

## MATH 204: PRINCIPLES OF STATISTICS 2 UNDERSTANDING THE ANOVA F-STATISTIC

Suppose that we have  $k = 3$  treatment groups in a Completely Randomized Design, with sample sizes  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 6$ . Suppose first that the treatment means are all equal to zero, that is

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$$

and that the treatment group variance parameter  $\sigma^2$  is equal to 1. A typical data set is displayed below:

							$\bar{x}_i$	$s_i^2$
TMT 1	-0.88	0.24	-0.46	0.78	-0.47	-0.38	-0.195	0.358
TMT 2	-0.75	0.11	0.64	1.98	-1.03	1.84	0.465	1.611
TMT 3	1.38	1.20	0.42	0.05	-1.29	-0.04	0.287	0.939

yielding  $\bar{x} = 0.186$ , and

$$s_P^2 = \frac{1}{n - k} \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) s_i^2 = 0.969.$$

For these data, we have using the definitions from lectures

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2 = 1.399 \qquad SSE = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 = 14.539$$

and

$$SS = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x})^2 = 15.938$$

so that the equation  $SS = SST + SSE$  holds. For the  $F$ -statistic, we have

$$F = \frac{MST}{MSE} = \frac{SST/(k - 1)}{SSE/(n - k)} = \frac{1.399/2}{14.539/15} = 0.722$$

To complete the test, we compare this with the  $1 - \alpha$  probability point of the Fisher-F distribution with  $(k - 1, n - k) = (2, 15)$  degrees of freedom. With  $\alpha = 0.05$ , from the tables on page 901 in McClave and Sincich, we see that

$$F_\alpha(2, 15) = 3.68$$

and we **do not reject** the ANOVA F-test null hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3.$$

This is the **correct** conclusion, as in fact all the true treatment means are zero. Thus a **small** value of the test statistic  $F$  supports  $H_0$ .

Now suppose that, in fact,

$$\mu_1 = 0 \quad \mu_2 = 10 \quad \mu_3 = 20.$$

The equivalent data set to the one above but with the treatment means changed in this way takes the form

							$\bar{x}_i$	$s_i^2$
TMT 1	-0.88	0.24	-0.46	0.78	-0.47	-0.38	-0.195	0.358
TMT 2	9.25	10.11	10.64	11.98	8.97	11.84	10.465	1.611
TMT 3	21.38	21.20	20.42	20.05	18.71	19.96	20.287	0.939

yielding  $\bar{x} = 10.186$ , and

$$s_P^2 = \frac{1}{n - k} \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) s_i^2 = 0.969.$$

Note that the sample means have changed accordingly, but that the sample variances **have not changed at all**. On further calculation, we have

$$SST = 1259.199 \quad SSE = 14.539 \quad SS = 1273.738$$

so that

$$MST = \frac{1259.199}{2} = 629.600 \quad MSE = \frac{14.539}{15} = 0.969$$

so that

$$F = \frac{629.600}{0.969} = 649.570.$$

We again compare this with  $F_\alpha(2, 15) = 3.68$  (the critical value,  $C_R$ ), and notice that the  $F$  statistic is **much larger** than this critical value. The test statistic thus lies within the rejection region, and hence we **reject  $H_0$** .

This example illustrates that SST measures the variability **between** means across the treatment groups, whereas SSE measures the variability **within** treatment groups, allowing for the possibility that the treatment means may be different. The quantity SS measures the total amount of variability; in the first example  $SS = SST + SSE$  gives

$$15.938 = 1.399 + 14.539$$

so most of the variability is contributed by SSE, whereas in the second example, we have

$$1273.738 = 1259.199 + 14.539$$

and most of the variability is contributed by SST.