## MATH 570: MATHEMATICAL LOGIC

## HOMEWORK 10

Due date: Nov 10 (Tue)

- 1. (a) Show that we can replace "recursive" by "arithmetical" in the statement of Gödel's Incompleteness theorem (the original form), i.e. prove that if  $T \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathbf{N})$  is arithmetical, then it is incomplete.
  - (b) Show that there exists an arithmetical completion of PA, i.e. there is a complete  $\tau_{\text{arthm-theory }T \supseteq \text{PA}$  such that  $T^{\neg} = \{ {}^{r} \phi^{\neg} : \phi \in T \}$  is an arithmetical subset of N. Conclude that we CANNOT replace "recursive" by "arithmetical" in Rosser's form of the First Incompleteness theorem.

HINT: Mimic the inductive version of the proof that any theory has a (syntactically) consistent completion.

- 2. For each of the following statements, prove or give a counter-example to the assertion that it is true for every  $\tau_{\text{arthm}}$ -sentence  $\theta$ :
  - (a)  $PA \vdash \theta \iff N \models \mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta]),$
  - (b)  $PA \vdash \theta \rightarrow \mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta]),$
  - (c)  $PA \vdash \theta \implies PA \vdash \mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta]).$
- **3.** Let  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  be  $\tau_{\text{arthm}}$ -sentences. Consider the following statements:

$$1 \operatorname{PA} \vdash \phi \implies \operatorname{PA} \vdash \theta;$$

2 PA  $\vdash \phi \rightarrow \theta$ .

Are they equivalent for all  $\phi, \theta$ ? If not, which implication holds and which may fail? Prove your answers.

- 4. For each of the following  $\tau_{\text{arthm}}$ -sentences, prove or give a counter-example to the assertion that it is provable in PA for every  $\tau_{\text{arthm}}$ -sentence  $\theta$ :
  - (a) **Provable**<sub>PA</sub>( $[\theta]$ )  $\rightarrow \theta$
  - (b)  $\mathbf{Provable}_{\mathrm{PA}\cup\{\neg\theta\}}([\theta]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Provable}_{\mathrm{PA}}([\theta])$
  - (c)  $\mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta]) \rightarrow \neg \mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\neg \theta])$
  - (d)  $\mathbf{Provable}_{PA}(\mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta])) \rightarrow \mathbf{Provable}_{PA}([\theta])$
- 5. Let  $\tau$  be a finite signature. State and prove Loeb's theorem for any recursive  $\tau$ -theory T that interprets PA.