NOVEL STABILITY RESULTS FOR TRAVELING WAVEFRONTS IN AN AGE-STRUCTURED REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATION

MING MEI
Department of Mathematics, Champlain College Saint-Lambert
Saint-Lambert, Quebec, J4P 3P2, Canada
and
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University
Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2K6, Canada

YAU SHU WONG
Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G1, Canada
(Communicated by Stephen Gourley)

Abstract. For a time-delayed reaction-diffusion equation of age-structured single species population, the linear and nonlinear stability of the traveling wavefronts were proved by Gourley [4] and Li-Mei-Wong [8] respectively. The stability results, however, assume the delay-time is sufficiently small. We now prove that the wavefronts remain stable even when the delay-time is arbitrarily large. This essentially improves the previous stability results obtained in [4, 8]. Finally, we point out that this novel stability can be applied to the age-structured reaction-diffusion equation with a more general maturation rate.

1. Introduction and main results. Subsequent to [8], in this paper we consider a model of population for a single species with age-structure

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} v(x, t - \tau) - \beta v^2, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \; x \in \mathbb{R},
\]

(1)

with an initial value condition

\[
v(x, s) = v_0(x, s), \quad s \in [-\tau, 0],
\]

(2)

where \(v(x, t)\) denotes the total population of mature species after the mature age \(\tau > 0\) at time \(t\) and location \(x\), \(d > 0\) is the spatial diffusion rate of the mature species, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) both are positive constants, the terms \(\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} v(x, t - \tau)\) and \(\beta v^2\) represent the birth rate and the maturation rate of the mature population, respectively. For more details, we refer to [1]-[8].

Notice that equation (1) has two constant equilibria

\[v_- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_+ = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} e^{-\gamma \tau}.
\]
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We assume that the initial data satisfies
\[ v_0(x, s) \to v_{\pm}, \quad s \in [-\tau, 0] \text{ as } x \to \pm \infty, \] (3)

A traveling wavefront connecting the constant states \( v_{\pm} \) is the monotone solution of equation (1) in the form of \( \phi(x + ct) \), where \( c \) is the wave speed. Namely, \( \phi(x + ct) \) satisfies the following ordinary differential equation
\[
\begin{cases}
  d\phi'(\xi) - c\phi'(\xi) + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \phi(\xi - c\tau) - \beta \phi^2(\xi) = 0, \\
  \phi(-\infty) = 0 = v_-, \\
  \phi(\infty) = (\alpha/\beta)e^{-\gamma \tau} = v_+,
\end{cases}
\] (4)

where \( \xi = x + ct, \) and \( \gamma = \frac{d}{dt} \).

The existence of the traveling wavefronts was proved by Al-Omari and Gourley [3]. That is, there exists a minimum speed \( c_0 = c_0(\tau) \), also called the critical speed, such that for all \( c > c_0 \), the traveling wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) uniquely exists (up to shift), where the minimum speed \( c_0 = c_0(\tau) \) is determined by
\[
F_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}) = G_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}), \quad F'_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}) = G'_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}).
\] (5)

Here
\[
F_c(\lambda) = 2\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} e^{-\lambda ct/2}, \quad G_c(\lambda) = c\lambda - \frac{1}{2}d\lambda^2.
\] (6)

Namely, \((c_0, \lambda_{c_0})\) is the tangent point of \( F_c(\lambda) \) and \( G_c(\lambda) \), and \( c_0 \) is the solution of the following implicit equation
\[
\alpha \exp \left(1 - \gamma \tau - \frac{c_0^2 \tau}{2d} - \frac{1}{2d} \sqrt{4d^2 + c_0^4 \tau^2}\right) = \frac{1}{c_0^2} \left(1 - 2d + \sqrt{4d^2 + c_0^4 \tau^2}\right),
\] (7)

which implies \( c_0^2 < 4\alpha d e^{-\gamma \tau} \) (see the explanation in [8]).

It can be also seen from the graphs of \( F_c(\lambda) \) and \( G_c(\lambda) \) showed in [8] that, when \( c = c_0 \), then \( F_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}) = G_{c_0}(\lambda_{c_0}) \); while, when \( c > c_0 \), then \( F_c(\lambda_{c_0}) < G_c(\lambda_{c_0}) \), namely,
\[
2\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} e^{-\lambda_{c_0} ct/2} < c\lambda_{c_0} - \frac{1}{2}d\lambda_{c_0}.
\] (8)

The linear stability of traveling wavefronts was proved by Gourley [4], and further extended by Li-Mei-Wong [8] for the nonlinear stability. But both need to restrict the delay-time \( \tau \) to be small enough.

Let \( \tilde{v} = v - \phi \). From (1), the nonlinear perturbation \( \tilde{v} \) for \( v \) around the wavefront \( \phi \) satisfies
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t} - d \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \tilde{v}(x, t - \tau) + 2\beta \phi \tilde{v} + \beta \tilde{v}^2 = 0.
\] (9)

If one drops the nonlinear term \( \beta \tilde{v}^2 \), one gets a linear perturbation of \( v \) around \( \phi \) as follows
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t} - d \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \tilde{v}(x, t - \tau) + 2\beta \phi \tilde{v} = 0.
\] (10)

In [4], when the delay-time \( \tau \) is small enough such that
\[
4\alpha \tau e^{-\gamma \tau} < \cosh^{-1}(2),
\] (11)

and the initial perturbation \( \tilde{v}_0(x, s) := v_0(x, s) - \phi(x + cs) \) \((s \in [-\tau, 0])\) decays as fast as
\[
|\tilde{v}_0(x, s)| = |v_0(x, s) - \phi(x + cs)| = O(1)e^{-\lambda_{c_0}|s|/2}, \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty,
\] (12)
where the wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) is slower with a small speed

\[
c_0 < c < \sqrt{\frac{d \cosh^{-1}(2)}{\tau}},
\]

by using the weighted energy method (see also [12] [13]), Gourley proved that the wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) is linearly stable, namely, the linear perturbation of (10) satisfies

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v}(x, t)| = O(1)e^{-\mu t}, \quad t > 0.
\]

Furthermore, under the same conditions as requested in [4], by using the weighted energy method with the comparison principle together, Li-Mei-Wong [8] extended Gourley’s result to the nonlinear stability, namely, (13) holds also for the nonlinear perturbation \( \tilde{v} \) of (9). However, the stability of traveling wavefronts for arbitrarily large delay-time \( \tau \) still keeps open, but more significant and challenging as we know.

To solve this problem is our main purpose of this note.

An extensive examination of (2.15)-(2.17) in [4] shows that, the restriction (11) on the small delay-time \( \tau \) is only requested in the proof of \( B(x) > 0 \) as \( x \in (x_0, \infty) \), where \( x_0 > 1 \) is sufficiently large (see pp.263 in [4]). However, as we know, when \( x = +\infty \), the wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) is just equal to the equilibrium \( v_+ \), and \( v_+ \) is a stable node of equation (1). This should be one advantage for us in the proof of stability. In fact, the difficulty for the wave stability is only caused by the non-stable node \( v_- = 0 \) as \( x \to -\infty \) for \( \phi(x + ct) \). That is why we need to construct a weight function as \( e^{\lambda v_0|x|} \) for \( x \to -\infty \) to overcome such a difficulty in the proof by the energy method. Based on the above consideration, by constructing a new weight function, and technically treating the convergence of the solution \( v(x, t) \) of (1) to the wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) at \( x = +\infty \), here, we can further prove the stability of the traveling wavefronts for all delay-time \( \tau \) (no matter it is large or small) and for all wavefronts \( \phi(x + ct) \) with \( c > c_0 \) (no matter their speed is large or small). A similar result for the Nicholson’s blowflies equation with a local birth rate function or a nonlocal birth rate function has been also obtained in [10] [11].

**Notations.** Before we state our new stability, we introduce some notations. In what follows, \( C > 0 \) denotes a generic constant, while \( C_i > 0 \) \((i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) represents a specific constant. Let \( I \) be an interval, typically \( I = \mathbb{R} \). \( L^2(I) \) is the space of the square integrable functions on \( I \), and \( H^k(I) \) \((k \geq 0)\) is the Sobolev space of the \( L^2 \)-functions \( f(x) \) defined on the interval \( I \) whose derivatives \( \frac{d^i}{dx^i} f \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), also belong to \( L^2(I) \). \( L^2_+(I) \) represents the weighted \( L^2 \)-space with the weight \( w(x) > 0 \) and its norm is defined by

\[
\|f\|_{L^2_+} = \left( \int_I w(x)f(x)^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]

\( H^k_+(I) \) is the weighted Sobolev space with the norm

\[
\|f\|_{H^k_+} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^k \int_I w(x)\left| \frac{d^i}{dx^i} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Let \( T > 0 \) and let \( B \) be a Banach space, we denote by \( C^0([0, T]; B) \) the space of the \( B \)-valued continuous functions on \([0, T] \), and \( L^2([0, T]; B) \) as the space of the \( B \)-valued \( L^2 \)-functions on \([0, T] \). The corresponding spaces of the \( B \)-valued functions on \([0, \infty) \) are defined similarly.

Our main result is as follows.
Let \( w(x) > 0 \) be a weight function given by
\[
w(x) = e^{-\lambda_0 x},
\]
where \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) is specified in (5). For a given traveling wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) with speed \( c > c_0 \), if the initial datum satisfies
\[
v_0(x, s) - \phi(x + cs) \in C^0([-\tau, 0]; H^1_w(R)),
\]
then the unique solution \( v(x, t) \) of the Cauchy problem (1) and (2) exists globally
\[
v(x, t) - \phi(x + ct) \in C^0([0, \infty); H^1_w(R)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^2_w(R))
\]
and it converges to the traveling wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) time-asymptotically
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v(x, t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq Ce^{-\mu t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \infty
\]
for some positive constant \( \mu \).

**Remark 1.** This novel stability result for the specific maturation rate \( \beta u^2 \) in Eq. (1) can be also applied to a general maturation rate \( m(v) \). For details, we refer to the last section of the present paper, where some of typical examples for \( m(v) \) are also given.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We are going to prove the new stability of the traveling wavefronts by the weighted energy method with the comparison principle together, which was used in our previous paper [8], and initially in [9].

For given traveling wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) with \( c > c_0 \), we define
\[
\begin{align*}
v_0^+(x, s) &:= \max\{v_0(x, s), \phi(x + cs)\}, \\
v_0^-(x, s) &:= \min\{v_0(x, s), \phi(x + cs)\},
\end{align*}
\]
so
\[
\begin{align*}
v_0^-(x, s) &\leq v_0(x, s) \leq v_0^+(x, s) \quad \text{for } (x, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [-\tau, 0], \\
v_0^-(x, s) &\leq \phi(x + cs) \leq v_0^+(x, s) \quad \text{for } (x, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [-\tau, 0].
\end{align*}
\]
Denote \( v^+(x, t) \) and \( v^-(x, t) \) as the corresponding solutions of equations (1) and (2) with respect to the above mentioned initial data \( v_0^+(x, s) \) and \( v_0^-(x, s) \); i.e.,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial v^\pm}{\partial t} - d \frac{\partial^2 v^\pm}{\partial x^2} + \beta (v^\pm)^2 &= \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} v^\pm(x, t - \tau), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\
v^\pm(x, s) &= v_0^\pm(x, s), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ s \in [-\tau, 0].
\end{align*}
\]
By the Comparison Principle (see Lemma 3.2 in [8]), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
v^-(x, t) &\leq v(x, t) \leq v^+(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (22) \\
v^-(x, t) &\leq \phi(x + ct) \leq v^+(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]
Now we are going to prove the new stability in three steps.

**Step 1: The convergence of \( v^+(x, t) \) to \( \phi(x + ct) \)**

Let \( \xi := x + ct \) and
\[
\begin{align*}
v^\pm_0(x, s) &:= v_0^\pm(x, s) - \phi(x + cs),
\end{align*}
\]
then by (20) and (23), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
z(\xi, t) &\geq 0, \\
z_0(\xi, s) &\geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Since \( v^+(x,t) \) and \( \phi(x + ct) \) both satisfy equation (1), it can be verified that \( z(\xi, t) \) satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} - d \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi^2} - a e^{-\gamma \tau} z(\xi - ct, t - \tau) + 2 \beta \phi(\xi) z + \beta z^2 &= 0, \\
\xi, s &= z_0(\xi, s), \\
(\xi, t) &\in R \times R_+, \\
(\xi, s) &\in R \times [-\tau, 0].
\end{align*}
\]  

(26)

Multiplying (26) by \( e^{2 \mu t} w(\xi) z(\xi, t) \), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{1}{2} e^{2 \mu t} w^2 \right)_t + e^{2 \mu t} \left( \frac{1}{2} c w^2 - dw \frac{w z}{\xi} \right)_\xi \\
- \mu e^{2 \mu t} w^2 - \frac{1}{2} c e^{2 \mu t} \frac{w'}{w} w^2 - \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} e^{2 \mu t} w(\xi) z(\xi, t) z(z - ct, t - \tau) \\
+ 2 \beta e^{2 \mu t} \phi z^2 + \beta e^{2 \mu t} w^2 z^3 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have
\[
|d e^{2 \mu t} w' z \xi| \leq d e^{2 \mu t} w^2 + \frac{d}{4} e^{2 \mu t} \left( \frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 w^2,
\]
and dropping the positive term \( \beta e^{2 \mu t} w^3 \) (i.e., the last term in (27)), because \( z(\xi, t) \geq 0 \) (see (25)), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{1}{2} e^{2 \mu t} w^2 \right)_t + e^{2 \mu t} \left( \frac{1}{2} c w^2 - dw \frac{w z}{\xi} \right)_\xi \\
- \mu e^{2 \mu t} w^2 - \frac{1}{2} c e^{2 \mu t} \frac{w'}{w} w^2 - \frac{d}{4} e^{2 \mu t} w^2 \\
- \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} e^{2 \mu t} w(\xi) z(z - ct, t - \tau) + 2 \beta e^{2 \mu t} \phi z^2 &\leq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

As exactly showed in [4,8], by integrating (28) with respect to \((\xi, t)\) over \(R \times [0, t]\), we further obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
e^{2 \mu t} ||z(t)||_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \int_R e^{2 \mu s} B(\mu, \xi) w(\xi) z^2(\xi, s) \, d\xi ds \\
\leq ||z(0)||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta_2} \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau + 2 \mu \tau} \int_{-\tau}^0 \int_R e^{2 \mu s} w(\xi + ct) z^2(\xi, s) \, d\xi ds,
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

where (see (2.6) and (2.7) in [4], and (43)-(45) in [8], respectively)
\[
\begin{align*}
B(\mu, \xi) &= B(\xi) - 2 \mu - \frac{1}{\eta_2} \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} w(\xi + ct) \left( e^{2 \mu \tau} - 1 \right), \\
B(\xi) &= -e^{w'(\xi)} w'(\xi) \left( \frac{d}{w(\xi)} \right)^2 + 4 \beta \phi(\xi) - \eta_2 \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\eta_2} \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \frac{w(\xi + ct)}{w(\xi)}, \\
\eta_2 &= e^{-\lambda_0 c t / 2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(30)  (31)  (32)
Since \( w(\xi) = e^{-\lambda_0 \xi} \), \( \eta_2 = e^{-\lambda_0 c \xi/2} \) and \( \phi(\xi) \geq 0 \), it can be easily verified that
\[
B(\xi) = c_2 \eta_2 + \frac{1}{2} d \lambda_2 + 4 \beta \phi(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau} e^{-\lambda_0 \xi} - \frac{1}{2} \beta e^{-\gamma_\tau} e^{-\lambda_0 \xi} \frac{e^{-\lambda_0 \xi/2}}{2}.
\]

Applying \((4)\) to \((3)\), and dropping the positive term \( \int_0^t \int_R e^{2 \mu s} B(\mu, \xi) w(\xi) z^2(\xi, s) d\xi ds \), we get the first energy estimate
\[
e^\mu \| z(t) \|^2_{L^2_{\xi, \tau}} \leq \| z(0) \|^2_{L^2_{\xi, \tau}} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau + 2 \mu t} \int_0^t \int_R e^{2 \mu s} w(\xi + c \tau) z^2(\xi, s) d\xi ds.
\]

Similarly, differentiating \((3)\) with respect to \( \xi \) and multiplying it by \( e^{2 \mu t} w(\xi) z(\xi, t) \), then by integrating the resultant equation with respect to \( (\xi, t) \) over \( R \times [0, T] \), we obtain
\[
e^{2 \mu t} \| z(\xi, t) \|^2_{L^2_{\xi, \tau}} \leq C \left( \| z(0) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1_{\xi, \tau}} + \int_0^t \| z_0(s) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1_{\xi, \tau}} ds \right).
\]

Thus, summing \((39)\) and \((40)\) gives the basic energy estimate as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** It holds that
\[
\| z(t) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1_{\xi, \tau}} \leq C e^{-2 \mu t} \left( \| z(0) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1_{\xi, \tau}} + \int_0^t \| z_0(s) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1_{\xi, \tau}} ds \right).
\]
\[ \sup_{\xi \in (-\infty, \xi_0]} |z(\xi, t)| \leq C_2 \|z(t)\|_{H^2_\theta((-\infty, \xi_0])}, \tag{39} \]

where \( C_2 = C_2(\xi_0) \). Combining (39) and (38) yields the following convergence.

**Lemma 2.2.** It holds that
\[
\sup_{\xi \in (-\infty, \xi_0]} |z(\xi, t)| \leq C_3 e^{-\mu t}, \quad t > 0 \tag{40}
\]
for a sufficiently large number \( \xi_0 \gg 1 \) and a positive constant \( C_3 = C_3(\xi_0) \).

Now we are going to prove the convergence of \( z(\xi, t) \) at \( \xi = \infty \).

**Lemma 2.3.** It holds that
\[
\lim_{\xi \to \infty} |z(\xi, t)| \leq C e^{-\mu_2 t}, \quad t > 0, \tag{41}
\]
where \( \mu_2 := \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \).

**Proof.** From equation (26) and by dropping the positive term \( \beta z^2 \), we have
\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} - d \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi^2} - \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} z(\xi - c \tau, t - \tau) + 2 \beta \phi(z) z \leq 0.
\]
Taking limits to the above inequality as \( \xi \to \infty \), and noting that \( z_\xi(\infty, t) = 0 \) and \( z_\tau(\infty, t) = 0 \) because of the boundedness of \( z(\xi, t) \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \phi(\infty) = v_+ \), we immediately obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} z(\infty, t) - \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} z(\infty, t - \tau) + 2 \beta v_+ z(\infty, t) \leq 0.
\]
Integrating the above inequality over \([0, t]\) with respect to \( t \) yields
\[
z(\infty, t) - \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^t z(\infty, s - \tau) ds + 2 \beta v_+ \int_0^t z(\infty, s) ds \leq z(\infty, 0) = z_0(\infty) = 0.
\]
(42)

Here we used (3) and (4) to get \( z(\infty, 0) = z_0(\infty) = 0 \). Notice also that, by the change of variables,
\[
\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^t z(\infty, s - \tau) ds = \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_{-\tau}^{t-\tau} z(\infty, s) ds \leq \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_{-\tau}^{t} z(\infty, s) ds + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} z_0(\infty, s) ds. \tag{43}
\]
Substituting (43) to (42), and noting \( v_+ = \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \), we then have
\[
z(\infty, t) + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^t z(\infty, s) ds \leq \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} z_0(\infty, s) ds.
\]
Since \( z(\infty, t) \geq 0 \), by Gronwall’s inequality, we prove
\[
(0 \leq) z(\infty, t) \leq C_4 e^{-\mu_2 t},
\]
where \( C_4 := \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} z_0(\infty, s) ds \) and \( \mu_2 = \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} \). Thus, the proof of the lemma is completed.

Finally, combining Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we prove the convergence of \( z(\xi, t) \) in the whole space \((-\infty, \infty)\) as follows.
Lemma 2.4. It holds that
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v^+(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |v(\xi,t)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}, \quad t > 0 \] (44)
for \(0 < \mu < \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}\).

Step 2: The convergence of \(v^-(x,t)\) to \(\phi(x + ct)\)

Lemma 2.5. It holds that
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v^-(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}, \quad t \geq 0 \] (45)
for \(0 < \mu < \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}\).

Proof. Let \(z(\xi,t) = \phi(x + ct) - v^-(x,t), \xi = x + ct\), and \(z_0(\xi,s) = \phi(x + cs) - v^-_0(x,s); \)
then \(z(\xi,t)\) satisfies the Cauchy problem (26). As shown in Step 1, we can similarly prove Lemma 2.5. The detail is omitted.

Step 3: The convergence of \(v(x,t)\) to \(\phi(x + ct)\)

Lemma 2.6. It holds
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}, \quad t \geq 0 \] (46)
for \(0 < \mu < \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}\).

Proof. Since \(v^-_0(x,s) \leq v_0(x,s) \leq v^+_0(x,s)\) for \((x,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [-\tau,0]\), by using the comparison principle showed in [8], the corresponding solutions satisfy
\[ v^-(x,t) \leq v(x,t) \leq v^+(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times R_+. \]

Thanks to Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we have the following convergence results:
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v^-(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v^+(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}. \]

Then, by using the squeeze technique, we finally prove
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v(x,t) - \phi(x + ct)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}. \]
The proof is complete.

3. Concluding remark. In this section, we extend the wave stability result obtained before for equation (1) with a specific maturation rate \(m(v) = \beta v^2\) to a general case. Namely, we consider
\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} v(x,t-\tau) - m(v), \quad t \in [0,\infty), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \] (47)
with an initial value condition
\[ v(x,s) = v_0(x,s), \quad s \in [-\tau,0], \] (48)
where \(m(v)\) is a more general maturation rate satisfying the following hypotheses
\(H\) There exist \(v_- > 0\) and \(v_+ > 0\) as two constant equilibria for equation (47) such that \(\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} m(v^-_+) - m(v^-) = 0\), and satisfy \(m(0) = 0, m'(0) = 0, m'(v_+) > \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau}, \) and \(m'(v) > 0, m''(v) > 0\) for \(0 = v_- < v < v_+.\)
As some important and typical examples, we may take \( m(v) \) as
\[
m_1(v) := \beta v^p, \quad \text{for } \beta > 0, \quad p > 1,
\]
with \( v_- = 0 \) and \( v_+ = \left( \frac{a}{\beta} e^{-\gamma_\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \). Here, \( m_1(v) \) satisfies the condition (H).

Or, we may take
\[
m_2(v) := \beta v^p e^{av}, \quad \text{for } \beta > 0, \quad p > 1, \quad a > 0,
\]
with only two equilibria \( v_- = 0 \) and \( v_+ > 0 \) such that \( v_+^{p-1} e^{av_+} = \frac{a}{\beta} e^{-\gamma_\tau} \) (the increasing monotonicity of \( v_+^{p-1} e^{av} \) determines a unique \( v_+ > 0 \)). Note also that, both \( \beta v^p \) and \( e^{av} \) are increasing and concave upward, so \( m_2(v) \) satisfies (H), namely, \( m_2(0) = 0, \quad m_2'(0) = 0, \quad m_2'(v_+) > \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau}, \) and \( m_2''(v) > 0 \) for \( v_- = 0 < v < v_+ \).

We may also take
\[
m_3(v) := \frac{\beta v^p}{1 - av^2}, \quad \text{for } \beta > 0, \quad p > 1, \quad a > 0,
\]
with only two constant equilibria \( v_- = 0 \) and \( v_+ > 0 \) such that \( \beta v_+^{p-1} = \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau}(1 - av_+) \). It can be easily seen that such a \( v_+ > 0 \) is uniquely determined by the increasing monotonicity of the function \( \beta v_+^{p-1} \) and the decreasing monotonicity of the function \( \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau}(1 - av) \). It can be also verified directly that \( m_3(v) \) satisfy the conditions given in (H), namely, \( m_3(0) = 0, \quad m_3'(0) = 0, \quad m_3'(v_+) > \alpha e^{-\gamma_\tau}, \) and \( m_3''(v) > 0 \) for \( 0 = v_- < v < v_+ \).

Thus, by the method of upper-lower solutions as showed in [3], we can similarly prove the existence of the traveling wavefront \( \phi(x + ct) \) for equation (47) connecting the state constants \( v_\pm \) given in the hypotheses (H), where the speed \( c > c_0 > 0 \), and \( c_0 \) is the critical wave speed and exactly same to what we obtained in (5).

Furthermore, we can check out that, under the same sufficient conditions in Theorem 1.1, the wave stability stated in Theorem 1.1 is also true for the equation (47).
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