

1. A class  $\Gamma$  of sets is called *self-dual* if it is closed under complements, i.e.  $\neg\Gamma = \Gamma$ . Show that if  $\Gamma$  is a self-dual class of sets in topological spaces that is closed under continuous preimages, then for any topological space  $X$  there does not exist an  $X$ -universal set for  $\Gamma(X)$ . Conclude that neither the class  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of Borel sets, nor the classes  $\Delta_\xi^0(X)$ , can have  $X$ -universal sets.
2. Letting  $X$  be a separable metrizable space and  $\lambda < \omega_1$  be a limit ordinal, put

$$\Omega_\lambda^0(X) := \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} \Sigma_\xi^0(X) (= \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} \Delta_\xi^0(X) = \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} \Pi_\xi^0(X)).$$

- (a) [Optional] Let  $Y$  be an uncountable Polish space and prove that there exists a set  $P \in \Delta_\lambda^0(Y \times X)$  that parameterizes  $\Omega_\lambda^0(X)$ .

HINT: First construct such a set for  $Y = \mathbb{N} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then conclude it for  $Y = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  using the fact that the following functions are continuous:  $(\ )_0 : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\ )_1 : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined for  $y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$y = 1^{(y)_0} 0^{(y)_1}.$$

Finally, conclude the statement for any  $Y$  using the perfect set property.

- (b) Conclude that if  $X$  is uncountable Polish, then  $\Delta_\lambda^0(X) \supsetneq \Omega_\lambda^0(X)$ .
3. (a) Show that any Polish space admits a finer Polish topology that is zero-dimensional and has the same Borel sets, i.e. for a given Polish space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , there exists a zero-dimensional Polish topology  $\mathcal{T}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ .
    - (b) Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and consider a Borel action of  $\Gamma$  on a Polish space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , i.e. each  $g \in \Gamma$  acts as a Borel automorphism of  $X$ . Prove that there exists a Polish topology  $\mathcal{T}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{T}$  with  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$  that makes the action of  $\Gamma$  continuous. Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_0$  can be taken to be zero-dimensional.
  4. Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces and let  $\text{proj}_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  be the projection function. Prove the following statements:
    - (a)  $\text{proj}_X$  is continuous and open.
    - (b)  $\text{proj}_X$  does not in general map closed sets to closed sets, even for  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ .
    - (c) For  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{proj}_X$  maps closed sets to  $\sigma$ -compact (and hence  $F_\sigma$ ) sets. More generally, images of  $F_\sigma$  sets under continuous functions from  $\sigma$ -compact to Hausdorff spaces are  $F_\sigma$ .

(d) **Tube lemma:** If  $Y$  is compact, then  $\text{proj}_X$  indeed maps closed sets to closed sets.

HINT: I would use the open cover definition of compact and show that for a closed  $F \subseteq X \times Y$ , every point  $x \in X \setminus \text{proj}_X(F)$  has an open neighborhood disjoint from  $\text{proj}_X(F)$ . The “correct” solution should use nothing but definitions.

5. Show that the class of analytic sets is closed under countable unions.
6. Let  $X, Y$  be Polish and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  Borel. Show that for  $A \subseteq f(X)$ , if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is Borel, then  $A$  is Borel relative to  $f(X)$ , i.e. there is a Borel  $A' \subseteq Y$  such that  $A = A' \cap f(X)$ .
7. Let  $G$  be an (undirected) Borel graph on a standard Borel space  $X$ , i.e.  $G \subseteq X^2$  is an irreflexive and symmetric Borel subset. Prove that if  $G$  is locally finite<sup>1</sup>, then it admits a Borel (vertex) colouring  $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with countably many colours. Pinpoint the use of the Luzin–Novikov theorem.

HINT: Separate a point from its neighbours by basic open sets.

8. Let  $X$  be Polish and let  $E$  be an analytic equivalence relation on  $X$ , i.e.  $E$  is an analytic subset of  $X^2$ .
  - (a) Show that for an analytic set  $A$ , its saturation  $[A]_E := \{x \in X : \exists y \in A(xEy)\}$  is also analytic.
  - (b) Let  $A, B \subseteq X$  be disjoint  $E$ -invariant analytic sets (i.e.,  $[A]_E = A, [B]_E = B$ ). Prove that there is an  $E$ -invariant Borel set  $D$  separating  $A$  and  $B$ , i.e.,  $D \supseteq A$  and  $D \cap B = \emptyset$ .
9. [Optional] Construct an example of a closed equivalence relation  $E$  on a Polish space  $X$  and a closed set  $C \subseteq X$  such that the saturation  $[C]_E$  is analytic but not Borel.

REMARK: This shows that in part (a) of the previous question, “analytic” is the best we can hope for.

HINT: Take analytic  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that’s not Borel and let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^2}$  be a closed set projecting down onto  $A$ . Define an appropriate equivalence relation  $E$  on  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^2}$  (i.e.  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^2} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^2}$ ).

10. Let  $X$  be a Polish space. A function  $s : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is called a selector if  $s(F) \in F$  for every nonempty  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . The goal of this question is to show that for every Polish space  $X$ , the Effros Borel space  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a Borel selector.
  - (a) Show that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$  admits a Borel selector.
  - (b) By a previous homework question, there is a continuous open surjection  $g : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ . Prove that the map  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$  defined by  $F \mapsto g^{-1}(F)$  is Borel.
  - (c) Conclude that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a Borel selector.

11. [Optional] Let  $X$  be a Polish space. Show that  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Each vertex has only finitely many neighbours.

12. [Optional] Let  $X, Y$  be Polish spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function such that  $f(X)$  is uncountable. Put

$$\mathcal{K}_f(X) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : f|_K \text{ is injective}\}.$$

(a) Note that, for a fixed countable basis  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,

$$K \in \mathcal{K}_f(X) \iff \forall U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } \overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset [f(\overline{U_1} \cap K) \cap f(\overline{U_2} \cap K) = \emptyset].$$

Next, show that for fixed  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$  the set

$$\mathcal{V} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : f(\overline{U_1} \cap K) \cap f(\overline{U_2} \cap K) = \emptyset\}$$

is open in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{K}_f(X)$  is  $G_\delta$ .

(b) Suppose that  $f(U)$  is uncountable for each nonempty open  $U \subseteq X$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{K}_f(X)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

(c) Conclude that  $f(X)$  contains a nonempty (compact) perfect set, so analytic sets have the Perfect Set Property.

13. (Fun problem, I think) Prove directly, **without using** Wadge's theorem or lemma or Borel determinacy, that any countable dense  $Q \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$ -complete, by showing that Player 2 has a winning strategy in the Wadge game  $G_W(A, Q)$  for any  $A \in \Sigma_2^0(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ .