



The Answers

Maths & Logic (360-124)

- (2×3) 1. (a) Briefly define (in a couple of sentences) what is meant by a “valid argument”, by a “sound argument”, and explain the difference.  
 (b) Explain (briefly) what is meant by “material implication”; how does this differ (or does it?) from the use of “if ... then” in everyday English?

**Answer:**

(a) A valid argument is one for which true premises guarantee a true conclusion, by virtue of the form of the argument alone. A sound argument is a valid argument whose premises are true (and so whose conclusion is also true). A valid argument need not be sound — its premises may not all be true.

(b) Material implication is the version of “if ... then ...” which has all sense of causality removed. In a material implication, a false premise truly implies any conclusion. So  $p \rightarrow q$  is essentially just “ $\neg p \vee q$ ”. Ordinary implication (in everyday English) does usually suggest causality.

- (2×4) 2. Construct truth tables for the following propositions. In each case, state whether the proposition is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency.

([2×1]) For a bonus (1 mark each) state a simpler (much simpler!) proposition equivalent to the given one.

- (a)  $(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg q)$  (b)  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg p$

**Answer:**

(a)

p	q	$(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

This is equivalent to  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ .

(b)

p	q	$((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

This is equivalent to  $\neg p$ .

These are both contingencies.

Questions 3, 4, 5 are “quickies”; you only need to give (correct!) answers, no justifications are required.

- (4) 3. For each of the following, state whether it is a well formed formula (WFF) or not. If it is a WFF, then state which type of WFF it is: negation, conjunction, disjunction, or implication.

- (a)  $((\neg(A \vee B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow D) \rightarrow E$  (b)  $((p \rightarrow (q \vee \neg A)) \wedge (B \vee (p \vee \neg A)))$   
 (c)  $((B \vee (A \wedge (p \rightarrow \neg A))) \rightarrow \neg)$  (d)  $\neg((p \rightarrow \neg(A \vee T)) \wedge B) \vee q$

**Answer:**

- (a) Y ( $\wedge$ ) (b) Y ( $\rightarrow$ ) (c) N (d) Y ( $\neg$ )

- (4) 4. For each of the following pairs, state whether the first formula is a substitution instance of the second.

- (a)  $(\neg A \vee B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow \neg B)$  and  $p \rightarrow q$  (b)  $(\neg A \vee B) \rightarrow (C \wedge \neg B)$  and  $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$   
 (c)  $(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow \neg A)$  and  $p \vee (q \rightarrow \neg p)$  (d)  $\neg((A \vee B) \wedge (A \rightarrow \neg(A \vee B)))$  and  $p$

**Answer:**

- (a) Y (b) Y (c) N (d) Y

