś–Tarski Theorem.** Let  $\sigma$  be a signature and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finitely axiomatizable class of  $\sigma$ -structured. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under substructures<sup>1</sup> if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is axiomatized by a universal  $\sigma$ -sentence.

REMARK: I had originally written the statement of the Łoś–Tarski theorem incorrectly<sup>2</sup>, demanding on the left that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed only under finitely generated substructures, but this is false: let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all linear orders with a least element<sup>3</sup>.

- (b) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and call a (potentially infinite) graph  $k$ -**coverable** if it admits  $\leq k$  vertices such that each edge is incident to at least one of them.

**Lovász's Theorem.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists finitely many finite graphs  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m$  (forbidden patterns) such that for every graph  $G$ , we have that  $G$  is  $k$ -coverable if and only if it does not contain any of the  $H_i$  as a subgraph.

HINT: First prove that if every finite subcover of a graph  $G$  is  $k$ -coverable, then such is  $G$ . Then take the collection of minimal counter-examples to  $k$ -coverability and prove that this collection has to be finite.

REMARK: Lovász's original proof is

2. Let  $\sigma_S := (0, S)$  where  $0$  is a constant symbol and  $S$  is a unary function symbol. Let  $T_S$  be the  $\sigma_S$ -theory consisting of the following (infinitely-many) axioms:

- (S1) Zero has no predecessor:  $\forall x(S(x) \neq 0)$ .  
 (S2) The successor function is one-to-one:  $\forall x \forall y(S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y)$ .  
 (S3) Any nonzero number is a successor of something:  $\forall x(x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y(x = S(y)))$ .  
 (S4<sup>∞</sup>) There are no cycles:  $\varphi_n := \forall x(S^n(x) \neq x)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) Observe that  $\mathbf{N}_S := (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$  is a (standard) model of  $T_S$  and describe all models of  $T_S$ .  
 (b) Prove that  $T_S$  is  $\kappa$ -categorical for each uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ , and deduce that  $T_S$  is complete.

<sup>1</sup>By this we mean that if a  $\sigma$ -structure is in  $\mathcal{C}$  then so are all of its substructures.

<sup>2</sup>Thanks Ruben for pointing this out.

<sup>3</sup>Thanks Vahagn for suggesting this example.

3. Let  $K$  be a field and let  $\overline{K}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$ . A nonconstant polynomial  $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is called *irreducible* if whenever  $f = gh$  for some  $g, h \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , either  $\deg(g) = 0$  or  $\deg(h) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $f$  is called *absolutely irreducible* if it is irreducible in  $\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  (view  $f$  as an element of  $\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ).

For example, the polynomial  $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  is irreducible, but it is not absolutely irreducible since  $X^2 + 1 = (X + i)(X - i)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . On the other hand,  $XY - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$  is absolutely irreducible.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and prove the following:

**Theorem** (Noether–Ostrowski Irreducibility Theorem). *For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and prime  $p$ , let  $f_p$  denote the polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  obtained by applying the canonical map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  to the coefficients of  $f$  (i.e. mod-ing out the coefficients by  $p$ ). For all  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $f$  is absolutely irreducible (as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ) if and only if  $f_p$  is absolutely irreducible (as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ) for all sufficiently large primes  $p$ .*

**HINT:** Your proof should be shorter than the statement of the theorem.

**REMARK:** The original algebraic proof of this theorem is quite involved.