

# MATH 354 FALL 2011: ASSIGNMENT SEVEN SOLUTIONS

Please email [automorphism@gmail.com](mailto:automorphism@gmail.com) with corrections.

## CONTENTS

1. Question One	1
2. Question Two	2
3. Question Three	3
4. Question Four	4
5. Question Five	4
6. Question Six	5
7. Question Seven	5
8. Question Eight	6
9. Question Nine	7
10. Question Ten	7

## 1. QUESTION ONE

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Then there is a nonzero  $f$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda f(s) &= \int_0^1 K(s, t) f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^s (1-s)t f(t) dt + \int_s^1 (1-t)s f(t) dt \\ &= (1-s) \int_0^s t f(t) dt + s \int_s^1 (1-t) f(t) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side are sums of integrals of continuous functions, it is differentiable with respect to  $t$ , and thus  $f(t)$  is differentiable as well, and in fact twice-differentiable. Taking the derivative twice gives

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda f''(s) &= -s f(s) - (1-s) f(s) \\ &= -f(s)\end{aligned}$$

which is a differential equation of the form

$$\lambda y'' + y = 0.$$

Suppose  $\lambda < 0$ . Then the auxiliary equation to this differential equation as the roots  $x = \pm \sqrt{-1/\lambda}$  (note  $-1/\lambda > 0$ ) so that the general solution is of the form

$$f(t) = c_1 \exp(\sqrt{-1/\lambda} t) + c_2 \exp(-\sqrt{-1/\lambda} t).$$

Putting  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  in the original formula for  $Af$  gives  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ . Putting these values into the above give

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \\ c_1 e^\beta + c_2 e^{-\beta} & \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = \sqrt{-1/\lambda}$ . Thus  $c_2 = -c_1$  and so we are led to

$$e^\beta - e^{-\beta} = 0$$

which gives  $e^{2\beta} = 1$  or  $\beta = 0$ , which is impossible. Hence there are no negative eigenvalues.

If  $\lambda > 0$ , the auxiliary equation of this differential equation has complex roots  $x = \pm i\sqrt{1/\lambda}$  and so the general solution is given by

$$f(t) = c_1 \cos(\sqrt{1/\lambda}t) + c_2 \sin(\sqrt{1/\lambda}t).$$

Recall that  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$  so that  $c_1 = 0$ . Hence

$$f(t) = c_2 \sin(\sqrt{1/\lambda}t)$$

which can easily be substituted back into the original definition and shown to be an eigenvector. If  $c_2 \neq 0$  then  $f(1) = 0$  gives  $0 = c_2 \sin(\sqrt{1/\lambda})$  so that  $\lambda = \lambda_n$  are exactly the values for which this equation holds. Furthermore, for each of these eigenvalues, the associated eigenspace is spanned by  $c_2 \sin(\sqrt{1/\lambda_n}t)$  and so is 1-dimensional.

Finally, by inspection, 0 cannot be an eigenvalue.

## 2. QUESTION TWO

Here  $\|\cdot\|$  always means the supremum norm.

**2.1. Part One.** Let  $B$  be the unit ball in  $C[0, 1]$ . Let us show that  $A(B)$  is equicontinuous and bounded. If  $\|f\| \leq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Af\| &= \sup_t \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sup_t \int_0^t |f(s)| ds \\ &\leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $A(B)$  is bounded. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Then whenever  $|t - s| < \delta$  and an arbitrary  $f \in B$ ,

$$|Af(s) - Af(t)| = \left| \int_s^t f(\alpha) d\alpha \right| \leq |s - t| < \delta = \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $A$  is a compact operator.

**2.2. Part Two.** By the spectral theorem,  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(A)$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Thus if  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  then there is a nonzero  $f$  such that

$$\int_0^t f(s)ds = \lambda f(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Since  $f$  is continuous, the left-hand side of this equation is differentiable and hence  $f$  is differentiable so that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(t) = \lambda f'(t).$$

Since  $f$  is nonzero, we must have  $f(t) = ce^{t/\lambda}$  for some nonzero  $c$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$  then the original integral equation gives  $f(0) = 0$  so that  $c = 0$ , and hence  $f \equiv 0$ . Thus if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$ .

Finally  $0 \in \sigma(A)$  because  $C[0, 1]$  is infinite dimensional.

**2.3. Part Three.** The inverse operator to  $(I - A)$  will give for a  $g \in C[0, 1]$  an  $f \in C[0, 1]$  such that

$$(1) \quad g(s) = f(s) - \int_0^s f(t)dt.$$

Note that it is sufficient to describe  $(I - A)^{-1}$  on a dense set in  $C[0, 1]$ . In particular, we may restrict our attention to  $f$  being differentiable, since with respect to the supremum norm the polynomials are dense in  $C[0, 1]$  by Stone-Weierstrass.

In this case,  $g$  is also differentiable, and taking the derivative of both sides of Equation (1) gives

$$g'(s) = f'(s) - f(s).$$

This is a standard linear first order equation. Multiplying both sides (integrating factor!) by  $e^{-s}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-s}g'(s) &= e^{-s}f'(s) - e^{-s}f(s) \\ &= (e^{-s}f(s))' \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-s}f(s) &= \int_0^s e^{-t}g'(t)dt \\ &= e^{-s}g(s) + \int_0^s e^{-t}g(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the product rule of differentiation. Thus the inverse operator on differentiable functions is given by

$$g \longmapsto g(s) + e^s \int_0^s e^{-t}g(t)dt$$

which is continuous and hence must also be the formula for the inverse of  $(I - A)$  on  $C[0, 1]$ .

## 3. QUESTION THREE

For  $\phi \equiv 0$ , the operator  $A$  is the zero operator, and hence compact. We claim that  $A$  is compact if and only if  $\varphi \equiv 0$ . Indeed, if  $\phi \not\equiv 0$ , choose some  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\phi(t) \neq 0$ . Given any  $s \in [0, 1]$  with  $s \neq t$ , choose a continuous function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ ,  $f(t) = 1$  and  $f(s) = 0$ .

For this  $f$ ,

$$|\phi(t)f(t) - \phi(s)f(t)| = |\phi(t)| > 0.$$

Thus the image of the unit ball under  $A$  cannot be equicontinuous and so  $A$  is not compact.

## 4. QUESTION FOUR

Suppose that  $f : \prod X_i \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a continuous function. Let  $x = (x(i)), y = (y(i)) \in \prod X_i$  be any two elements. Define a sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $\prod X_i$  by

$$x_i = (y(1), y(2), \dots, y(i-1), x(i), x(i+1), \dots).$$

Under the product metric,  $x_i \rightarrow y$ . For each  $j$ , define the function

$$g_j : X_j \rightarrow \prod X_j \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

by

$$g_j(z) = f(y(1), y(2), \dots, y(j-1), z, x(j+1), \dots).$$

The function  $g_j$  is continuous since the inclusion  $X_j \rightarrow \prod X_i$  is continuous, and this is because  $d(g_j(z_1), g_j(z_2))$  depends only on a metric equivalent to  $d_j$ . Since  $X_j$  is connected and  $g_j$  is continuous,  $g_j$  is therefore constant. Thus

$$g_j(x(j)) = g_j(y(j)) = g_{j+1}(x(j+1)).$$

By definition of  $g_j$ , this implies

$$f(x_i) = f(x_{i+1})$$

for all  $i$ . Now note that  $x = x_1$  and so  $f(x) = f(x_i)$  and since  $x_i \rightarrow y$ , we get  $\lim f(x_i) = f(y)$  and so  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Hence  $f$  is constant so  $\prod X_i$  is connected.

## 5. QUESTION FIVE

**5.1. Part A.** Suppose that  $\text{cl}(A) = U \cup V$  where  $U$  and  $V$  are open (relative to  $\text{cl}(A)$ ), and  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint. We will be done if we can show that either  $U$  or  $V$  is empty.

Since  $A$  is connected, without loss of generality,  $A \subseteq U$ . Thus  $V^c$  is a closed set and  $A \subseteq V^c$ . But then  $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq V^c$  so  $V$  is empty because  $\text{cl}(A) = U \cup V$ .

An example where  $A$  is not connected but  $\text{cl}(A)$  is:  $A = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2) \subset \mathbb{R}$  because  $\text{cl}(A) = [0, 2]$ .

5.2. **Part B.** For notational convenience we might as well assume  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Suppose that  $X = U \cup V$  with  $U$  and  $V$  open, disjoint, and nonempty. Since each  $A_i$  is connected, without loss of generality there is some  $n$  such that  $A_n \subseteq U$  and  $A_{n+1} \subseteq V$ . But this contradicts  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  because by hypothesis  $A_n \cap A_{n+1}$  is nonempty.

5.3. **Part C.** [Note: we no longer assume that each  $A_i$  is connected.]

Again, we suppose that  $X = \bigcup A_i$  for notational convenience. If  $X = U \cup V$  with  $U, V$  disjoint, nonempty, and open, then there is some  $n$  such that  $A_n \cup A_{n+1} \subseteq U$  and  $A_{n+1} \cup A_{n+2} \subseteq V$ . Therefore,  $A_{n+1} \subseteq U$  and  $A_{n+1} \subseteq V$ , but by hypothesis  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and each  $A_i$  is nonempty and therefore  $A_{n+1}$  is nonempty. Contradiction.

## 6. QUESTION SIX

6.1. **Part A.** Suppose that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is connected. If  $X = \emptyset$  then  $X$  is by definition path-connected<sup>1</sup>.

Let  $a, b \in X$ . If there is a  $c \in [a, b]$  but  $c \notin X$  then  $(-\infty, c) \cap X$  and  $(c, \infty) \cap X$  are two open subsets of  $X$ , so that  $X$  is not connected. Hence if  $a, b \in X$  then  $[a, b] \subseteq X$ . Thus  $X$  is clearly an interval and so it is path-connected.

6.2. **Part B.** By Part A, the connected sets are of the form

- $\emptyset$
- $(a, b), (a, b], [a, b), [a, b], a, b \in \mathbb{R}$
- $(-\infty, a), (-\infty, a], (b, \infty), [b, \infty), a, b \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathbb{R}$

6.3. **Part C.** Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $U$  is certainly the union of all of its connected components. Let  $V$  be a connected component of  $U$ . By Part B,  $V$  is an interval and since  $U$  is open,  $V$  is an open interval. If  $V'$  is another connected component, then  $V \cap V' = \emptyset$ , for otherwise  $V \cup V'$  would be connected and  $V \subset V \cup V'$ , contradicting the maximality of  $V$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is separable, the set of all connected components is at most countable.

## 7. QUESTION SEVEN

7.1. **Part One.** First,  $S \subset \text{cl}(S_1)$ . Let  $(0, y) \in S_2$ . Define a sequence  $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $(x_n, y_n) = \left( \frac{1}{\arcsin y + 2\pi n}, y \right)$ . Since  $\sin(1/x_n) = \sin(\arcsin y + 2\pi n) = y$ , each  $(x_n, y_n) \in S_1$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, y_n) = (0, y)$ ; since there is a convergent sequence of points of  $S_1$  converging to  $(0, y)$ ,  $(0, y) \in \text{cl}(S_1)$ . Since  $(0, y)$  was an arbitrary element of  $S_2$ ,  $S_2 \subset \text{cl}(S_1)$ . Clearly  $S_1 \subset \text{cl}(S_1)$ , so that  $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \subset \text{cl}(S_1)$ .

Now we have to show that  $\text{cl}(S_1) \subset S$ . Suppose that  $(x, y)$  is a limit point of  $S_1$ . If  $x \in (0, 1/\pi]$  then we must have  $y = \sin(1/x)$ , in which case  $(x, y) \in S_1$ . Now suppose  $x = 0$ . The set  $[0, 1/\pi] \times [-1, 1]$  is a closed set

<sup>1</sup>How this is “by definition” depends on your definition of path-connected. It either holds vacuously or just as a special case by definition.

containing  $S_1$ , so  $\text{cl}(S_1) \subset [0, \frac{1}{\pi}] \times [-1, 1]$ . Then if  $x = 0$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$ , in which case  $(x, y) \in S_2$ . So,  $\text{cl}(S_1) \subset S_1 \cup S_2 = S$ .

**7.2. Part Two.** The function  $f : (0, 1/\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(x) = (x, \sin(1/x))$  is continuous on  $(0, 1/\pi]$ . Since  $(0, 1/\pi]$  is connected,  $S_1 = f((0, 1/\pi])$  is also connected. By part (1),  $S = \text{cl}(S_1)$ , and by part (1) of problem 5 the closure of a connected set is connected, so  $S$  is connected.

**7.3. Part Three.** Suppose for contradiction that  $S$  is path-connected. Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ ,  $f(t) = (x(t), y(t))$  be a path in  $S$  such that  $f(0) = (0, 0)$ ,  $f(1) \in S_1$ . Since  $f(0) \in S_2$  and letting  $c = \sup f^{-1}(S_2)$ ,  $f(c) \in S_2$  but for  $t > c$ ,  $f(t) \in S_1$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n$  is odd, choose  $k$  such that  $\frac{1}{3\pi/2+2\pi k} < x(c + 1/n)$  and set  $u_n = \frac{1}{3\pi/2+2\pi k}$ . Then  $\sin(1/u_n) = \sin(\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2\pi k) = \sin(\frac{3\pi}{2}) = -1$ . If  $n$  is even, choose  $k$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi/2+2\pi k} < x(c + 1/n)$  and set  $u_n = \frac{1}{\pi/2+2\pi k}$ . Then  $\sin(1/u_n) = \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$ . Using the intermediate value theorem, since  $x(c) = 0 < u_n < x(c + 1/n)$ , there exists  $t_n \in [c, c + 1/n]$  such that  $x(t_n) = u_n$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = c$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t_n) = x(c) = 0$ , because  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1/\pi]$  is continuous.

If  $n$  is odd, write  $n = 2j - 1$ .

$$f(t_n) = (x(t_n), y(t_n)) = \left( x(t_n), \sin\left(\frac{1}{x(t_n)}\right) \right) = \left( u_n, \sin\left(\frac{1}{u_n}\right) \right) = (u_{2j-1}, -1)$$

If  $n$  is even, write  $n = 2j$ .

$$f(t_n) = \left( u_n, \sin\left(\frac{1}{u_n}\right) \right) = (u_{2j}, 1)$$

Then  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(t_{2j-1}) = (0, -1)$  while  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(t_{2j}) = (0, 1)$ , so that  $f$  is not continuous at  $t = c$ , a contradiction.

## 8. QUESTION EIGHT

**8.1. Part One.** Given an arbitrary  $z \in A$ , the triangle inequality and the definition of distance to a set implies that

$$d(x, A) \leq d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Since  $z$  was arbitrary,  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + \inf\{d(y, z) : z \in A\} = d(x, y) + d(y, A)$ .

**8.2. Part Two.** By part (a),  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y)$ , so that  $f$  is Lipschitz with constant  $K = 1$ . Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

**8.3. Part Three.** Consider the map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(t) = (d(t, A), d(t, B))$ . Since  $X$  is connected,  $f(X)$  is connected.

Suppose for contradiction that there does not exist  $t \in X$  such that  $d(t, A) = d(t, B)$ . Then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the point  $(x, x) \notin f(X)$ . Consider the sets  $U_1 = \{(x, y) : y > x\}$ ,  $U_2 = \{(x, y) : y < x\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ;  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both open. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint and nonempty, there exists  $t_1 \in A$  such that  $d(t_1, B) > 0$  which implies that  $f(t_1) \in U_2$ . Similarly there

exists  $t_2 \in B$  such that  $d(t_2, A) > 0$  and  $f(t_2) \in U_1$ . Then  $f(X) \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f(X) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $f(X)$  is not connected, a contradiction.

**8.4. Part Four.** Since  $X$  is disconnected, there exist disjoint non-empty open sets  $U_1, U_2$  such that  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ . For every  $t \in X$ , either  $d(t, U_1) = 0$  or  $d(t, U_2) = 0$ , because either  $t \in U_1$  or  $t \in U_2$ . Suppose that  $t \in U_1$ ; then  $d(t, U_2) \neq 0$ . If  $d(t, U_2) = 0$ , then  $t \in \text{cl}(U_2)$ . Since  $U_1$  is an open set containing  $t$ , this implies that there exists  $y \in U_1 \cap U_2$ , which contradicts that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . Similarly, if  $t \in U_2$ , then  $d(t, U_1) \neq 0$ . Then  $d(t, U_1) \neq d(t, U_2)$  for any  $t \in X$ , because one of  $d(t, U_1)$  or  $d(t, U_2)$  must be zero and the other non-zero.

## 9. QUESTION NINE

[Note: observe that the following proofs only need that a metric space is also a topological space.]

**9.1. Part One.** [Note: the question should also have the hypothesis that  $A$  is nonempty.]

Since  $A$  is not connected, we can write  $A = U \cup V$  with  $U, V$  open and nonempty relative to  $A$ . Since  $A$  is closed, if we set  $E = A \cap U^c$ ,  $F = A \cap V^c$ , we get  $A = E \cup F$  with  $E$  and  $F$  closed and disjoint;  $E$  and  $F$  are closed in  $X$  because  $A$  is closed in  $X$ .

**9.2. Part Two.** By symmetry, it is sufficient to show that  $E$  is connected. Suppose not. By the first part of this question,  $E = E_1 \sqcup E_2$  with  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  closed. One of the closed sets  $E_1 \cap (E \cap F)$  and  $E_2 \cap (E \cap F)$  must be empty because  $E \cap F$  by assumption is connected.

Without loss of generality, assume that  $E_1 \cap (E \cap F) = \emptyset$ . But then  $E_1$  and  $E_2 \cup F$  are disjoint closed sets whose union is  $E \cup F$ , which is impossible because  $E \cup F$  by hypothesis is also connected.

**9.3. Part Three.** The simplest example is perhaps the following: let  $E = [0, 1) \cup (1, 2]$  and  $F = \{1\}$ . Here, only  $E$  is not closed, and it is certainly not connected, but  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $E \cup F = [0, 2]$ , both of which are connected.

## 10. QUESTION TEN

[This is almost exactly the same proof as the proof that  $[0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is connected.

Suppose  $E = U \cup V$  with  $U$  and  $V$  disjoint, nonempty, open subsets of  $E$ . Since  $U$  and  $V$  are nonempty, select a  $u \in U$  and a  $v \in V$ . Since  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint,  $u \neq v$  and we can consider the line  $L \subset E$  with endpoints  $u$  and  $v$ ; that is  $L$  is the set of all points on the line  $uv$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with at least one coordinate rational. For notational convenience, for  $t \in L$ , let  $[u, t)$  denote the subsegment of  $L$  from  $u$  to  $t$  but not containing  $t$ .

Let  $M = \{t \in L : [u, t) \subseteq U\} \subseteq U$ . Since  $U$  is open and  $u \in L$ ,  $M$  is nonempty. Since  $M$  is nonempty, the set  $\{d(u, t) : t \in M\}$  is nonempty and bounded, and hence has a supremum, and furthermore since  $L$  is a closed line segment,

there is a unique  $s \in L$  corresponding to this supremum. But then  $s \in U$  or  $s \in V$ , but since the points with at least one coordinate being rational are dense in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , this leads to a contradiction since  $U$  and  $V$  are open.