conditions" can be given by a somewhat more general version of (R)).

As mentioned earlier, considering 2-cells as identities makes & a product, v a coproduct, and so on. In this way we obtain the results of [S1] : hyperdoctrines and theories in (a modification of) $\Pi(=)$ are equivalent. (And more: this equivalence restricts to one between hyperdoctrines with the Beck condition and theories which "recognise" their own pullback diagrams.)

REFERENCES

L1. Lambek, J.: Deductive systems and categories, I. Math. Systems Theory, 2 , (1968), 287-318

M1. Mann, C.R.: The connection between equivalence of proofs and cartesian closed categories. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 31 (1975), 289-310

P1. Prawitz, D.: Ideas and results in proof theory. Proc. 2nd Scandinavian Logic Symposium, 235-307. North-Holland 1971

S1. Seely, R.: Hyperdoctrines and the proof theory of first order logic. Proc. Oxford Logic Colloquium, 1976. *Abstracts:* J. Symbolic Logic, 42 (1977), 470-471

S2. Seely, R.: Hyperdoctrines and Natural Deduction. Doctoral dissertation: University of Cambridge, 1977

Sz. Szabo, M.E.: Algebra of Proofs. North-Holland 1977