# 556: Mathematical Statistics I <br> Stochastic Convergence 

The following definitions relate to random variables defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. The statements are given in terms of $P$ (rather than $P_{X}$ etc) for simplicity.

- Convergence in Probability: The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in probability to constant $c$, denoted

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} c
$$

if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-c\right|<\epsilon\right]=1 \quad \text { or } \quad \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-c\right| \geq \epsilon\right]=0
$$

that is, if the limiting distribution of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is degenerate at $c$.
Weak Law Of Large Numbers: Suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation $\mu$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. Let $\bar{X}_{n}$ be the sample mean. Then, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|<\epsilon\right]=1,
$$

that is, $\bar{X}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \mu$, and thus the mean of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in probability to $\mu$. We have seen that $\bar{X}_{n}$ has expectation $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2} / n$, and hence by the Chebychev Inequality,

$$
P\left[\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right] \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty
$$

for all $\epsilon>0$. Hence $\bar{X}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \mu$ as

$$
P\left[\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|<\epsilon\right] \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty .
$$

The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in probability to random variable $X$

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X
$$

if, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\epsilon\right]=1 \quad \text { or equivalently } \quad \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geq \epsilon\right]=0
$$

To understand this definition, let $\epsilon>0$, and consider

$$
A_{n}(\epsilon) \equiv\left\{\omega:\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}
$$

Then we have $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$ if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{n}(\epsilon)\right)=0
$$

that is, if there exists an $n$ such that for all $m \geq n, P\left(A_{m}(\epsilon)\right)<\epsilon$.

- Convergence Almost Surely: The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges almost surely to random variable $X$, denoted $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if for every $\epsilon>0$

$$
P\left[\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\epsilon\right]=1,
$$

that is, if $A \equiv\left\{\omega: X_{n}(\omega) \longrightarrow X(\omega)\right\}$, then $P(A)=1$. Equivalently, $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if for every $\epsilon>0$

$$
P\left[\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geq \epsilon\right]=0 .
$$

This can also be written

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega)
$$

for every $\omega \in \Omega$, except possibly those lying in a set of probability zero under $P$.

## Alternative characterizations:

(I) Let $\epsilon>0$, and the sets $A_{m}(\epsilon)$ and $B_{n}(\epsilon)$ be defined for $n, m \geq 1$ by

$$
A_{m}(\epsilon) \equiv\left\{\omega:\left|X_{m}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \quad B_{n}(\epsilon) \equiv \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_{m}(\epsilon)
$$

Then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if and only if $P\left(B_{n}(\epsilon)\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

- $A_{m}(\epsilon)$ is the set of $\omega$ for which $X_{m}(\omega)$ is at least $\epsilon$ away from $X$.
- $B_{n}(\epsilon)$ is the set of $\omega$ for which $X_{m}(\omega)$ at least $\epsilon$ away from $X$, for at least one $m \geq n$.
- The event $B_{n}(\epsilon)$ occurs if there exists an $m \geq n$ such that $\left|X_{m}-X\right| \geq \epsilon$.
- $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if and only if and only if $P\left(B_{m}(\epsilon)\right) \longrightarrow 0$.
(II) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if and only if

$$
P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geq \epsilon \text { infinitely often }\right]=0
$$

that is, $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if and only if there are only finitely many $X_{n}$ for which $\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \geq \epsilon$ if $\omega$ lies in a set of probability greater than zero.
Note that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(B_{n}(\epsilon)\right)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_{m}(\epsilon)\right)=0
$$

in contrast with the definition of convergence in probability, where $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$ if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{n}(\epsilon)\right)=0 .
$$

Clearly

$$
A_{n}(\epsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_{m}(\epsilon)
$$

so therefore

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_{m}(\epsilon)\right)=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{n}(\epsilon)\right)=0
$$

and hence almost sure convergence implies convergence in probability.

## Alternative terminology:

- $X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ almost everywhere, $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.e. }} X$
- $X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ with probability 1, $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { w.p. } 1} X$

Interpretation: A random variable is a real-valued function from (a sigma-algebra defined on) sample space $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ corresponds to a sequence of functions defined on elements of $\Omega$. Almost sure convergence requires that the sequence of real numbers $X_{n}(\omega)$ converges to $X(\omega)$ (as a real sequence) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, except perhaps when $\omega$ is in a set having probability zero under the probability distribution of $X$.

Strong Law Of Large Numbers: Suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation $\mu$ and (finite) variance $\sigma^{2}$. Let $\bar{X}_{n}$ be the sample mean. Then for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
P\left[\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|<\epsilon\right]=1
$$

that is, $\bar{X}_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \mu$, and thus the mean of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges almost surely to $\mu$.

- Convergence in Distribution: Consider a sequence of random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ and a corresponding sequence of cdfs, $F_{X_{1}}, F_{X_{2}}, \ldots$ so that for $n=1,2, . . F_{X_{n}}(x)=P\left[X_{n} \leq x\right]$. Suppose that there exists a cdf, $F_{X}$, such that for all $x$ at which $F_{X}$ is continuous,

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x)=F_{X}(x)
$$

Then $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in distribution to random variable $X$ with cdf $F_{X}$, denoted

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X
$$

and $F_{X}$ is the limiting distribution. Convergence of a sequence of mgfs or cfs also indicates convergence in distribution, that is, if for all $t$ at which $M_{X}(t)$ is defined, if as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
M_{X_{i}}(t) \longrightarrow M_{X}(t) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X
$$

The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in distribution to constant $c$ if the limiting distribution of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is degenerate at $c$, that is,

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X
$$

and $P[X=c]=1$, so that

$$
F_{X}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<c \\ 1 & x \geq c\end{cases}
$$

Interpretation: This special case of convergence in distribution occurs when the limiting distribution is discrete, with the probability mass function only being non-zero at a single value, that is, if the limiting random variable is $X$, then $P[X=c]=1$ and zero otherwise. We say that the sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in distribution to $c$ if and only if, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-c\right|<\epsilon\right]=1
$$

This definition indicates that convergence in distribution to a constant $c$ occurs if and only if the probability becomes increasingly concentrated around $c$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.
To show that we should ignore points of discontinuity of $F_{X}$ in the definition of convergence in distribution, consider the following example: let

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<\epsilon \\ 1 & x \geq \epsilon\end{cases}
$$

be the cdf of a degenerate distribution with probability mass 1 at $x=\epsilon$. Now consider a sequence $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ of real values converging to $\epsilon$ from below. Then, as $\epsilon_{n}<\epsilon$, we have

$$
F_{\epsilon_{n}}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<\epsilon_{n} \\ 1 & x \geq \epsilon_{n}\end{cases}
$$

which converges to $F_{\epsilon}(x)$ at all real values of $x$. However, if instead $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\epsilon$ from above, then $F_{\epsilon_{n}}(\epsilon)=0$ for each finite $n$, as $\epsilon_{n}>\epsilon$, so $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{\epsilon_{n}}(\epsilon)=0$. Hence, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
F_{\epsilon_{n}}(\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0 \neq 1=F_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)
$$

Thus the limiting function in this case is

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x \leq \epsilon \\ 1 & x>\epsilon\end{cases}
$$

which is not a cdf as it is not right-continuous. However, if $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $X$ are random variables with distributions $\left\{F_{\epsilon_{n}}\right\}$ and $F_{\epsilon}$, then $P\left[X_{n}=\epsilon_{n}\right]=1$ converges to $P[X=\epsilon]=1$, however we take the limit, so $F_{\epsilon}$ does describe the limiting distribution of the sequence $\left\{F_{\epsilon_{n}}\right\}$. Thus, because of right-continuity, we ignore points of discontinuity in the limiting function.

## - Convergence In $r$ th Mean

The sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ converges in rth mean to random variable $X$, denoted $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X$ if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right]=0 .
$$

For example, if

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n}-X\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

then we write $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r=2} X$. In this case, we say that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ converges to $X$ in mean-square or in quadratic mean. For $r_{1}>r_{2} \geq 1$,

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{r=r_{1}} X \quad \Longrightarrow \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{r=r_{2}} X
$$

as, by Lyapunov's inequality

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r_{2}}\right]^{1 / r_{2}} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r_{1}}\right]^{1 / r_{1}} \quad \therefore \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r_{2}}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r_{1}}\right]^{r_{2} / r_{1}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, as $r_{2}<r_{1}$. Thus

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r_{2}}\right] \longrightarrow 0
$$

and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r=r_{2}} X$. The converse does not hold in general.

- Relating The Modes Of Convergence For sequence of random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \\
\quad \text { or } \\
X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X
\end{array}\right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X \quad \Longrightarrow \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X
$$

so almost sure convergence and convergence in $r$ th mean for some $r$ both imply convergence in probability, which in turn implies convergence in distribution to random variable $X$.
No other relationships hold in general

- Slutsky's Theorem: Suppose that

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{n} \xrightarrow{p} c
$$

Then
(i) $X_{n}+Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X+c$
(ii) $X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} c X$
(iii) $X_{n} / Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X / c$ provided $c \neq 0$.

- The Central Limit Theorem: Suppose $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables with mgf $M_{X}$, with expectation $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, both finite. Let the random variable $Z_{n}$ be defined by

$$
Z_{n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-n \mu}{\sqrt{n \sigma^{2}}}=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right)}{\sigma}
$$

and denote by $M_{Z_{n}}$ the mgf of $Z_{n}$. Then, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
M_{Z_{n}}(t) \longrightarrow \exp \left\{t^{2} / 2\right\}
$$

irrespective of the form of $M_{X}$. Thus, as $n \longrightarrow \infty, Z_{n} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \operatorname{Normal}(0,1)$.
Proof. First, let $Y_{i}=\left(X_{i}-\mu\right) / \sigma$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are i.i.d. with mgf $M_{Y}$ say, and $\mathbb{E}_{f_{Y}}\left[Y_{i}\right]=0, \operatorname{Var}_{Y}\left[Y_{i}\right]=1$ for each $i$. Using a Taylor series expansion, we have that for $t$ in a neighbourhood of zero,

$$
M_{Y}(t)=1+t \mathbb{E}_{Y}[Y]+\frac{t^{2}}{2!} \mathbb{E}_{Y}\left[Y^{2}\right]+\frac{t^{3}}{3!} \mathbb{E}_{Y}\left[Y^{3}\right]+\ldots=1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

using the $\mathrm{O}\left(t^{3}\right)$ notation to capture all terms involving $t^{3}$ and higher powers. Re-writing $Z_{n}$ as

$$
Z_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}
$$

as $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are independent, we have by a standard mgf result that

$$
M_{Z_{n}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{M_{Y}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right\}=\left\{1+\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}+\mathrm{O}\left(n^{-3 / 2}\right)\right\}^{n}=\left\{1+\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}+\mathrm{o}\left(n^{-1}\right)\right\}^{n}
$$

so that, by the definition of the exponential function, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

$$
M_{Z_{n}}(t) \longrightarrow \exp \left\{t^{2} / 2\right\} \quad \therefore \quad Z_{n} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \operatorname{Normal}(0,1)
$$

where no further assumptions on $M_{X}$ are required.
Alternative statement: The theorem can also be stated in terms of

$$
Z_{n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-n \mu}{\sqrt{n}}=\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right)
$$

so that

$$
Z_{n} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) .
$$

and $\sigma^{2}$ is termed the asymptotic variance of $Z_{n}$.

## Notes:

(i) The theorem requires the existence of the mgf $M_{X}$.
(ii) The theorem holds for the i.i.d. case, but there are similar theorems for non identically distributed, and dependent random variables.
(iii) The theorem allows the construction of asymptotic normal approximations. For example, for large but finite $n$, by using the properties of the Normal distribution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_{n} & \sim \mathcal{A} \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right) \\
S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} & \sim \mathcal{A} \mathcal{N}\left(n \mu, n \sigma^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ denotes an asymptotic normal distribution. The notation

$$
\bar{X}_{n} \dot{\sim} \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

is sometimes used.
(iv) The multivariate version of this theorem can be stated as follows: Suppose $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{n}$ are i.i.d. $d$-dimensional random variables with $\mathrm{mgf} M_{\mathbf{X}}$, with

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i}\right]=\boldsymbol{\mu} \quad \operatorname{Var}_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i}\right]=\Sigma
$$

where $\Sigma$ is a positive definite, symmetric $k \times k$ matrix defining the variance-covariance matrix of the $\mathbf{X}_{i}$. Let the random variable $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ be defined by

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{n}=\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)
$$

where

$$
\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{i} .
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z} \sim \operatorname{Normal}_{d}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)
$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

## Appendix: Technical Details

## Proof. Relating the modes of convergence.

(a) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \Longrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\epsilon\right] \geq P\left[\left|X_{m}-X\right|<\epsilon, \forall m \geq n\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as, considering the original sample space,

$$
\left\{\omega:\left|X_{m}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right|<\epsilon, \forall m \geq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{\omega:\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right|<\epsilon\right\}
$$

But, as $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X, P\left[\left|X_{m}-X\right|<\epsilon, \forall m \geq n\right] \longrightarrow 1$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. So, after taking limits in equation (1), we have

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\epsilon\right] \geq \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{m}-X\right|<\epsilon, \forall m \geq n\right]=1
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\epsilon\right]=1 \quad \therefore \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X .
$$

(b) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X \Longrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then, using an argument similar to Chebychev's Lemma,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r} I_{\left\{\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right\}}\right] \geq \epsilon^{r} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right] .
$$

Taking limits as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, as $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right] \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, so therefore

$$
P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right] \longrightarrow 0 \quad \therefore \quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X .
$$

(c) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X \Longrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$. Suppose $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Denote, in the usual way,

$$
F_{X_{n}}(x)=P\left[X_{n} \leq x\right] \quad \text { and } \quad F_{X}(x)=P[X \leq x] .
$$

Then, by the theorem of total probability, we have two inequalities
$F_{X_{n}}(x)=P\left[X_{n} \leq x\right]=P\left[X_{n} \leq x, X \leq x+\epsilon\right]+P\left[X_{n} \leq x, X>x+\epsilon\right] \leq F_{X}(x+\epsilon)+P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]$
$F_{X}(x-\epsilon)=P[X \leq x-\epsilon]=P\left[X \leq x-\epsilon, X_{n} \leq x\right]+P\left[X \leq x-\epsilon, X_{n}>x\right] \leq F_{X_{n}}(x)+P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]$. as $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow P(A) \leq P(B)$ yields

$$
P\left[X_{n} \leq x, X \leq x+\epsilon\right] \leq F_{X}(x+\epsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad P\left[X \leq x-\epsilon, X_{n} \leq x\right] \leq F_{X_{n}}(x) .
$$

Thus

$$
F_{X}(x-\epsilon)-P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right] \leq F_{X_{n}}(x) \leq F_{X}(x+\epsilon)+P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]
$$

and taking limits as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ (with care; we cannot yet write $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x)$ as we do not know that this limit exists) recalling that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$,

$$
F_{X}(x-\epsilon) \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x) \leq \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x) \leq F_{X}(x+\epsilon)
$$

Then if $F_{X}$ is continuous at $x, F_{X}(x-\epsilon) \longrightarrow F_{X}(x)$ and $F_{X}(x+\epsilon) \longrightarrow F_{X}(x)$ as $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$, so

$$
F_{X}(x) \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x) \leq \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_{n}}(x) \leq F_{X}(x)
$$

and thus $F_{X_{n}}(x) \longrightarrow F_{X}(x)$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Thus all results follow.

## THEOREM (Partial Converses)

(i) If

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]<\infty
$$

for every $\epsilon>0$, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$.
(ii) If, for some positive integer $r$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right]<\infty
$$

then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$.
Proof. (i) Let $\epsilon>0$. Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$
P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon, \text { for some } m \geq n\right] \equiv P\left[\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty}\left\{\left|X_{m}-X\right|>\epsilon\right\}\right] \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P\left[\left|X_{m}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]
$$

as, by elementary probability theory, $P(A \cup B) \leq P(A)+P(B)$. But, as it is the tail sum of a convergent series (by assumption), it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P\left[\left|X_{m}-X\right|>\epsilon\right]=0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon, \text { for some } m \geq n\right]=0
$$

and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$.
(ii) Identical to part (i), and using part (b) of the previous theorem that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X \Longrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X$. Thus the partial converse results hold.

