

# MATH 570: MATHEMATICAL LOGIC

## HOMEWORK 3

Due date: Sep 15 (Tue)

Below, problems with  $*$  are not mandatory.

- (Chasing definitions) A  $\tau$ -theory  $T$  is said to be *semantically complete* if for any  $\tau$ -sentence  $\phi$ ,  $T \models \phi$  or  $T \models \neg\phi$ .
  - Show that any satisfiable  $\tau$ -theory  $T$  has a satisfiable full completion (i.e. a semantically complete  $\tau$ -theory  $\bar{T} \supseteq T$ ).
  - Show that a  $\tau$ -theory  $T$  is semantically complete if and only if for any  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \models T$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{B}$ .
- Let  $\tau$  be a signature and show that the following are equivalent:
  - For any  $\tau$ -theory  $T$ ,  $T \models \phi$  implies that there is finite  $T_0 \subseteq T$  with  $T_0 \models \phi$ .
  - For any  $\tau$ -theory  $T$ ,  $T$  not satisfiable (semantically inconsistent) implies that some finite  $T_0 \subseteq T$  is not satisfiable.
- For a fixed signature  $\tau$ , let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the set of all satisfiable fully complete theories and we equip this set with the topology generated by the sets  $\langle \phi \rangle := \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \models \phi\}$ .
  - Show that the sets  $\langle \phi \rangle$  form an algebra. In particular, they form a basis for this topology, making it zero-dimensional<sup>1</sup> Hausdorff.
  - Prove that the compactness of this space is equivalent to the statements in Problem 2.  
HINT: Use the equivalent statement to compactness that involves closed sets, namely: A topological space is *compact* if and only if every family of closed sets with the finite intersection property<sup>2</sup> has a nonempty intersection.
- Let  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  and assume that for any finite  $S \subseteq A$  and  $b \in B$ , there exists an automorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbf{B}$  that fixes  $S$  pointwise (i.e.  $f(a) = a$  for all  $a \in S$ ) and  $f(b) \in A$ . Show that  $\mathbf{A} < \mathbf{B}$ .
- Show that  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) < (\mathbb{R}, <)$ . Conclude that  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \equiv (\mathbb{R}, <)$ , but  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \neq (\mathbb{R}, <)$ .  
HINT: Use the previous problem.
- (Anton Bernshteyn, 2014) Let  $\mathbf{B} = (B, E)$  be a countable undirected graph, each of whose vertices have degree at most 1. Suppose further that  $\mathbf{B}$  has infinitely many vertices of degree 0 and infinitely many of degree 1. Find a substructure  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{B}$  and yet is not an elementary substructure of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

REMARK: Note that  $\mathbf{A} \simeq \mathbf{B}$  implies  $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{B}$ . Thus, this is an example of a substructure that is elementarily equivalent to the larger structure and yet isn't an elementary substructure.

<sup>1</sup>A topology is called *zero-dimensional* if it has a basis consisting of clopen (i.e. both closed and open) sets.

<sup>2</sup>A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets is said to have the *finite intersection property* if for every finite  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}_0} A \neq \emptyset$ .

7. Conclude from the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem that any satisfiable theory  $T$  has a model of cardinality at most  $\max\{|\tau|, \aleph_0\}$ . In particular, if ZFC is satisfiable, then it has a countable model (although that model  $\mathbf{M}$  would believe it contains sets of uncountable cardinality, e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{M}}$ ). Explain why this DOES NOT imply that ZFC is not satisfiable.

8.\*

(a) Find a  $\tau_{\text{graph}}$ -sentence  $\varphi$ , all of whose models are 2-colorable undirected graphs with the degree of every vertex being at least 4, and such that  $\varphi$  has infinite models.

HINT: Demand existence of two “special” vertices.

(b) Find a  $\tau_{\text{graph}}$ -sentence  $\varphi$ , all of whose models are infinite undirected graphs.

HINT: Demand existence of three “special” vertices, which allow to define a function on the set of vertices. Demand that this function is injective but not surjective.

REMARK: You don't need to know any graph theory to solve this problem.