CUBULATING SMALL CANCELLATION FREE PRODUCTS

KASIA JANKIEWICZ AND DANIEL T. WISE

Abstract. We give a simplified approach to the cubulation of small-cancellation quotients of free products of cubulated groups. We construct fundamental groups of compact nonpositively curved cube complexes that do not virtually split.

1. Introduction

Martin and Steenbock recently showed that a small-cancellation quotient of a free product of cubulated groups is cubulated [MS16]. In this paper we revisit their theorem in a slightly weaker form, and reprove it in a manner that capitalizes on the available technology. Combined with an idea of Pride's about small-cancellation groups that do not split, we answer a question posed to us by Indira Chatterjee by constructing an example of a compact nonpositively curved cube complex X such that $\pi_1 X$ is nontrivial but does not virtually split.

Section 2 recalls the definitions and theorems that we will use from cubical small-cancellation theory. Section 3 recalls properties of the dual cube complex in the relatively hyperbolic setting. Section 4 recalls the definition of small-cancellation over free products, and describe associated cubical presentations. Section 5 reproves Pride's result about small-cancellation groups that don't split. Section 6, relates small-cancellation over free products to cubical small-cancellation theory, and proves our main result which is Theorem 6.2. Finally, Section 7 combines Pride's method with Theorem 6.2 to provide cubulated groups that do not virtually split in Example 7.1.

2. Background on Cubical Small Cancellation

2.1. **Nonpositively curved cube complexes.** We shall assume that the reader is familiar with *CAT(0) cube complexes* which are CAT(0) spaces having cell structures, where each cell is isometric to a cube. We refer the reader to [BH99, Sag95, Lea, Wis]. A *nonpositively curved cube complex* is a cell-complex X whose universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is a CAT(0) cube complex. A *hyperplane* $\widetilde{U}$ in $\widetilde{X}$ is a subspace whose intersection with each n-cube $[0,1]^n$ is either empty or consists of the subspace where exactly one coordinate is restricted to $\frac{1}{2}$. For a hyperplane $\widetilde{U}$ of $\widetilde{X}$, we let $N(\widetilde{U})$ denote its *carrier*, which is the union of all closed cubes intersecting $\widetilde{U}$. The hyperplanes $\widetilde{U}$ and $\widetilde{V}$ *osculate* if $N(\widetilde{U}) \cap N(\widetilde{V}) \neq \emptyset$ but $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V} = \emptyset$. We will use the *combinatorial metric* on a nonpositively

Date: November 25, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F67, 20E08, 20F06.
Key words and phrases. Small Cancellation, Cube Complexes.
Research supported by NSERC.

curved cube complex X, so the distance between two points is the length of the shortest combinatorial path connecting them. The *systole* $\|X\|$ is the infimal length of an essential combinatorial closed path in X. A map $\phi : Y \to X$ between nonpositively curved cube complexes is a *local isometry* if ϕ is local injective, ϕ maps open cubes homeomorphically to open cubes, and whenever a, b are concatenatable edges of Y, if $\phi(a)\phi(b)$ is a subpath of the attaching map of a 2-cube of X, then ab is a subpath of a 2-cube in Y.

2.2. Cubical presentations and Pieces.

Definition 2.1. A *cubical presentation* $\langle X \mid Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\rangle$ consists of a nonpositively curved cube complex X, and a set of local isometries $Y_i \looparrowright X$ of nonpositively curved cube complexes. We use the notation X^* for the cubical presentation above. As a topological space, X^* consists of X with a cone on Y_i attached to X for each i.

Definition 2.2. A *cone-piece* of X^* in Y_i is a component of $\widetilde{Y}_i \cap g\widetilde{Y}_j$, where $g \in \pi_1 X$, excluding the case where $i = j$ and $g \in \text{Stabilizer}(\widetilde{Y}_i)$. A *wall-piece* of X^* in Y_i is a component of $\widetilde{Y}_i \cap N(\widetilde{U})$, where $\widetilde{U}$ is a hyperplane that is disjoint from $\widetilde{Y}_i$. For a constant $\alpha > 0$, we say X^* satisfies the $C'(\alpha)$ *small-cancellation* condition if $\text{diam}(P) < \alpha\|Y_i\|$ for every cone-piece or wall-piece involving Y_i.

When α is small, the quotient $\pi_1 X^*$ has good behavior. For instance, when X^* is $C'(\frac{1}{12})$ then each immersion $Y_i \looparrowright X$ lifts to an embedding $\widetilde{Y}_i \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}^*$. This is proven in [Wis, Thm 4.1], and we also refer to [Jan16] for analogous results at $\alpha = \frac{1}{9}$.

2.3. The $B(6)$ and $B(8)$ conditions.

A *piece-path* in Y is a path in a piece of Y.

Definition 2.3. The $B(6)$ *condition* assigns a wallspace structure to each Y_i as follows:

(1) The collection of hyperplanes of each Y_i are partitioned into classes such that no two hyperplanes in the same class cross or osculate, and the union $U = \cup U_i$ of the hyperplanes in a class forms a *wall* in the sense that $Y_i - U$ is the disjoint union of a left and right halfspace.
(2) If P is a path that is the concatenation of at most 7 piece-paths and P starts and ends on the carrier $N(U)$ of a wall then P is path-homotopic into $N(U)$.
(3) The wallspace structure is preserved by the group $\text{Aut}(Y_i \to X)$ which consists of automorphisms $\phi : Y_i \to Y_i$ such that $\begin{array}{ccc} Y_i & \longrightarrow & Y_i \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & X & \end{array}$ commutes.

The $B(8)$ *condition* is analogous except with 8 replacing 7 in Condition (3).

2.4. Properness Criterion.

A *closed geodesic* $w \to Y$ in a nonpositively curved cube complex, is a combinatorial immersion of a circle whose universal cover $\tilde{w}$ lifts to a combinatorial geodesic $\tilde{w} \to \widetilde{Y}$ in the universal cover of Y.

We quote the following criterion from [FW16]. The wallspace it assigns to each Y_i has a wall for hyperplanes dual to pairs of antipodal edges in the core circle w_i of each Y_i. (The complex X is subdivided to ensure that each $|w_i|$ is even.)

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X^* = \langle X \mid Y_1, \ldots, Y_k\rangle$ be a cubical presentation. Suppose each Y_i deformation retracts to a closed combinatorial geodesic w_i, and each hyperplane of Y_i*

has an embedded carrier and intersects w_i. If X^* is $C'(\frac{1}{18})$ then X^* is $B(6)$ and $\pi_1 X^*$ acts properly and cocompactly on the CAT(0) cube complex dual to the wallspace on $\widetilde{X}^*$.

Furthermore, if X^* is $C'(\frac{1}{20})$ then X^* is $B(8)$. Moreover, if each w_i is primitive, then $\pi_1 X^*$ acts freely and cocompactly on the associated dual CAT(0) cube complex.

2.5. **The wallspace structure.**

Definition 2.5 (The walls)**.** When X^* satisfies the $B(6)$ condition, $\widetilde{X}^*$ has a *wallspace* structure which we now briefly describe: Two hyperplanes H_1, H_2 of $\widetilde{X}^*$ are *cone-equivalent* if $H_1 \cap Y_i$ and $H_2 \cap Y_i$ lie in the same wall of Y_i for some lift $Y_i \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}^*$. Cone-equivalence generates an equivalence relation on the collection of hyperplanes of $\widetilde{X}^*$. A *wall* of $\widetilde{X}^*$ is the union of all hyperplanes in an equivalence class. When X^* is $B(6)$, the hyperplanes in an equivalence class are disjoint, and a wall w can be regarded as a wall in the sense that $\widetilde{X}^*$ is the union of two halfspaces meeting along w.

Lemma 2.6. *Let W be a wall of $\widetilde{X}^*$. let $Y \subset \widetilde{X}^*$ be a lift of some cone Y_i of X^*. Then either $W \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $W \cap Y$ consists of a single wall of Y.*

The *carrier* $N(W)$ of a wall W of $\widetilde{X}^*$ consists of the union of all carriers of hyperplanes of W together with all cones intersected by hyperplanes of W. The following appears as [Wis, Cor 5.27]:

Lemma 2.7 (Walls quasi-isometrically embed)**.** *Let X^* be $B(6)$. Suppose that pieces in cones have uniformly bounded diameter. Then for each wall W, the map $N(W) \to \widetilde{X}^*$ is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

We will need the following result of Hruska which is proven in [Hru10, Thm 1.5]:

Theorem 2.8. *Let G be a f.g. group that is hyperbolic relative to $\{G_i\}$. Let $H \subset G$ be a f.g. subgroup that is quasi-isometrically embedded. Then $H \subset G$ is relatively quasiconvex.*

3. Relative Cocompactness

The following is a simplified restatement of [HW14, Thm 7.12] in the case $\heartsuit = \star$:

We use the notation $\mathcal{N}_d(S)$ for the closed d-neighborhood of S.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the wallspace $(\widetilde{X}^*, \mathcal{W})$. Suppose G acts properly and cocompactly on X preserving both its metric and wallspace structures, and the action on $\mathcal{W}$ has only finitely many G–orbits of walls. Suppose* Stabilizer(W) *is relatively quasiconvex and acts cocompactly on W for each wall $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Suppose G is hyperbolic relative to $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$. For each G_i let $\widetilde{X}_i \subset \widetilde{X}^*$ be a nonempty G_i–invariant G_i–cocompact subspace. Let $C(X)$ be the cube complex dual to $(\widetilde{X}^*, \mathcal{W})$ and for each i let $C_\star(\widetilde{X}_i)$ be the cube complex dual to $(\widetilde{X}^*, \mathcal{W}_i)$ where $\mathcal{W}_i$ consists of all walls W with the property that* diam$\big(W \cap \mathcal{N}_d(\widetilde{X}_i)\big) = \infty$ *for some $d = d(W)$.*

Then there exists a compact subcomplex K such that $C(X) = GK \cup \bigcup_i GC_\star(\widetilde{X}_i)$. Hence G acts cocompactly on $C(X)$ provided that each $C_\star(\widetilde{X}_i)$ is G_i-compact.

In our application of Theorem 3.1, the cube complex $C_\star(\widetilde{X}_i)$ will be G_i-cocompact for the following reason:

Lemma 3.2. *Let W be a wall of $\widetilde{X}^*$. Suppose* $\operatorname{diam}(W \cap \mathcal{N}_d(\widetilde{X}_i)) = \infty$ *for some i. Then W contains a hyperplane of $\widetilde{X}_i$. Hence $C_\star(\widetilde{X}_i) = \widetilde{X}_i$ for each i.*

Proof. Each $\widetilde{X}_i$ has the property for each $d \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1, \epsilon \geq 0$ there exists d' such that if α is a (λ, ϵ)-quasigeodesic that starts and ends at points in $\mathcal{N}_d(\widetilde{X}_i)$ then $\alpha \subset \mathcal{N}_{d'}(\widetilde{X}_i)$. This follows from the analogous statement for parabolic subgroups of a relatively hyperbolic group which can be deduced from [DS05, Thm 1.12.(1)]. The quasigeodesics we consider are contained in $N(W)$ which is quasi-isometrically embedded by Lemma 2.7, so there is a uniform value of d' for all such quasigeodesics.

By the fellow-travelling property above, we see that if $\operatorname{diam}(N(W) \cap \mathcal{N}_d(\widetilde{X}_i)) = \infty$ then by cocompactness, there exists an infinite order element g stabilizing both W and $\widetilde{X}_i$. Each $\widetilde{X}_i \subset \widetilde{X}^*$ is convex by [Wis, Lem 3.70], and we may therefore choose a geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}$ be in $\widetilde{X}_i$ that is stabilized by g, and let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be a path in $N(W)$ that is stabilized by g. We thus obtain an annular diagram A between closed paths γ and λ which are the quotients of $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ by $\langle g \rangle$. Suppose moreover that A has minimal complexity among all such choices (A, γ, λ) where $\gamma \to X_i$ has the property that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a geodesic, and $\lambda \to N(W)$ is a closed path. By [Wis, Thm 5.53], A is a square annular diagram, and we may assume it is has no spur. (The hypothesis of Thm 5.53 requires "tight innerpaths" which holds at $C'(\frac{1}{16})$ by Lem 3.65. And it requires $B(8)$, which holds in our $B(6)$ setting by [Wis, Rem 5.50].)

Observe that if s has is a square with an edge in $\widetilde{X}_i$, then $s \subset \widetilde{X}_i$. Consequently, the minimality of A ensures that A has no square, and so $\gamma = A = \lambda$.

There are now two cases to consider: Either $\tilde{\lambda} \subset N(U)$ for some hyperplane U of W, or $\tilde{\lambda}$ has a subpath $u_1 y_j u_2$ traveling along $N(U_1), Y_j, N(U_2)$, where U_1, U_2 are distinct hyperplanes of W, and U_1, U_2 intersect the cone Y_j in antipodal hyperplanes.

In the latter possibility contradicts the $B(6)$ condition for Y_j, since $\widetilde{X}_i \cap Y_j$ contains the single piece-path y_j which starts and ends on carriers of distinct hyperplanes of the same wall of Y_j.

In the former possibility, $N(U) \subset \widetilde{X}_i$, and so the above square observation ensures that $N(U) \subset \widetilde{X}_i$. Hence W cuts $\widetilde{X}_i$ as claimed. □

Example 3.3. Consider the quotient: $G = \mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}^2 / \langle\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle\rangle$, with the following presentation for some number $m > 0$:

$$\left\langle \ \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1} \rangle \ * \ \langle c, d \mid cdc^{-1}d^{-1} \rangle \ \middle| \ a^1c^1a^2c^2 \cdots a^m c^m, \ b^1d^1b^2d^2 \cdots b^m d^m \right\rangle$$

Note that each piece consists of at most 2 syllables, whereas the syllabic length of each relator is $2m$. Hence the $C'_*(\frac{1}{m-1})$ small-cancellation condition over free products is satisfied. See Definition 4.1.

So X is the long wedge of two tori X_1, X_2 corresponding to $\langle a, b \rangle$ and $\langle c, d \rangle$. And Y_1 is a bunch of rectangles glued together along arcs.

The cube complex dual to $\widetilde{X}^*$ has $\frac{m(m+1)}{4}$-dimensional cubes arising from the cone-cells Y_1 and Y_2. More interestingly, the cube complex dual to $(\widetilde{X}^*, \mathcal{W}_1)$ where $\mathcal{W}_1$ consists of the walls intersecting a copy of $\widetilde{X}_1$, has dimension $2m$. This is because all hyperplanes dual to the path a^m cross each other because of Y_1 and likewise all hyperplanes dual to



FIGURE 1. The walls associated to a 13 cube in the cubulation of a flat.

the path b^m cross each other because of Y_2, and every hyperplane dual to the path a^m crosses every hyperplane dual to the path b^m because $\widetilde{X}_1$ is a 2-flat.

4. Small cancellation over free products

Definition 4.1. [Small cancellation over a free product] Every element R in the free product $G_1 * \cdots * G_r$ has a unique *normal form* which is a word $h_1 \cdots h_n$ where each h_i lies in a factor of the free product and h_i and h_{i+1} lie in different factors for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We say R is *cyclically reduced* if h_1 and h_n also lie in different factors. We say that R is *weakly cyclically reduced* if $h_n^{-1} \neq h_1$ or if $|R|_* \leq 1$. We refer to each h_i as a *syllable*. The number n, which we denote by $|R|_*$, is the *syllable length* of R. There is a *cancellation* in the concatenation $P \cdot U$ of two normal forms if the last syllable of P is the inverse of the first syllable of U.

Consider a *presentation over a free product* $\langle G_1 * \cdots * G_r \mid R_1, \ldots, R_s \rangle$ where each R_i is a cyclically reduced word in the free product. A word P is a *piece* of R_i, R_j if they have weakly cyclically reduced conjugates R_i', R_j' that can be written as concatenations $P \cdot U_i$ and $P \cdot U_j$ respectively with no cancellations. The presentation is $C_*'(\frac{1}{n})$ if $|P|_* < \frac{1}{n}|R_i'|_*$ whenever P is a piece.

Each factor G_i embeds in a $C_*'(\frac{1}{6})$ small-cancellation presentation G over a free product $G_1 * \cdots * G_r$ [LS77, Cor. 9.4], and thus G is nontrivial if some G_i is nontrivial. We quote the following result from [Osi06]:

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a quotient of $G_1 * \cdots * G_r$ arising as a $C_*'(\frac{1}{6})$ small-cancellation presentation over a free product. Then G is hyperbolic relative to $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$.*

4.1. Cubical presentation associated to a presentation over a free product.

Construction 4.3. Let T_r be the union of directed edges $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ identified at their initial vertices. The *long wedge* of a collection of spaces $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ is obtained from T_r by gluing the basepoint of each X_j to the terminal vertex of e_j . We will later subdivide the edges of T_r. Given group $G_1, \ldots G_r$ such that for each $1 \leq j \leq r$, let $G_j = \pi_1 X_j$ where X_j is a nonpositively curved cube complex, the long wedge X of the various X_j is a cube complex with $\pi_1 X = G_1 * \cdots * G_r$.

Given an element $R \in G_1 * \cdots * G_r$ with $|R|_* > 1$, there exists a local isometry $Y \to X$ where Y is a compact nonpositively curved cube complex with $\pi_1 Y = \langle R \rangle$. Indeed, let



FIGURE 2. Y is depicted on the left and X on the right.

$R = h_1h_2\cdots h_t$ where each h_k is an element of some $G_{m(k)}$. For each k let V_k be the compact cube complex that is the convex hull of the basepoint p and its translate h_kp in the universal cover $\widetilde{X}_{m(k)}$. We call p the *initial vertex* of V_k and h_kp the *terminal vertex* of V_k. For each $1 \leq k \leq t$ let σ_k be a copy of $e^{-1}_{m(k)}e_{m(k+1)}$ where $m(t+1) = m(1)$. Finally we form Y from $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^t V_k$ and $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^t \sigma_k$ by gluing the terminal vertex of V_k to the initial vertex of σ_k and the terminal vertex of σ_k to the initial vertex of V_{k+1}. Note that there is an induced map $Y \to X$ which is a local isometry.

Given a presentation $\langle G_1, \ldots, G_r \mid R_1, \ldots, R_s\rangle$ over a free product there is an associated cubical presentation $X^* = \langle X \mid Y_1, \ldots, Y_s\rangle$ where each $Y_i \to X$ is a local isometry associated to R_i as above. Finally, any subdivision of the edges $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ induces a subdivision of X, and accordingly a subdivision of each Y_i. We thus obtain a new cubical presentation that we continue to denote by X^*.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $\langle X \mid Y_1, \ldots, Y_s\rangle$ is $B(6)$ (after subdividing). And let $\widetilde{X}_k$ be the universal cover of X_k with the wallspace structure such that each hyperplane is a wall. Then $\langle X \mid Y_1, \ldots, Y_s, \widetilde{X}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{X}_r\rangle$ is $B(6)$. Moreover, the walls of $\widetilde{X}^*$ with respect to the two structures are identical.*

Proof. The pieces between $\widetilde{X}_i$ and Y_j are copies of the V_k associated to X_i that appear in Y_j, and hence the $B(6)$ properties hold for each Y_j as before. For each $\widetilde{X}_i$, Conditions 2.3.(1) and 2.3.(3) hold automatically by our choice of wallspace structure, and Condition 2.3.(2) holds since $\widetilde{X}_i$ is contractible. □

Corollary 4.5. *For each wall W of $\widetilde{X}^*$, the intersection of $W \cap \widetilde{X}_i$ is either empty or consists of a single hyperplane.*

Proof. This follows by combining Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.6. □

5. CONSTRUCTION OF PRIDE

The following result was proven by Pride in [Pri83]. We give a slightly more geometric version of his proof, which was originally stated for a $C(n)$ presentation instead of a classical $C'(\frac{1}{n})$ presentation [LS77].



FIGURE 3. The case where $\text{Min}(x) \cap \text{Min}(y) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G = \langle x, y \mid R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6 \rangle$ where the relators R_i are specified below for associated positive integers $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \rho_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i, \theta_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $k \geq 1$. Then G does not split as an amalgamated product or HNN extension.*

$$R_1(x,y) = xy^{\alpha_1}xy^{\alpha_2}\cdots xy^{\alpha_k}$$
$$R_2(x,y) = yx^{\beta_1}yx^{\beta_2}\cdots yx^{\beta_k}$$
$$R_3(x,y) = x^{\gamma_1}y^{-\delta_1}x^{\gamma_2}y^{-\delta_2}\cdots x^{\gamma_k}y^{-\delta_k}$$
$$R_4(x,y) = xy^{\rho_1}xy^{-\rho_1}xy^{\rho_2}xy^{-\rho_2}\cdots xy^{\rho_k}xy^{-\rho_k}$$
$$R_5(x,y) = yx^{\sigma_1}yx^{-\sigma_1}yx^{\sigma_2}yx^{-\sigma_2}\cdots yx^{\sigma_k}yx^{-\sigma_k}$$
$$R_6(x,y) = (xy)^{\tau_1}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{\theta_1}(xy)^{\tau_2}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{\theta_2}\cdots(xy)^{\tau_k}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{\theta_k}$$

Proof. Suppose $G = A *_C B$ or $G = A*_C$ and let T be the associated Bass-Serre tree. Without loss of generality, assume that the translation length of y is at least as large as the translation length of x. Choose a vertex $v \in \text{Min}(x)$ for which $\mathsf{d}_T(y{\cdot}v, v)$ is minimal.

For use in the argument below, given a decomposition of $w \in G$ as a product $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_\ell$, the path $[v, w_1{\cdot}v][w_1{\cdot}v, w_1w_2{\cdot}v]\cdots[w_1w_2\cdots w_{\ell-1}{\cdot}v, w{\cdot}v]$ is said to *read* w.

We now show that $v \in \text{Min}(y)$. First suppose that x, and hence y, is a hyperbolic isometry. If $v \notin \text{Min}(y)$, then by the choice of v we have $[v, y{\cdot}v] \cap \text{Min}(x) = \{v\}$, hence the concatenation of two nontrivial geodesics $[x^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, y{\cdot}v]$ would be a geodesic. See Figure 3. Similarly $[x{\cdot}v, v][v, y{\cdot}v]$, $[x^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, y^{-1}{\cdot}v]$ and $[x{\cdot}v, v][v, y^{-1}{\cdot}v]$ would be geodesics. Consequently, regarding R_6 as a product of elements $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$, the path reading R_6 would be a geodesic, which contradicts that $R_6 =_G 1$. Now, suppose that x is elliptic and so $x{\cdot}v = v$. Let e denote the initial edge of $[v, y{\cdot}v]$ and note that e is also the initial edge of $[v, y^{-1}{\cdot}v]$ since $v \notin \text{Min}(y)$. The choice of v implies $x{\cdot}e \neq e$, and so the concatenation of the nontrivial geodesics $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, xy{\cdot}v]$ is a geodesic, and similarly for $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, x^{-1}y^{-1}v]$, $[y{\cdot}v, v][v, xy{\cdot}v]$ and $[y{\cdot}v, v][v, x^{-1}y^{-1}v]$. It follows that regarding R_6 as a product of elements $\{xy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$, the path reading R_6 is a geodesic, which contradicts that $R_6 =_G 1$.

Since $v \in \text{Min}(x) \cap \text{Min}(y)$, the element y is a hyperbolic isometry, because otherwise x, y are elliptic and so v is be a global fixed point. Suppose x is also a hyperbolic isometry. At least one of $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, x{\cdot}v]$ or $[x^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, y{\cdot}v]$ is not a geodesic, because otherwise the path reading R_1 regarded as a product of $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$ would be a geodesic. Consequently, both $[x{\cdot}v, v][v, y{\cdot}v]$ and $[x^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, y^{-1}{\cdot}v]$ are geodesics, and hence regarding R_3 as a product of elements $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$, the path reading R_3 must be a geodesic, which is a contradiction. Thus, x is an elliptic isometry.



FIGURE 4. If $x{\cdot}e_+ \neq e_-$ then $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, xy{\cdot}v]$ is a geodesic.

Let e_+ and e_- denote the initial edges of $[v, y{\cdot}v]$ and $[v, y^{-1}{\cdot}v]$ respectively. See Figure 4. We must have $x{\cdot}e_+ = e_-$ because otherwise $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v][v, xy{\cdot}v]$ would be a geodesic since the last edge of $[y^{-1}{\cdot}v, v]$ is e_- and the first edge of $[v, xy{\cdot}v]$ is e_+. Likewise, for $n, m > 0$ the path $[y^{-n}{\cdot}v, v][v, xy^m{\cdot}v]$ would be a geodesic, and so too would be its translate $[v, xy^n{\cdot}v][xy^n{\cdot}v, xy^nxy^m{\cdot}v]$. Finally, regarding R_1 as a product $(xy^{\alpha_1})(xy^{\alpha_2})\cdots(xy^{\alpha_k})$, the path reading R_1 would be a geodesic, contradicting $R_1 =_G 1$.

Neither e_- nor e_+ is fixed by x. For any $n, m > 0$ the last edge of $[y^n{\cdot}v, v]$ is e_+ and the first edge of $[v, xy^m{\cdot}v]$ is $x{\cdot}e_+ = e_- \neq e_+$, and so the path $[y^n{\cdot}v, v][v, xy^m{\cdot}v]$ is a geodesic, and so is $[v, y^{-n}{\cdot}v][y^{-n}{\cdot}v, y^{-n}xy^m{\cdot}v]$. Similarly, the last edge of $[y^{-n}{\cdot}v, v]$ is e_- and the first edge of $[v, xy^{-m}{\cdot}v]$ is $x{\cdot}e_- \neq e_-$, and so the path $[y^{-n}{\cdot}v, v][v, xy^{-m}{\cdot}v]$ is a geodesic as is $[v, xy^n{\cdot}v][xy^n{\cdot}v, xy^n{\cdot}xy^{-m}{\cdot}v]$. Regarding R_4 as a product $(xy^{\rho_1})(xy^{-\rho_1})\cdots(xy^{\rho_k})(xy^{-\rho_k})$, the path reading R_4 is a geodesic, contradicting $R_4 =_G 1$. □

Remark 5.2. In the context of Lemma 5.1, for each n there are choices of k and $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \rho_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i, \theta_i \ : \ 1 \le i \le k\}$, such that the presentation is $C'(\frac{1}{n})$.

Given $n > 1$, let $k = 3n$ and choose $8k$ numbers $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \rho_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i, \theta_i$ that are all different and lie between $50n$ and $75n$. Then any piece P in R_i where $i \neq 6$ is of the form x^lyx^m or y^lxy^m for some l, m (possibly 0). Thus $|P| \le l + m + 1 \le 150n + 1$. We also have $|R_i| \ge (k+1)50n = (3n+1)50n$ and so $|P| \le \frac{1}{n}(150n+1)n \le \frac{1}{n}|R_i|$. If P is a piece in R_6, then P is of the from $(xy)^l(x^{-1}y^{-1})^m$ and so $|P| \le 2(l+m) \le 300n$. We also have $|R_6| = 2(\tau_1 + \theta_1 + \tau_2 + \cdots + \theta_k) \ge 2(2k)50n = 600n^2$. Hence $|P| \le \frac{1}{n}|R_6|$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $G_1, \ldots, G_r$ be nontrivial groups generated by finite sets of infinite order elements, and suppose $r > 1$. For each $n > 0$ there is a finitely related $C'_*(\frac{1}{n})$ quotient G of $G_1 * \cdots * G_r$ that does not split.*

Proof. Let S_p be the given generating set of G_p for each p, and assume no proper subset of S_p generates G_p. The desired quotient G arises from a presentation $\langle G_1 * \cdots * G_r \mid \mathcal{R}\rangle$, where following Lemma 5.1, the set of relators is:

$$\mathcal{R} \ = \ \{ \ R_\ell(x,y) \ : \ 1 \le \ell \le 6, \ (x,y) \in S_p \times S_q, \text{ where } \ 1 \le p < q \le r\}$$

where $k(x,y) = 3n$ for each (x,y) and where the constants $\alpha_i(x,y)$, $\beta_i(x,y)$, $\gamma_i(x,y)$, $\delta_i(x,y)$, $\rho_i(x,y)$, $\sigma_i(x,y)$, $\tau_i(x,y)$, $\theta_i(x,y)$ will be described below. For each (x,y) let $\alpha_i(x,y)$, $\delta_i(x,y)$ and $\rho_i(x,y)$ be distinct integers > 1 and such that $y^m \notin \langle z\rangle$ for $m \in \{\alpha_i(x,y), \delta_i(x,y), \rho_i(x,y)\}$ and $z \in S_q - \{y\}$. This is possible because y has infinite order and $y \notin \langle z\rangle$. Similarly, let $\beta_i(x,y)$, $\gamma_i(x,y)$ and $\sigma_i(x,y)$ be distinct integers > 1 such that $x^m \notin \langle z\rangle$ for $m \in \{\beta_i(x,y), \gamma_i(x,y), \sigma_i(x,y)\}$ and $z \in S_p - \{x\}$. Finally, let $\tau_i(x,y)$ and $\theta_i(x,y)$ be distinct integers between $10n$ and $20n$.

Having chosen the above constants for each (x, y) we now show that the presentation for G is $C'_*(\frac{1}{n})$. We begin by observing that each $|R_\ell(x, y)|_* \geq 6n$. Let P be a piece in $R^1 = R_{\ell_1}(x_1, y_1)$ and $R^2 = R_{\ell_2}(x_2, y_2)$ where $x_1 \in S_{p_1}$, $y_1 \in S_{q_1}$, $x_2 \in S_{p_2}$, and $y_2 \in S_{q_2}$. If $\{p_1, q_1\} \neq \{p_2, q_2\}$ then $|P|_* \leq 1$. Assume that $\{p_1, q_1\} = \{p_2, q_2\}$. First suppose that $\ell_1 \neq 6$, then $|P|_* \leq 3$. Indeed, if $|P|_* \geq 4$ then two consecutive syllables would appear in distinct cyclically reduced forms of relators, which contradicts our choice of the constants. If $\ell_1 = 6$, then $|P|_* \leq \max\{\tau_i(x, y)\} + \max\{\theta_i(x, y)\} \leq 80n$. We also have $|R_6(x, y)|_* = 2\left(\tau_1(x, y) + \theta_1(x, y) + \cdots + \tau_k(x, y) + \theta_k(x, y)\right) \geq 2(2k)10n = 120n^2$, so $|P|_* \leq \frac{1}{n}|R_6(x, y)|_*$.

We now show that G does not split as an amalgamated product. For each $x \in S_p, y \in S_q$ with $p \leq q$ we let $H(x, y) = \langle x, y \mid R_\ell(x, y) : 1 \leq \ell \leq 6\rangle$. By Lemma 5.1, we see that $H(x, y)$ does not split. As there is a homomorphism $H(x, y) \to G$, we deduce that for any splitting of G as an amalgamated free product $G = A *_C B$, the elements x, y are either both in A or both in B. Considering all such pairs (x, y), we find that the generators of G are either all in A or all in B. Moreover G cannot split as an HNN extension, since the the relators $R_4(x, y)$ and $R_5(x, y)$ show that all generators have finite order in the abelianization of G. □

6. Main theorem

Lemma 6.1. *If $\langle G_1, \ldots, G_r \mid R_1, \ldots, R_s\rangle$ is $C'_*(\frac{1}{n})$ then for a sufficient subdivision of $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ the cubical presentation X^* is $C'(\frac{1}{n})$.*

Proof. Let X' be a subdivision of X induced by a q-fold subdivision of each e_j. We accordingly let Y'_i be the induced subdivision of Y_i, so $Y'_i = \bigsqcup V_k \cup \bigsqcup \sigma_k$ as in Construction 4.3 and with each σ-edge subdivided q times. We thus obtain a new cubical presentation $\langle X' \mid Y'_1, \ldots, Y'_s\rangle$. We have $\|Y'_i\| = \|Y_i\| + 2|R_i|_*(q-1)$. Note that $\|Y'_i\| > \sum_{i=1}^{|R_i|_*} |\sigma_i| = 2q|R_i|_*$ and so $\|Y'_i\| > 2(1+\epsilon)q|R_i|_*$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Let $M_i = \max_k\{\text{diam}(V_k)\}$. For a wall-piece P we have $\text{diam}(P) < M_i$. Consider a maximal cone-piece P in Y'_i, and suppose it intersects ℓ different V_k's and contains ℓ' different e_k edges. Note that $2\ell \geq \ell'$ since if P starts or ends with an entire σ_k arc, then it intersects an additional V_k (possibly trivially). We have $\text{diam}(P) \leq \ell M_i + q\ell'$. When $\ell' > 0$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $q \gg 0$ so that $\text{diam}(P) < (1+\epsilon)q\ell'$. Since P corresponds to a length ℓ syllable piece, the $C'_*(\frac{1}{n})$ hypothesis implies that $\ell < \frac{1}{n}|R_i|_*$, and so $\text{diam}(P) < (1+\epsilon)q\ell' < 2(1+\epsilon)q(\frac{1}{n}|R_i|_*) < \frac{1}{n}\|Y'_i\|$. When $\ell' = 0$, then assuming $q > nM_i$ we have $\text{diam}(P) \leq M_i < 2\frac{q}{n}|R_i|_* < \frac{1}{n}\|Y'_i\|$. □

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose $G = \langle G_1, \ldots, G_r \mid R_1, \ldots, R_s\rangle$ satisfies $C'(\frac{1}{20})$. If each G_i is the fundamental group of a [compact] nonpositively curved cube complex, then G is the fundamental group of a [compact] nonpositively curved cube complex.*

Proof. Let X^* be the associated cubical presentation. Lemma 6.1 asserts that X^* is $C'(\frac{1}{20})$ after a sufficient subdivision. Theorem 2.4 asserts that $\pi_1 X^*$ acts freely (or with finite stabilizers if relators are proper powers) on a CAT(0) cube complex C dual to $\widetilde{X}^*$

Let X'^* be the cubical presentation $\langle X \mid \{Y_i\}, \{\widetilde{X}_j\}\rangle$. By Lemma 4.4, X'^* satisfies $B(6)$ with our previously chosen wallspace structure on each Y_i and the hyperplane wallspace structure on each $\widetilde{X}_j$. Thus by Lemma 2.6 each $\widetilde{X}_j$ in $\widetilde{X}^* = \widetilde{X}'^*$ intersects the walls of $\widetilde{X}^*$ in hyperplanes of $\widetilde{X}_j$.

Lemma 4.2 asserts that $\pi_1 X^*$ is hyperbolic relative to $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$.

The pieces in $X^* = \langle X \mid \{Y_i\} \rangle$ are uniformly bounded since $\text{diam}(Y_i)$ is uniformly bounded. Thus $N(W) \to \widetilde{X}^*$ is quasi-isometrically embedded by Lemma 2.7. Hence Stabilizer$(N(W))$ is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\{\pi_1 X_j\}$ by Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 3.1 asserts that $\pi_1 X^*$ acts relatively cocompactly on C. Lemma 3.2 asserts that each $C_\star(\widetilde{X}_i) = \widetilde{X}_i$. Hence if each X_i is compact, we see that C is compact. □

7. A cubulated group that doesn't virtually split

Examples were given in [Wis] of a compact nonpositively curved cube complex X such that X has no finite cover with an embedded hyperplane. It is conceivable that those groups have no (virtual) splitting, but this was not confirmed there.

Example 7.1. There exists a nontrivial group G with the following two properties:

(1) $G = \pi_1 X$ where X is a compact nonpositively curved cube complex.
(2) G does not have a finite index subgroup that splits as an amalgamated product or HNN extension.

Let G_1 be the fundamental group of X_1 which is a compact nonpositively curved cube complex with a nontrivial fundamental group but no nontrivial finite cover. For instance, such complexes were constructed in [Wis96] or [BM97].

By Corollary 5.3 there exists a $C'_*(\frac{1}{20})$ quotient G of the free product $G_1 * \cdots * G_1$ of r copies of G_1, such that G does not split. The group G has no finite index subgroups since $G_1 * \cdots * G_1$ has none.

Since $G_1 = \pi_1 X_1$, by Theorem 6.2, G is the fundamental group of a compact nonpositively curved cube complex.

References

[BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[BM97] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes. Finitely presented simple groups and products of trees. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324(7):747–752, 1997.

[DS05] Cornelia Druţu and Mark Sapir. Tree-graded spaces and asymptotic cones of groups. *Topology*, 44(5):959–1058, 2005. With an appendix by Denis Osin and Sapir.

[FW16] David Futer and Daniel T. Wise. Cubulating random quotients of cubulated hyperbolic groups. pages 1–20, 2016.

[Hru10] G. Christopher Hruska. Relative hyperbolicity and relative quasiconvexity for countable groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(3):1807–1856, 2010.

[HW14] G. C. Hruska and Daniel T. Wise. Finiteness properties of cubulated groups. *Compos. Math.*, 150(3):453–506, 2014.

[Jan16] Kasia Jankiewicz. The fundamental theorem of cubical small cancellation theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2016.

[Lea] I.J. Leary. A metric Kan–Thurston theorem. Preprint. arXiv:1009.1540.

[LS77] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 89.

[MS16] Alexandre Martin and Markus Steenbock. A combination theorem for cubulation in small cancellation theory over free products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, pages 1–46, 2016. To Appear.

[Osi06] Denis V. Osin. Relatively hyperbolic groups: intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 179(843):vi+100, 2006.

[Pri83] Stephen J. Pride. Some finitely presented groups of cohomological dimension two with property (FA). *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 29(2):167–168, 1983.

[Sag95] Michah Sageev. Ends of group pairs and non-positively curved cube complexes. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 71(3):585–617, 1995.

[Wis] Daniel T. Wise. The structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy. Available at `http://www.math.mcgill.ca/wise/papers`. pp. 1–189. Submitted.

[Wis96] Daniel T. Wise. *Non-positively curved squared complexes, aperiodic tilings, and non-residually finite groups*. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1996.

Dept. of Math. & Stats., McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 0B9
E-mail address: `kasia.jankiewicz@mcgill.ca`
E-mail address: `wise@math.mcgill.ca`