#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptua completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness for Boolean coherent toposes

# Jesse Han

McMaster University

McGill logic, category theory, and computation seminar 5 December 2017

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

What is strong conceptual completeness for first-order logic?

- A strong conceptual completeness statement for a logical doctrine is an assertion that a theory in this logical doctrine can be recovered from an appropriate structure formed by the models of the theory.
- Makkai proved such a theorem for first-order logic showing one could reconstruct a first-order theory T from Mod(T) equipped with structure induced by taking ultraproducts.
- Before we dive in, let's look at a well-known theorem from model theory, with the same flavor, which Makkai's result generalizes: the Beth definability theorem.

Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# The Beth theorem

### Theorem.

Let  $L_0 \subseteq L_1$  be an inclusion of languages with no new sorts. Let  $T_1$  be an  $L_1$ -theory. Let  $F : \mathbf{Mod}(T_1) \to \mathbf{Mod}(\emptyset_{L_0})$  be the reduct functor. Suppose you know any of the following:

1. There is a  $L_0$ -theory  $T_0$  and a factorization:

$$\mathsf{Mod}(T_1) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}} \mathsf{Mod}(\emptyset_{L_0})$$

$$\stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \uparrow$$

$$\mathsf{Mod}(T_0)$$

- 2. F is full and faithful.
- 3. F is injective on objects.
- 4. F is full and faithful on automorphism groups.
- 5. *F* is full and faithful on  $\text{Hom}_{L_1}(M, M^U)$  for all  $M \in \text{Mod}(T_1)$  and all ultrafilters U.
- 6. Every L<sub>0</sub>-elementary map is an L<sub>1</sub>-homomorphism of structures.

<u>Then</u>: (\*) Every  $L_1$ -formula is  $T_1$ -provably equivalent to an  $L_0$ -formula.

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Useful consequence of Beth's theorem

### Corollary.

Let T be an L-theory, let  $\overline{S}$  be a finite product of sorts. Let  $X : Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$  be a subfunctor of  $M \mapsto \overline{S}(M)$ .

<u>Then</u>: if X commutes with ultraproducts on the nose ("satisfies a Łos' theorem"), then X was definable, i.e. X is an evaluation functor for some definable set  $\varphi \in \mathbf{Def}(T)$ .

### Proof.

(Sketch): expand each model M of T by a new sort X(M). Use commutation with ultraproducts to verify this is an elementary class. Then we are in the situation of  $1 \implies (*)$ from Beth's theorem.

Jesse Han

## Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# How does strong conceptual completeness enter this picture?

▶ Plain old conceptual completeness (this was one of the key results of Makkai-Reyes) says that if an interpretation  $I : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  induces an equivalence of categories  $Mod(T_1) \stackrel{I^*}{\simeq} Mod(T_2)$ , then I must have been a bi-interpretation.

So, it proves  $1\implies(*),$  and therefore the corollary.

 Strong conceptual completeness is the following upgrade of the corollary.

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, I

#### Theorem.

Let T be an L-theory. Let X be any functor  $Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$ . Suppose that you have:

▶ for every ultraproduct  $\prod_{i \to U} M_i$  a way to identify  $X(\prod_{i \to U} M_i) \stackrel{\Phi_{(M_i)}}{\simeq} \prod_{i \to U} X(M_i)$  ("there exists a transition isomorphism"), such that

- (X, Φ) preserves ultraproducts of models/elementary embeddings ("is a pre-ultrafunctor"), and also
- preserves all canonical maps between ultraproducts ("preserves ultramorphisms").

<u>Then</u>: there exists a  $\varphi(x) \in T^{eq}$  such that  $X \simeq ev_{\varphi(x)}$  as functors  $Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$ . (We call such X an ultrafunctor.)

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorica theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, I

▶ That is, the specified transition isomorphisms  $\Phi_{(M_i)} : X (\prod_{i \to U} M_i) \to \prod_{i \to U} X(M_i)$  make all diagrams of the form

 $\label{eq:commute} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{commute ("transition isomorphism/pre-ultrafunctor condition").} \end{array}$ 

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

- Applications of strong conceptual completeness
- A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, I

# What are ultramorphisms? An **ultragraph** $\Gamma$ comprises:

- A directed graph whose vertices are partitioned into *free* nodes Γ<sup>f</sup> and bound nodes Γ<sup>b</sup>.
- ▶ For any bound node  $\beta \in \Gamma^b$ , we assign a triple  $\langle I, \mathcal{U}, g \rangle \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \langle I_\beta, \mathcal{U}_\beta, g_\beta \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter on I and g is a function  $g : I \to \Gamma^f$ .
- An ultradiagram for Γ is a diagram of shape Γ which incorporates the extra data: bound nodes are the ultraproducts of the free nodes given by the functions g.
- A morphism of ultradiagrams (for fixed Γ) is just a natural transformation of functors which respects the extra data: the component of the transformation at a bound node is the ultraproduct of the components for the indexing free nodes.

Jesse Han

## Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, I

### Okay, but what are ultramorphisms?

#### Definition.

Let  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \underline{\mathbf{S}})$  be the category of all ultradiagrams of type  $\Gamma$ inside  $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$  with morphisms the ultradiagram morphisms defined above. Any two nodes  $k, \ell \in \Gamma$  define evaluation functors  $(k), (\ell) : \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \underline{\mathbf{S}}) \rightrightarrows \mathbf{S}$ , by

$$(k)\left(A\stackrel{\Phi}{\to}B\right)=A(k)\stackrel{\Phi_k}{\to}B(k)$$

(resp.  $\ell$ ). An **ultramorphism** of type  $\langle \Gamma, k, \ell \rangle$  in **<u>S</u>** is a natural transformation  $\delta : (k) \rightarrow (\ell)$ .

It's sufficient to consider the ultramorphisms which come from universal properties of colimits of products in **Set**.

Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, II

Now, what's changed between this statement and that of the useful corollary to Beth's theorem?

- We dropped the subfunctor assumption! We don't have such a nice way of knowing exactly how X(M) is obtained from M. We only have the invariance under ultra-stuff. We've left the placental warmth of the ambient models and we're considering some kind of abstract permutation representation of Mod(T).
- Yet, if X respects enough of the structure induced by the ultra-stuff, then X must have been constructible from our models in some first-order way ("is definable").
- (With this new language, the corollary becomes: "strict sub-pre-ultrafunctors of definable functors are definable.")

Jesse Han

## Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Strong conceptual completeness, III

Actually, Makkai proved something more, by doing the following:

- Introduce the notions of ultracategory and ultrafunctors by requiring all this extra ultra-stuff to be preserved.
- Develop a general duality theory between pretoposes ("Def(T)") and ultracategories ("Mod(T)") via a contravariant 2-adjunction ("generalized Stone duality").
- ▶ In particular, from this adjunction we get  $Pretop(T_1, T_2) \simeq Ult(Mod(T_2), Mod(T_1)).$

Therefore, SCC tells us how to recognize a reduct functor in the wild between two categories of models—i.e., if there is some uniformity underlying a functor  $Mod(T_2) \rightarrow Mod(T_1)$  due to a purely syntactic assignment  $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ . Just check if the ultra-structure is preserved!

#### Jesse Han

# Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

Caveat. Of course, one has an infinite list of conditions to verify here.

- So the only way to actually do this is to recognize some kind of uniformity in the putative reduct functor which lets you take care of all the ultramorphisms at once.
- But it gives you another way to think about uniformities you need.
- It also gives you a way to check that something can never arise from any interpretation!

Jesse Han

#### Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Important examples of ultramorphisms

#### Examples.

- The diagonal embedding into an ultrapower.
- Generalized diagonal embeddings. More generally, let  $f: I \rightarrow J$  be a function, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on I and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the pushforward ultrafilter on J. Then for any I-indexed sequence of structures  $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ , there is a canonical map  $\delta_f: \prod_{j \rightarrow \mathcal{V}} M_{f(i)} \rightarrow \prod_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} M_i$  given by taking the diagonal embedding along each fiber of f.

Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# $\Delta$ -functors induce continuous maps on automorphism groups

- Why should we expect ultramorphisms to help us identify evaluation functors in the wild?
- Here's an result which might indicate that knowing that they're preserved tells us something nontrivial.

### Definition.

Say that  $X : Mod(T) \rightarrow Mod(T')$  is a  $\Delta$ -functor if it preserves ultraproducts and diagonal maps into ultrapowers. Equip automorphism groups with the topology of pointwise convergence.

#### Theorem.

If X is a  $\Delta$ -functor from Mod(T) to Mod(T'), then X restricts to a continuous map  $Aut(M) \rightarrow Aut(X(M))$  for every  $M \in Mod(T)$ .

Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

### Proof.

- The topology of pointwise convergence is sequential, so to check continuity it suffices to check convergent sequences of automorphisms are preserved.
- If  $f_i \to f$  in Aut(M), then since the cofinite filter is contained in any ultrafilter,  $\prod_{i \to U} f_i$  agrees with  $\prod_{i \to U} f$  over the diagonal copy of M in  $M^U$ . That is,  $(\prod_{i \to U} f_i) \circ \Delta_M = (\prod_{i \to U} f) \circ \Delta_M$ .
- Applying X and using that X is a  $\Delta$ -functor, conclude that  $\prod_{i \to \mathcal{U}} X(f_i)$  agrees with  $\prod_{i \to \mathcal{U}} X(f)$  over the diagonal copy of X(M) inside  $X(M)^{\mathcal{U}}$ .
- For any point  $a \in X(M)$ , the above says the sequence  $(X(f_i)(a))_{i \in I} =_{\mathcal{U}} (X(f)(a))_{i \in I}$ .
- Since U was arbitrary and the cofinite filter on I is the intersection of all non-principal ultrafilters on I, we conclude that the above equation holds cofinitely. Hence, X(f<sub>i</sub>) → X(f).

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

Exotic functors

# $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

- A first-order theory T is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical if it has one countable model up to isomorphism.
- ▶  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories have only finitely many types in each sort. (Caveat: when I say "type", I mean an atom in  $\mathscr{E}(T)$ .)
- A theorem of Coquand, Ahlbrandt and Ziegler says that, given two  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories T and T' with countable models M and M', a topological isomorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(M')$  induces a bi-interpretation  $M \simeq M'$ .
- Since we know Δ-functors induce continuous maps on automorphism groups, they're a good candidate for definable functors.
- Boolean coherent toposes split into a finite coproduct of  $\mathscr{E}(T_i)$ , where each  $T_i$  is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical.

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

Exotic functors

# A definability criterion for $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

#### Theorem.

Let  $X : Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$ . If T is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, the following are equivalent:

- 1. For some transition isomorphism,  $(X, \Phi)$  is a  $\Delta$ -functor (preserves ultraproducts and diagonal maps).
- 2. For some transition isomorphism,  $(X, \Phi)$  is definable.

Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

Exotic functors

# A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories Proof.

(Sketch.)

- One direction is immediate by SCC: definable functors are ultrafunctors are at least Δ-functors.
- Let *M* be the countable model. Use the lemma about  $\Delta$ -functors  $(X, \Phi)$  inducing continuous maps on the automorphism groups (equivalently,  $(X, \Phi)$  has the finite support property) to cover each Aut(*M*)-orbit of X(M) by a projection from an Aut(*M*)-orbit of *M*. By  $\omega$ -categoricity, the kernel relation of this projection is definable, so we know that X(M) looks like an (*a priori*, possibly infinite) disjoint union of types.
- By Aut(M)<sup>U</sup> orbit-counting, there are actually only finitely many types.
- Invoke the Keisler-Shelah theorem to transfer to all  $N \models T$ .

Jesse Han

Strong conceptua completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

Exotic functors

# A definability criterion for $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories

### Corollary.

Let T and T' be  $\aleph_0$ -categorical. Let X be an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}(T_1) \stackrel{X}{\simeq} \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}(T_2).$$

Then X was induced by a bi-interpretation  $T_1 \simeq T_2$  if and only if X was a  $\Delta$ -functor.

In particular, Bodirsky, Evans, Kompatscher and Pinkser gave an example of two  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theories T, T' with abstractly isomorphic but not topologically isomorphic automorphism groups of the countable model. This abstract isomorphism induces an equivalence  $Mod(T) \simeq Mod(T')$  and since it can't come from an interpretation, from the corollary we conclude that it fails to preserve an ultraproduct or a diagonal map was not preserved.

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Exotic pre-ultrafunctors

In light of the previous result, a natural question to ask is:

### Question.

Is being a  $\Delta$ -functor enough for SCC? That is, do non-definable  $\Delta$ -functors exist?

#### Theorem.

The previous definability criterion fails for general T. That is:

- There exists a theory T and a  $\Delta$ -functor (X,  $\Phi$ ) :  $Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$  which is not definable.
- There exists a theory T and a pre-ultrafunctor (X, Φ) which is not a Δ-functor (hence, is also not definable.)

Jesse Han

Strong conceptua completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Exotic pre-ultrafunctors

## Proof. (Sketch.)

- Complete types won't work, so take a complete type and cut it in half into two partial types, one of which refines the other. Define X(M) to be the realizations in M of the coarser one.
- Taking ultraproducts creates external realizations ("infinite/infinitesimal points") of either one.
- You can either try to construct a transition isomorphism which turns it into a pre-ultrafunctor (creating a non-Δ pre-ultrafunctor) or obtain one non-constructively (creating a non-definable Δ-functor).

Future work

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# ▶ Is the above X(M) isomorphic to $ev_A$ for some $A \in \mathscr{E}(T)$ ?

- Which parts of Makkai's ultra-data ensure
   X : Mod(T) → Set is ev<sub>A</sub> for A ∈ & and which parts make sure that A is compact?
- How do ultramorphisms relate to the Awodey-Forssell duality?
- Conjecture: the pre-ultrafunctor part of the data ensures compactness after you get inside the classifying topos, i.e. if you start with A ∈ & and ev<sub>A</sub> is an ultrafunctor, then A was compact.
- Update: this last conjecture is actually true!

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptua completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical theories

Exotic functors

# Latest results:

#### Theorem.

Let  $\mathscr{E}(T)$  be the classifying topos of a first-order theory. Let B be an object of  $\mathscr{E}(T)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1. B is coherent.
- 2.  $ev_B : Mod(T) \rightarrow Set$  is a pre-ultrafunctor.
- 3. The reduct functor  $Mod(T[B]) \xrightarrow{I^*} Mod(T)$  is an equivalence, where T[B] is T with an additional sort for B and all the induced definable structure on B ("the graph of  $\mathscr{E}(T)(\mathbf{y}(-), B)$ ") adjoined.
- 4.  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathscr{E}(T)/B)$  is an ultracategory such that the forgetful functor  $F : \operatorname{Mod}(\mathscr{E}(T)/B) \to \operatorname{Mod}(T)$  is an ultrafunctor and the functor  $(\langle M, b \rangle \mapsto \{b\}) : \operatorname{Mod}(\mathscr{E}(T)/B) \to \operatorname{Set}$  is a strict ultrafunctor.

#### Jesse Han

Strong conceptual completeness

Applications of strong conceptual completeness

A definability criterion for ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorica theories

Exotic functors

Thank you!