## 556: Mathematical Statistics I

## Introduction To Measure And Integration

## 1. PROBABILITY AND MEASURE

In formal probability theory, a probability specification has three components:

- The Sample Space : a set $\Omega$ with elements $\omega$
- A Sigma-Algebra: a collection of subsets of $\Omega$, denoted $\mathcal{E}$, say, that obeys the following properties

I $\Omega \in \mathcal{E}$
II Closure under countable union:

$$
E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{E} \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{k} \in \mathcal{E}
$$

III Closure under complementation: $E \in \mathcal{E} \Longrightarrow E^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}$

- A Probability Measure : a real-valued set function $\mathbb{P}$ that obeys the general properties of a measure with one additional requirement. A measure, denoted $\mu$, is a real-valued set function such that for arbitrary sets $E$ and $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots$

I Non-negativity: $\mu(E) \geq 0$.
II Sub-additivity:

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(E_{k}\right)
$$

III Preservation under Limits: If $E_{1} \subset E_{2} \subset \ldots$ is an increasing sequence of sets, we use the notation

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} E_{n} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}
$$

Then

$$
\mu\left(\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} E_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mu\left(E_{n}\right)
$$

Similarly, if $E_{1} \supset E_{2} \supset \ldots$ is a decreasing sequence of sets, we use the notation

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} E_{n} \equiv \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i} .
$$

and again

$$
\mu\left(\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} E_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mu\left(E_{n}\right) .
$$

Examples of Measures: For sample space $\Omega$, and $A \subseteq \Omega$,

- Counting Measure : $\mu(A)=|A|$ if $A$ is a finite subset, $\mu(A)=\infty$ if A is an infinite subset.
- Lebesgue Measure : If $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}$, then, for $a<b$,

$$
\mu((a, b))=\mu((a, b])=\mu([a, b))=\mu([a, b])=b-a .
$$

Probability measures have the additional property that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega)=1$.
We use the terminology

- Measurable space to describe the pair $(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$
- Measure space to describe the triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$
- Probability space to describe the triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{P})$


## 2. MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

## DEFINITION Borel $\sigma$-algebra

Let $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of all finite open intervals of $\mathbb{R}$, that is $\mathcal{C} \equiv\{(a, b): a<b \in \mathbb{R}$. $\}$. Then $\mathcal{B} \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ are the Borel sets, which are of the form

$$
(a, b),(a, b],[a, b),[a, b] \quad-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq \infty .
$$

The Borel $\sigma$-algebra in $\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}$, is the smallest (or minimal) $\sigma$-algebra containing all open sets. DEFINITION Measurability
The real-valued function $f$ defined with domain $E \subset \Omega$, for measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$, is Borel measurable with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ if the inverse image of set $B$, defined as

$$
f^{-1}(B) \equiv\{\omega \in E: f(\omega) \in B\}
$$

is an element of $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{E}$, for all Borel sets $B$ of $\mathbb{R}$ (strictly, of the extended real number system $\mathbb{R}^{*}$, including $\pm \infty$ as elements). Necessary and sufficient for $f$ to be measurable are
(a) $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all open sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{*}$,
(b) $f^{-1}([-\infty, x)) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$,
(c) $f^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$,
(d) $f^{-1}([x, \infty]) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$,
(e) $f^{-1}((x, \infty]) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$.

Note I It is possible to extend this definition to a general topological space $\Omega$ equipped with a topology, that is, a collection, $\mathcal{T}$, of sets in $\Omega$ that (I) $\mathcal{T}$ contains $\emptyset$ and $\Omega$, (II) $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under finite intersection, and (III) if $\mathcal{A}$ is a sub-collection of $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, and $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$.
In this context, it is possible to define a general Borel $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$; the open sets are the elements $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots$ of the topology $\mathcal{T}$, and the Borel sets are the elements of the smallest $\sigma$ algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}, \sigma(\mathcal{T})$.

Note II Strictly, a function $f$ is a Borel function if, for $B \in \mathcal{B}, f^{-1}(B) \in \sigma(\mathcal{T})$; however, we will generally consider measure spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$ and say that $f$ is a Borel function if it is Borel measurable, as defined in the first paragraph above.

The measurability of functions is preserved under the following operations: if $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are measurable functions defined on $E \subset \Omega$ into $\mathbb{R}^{*}$, and $c$ is any real number, then all of the following composite and other related functions are measurable

$$
g_{1}+g_{2}, g_{1}+c, g_{1} g_{2}, c g_{1}, g_{1} / g_{2},\left|g_{1}\right|^{c}, g_{1} \vee g_{2}, g_{1} \wedge g_{2}, g_{1}^{+}, g_{1}^{-} .
$$

where

- $g_{1} \vee g_{2}(x)=\max \left\{g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)\right\}, g_{1} \wedge g_{2}(x)=\min \left\{g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)\right\}$
- $f^{+}(x)=f(x) \vee 0=\max \{f(x), 0\}, f^{-}(x)=-f(x) \vee 0=\max \{-f(x), 0\}$
so that

$$
f(x)=f^{+}(x)-f^{-}(x) \quad|f(x)|=f^{+}(x)+f^{-}(x) .
$$

Furthermore, if $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, then the functions defined by

$$
\bar{g}(x)=\sup _{n} g_{n}(x) \quad \underline{g}(x)=\inf _{n} g_{n}(x)
$$

are also measurable. Finally, the functions $\limsup _{n} g_{n}(x)$ and $\liminf _{n} g_{n}(x)$ are also measurable.

## 3. INTEGRATION

## DEFINITION

Simple Functions Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ be a measure space. A simple function, $\psi$, is a set function defined on elements $\omega$ of sample space $\Omega$ by

$$
\psi(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} I_{A_{i}}(\omega)
$$

for real constants $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ and measurable sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$, for some $k=1,2,3, \ldots$, where $I_{A}(\omega)$ is the indicator function, where

$$
I_{A}(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \omega \in A \\
0 & \omega \notin A
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Note that any such simple function, can be re-expressed as a simple function defined for a partition of $\Omega, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{l}$,

$$
\psi(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_{i} I_{E_{i}}(\omega)
$$

by suitable choice of the constants $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$.
Let $\psi$ be a non-negative simple function, $\psi: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\star}$,

$$
\psi(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} I_{A_{i}}(\omega)
$$

for real constants $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \geq 0$ and measurable sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in \mathcal{E}$, for some $k=1,2,3, \ldots$.
(I) The integral of $\psi$ with respect to $\mu$ is denoted and defined by

$$
\int_{\Omega} \psi d \mu=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

(II) Now suppose that $f$ is a non-negative (Borel) measurable function, and let $\mathcal{S}_{f}$ be the set of all non-negative simple functions defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{f} \equiv\{\psi: \psi(\omega) \leq f(\omega), \forall \omega \in \Omega\} .
$$

Then the integral of $f$ with respect to $\mu$ is defined by

$$
\int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\sup _{\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{f}} \int_{\Omega} \psi d \mu
$$

that is, the supremum (least upper bound) over all possible choices of $k, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that, for all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
\psi(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} I_{A_{i}}(\omega) \leq f(\omega)
$$

We refer to this as the Supremum Definition.
(III) Finally, suppose that $f$ is an arbitrary measurable function defined on $\Omega$. Then

$$
f^{+}(\omega)=\max \{f(\omega), 0\} \quad f^{-}(\omega)=\max \{-f(\omega), 0\} \quad \therefore \quad f(\omega)=f^{+}(\omega)-f^{-}(\omega),
$$

we define the integral of $f$ with respect to $\mu$ by

$$
\int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f^{+} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} f^{-} d \mu .
$$

where the two integrals on the right hand side are integrals of non-negative functions, and thus given by the supremum definition above.

## NOTES

(i) In (III) above, it might be that at least one of the two integrals

$$
\int_{\Omega} f^{+} d \mu \quad \int_{\Omega} f^{-} d \mu .
$$

is not finite. If precisely one is finite, we say that

$$
\int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\infty
$$

and that the integral of $f$ exists. If both are finite, we say that the integral of $f$ exists and is finite, and $f$ is integrable with respect to $\mu$. If neither is finite, then we say that the integral of $f$ does not exist, and $f$ is not-integrable.
(ii) For $E \subset \Omega$, if $I_{E}(\omega)$ is the indicator function for set $E$, then we can also define

$$
\int_{E} f d \mu=\int I_{E} f d \mu
$$

(iii) All of the following pieces of notation are equivalent and used in the literature:

$$
\int f d \mu \quad \int_{\Omega} f d \mu \quad \int f(\omega) d \mu \quad \int f(\omega) d \mu(\omega) \quad \int f(\omega) \mu(d \omega)
$$

(iv) Previous results show that measurable functions have representations as limits of sequences of simple functions. Other results show that measurability is preserved under composition, and also under limit behaviour. Consider a non-negative measurable function $f$. Then

$$
f=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}
$$

for a sequence of non-negative simple functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots$ with $0 \leq \psi_{n}(\omega) \leq f(\omega)$, for all $n$ and for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then it can be shown

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \int \psi_{n} d \mu=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} a_{n, i} I_{A_{n, i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} I_{A_{i}},
$$

say, where

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} k_{n}=k \quad \lim _{n \longrightarrow} a_{n, i}=a_{i} \quad \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} I_{A_{n, i}}=I_{A_{i}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \int \psi_{n} d \mu=\int \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \psi_{n} d \mu=\int f d \mu
$$

and the integral is preserved under the limit operation.

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \int \psi_{n} d \mu=\int \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \psi_{n} d \mu=\int f d \mu
$$

## 4. RESULTS FOR MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

## Theorem 1. MEASURABILITY UNDER COMPOSITION

Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be measurable functions on $E \subset \Omega$ with ranges in $\mathbb{R}^{*}$. Let $f$ be a Borel function from $\mathbb{R}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{*}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{*}$. Then the composite function $h$, defined on $E$ by

$$
h(\omega)=f\left(g_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right), g_{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right)
$$

is measurable.
Proof. The function $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ has domain $E$ and range $\mathbb{R}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{*}$, and is measurable as $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are measurable, and denote $h=f \circ g$ (the operator $\circ$ indicates composition, i.e.

$$
h\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(f \circ g)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad h\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=f\left(g\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(g_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right), g_{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

If $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a Borel set as $f$ is a Borel function. Thus the inverse image under $h$,

$$
h^{-1}(B)=g^{-1}\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)
$$

is measurable as $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, and hence $g$, are measurable.
Corollary 2. If $g$ is a measurable function from $E$ into $\mathbb{R}^{*}$, and $f$ is a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}^{*}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{*}$, then $h=f \circ g$ is measurable.

## Theorem 3. MEASURABILITY UNDER ELEMENTARY OPERATIONS

Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be measurable functions defined on $E \subset \Omega$ into $\mathbb{R}^{*}$, and let $c$ be any real number. Then all of the following composite and other related functions are measurable

$$
g_{1}+g_{2}, g_{1}+c, g_{1} g_{2}, c g_{1}, g_{1} / g_{2},\left|g_{1}\right|^{c}, g_{1} \vee g_{2}, g_{1} \wedge g_{2}, g_{1}^{+}, g_{1}^{-} .
$$

Proof. In each case, we examine the domain of the composite function to ensure measurability in the Borel $\sigma$-algebra. Consider $g_{1}+g_{2}$; this is not defined on the set $\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=-g_{2}(\omega)= \pm \infty\right\}$ (as $\infty \pm \infty$ is not defined), but this set is measurable, and so is the domain of $g_{1}+g_{2}$. Let $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}$ be a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{*}$. Then, by Theorem 1 and its corollary, $g_{1}+g_{2}$ is measurable. Taking $g_{2}=c$ proves that $g_{1}+c$ is measurable.

The function $g_{1} g_{2}$ is defined everywhere on $E$; it's measurability follows from Theorem 1, setting $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} x_{2}$. Setting $g_{2}=c$ proves that $c g_{1}$ is measurable.

The function $g_{1} / g_{2}$ is defined everywhere except on the union of sets

$$
\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)=0\right\} \cup\left\{\omega: \pm g_{1}(\omega)= \pm g_{2}(\omega)=\infty\right\}
$$

Similarly, if $c=0,\left|g_{1}\right|^{c}$ is defined except on $\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)= \pm \infty\right\}$; if $c<0$, it is defined except on $\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=0\right\}$. If $c>0$, it is defined everywhere. All of these sets are measurable Thus, we consider in turn functions

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} / x_{2} \quad f(x)=x^{c}
$$

and use Theorem 1.
The functions $g_{1} \vee g_{2}, g_{1} \wedge g_{2}$ are defined everywhere; so we consider functions

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \quad f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}
$$

and again use Theorem 1. Finally, setting $g_{2}=0$ yields the measurability of $g_{1}^{+}$and $g_{1}^{-}$.

Theorem 4. If $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are measurable functions on a common domain, then each of the sets

$$
\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)<g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \quad\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \quad\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)>g_{2}(\omega)\right\}
$$

is measurable.
Proof. Since $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are measurable, then $f=g_{1}-g_{2}$ is measurable, and thus the two sets

$$
\{\omega: f(\omega)>0\} \quad\{\omega: f(\omega)=0\}
$$

are measurable. Since

$$
\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)<g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega)>0\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega)=0\} \cup\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)= \pm \infty\right\}
$$

then $\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)<g_{2}(\omega)\right\}$ and $\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)\right\}$ are measurable, and so is

$$
\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega) \leq g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \equiv\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)<g_{2}(\omega)\right\} \cup\left\{\omega: g_{1}(\omega)=g_{2}(\omega)\right\}
$$

Theorem 5. MEASURABILITY UNDER LIMIT OPERATIONS
If $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, the functions $\sup _{n} g_{n}$ and $\inf _{n} g_{n}$ are measurable.
Proof. Let $g=\sup _{n} g_{n}$. Then for real $x$, consider

$$
g_{n}^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \equiv\left\{\omega: g_{n}(\omega) \leq x\right\}
$$

and

$$
g^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \equiv\{\omega: g(\omega) \leq x\} .
$$

If $g=\sup _{n} g_{n}$, then $g_{n} \leq g$ for all $n$, and

$$
g(\omega) \leq x \Longrightarrow g_{n}(\omega) \leq x \quad \text { so that } \quad \omega \in g^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \Longrightarrow \omega \in g_{n}^{-1}([-\infty, x])
$$

so that

$$
g^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \subseteq g_{n}^{-1}([-\infty, x])
$$

for all $n$. Thus, in fact

$$
g^{-1}([-\infty, x])=\bigcap_{n} g_{n}^{-1}([-\infty, x])
$$

and hence $g$ is measurable, as the intersection of measurable sets is measurable. The result for $\underset{n}{\inf }$ follows by noting that

$$
\inf _{n} g_{n}=-\sup _{n}\left(-g_{n}\right) .
$$

## Theorem 6. MEASURABILITY UNDER LIMINF/LIMSUP

If $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, the functions $\limsup g_{n}$ and $\lim _{n} \inf g_{n}$ are measurable.
Proof. This follows from Theorem 5, as

$$
\limsup _{n} g_{n}=\inf _{k}\left\{\sup _{n \geq k} g_{n}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \liminf _{n} g_{n}=\sup _{k}\left\{\inf _{n \geq k} g_{n}\right\}
$$

## 5. SIMPLE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES.

Theorem 7. A non-negative function on $\Omega$ is measurable if and only if it is the limit of an increasing sequence of non-negative simple functions.

Proof. Suppose that $g$ is a nonnegative measurable function. For each positive integer $n$, define the simple function $\psi_{n}$ on $\Omega$ by

$$
\psi_{n}(\omega)=\frac{m}{2^{n}} \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{m}{2^{n}} \leq g(\omega)<\frac{m+1}{2^{n}}
$$

for $m=0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$, and

$$
\psi_{n}(\omega)=n \quad \text { if } n \leq g(\omega)
$$

Then $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of non-negative simple functions. Since

$$
\left|\psi_{n}(\omega)-g(\omega)\right|<\frac{1}{2^{n}} \quad \text { if } n>g(\omega)
$$

and $\psi_{n}(\omega)=n$ if $g(\omega)=\infty$, then, for all $\omega$,

$$
\psi_{n}(\omega) \rightarrow g(\omega)
$$

and we have found the sequence required for the result.
Now suppose that $g$ is a limit of an increasing sequence of non-negative simple functions; it is measurable by Theorem 6.

Theorem 8. A function $g$ defined on $\Omega$ is measurable if and only if it is the limit of a sequence of simple functions.
Proof. Suppose that $g$ is measurable. Then $g^{+}$and $g^{-}$are measurable and non-negative, and thus can be represented as limits of simple functions $\left\{\psi_{n}^{+}\right\}$and $\left\{\psi_{n}^{-}\right\}$, by the Theorem 7. Consider the sequence of simple functions defined by $\left\{\psi_{n}^{+}-\psi_{n}^{-}\right\}$; this sequence converges to $g^{+}-g^{-}=g$, and we have the sequence of simple functions required for the result.

Now suppose that $g$ is a limit of a sequence of simple functions; it is measurable by Theorem 6.

## 6. KEY THEOREMS

The following key theorems describe the behaviour of the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. In particular, the theorems specify when it is legitimate to exchange the order of limit and integral operators. In the theorems, we have a general measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$, and measurable set $E \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 9. Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem
If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable functions, and if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f \quad \text { almost everywhere }
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Proof. Let the (real) sequence $\left\{i_{n}\right\}$ be defined by

$$
i_{n}=\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu
$$

Then, by a previous result

$$
i_{n}=\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu \leq \int_{E} f_{n+1} d \nu=i_{n+1} \quad \text { as } f_{n} \leq f_{n+1}
$$

so $\left\{i_{n}\right\}$ is increasing. Let $L$ denote the (possibly infinite) limit of $\left\{i_{n}\right\}$. Now, since $f_{n} \leq f$ almost everywhere for all $n$, we have (by the same previous result) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu \leq \int_{E} f d \nu \Longrightarrow L \leq \int_{E} f d \nu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider constant $c$ with $0<c<1$, and let $\psi$ be any simple function satisfying $0 \leq \psi \leq f$. Let

$$
E_{n} \equiv\left\{\omega: \omega \in E \text { and } c \psi(\omega) \leq f_{n}(\omega)\right\}
$$

and as $E_{n} \subseteq E, E_{n}$ is measurable, and because $f_{n} \leq f_{n+1}, E_{n} \subseteq E_{n+1}$ for all $n$, so $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ is increasing. Let the limit of the $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ sequence be denoted

$$
F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{n}
$$

The set $E \cap F^{\prime}$ has measure zero, because $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f$ a.e. and $0 \leq c \psi<\psi \leq f$. Hence, as $E_{n} \subseteq E$

$$
\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu \geq \int_{E_{n}} f_{n} d \nu \geq \int_{E_{n}} c \psi d \nu=c \int_{E_{n}} \psi d \nu
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
L=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu \geq c \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_{n}} \psi d \nu=c \int_{F} \psi d \nu=c \int_{E} \psi d \nu
$$

the final step following as $E \cap F^{\prime}$ has measure zero. Thus, as this holds for all $c$ such that $0<c<1$, we must have that

$$
L \geq \int_{E} \psi d \nu
$$

whenever $0 \leq \psi \leq f$. Hence $L$ is an upper bound the integral of such a simple function on $E$. But, by the supremum definition from lectures, the integral of $f$ with respect to $\nu$ on $E$ is the least upper bound on the integral of such simple functions on $E$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \geq \int_{E} f d \nu \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, combining (1) and (2), we have that

$$
L=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Theorem 10. Fatou's Lemma (or Lebesgue-Fatou Theorem)
If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions, and if

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f \quad \text { almost everywhere }
$$

then

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu\right\}
$$

Proof. The function $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$ is measurable. For $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ let

$$
h_{k}=\inf \left\{f_{n}: n \geq k\right\} .
$$

Then, by definition of infimum, $h_{k} \leq f_{k}$ for all $k$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} h_{k} d \nu \leq \int_{E} f_{k} d \nu \text { for all } k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} h_{k} d \nu\right\} \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{k} d \nu\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\left\{h_{k}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of non-negative functions, we have in the limit

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f
$$

almost everywhere. Now, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} h_{k} d \nu\right\}=\int_{E}\left\{\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k}\right\} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Hence, by (3),

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{k} d \nu\right\}
$$

Some corollaries follow immediately from this important theorem
1 If $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}$ are disjoint, with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i} \equiv E$, and $f$ is non-negative, then

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} f d \nu\right\}
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{\psi_{k}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of simple functions that converge to $f$, where

$$
\psi_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{k}} a_{k j} I_{A_{k j}}
$$

say. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} \psi_{k} d \nu & =\sum_{j=1}^{m_{k}} a_{k j} \nu\left(E \cap A_{k j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{k j} \nu\left(E_{i} \cap A_{k j}\right) \quad \text { as the } E_{i} \text { are disjoint } \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m_{k}} a_{k j} \nu\left(E_{i} \cap A_{k j}\right)\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} \psi_{k} d \nu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by hence the monotone convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} f d \nu & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} \psi_{k} d \nu\right\}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} \psi_{k} d \nu\right\}\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} \psi_{k} d \nu\right\}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}}\left\{\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{k}\right\} d \nu\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} f d \nu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2 Now consider a countable (rather than merely finite) collection $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i} \equiv E$. Then if $f$ is non-negative

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} f d \nu\right\}
$$

Proof: For each positive integer $n$, let $A_{n} \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}$, and define $f_{n}=I_{A_{n}} f$. Then $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of non-negative functions, that converges to $f$ (on $E$ ). Hence

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu\right\}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{A_{n}} f d \nu\right\}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} f d \nu\right\}\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{i}} f d \nu\right\}
$$

3 Let $f$ be a non-negative function on $\Omega$. Then the function defined on $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$
\varphi(E)=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

is a measure. The only part of the definition of a measure that needs verifying is the countable additivity, by the last result, we have directly that

$$
\varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(E_{i}\right)
$$

when the $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ are disjoint.

For the results above (and the results proved in lectures), we have considered only the integrals of non-negative measurable functions. We now extend them for general measurable functions, using the decomposition into positive and negative part functions $f=f^{+}-f^{-}$where both $f^{+}$and $f^{-}$are measurable and non-negative, and we have

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\int_{E} f^{+} d \nu-\int_{E} f^{-} d \nu .
$$

Recall that we say that $f$ is integrable if both $f^{+}$and $f^{-}$are integrable, and now denote the set of all functions integrable on $E$ with respect to $\nu$ by $\mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$. From previous arguments we have that

$$
f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu) \Leftrightarrow f^{+} \text {and } f^{-} \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)
$$

Some results can be proved for the functions in this class.

## LEMMA

If $\nu(E)=0$, then

$$
f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{E} f d \nu=0
$$

Proof. We have by definition

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\int_{E} f^{+} d \nu-\int_{E} f^{-} d \nu=0-0=0
$$

## LEMMA

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E_{2}}(\nu)$ and $E_{1} \subset E_{2}$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E_{1}}(\nu)$.
Proof. By a result from lectures

$$
\int_{E_{1}} f^{+} d \nu \leq \int_{E_{2}} f^{+} d \nu \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{E_{1}} f^{-} d \nu \leq \int_{E_{2}} f^{-} d \nu
$$

LEMMA
If $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of disjoint sets with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} \equiv E$, and $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, then

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}} f d \nu\right\}
$$

Proof. The previous Lemma ensures that $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E_{n}}(\nu)$ as $E_{n} \subset E$ for all $n$. By using the result proved earlier, that if $f$ is non-negative then

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}} f d \nu\right\}
$$

we use the positive and negative part decompositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} f d \nu & =\int_{E} f^{+} d \nu-\int_{E} f^{-} d \nu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}} f^{+} d \nu\right\}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}} f^{+} d \nu\right\} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\int_{E_{n}} f^{+} d \nu-\int_{E_{n}} f^{-} d \nu\right]=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left(f^{+}-f^{-}\right) d \nu\right\}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{E_{n}} f d \nu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 11. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(\nu)$, then the function $\varphi$ defined on $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$
\varphi(E)=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

is additive.
Proof. As for previous result.

## LEMMA

If $f=g$ a.e. on $E$, and if $g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\int_{E} g d \nu
$$

Proof. Define $A \equiv\{\omega: \omega \in E, f(\omega)=g(\omega)\}$. Then $E \cap A^{\prime}$ has measure zero, and

$$
\int_{E} f^{+} d \nu=\int_{A} f^{+} d \nu=\int_{A} g^{+} d \nu=\int_{E} g^{+} d \nu
$$

and

$$
\int_{E} f^{-} d \nu=\int_{A} f^{-} d \nu=\int_{A} g^{-} d \nu=\int_{E} g^{-} d \nu
$$

Adding these equations, we have immediately that $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu=\int_{E} g d \nu
$$

## LEMMA

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and $c$ is any real number, then $c f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and

$$
\int_{E}(c f) d \nu=c \int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Proof. Consider only the non-trivial case $c \neq 0$. Suppose first $c>0$, and let $g$ be a non-negative function. For any simple function $\psi$, say

$$
\psi=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} I_{A_{i}}
$$

we have

$$
\psi \leq g \Leftrightarrow c \psi \leq c g
$$

and

$$
\int_{E}(c \psi) d \nu=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(c a_{i}\right) \nu\left(E \cap A_{i}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \nu\left(E \cap A_{i}\right)=c \int_{E} \psi d \nu
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{E}(c f) d \nu=c \int_{E} f d \nu
$$

by the supremum definition, and the result follows for $c>0$ using this result, and the decomposition $c f=c f^{+}-c f^{-}$. For $c<0$, write

$$
c f=(-c) f^{-}-(-c) f^{+}
$$

so that the result follows, as $-c>0$.

## LEMMA

If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, then $f+g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and

$$
\int_{E}(f+g) d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu+\int_{E} g d \nu
$$

Proof. We prove the result two several stages. First suppose that $f$ and $g$ are non-negative, and let $\left\{\psi_{n}^{(f)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{n}^{(g)}\right\}$ be increasing sequences of simple functions with limits $f$ and $g$ respectively. Then $\left\{\psi_{n}^{(f)}+\psi_{n}^{(g)}\right\}$ has limit $f+g$, and as

$$
\int_{E}\left(\psi_{n}^{(f)}+\psi_{n}^{(g)}\right) d \nu=\int_{E} \psi_{n}^{(f)} d \nu+\int_{E} \psi_{n}^{(f)} d \nu
$$

(see this result by using the measure definition of the integral of a simple function), we have, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\int_{E}(f+g) d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu+\int_{E} g d \nu
$$

Now consider the general case; define the following subsets of $E$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega) \geq 0, g(\omega) \geq 0\} \\
& E_{2} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega)<0, g(\omega) \geq 0\} \\
& E_{3} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega) \geq 0, g(\omega)<0,(f+g)(\omega) \geq 0\} \\
& E_{4} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega)<0, g(\omega) \geq 0,(f+g)(\omega) \geq 0\} \\
& E_{5} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega) \geq 0, g(\omega)<0,(f+g)(\omega)<0\} \\
& E_{6} \equiv\{\omega: f(\omega)<0, g(\omega) \geq 0,(f+g)(\omega)<0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots, 6$ are disjoint, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{6} E_{n} \equiv E$. By the Lemma ??, proving that

$$
\int_{E_{n}}(f+g) d \nu=\int_{E_{n}} f d \nu+\int_{E_{n}} g d \nu
$$

for each $n$ is sufficient to prove the result. The proofs for each separate case are very similar; so consider for example set $E_{3}$. Then on $E$, the functions $f,-g$ and $f+g$ are non-negative, and threfore by part one of this proof,

$$
\int_{E_{3}} f d \nu=\int_{E_{3}}(-g) d \nu+\int_{E_{3}}(f+g) d \nu=-\int_{E_{3}} g d \nu+\int_{E_{3}}(f+g) d \nu
$$

and the result follows.
LEMMA
The function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ if and only if $|f| \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$. In this instance,

$$
\left|\int_{E} f d \nu\right| \leq \int_{E}|f| d \nu
$$

Proof. We have identified previously that $f$ is integrable if the positive and negative part functions are integrable, and this is the case if and only if the function

$$
|f|=f^{+}+f^{-}
$$

is integrable. If this is the case, then

$$
\left|\int_{E} f d \nu\right|=\left|\int_{E} f^{+}-f^{-} d \nu\right| \leq\left|\int_{E} f^{+} d \nu\right|+\left|\int_{E} f^{-} d \nu\right|=\int_{E}|f| d \nu
$$

Corollary 12. If $g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, and $|f| \leq g$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$

## LEMMA

If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, and $f \leq g$ a.e. on $E$, then

$$
\int_{E} f d \nu \leq \int_{E} g d \nu
$$

that is, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral operator preserves ordering of functions.
Proof. We have $g-f \geq 0$, so the result follows from Integral Result (e) from lectures, and Lemma 6..
Corollary 13. If $v(E)<\infty$, and $m \leq f \leq M$ on $E$, for real values $m$ and $M$, then by considering simple functions $\psi_{m}=m I_{E}$ and $\psi_{M}=M I_{E}$, for which $\psi_{m} \leq f \leq \psi_{M}$, we have

$$
m v(E) \leq \int_{E} f d \nu \leq M v(E)
$$

LEMMA
Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, and that for $A \subset E$,

$$
\int_{A} f d \nu \leq \int_{A} g d \nu
$$

Then $f \leq g$ a.e. on $E$.
Proof. Let $F_{1} \equiv\{\omega: \omega \in E, f(\omega) \geq g(\omega)\}$, so that $f-g \geq 0$ on $F_{1}$. Thus, by the assumption of the Lemma,

$$
\int_{F}(f-g) d \nu=0
$$

and hence by $f-g=0$ or $f=g$ a.e. on $F_{1}$, by Integral Result (f) from lectures.
Corollary 14. If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$ and if

$$
\int_{A} f d \nu=\int_{A} g d \nu
$$

for $A \subset E$, then $f=g$ a.e. on $E$.

## Theorem 15. Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem

If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, and if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f \quad \text { almost everywhere }
$$

and $\left|f_{n}\right| \leq g$ for all $n$, for some $g \in \mathcal{L}_{E}(\nu)$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Proof. $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ and $f$ are measurable functions. By using Fatou's Lemma (Theorem 10) on non-negative sequence $\left\{g+f_{n}\right\}$

$$
\int_{E}(g+f) d \nu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E}\left(g+f_{n}\right) d \nu\right\}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} f d \nu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by applying the result to $\left\{g-f_{n}\right\}$, we have that

$$
\int_{E}(g-f) d \nu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E}\left(g-f_{n}\right) d \nu\right\} \quad \therefore \quad-\int_{E} f d \nu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{-\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu\right\}
$$

Multiplying through by -1 , we have by properties of lim sup and lim inf that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} f d \nu \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{E} f_{n} d \nu\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence combining (4) and (5), we have by definition

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

Corollary 16. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence (bounded above and below by a pair of real constants) of measurable functions such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f \quad \text { almost everywhere }
$$

and if $v(E)<\infty$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} f_{n} d \nu=\int_{E} f d \nu
$$

## LEBESGUE-STIELTJES INTEGRALS ON $\mathbb{R}$.

Rather than considering a general sample space $\Omega$, we now consider the specific case when $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}$, with corresponding sigma-algebra which is the Borel sigma-algebra. In this case, the measure $v$ will often be expressed in terms of (or be generated by) an increasing real function $F$ on $E$. Let $E$ be a set in the Borel sigma-algebra. Then for measurable function $g$, we can express the integral as

$$
\int_{E} g d \nu=\int_{E} g d F \quad \text { or } \quad \int_{E} g d \nu=\int_{E} g(x) d F(x)
$$

with special cases

$$
\int_{a}^{b} g d F=\int_{(a, b]} g d F \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g d F=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d F
$$

## 7. MEASURE, INTEGRATION AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS

In the measure-theoretic framework, random variables are merely measurable functions with respect to the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{P})$, that is, for random variable $X=X(\omega), \omega \in \Omega$, with domain $E$, the inverse image of Borel set $B, X^{-1}(B) \equiv\{\omega \in E: X(\omega) \in B\}$, is an element of $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{E}$. The expectation of $X$ can be written in any of the following ways

$$
\int_{E} X(\omega) \mathbb{P} \quad \int_{E} X(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d \omega) \quad \int_{E} X(\omega) d \mathbb{P}(\omega)
$$

### 7.1 Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integration

If $\mathbb{P}$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{B}$, then there is a unique corresponding real function $F$ defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x)=\mathbb{P}((-\infty, x])$, termed the distribution function. Conversely, if $F$ is a distribution function, then $F$ defines a measure $\mu_{F}$ on the Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}$ : we define $\mu_{F}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ via sets $\left.(a, b]\right)$ by

$$
\mu_{F}((a, b])=F(b)-F(a)
$$

and then extend to $\mathcal{B}$ by using union operations. The probability space $\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_{F}\right)$ is then completed by considering and including null sets (under $\mu_{F}$ ). Let $\mathcal{L}_{F}$ denote the smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing $\mathcal{B}$ and all $\mu_{F}$-null sets. Thus the triple $\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}_{F}, \mu_{F}\right)$ is the completed probability space.

If $g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function on the probability space $\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}_{F}, \mu_{F}\right)$, then the LebesgueStieltjes integral of $g$ is

$$
\int g d \mu_{F}=\int g(x) d F=\int g(x) d F(x) .
$$

### 7.2 The Radon-Nikodym Theorem and Change of Measure

- $\sigma$-finite Measure : a measure $\mu$ defined on a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ of subsets of a set $C$ is called finite if $\mu(C)$ is a finite real number. The measure $\mu$ is called $\sigma$-finite if $C$ is the countable union of measurable sets of finite measure. A set in a measure space has $\sigma$-finite measure if it is a union of sets with finite measure.
- Absolute Continuity : If $\mu$ and $\nu$ are two measures on $(C, \mathcal{C})$, then $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$, denoted $\nu \ll \mu$, if and only if for all $E \in \mathcal{C}, \mu(E)=0 \Longrightarrow \nu(E)=0$.
- The Radon-Nikodym Theorem : For a measure space $(C, \mathcal{C})$, if measure $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$, then there exists a measurable function $f$ defined on $C$ and taking values in $[0, \infty)$, such that

$$
\nu(A)=\int_{A} f d \mu
$$

for any measurable set A . The function $f$ is unique almost everywhere wrt $\mu$; it is termed the Radon-Nikodym Derivative of density of $\nu$ with respect to $\mu$, and is often expressed as

$$
f=\frac{d \nu}{d \mu}
$$

- Change of Measure : If $\mu_{F}$ is absolutely continuous wrt $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$, then we can rewrite

$$
\int g d \mu_{F}=\int g \frac{d \mu_{F}}{d \mu} d \mu=\int g f d \mu
$$

In practice, $\mu$ is either counting or Lebesgue measure.

### 7.3 Expectations

We can construct expectations for random variables using an identical method of construction as for integral with respect to measure; for a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{P})$

1 A random variable $X: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called simple if it only takes finitely many distinct values; simple random variables can be written

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{A_{i}}
$$

for some partition $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of $\Omega$. The expectation of $X$ is

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

2 Any non-negative random variable $X: \Omega \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ is the limit of some increasing sequence of simple variables, $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$. That is, $X_{n}(\omega) \uparrow X(\omega)$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$. The expectation of $X$ is

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right]
$$

and the limit may be infinite.
3 Any random variable $X: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $X=X^{+}-X^{-}$, where

$$
X^{+}(\omega)=\max \{X(\omega), 0\} \quad X^{-}(\omega)=\max \{-X(\omega), 0\}=-\min \{X(\omega), 0\}
$$

The expectation of $X$ is

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{+}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[X^{-}\right]
$$

if at least one of the two expectations on the right hand side is finite.
4 Thus the expectation of any random variable, $\mathbb{E}[X]$ is well-defined for every variable $X$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}[|X|]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{+}+X^{-}\right]<\infty
$$

Expectation defined in this fashion obeys the following rules: if $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of rvs with $X_{n}(\omega) \longrightarrow$ $X(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then
(i) Monotone Convergence: If $X_{n}(\omega) \geq 0$ and $X_{n}(\omega) \leq X_{n+1}(\omega)$ for all $n$ and $\omega$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]
$$

(ii) Dominated Convergence: If $\left|X_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq Y(\omega)$ for all $n$ and $\omega$, and $\mathbb{E}[|Y|]<\infty$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]
$$

(iii) Bounded Convergence: If $\left|X_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq c$, for some $c$, and for all $n$ and $\omega$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]
$$

These results hold even if $X_{n}(\omega) \longrightarrow X(\omega)$ for all $\omega$ except possibly $\omega$ in sets of probability zero (termed null events), that is, if $X_{n}(\omega) \longrightarrow X(\omega)$ almost everywhere.

One further result is of use in expectation calculations:
Fatou's Lemma: If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of rvs with $X_{n}(\omega) \geq Y(\omega)$ almost everywhere for all $n$ and for some $Y$ with $\mathbb{E}[Y]<\infty$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} X_{n}\right] \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right]
$$

