

# MATH 570: MATHEMATICAL LOGIC

## HOMEWORK 11

Due date: Nov 17 (Tue)

1. (a) Show that the set of  $\Sigma_1^0$  relations is closed under finite unions/intersections and projections, i.e. under the operations  $\vee, \wedge, \exists$ .  
(b) Conclude that the set of  $\Pi_1^0$  relations is closed under finite unions/intersections and co-projections, i.e. under the operations  $\vee, \wedge, \forall$ .
2. Let  $T$  be a  $\tau$ -theory, where  $\tau$  is a finite signature.  
(a) Prove that if  $T$  is decidable, then it has a recursive completion.<sup>1</sup>  
(b) Deduce **Church's theorem**: Any  $\tau$ -theory that interprets  $Q$  is undecidable.
3. (a) Show that  $U_Q^{(k)}$  (or  $U_{PA}^{(k)}$ , if you prefer) is a  $\Sigma_1^0(\mathbb{N}^k)$ -universal relation.  
(b) For which more general class of theories does your proof work? E.g. would it work for  $T := PA \cup \{\neg\gamma\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Gödel sentence?
4. (a) Observe that the universal  $\Sigma_1^0$  relation  $U_Q(\cdot, \cdot_k) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1+k}$  from Proposition 7.12 is of the form  $\exists y P(\cdot, \cdot_k, y)$ , where  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1+k+1}$  is primitive recursive; more precisely, for all  $a \in \mathbb{N}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,
$$U_Q(a, \vec{b}) \iff \exists y P(a, \vec{b}, y).$$
  
(b) Conclude **Kleene's Normal Form theorem**, namely: Every  $\Sigma_1^0$  relation  $R(\cdot_k) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  is of the form  $\exists y P(\cdot_k, y)$  for some primitive recursive relation  $P$ .
5. (a) Prove **Craig's lemma**, namely: Any  $\Sigma_1^0$  theory  $T$  (in a finite signature  $\tau$ ) has a recursive axiomatization.  
HINT: For a sentence  $\phi$ ,  $\phi$  being in  $T$  is "recursively witnessed" by a number  $n_\phi \in \mathbb{N}$ . Modify  $\phi$  into a logically equivalent sentence that encodes the witness  $n_\phi$ .  
(b) Conclude that we can replace "recursive" by " $\Sigma_1^0$ " in Rosser's form of the First Incompleteness theorem.  
REMARK: Recall that we couldn't replace "recursive" by "arithmetical" in Rosser's form of the First Incompleteness theorem.  
(c) Conclude further that, in fact, any  $\Sigma_1^0$  theory has a primitive recursive axiomatization.  
HINT: Use Kleene's Normal Form.

---

<sup>1</sup>Many thanks to Anton Bernshteyn for suggesting this question.