

# Resonances

D. Jakobson (McGill), jakobson@math.mcgill.ca  
Joint work with F. Naud (Avignon)

- [JN1] *Lower bounds for resonances of infinite area Riemann surfaces.*

Journal of Analysis and PDE, vol. 3 (2010), no. 2,  
207-225.

- [JN2] *On the resonances of convex co-compact subgroups of arithmetic groups*  
arXiv:1011.6264

1st December 2010

General  
Results

Lower bounds

Proof:  
Arithmetic  
case

Strips with  
infinitely many  
resonances

Lattice points

Proof of  
Theorem 5

Let  $\Gamma$  be a *geometrically finite* subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  without elliptic elements. Fundamental domain  $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}^2$  has finitely many sides. Assume that  $X$  has *infinite* hyperbolic area:  $X$  decomposes into a finite area surface  $N$  (called *Nielsen region* or *convex core*) to which finitely many infinite area half-cylinders (*funnels*) are glued.

If  $\Gamma$  has parabolic elements, then  $N$  has *cusps* (parabolic vertices); a surface without cusps is called *convex co-compact*; then  $\Gamma$  has no parabolic elements.

- The spectrum of  $\Delta = y^2(\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)$  on  $X$  consists of the continuous spectrum  $[1/4, +\infty]$  (no embedded eigenvalues).
- $\delta$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset S^1$ . If  $\delta > 1/2$ ,  $\Delta$  has finitely many eigenvalues in  $(0, 1/4)$ ; the first nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = \delta(1 - \delta)$ . Point spectrum is empty if  $\delta \leq 1/2$  (Lax, Phillips, Patterson, Sullivan).
- The resolvent

$$R(\lambda) = \left( \Delta_X - \frac{1}{4} - \lambda^2 \right)^{-1} : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$$

is well-defined and analytic in  $\{\Im(\lambda) < 0\}$ , except for finitely many poles corresponding to the finite point spectrum.

- The spectrum of  $\Delta = y^2(\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)$  on  $X$  consists of the continuous spectrum  $[1/4, +\infty]$  (no embedded eigenvalues).
- $\delta$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}^1$ . If  $\delta > 1/2$ ,  $\Delta$  has finitely many eigenvalues in  $(0, 1/4)$ ; the first nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = \delta(1 - \delta)$ . Point spectrum is empty if  $\delta \leq 1/2$  (Lax, Phillips, Patterson, Sullivan).
- The resolvent

$$R(\lambda) = \left( \Delta_X - \frac{1}{4} - \lambda^2 \right)^{-1} : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$$

is well-defined and analytic in  $\{\Im(\lambda) < 0\}$ , except for finitely many poles corresponding to the finite point spectrum.

- The spectrum of  $\Delta = y^2(\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)$  on  $X$  consists of the continuous spectrum  $[1/4, +\infty]$  (no embedded eigenvalues).
- $\delta$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}^1$ . If  $\delta > 1/2$ ,  $\Delta$  has finitely many eigenvalues in  $(0, 1/4)$ ; the first nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = \delta(1 - \delta)$ . Point spectrum is empty if  $\delta \leq 1/2$  (Lax, Phillips, Patterson, Sullivan).
- The resolvent

$$R(\lambda) = \left( \Delta_X - \frac{1}{4} - \lambda^2 \right)^{-1} : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$$

is well-defined and analytic in  $\{\Im(\lambda) < 0\}$ , except for finitely many poles corresponding to the finite point spectrum.

- *Resonances* are the poles of meromorphic continuation of the resolvent  $R(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$  to the whole complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . Their set is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_X$ . Guillopé and Zworski showed that  $\exists C > 0$  such that

$$1/C < \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : |z| < R\}/R^2 < C, \quad R \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Finer asymptotics: let

$$N_C(T) = \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : \Im(z) \leq C, |\Re(z)| \leq T\}.$$

- Zworski, Guillopé and Lin: “fractal” upper bound  
**Theorem 1.** For convex co-compact  $X$ ,  
 $N_C(T) = O(T^{1+\delta})$ ; where  $C$  is fixed, and  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .  
They conjectured the upper bound is sharp.

- *Resonances* are the poles of meromorphic continuation of the resolvent  $R(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$  to the whole complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . Their set is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_X$ . Guillopé and Zworski showed that  $\exists C > 0$  such that

$$1/C < \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : |z| < R\}/R^2 < C, \quad R \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Finer asymptotics: let

$$N_C(T) = \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : \Im(z) \leq C, |\Re(z)| \leq T\}.$$

- Zworski, Guillopé and Lin: “fractal” upper bound  
**Theorem 1.** For convex co-compact  $X$ ,  
 $N_C(T) = O(T^{1+\delta})$ ; where  $C$  is fixed, and  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .  
They conjectured the upper bound is sharp.

- *Resonances* are the poles of meromorphic continuation of the resolvent  $R(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$  to the whole complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . Their set is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_X$ . Guillopé and Zworski showed that  $\exists C > 0$  such that

$$1/C < \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : |z| < R\}/R^2 < C, \quad R \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Finer asymptotics: let

$$N_C(T) = \#\{z \in \mathcal{R}_X : \Im(z) \leq C, |\Re(z)| \leq T\}.$$

- Zworski, Guillopé and Lin: “fractal” upper bound  
**Theorem 1.** For convex co-compact  $X$ ,  
 $N_C(T) = O(T^{1+\delta})$ ; where  $C$  is fixed, and  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .  
They conjectured the upper bound is sharp.



- **Lower bounds:** Guillopé, Zworski:  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists C_\epsilon > 0$ , such that

$$N_{C_\epsilon}(T) = \Omega(T^{1-\epsilon}).$$

The proof uses a wave trace formula for resonances on  $X$  and takes into account contributions from a *single* closed geodesic on  $X$ .

- **Question:** Can one improve lower bounds taking into account contributions from *many* closed geodesics on  $X$ ?
- **Answer:** Yes, this is done in [JN1].

- **Lower bounds:** Guillopé, Zworski:  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists C_\epsilon > 0$ , such that

$$N_{C_\epsilon}(T) = \Omega(T^{1-\epsilon}).$$

The proof uses a wave trace formula for resonances on  $X$  and takes into account contributions from a *single* closed geodesic on  $X$ .

- **Question:** Can one improve lower bounds taking into account contributions from *many* closed geodesics on  $X$ ?
- **Answer:** Yes, this is done in [JN1].

- **Lower bounds:** Guillopé, Zworski:  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists C_\epsilon > 0$ , such that

$$N_{C_\epsilon}(T) = \Omega(T^{1-\epsilon}).$$

The proof uses a wave trace formula for resonances on  $X$  and takes into account contributions from a *single* closed geodesic on  $X$ .

- **Question:** Can one improve lower bounds taking into account contributions from *many* closed geodesics on  $X$ ?
- **Answer:** Yes, this is done in [JN1].

- Guillopé, Lin, Zworski: let

$$\mathcal{D}(z) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_X : |\lambda - z| \leq 1\}$$

Then for all  $z : \Im(z) \leq C$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(z) = O(|\Re(z)|^\delta)$ .

- Let  $A > 0$ , and let  $W_A$  denote the logarithmic neighborhood of the real axis:

$$W_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \Im \lambda \leq A \log(1 + |\Re \lambda|)\}$$

- **Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a geometrically finite hyperbolic surface of infinite area, and let  $\delta > 1/2$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_i\} \in W_A$ ,  $\Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}.$$

- Guillopé, Lin, Zworski: let

$$\mathcal{D}(z) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_X : |\lambda - z| \leq 1\}$$

Then for all  $z : \Im(z) \leq C$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(z) = O(|\Re(z)|^\delta)$ .

- Let  $A > 0$ , and let  $W_A$  denote the logarithmic neighborhood of the real axis:

$$W_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \Im \lambda \leq A \log(1 + |\Re \lambda|)\}$$

- **Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a geometrically finite hyperbolic surface of infinite area, and let  $\delta > 1/2$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_i\} \in W_A$ ,  $\Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}.$$

- Guillopé, Lin, Zworski: let

$$\mathcal{D}(z) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_X : |\lambda - z| \leq 1\}$$

Then for all  $z : \Im(z) \leq C$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(z) = O(|\Re(z)|^\delta)$ .

- Let  $A > 0$ , and let  $W_A$  denote the logarithmic neighborhood of the real axis:

$$W_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \Im \lambda \leq A \log(1 + |\Re \lambda|)\}$$

- **Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a geometrically finite hyperbolic surface of infinite area, and let  $\delta > 1/2$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_i\} \in W_A$ ,  $\Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}.$$

- **Corollary:** If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then  $W_A \cap \mathcal{R}_X$  is different from a lattice.
- Examples of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\delta(\Gamma) > 1/2$  are easy to construct. Pignataro, Sullivan: fix the topology of  $X$ . Denote by  $l(X)$  the maximum length of the closed geodesics that form the boundary of  $N$ . Then  $\lambda_0(X) \leq C(X)l(X)$ , where  $C = C(X)$  depends only on the topology of  $X$ . By Patterson-Sullivan,  $\lambda_0 < 1/4 \Leftrightarrow \delta > 1/2$ , so letting  $l(X) \rightarrow 0$  gives many examples.
- Proof of Theorem 2 uses (a version of Selberg) trace formula due to Guillopé and Zworski, and Dirichlet box principle.

- **Corollary:** If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then  $W_A \cap \mathcal{R}_X$  is different from a lattice.
- Examples of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\delta(\Gamma) > 1/2$  are easy to construct. Pignataro, Sullivan: fix the topology of  $X$ . Denote by  $l(X)$  the maximum length of the closed geodesics that form the boundary of  $N$ . Then  $\lambda_0(X) \leq C(X)l(X)$ , where  $C = C(X)$  depends only on the topology of  $X$ . By Patterson-Sullivan,  $\lambda_0 < 1/4 \Leftrightarrow \delta > 1/2$ , so letting  $l(X) \rightarrow 0$  gives many examples.
- Proof of Theorem 2 uses (a version of Selberg) trace formula due to Guillopé and Zworski, and Dirichlet box principle.



- **Corollary:** If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then  $W_A \cap \mathcal{R}_X$  is different from a lattice.
- Examples of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\delta(\Gamma) > 1/2$  are easy to construct. Pignataro, Sullivan: fix the topology of  $X$ . Denote by  $l(X)$  the maximum length of the closed geodesics that form the boundary of  $N$ . Then  $\lambda_0(X) \leq C(X)l(X)$ , where  $C = C(X)$  depends only on the topology of  $X$ . By Patterson-Sullivan,  $\lambda_0 < 1/4 \Leftrightarrow \delta > 1/2$ , so letting  $l(X) \rightarrow 0$  gives many examples.
- Proof of Theorem 2 uses (a version of Selberg) trace formula due to Guillopé and Zworski, and Dirichlet box principle.

- Theorem 2 gives a *logarithmic* lower bound  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}$  for an infinite sequence of disks  $D(z_i, 1)$ . Conjecture of Guillopé and Zworski would imply that  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \{z_i\}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{\delta-\epsilon}$ .
- **Question:** can one get *polynomial* lower bounds for some particular groups  $\Gamma$ ?  
**Answer:** Yes. **Idea:** look at infinite index subgroups of arithmetic groups, and use methods of Selberg-Hejhal.
- **Theorem 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite index geom. finite subgroup of an arithmetic group  $\Gamma_0$  derived from a quaternion algebra. Let  $\delta(\Gamma) > 3/4$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall A > 0$ , there exists  $\{z_i\} \subset W_A, \Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{2\delta-3/2-\epsilon}.$$

- Theorem 2 gives a *logarithmic* lower bound  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}$  for an infinite sequence of disks  $D(z_i, 1)$ . Conjecture of Guillopé and Zworski would imply that  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \{z_i\}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{\delta-\epsilon}$ .
- **Question:** can one get *polynomial* lower bounds for some particular groups  $\Gamma$ ?  
**Answer:** Yes. **Idea:** look at infinite index subgroups of arithmetic groups, and use methods of Selberg-Hejhal.
- **Theorem 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite index geom. finite subgroup of an arithmetic group  $\Gamma_0$  derived from a quaternion algebra. Let  $\delta(\Gamma) > 3/4$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall A > 0$ , there exists  $\{z_i\} \subset W_A, \Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{2\delta-3/2-\epsilon}.$$

- Theorem 2 gives a *logarithmic* lower bound  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq (\log |\Re(z_i)|)^{\frac{\delta-1/2}{\delta}-\epsilon}$  for an infinite sequence of disks  $D(z_i, 1)$ . Conjecture of Guillopé and Zworski would imply that  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \{z_i\}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{\delta-\epsilon}$ .
- **Question:** can one get *polynomial* lower bounds for some particular groups  $\Gamma$ ?  
**Answer:** Yes. **Idea:** look at infinite index subgroups of arithmetic groups, and use methods of Selberg-Hejhal.
- **Theorem 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite index geom. finite subgroup of an arithmetic group  $\Gamma_0$  derived from a quaternion algebra. Let  $\delta(\Gamma) > 3/4$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall A > 0$ , there exists  $\{z_i\} \subset W_A, \Re(z_i) \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\mathcal{D}(z_i) \geq |\Re(z_i)|^{2\delta-3/2-\epsilon}.$$

## Key ideas:

- Number of closed geodesics on  $X$ :

$$\#\{\gamma : l(\gamma) < T\} \sim \frac{e^{\delta T}}{\delta T}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Number of *distinct* closed geodesics in the arithmetic case: for  $\Gamma$  derived from a quaternion algebra, one has

$$\#\{L < T : L = l(\gamma)\} \ll e^{T/2}.$$

Accordingly, for  $\delta > 1/2$ , there exists *exponentially large* multiplicities in the length spectrum.

- Distinct lengths are well-separated in the arithmetic case: for  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , we have

$$|l_1 - l_2| \gg e^{-\max(l_1, l_2)/2}.$$

Ex:  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\mathrm{tr}M_1 \neq \mathrm{tr}M_2$  then  
 $|\mathrm{tr}M_1 - \mathrm{tr}M_2| = 2|\cosh(l_1/2) - \cosh(l_2/2)| \geq 1.$

## Key ideas:

- Number of closed geodesics on  $X$ :

$$\#\{\gamma : l(\gamma) < T\} \sim \frac{e^{\delta T}}{\delta T}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Number of *distinct* closed geodesics in the arithmetic case: for  $\Gamma$  derived from a quaternion algebra, one has

$$\#\{L < T : L = l(\gamma)\} \ll e^{T/2}.$$

Accordingly, for  $\delta > 1/2$ , there exists *exponentially large* multiplicities in the length spectrum.

- Distinct lengths are well-separated in the arithmetic case: for  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , we have

$$|l_1 - l_2| \gg e^{-\max(l_1, l_2)/2}.$$

Ex:  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\mathrm{tr}M_1 \neq \mathrm{tr}M_2$  then  
 $|\mathrm{tr}M_1 - \mathrm{tr}M_2| = 2|\cosh(l_1/2) - \cosh(l_2/2)| \geq 1.$

## Key ideas:

- Number of closed geodesics on  $X$ :

$$\#\{\gamma : l(\gamma) < T\} \sim \frac{e^{\delta T}}{\delta T}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Number of *distinct* closed geodesics in the arithmetic case: for  $\Gamma$  derived from a quaternion algebra, one has

$$\#\{L < T : L = l(\gamma)\} \ll e^{T/2}.$$

Accordingly, for  $\delta > 1/2$ , there exists *exponentially large* multiplicities in the length spectrum.

- Distinct lengths are well-separated in the arithmetic case: for  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , we have

$$|l_1 - l_2| \gg e^{-\max(l_1, l_2)/2}.$$

Ex:  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $\mathrm{tr}M_1 \neq \mathrm{tr}M_2$  then  
 $|\mathrm{tr}M_1 - \mathrm{tr}M_2| = 2|\cosh(l_1/2) - \cosh(l_2/2)| \geq 1.$

Trace formula (Guillopé, Zworski): Let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0, +\infty))$ , and  $N$  - Nielsen region. Then (in case there are no cusps)

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_X} \widehat{\psi}(\lambda) = -\frac{V(N)}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\cosh(t/2)}{\sin^2(t/2)} \psi(t) dt$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{l(\gamma) \psi(kl(\gamma))}{2 \sinh(kl(\gamma)/2)},$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \{\text{primitive closed geodesics on } X\}$ .

For  $\alpha, t \gg 0$ , we take

$$\psi_{t,\alpha}(x) = e^{-itx} \psi_0(x - \alpha),$$

where  $\psi_0 \in C_0^\infty([-1, 1])$ ,  $\psi \geq 0$ , and  $\psi_0 = 1$  on  $[-1/2, 1/2]$ .



- Geometric side (sum over closed geodesics):

$$S_{\alpha,t} = \sum_{\alpha-1 \leq kl(\gamma) \leq \alpha+1} \frac{l(\gamma)\psi_0(kl(\gamma) - \alpha)}{2 \sinh(kl(\gamma)/2)} e^{-itkl(\gamma)}.$$

- **Lemma 4:**  $\exists A > 0$  s.t.  $\forall T > 0$ , if we let  $\alpha = 2 \log T - A$ , and

$$J(T) = \int_T^{3T} \left(1 - \frac{|t - 2T|}{T}\right) |S_{\alpha,t}|^2 dt,$$

then

$$J(T) \geq \frac{C_2 T^{4\delta-2}}{(\log T)^2}.$$

- Geometric side (sum over closed geodesics):

$$S_{\alpha,t} = \sum_{\alpha-1 \leq kl(\gamma) \leq \alpha+1} \frac{l(\gamma)\psi_0(kl(\gamma) - \alpha)}{2 \sinh(kl(\gamma)/2)} e^{-itkl(\gamma)}.$$

- **Lemma 4:**  $\exists A > 0$  s.t.  $\forall T > 0$ , if we let  $\alpha = 2 \log T - A$ , and

$$J(T) = \int_T^{3T} \left(1 - \frac{|t - 2T|}{T}\right) |S_{\alpha,t}|^2 dt,$$

then

$$J(T) \geq \frac{C_2 T^{4\delta-2}}{(\log T)^2}.$$

Lemma 4  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 3: Assume for contradiction that for all  $z \in W_A$ ,  $\Re(z) \geq R_0$  we have  $\mathcal{D}(z) \leq |\Re(z)|^\beta$ . Let  $\alpha = 2 \log T - A$ . We have

$$\frac{C_2 T^{1+4\delta-3}}{(\log T)^2} \leq J(T) \leq \int_T^{3T} |S_{\alpha,T}|^2 dt.$$

Assumption implies that

$$S_{\alpha,T} = O(1 + t^\beta + T^{2\delta-3}).$$

Integrating, we find that

$$J(T) = O(T^{2\beta+1}).$$

This leads to a contradiction if  $2\beta + 1 < 1 + 4\delta - 3$ , or  $\beta < 2\delta - 3/2$ , proving Theorem 3.

Proof of Lemma 4 uses the fact that geodesic lengths on  $X$  have exponentially high multiplicities and their lengths are well-separated.

After expanding  $|S_{\alpha, T}^2|$  and integrating, we write  $J(T) = J_1(T) + J_2(T)$ , where  $J_1(T)$  is the *diagonal* term

$$J_1(T) = T \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)} \frac{(l^\# \mu(l))^2 \psi_0^2(l - \alpha)}{4 \sinh^2(l/2)},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma$  denotes set of distinct lengths of closed geodesics on  $X$ ;  $\mu(l)$  is the multiplicity of  $l$ ;  $l^\#$  the primitive length of a closed geodesic.

$J_1(T) \geq 0$ , and  $J_2(T)$  denotes the off-diagonal term.  $J_2(T)$  involves integrals  $\int_T^{3T} (1 - |t - 2T|/T) e^{i(l_1 - l_2)t} dt$ , where  $l_1 \leq l_2$ . Since distinct  $l_j$ -s are well-separated, we get cancellation in  $J_2(T)$ . One can show that  $|J_2(T)| \leq J_1(T)/2$  with  $\alpha, T$  chosen as in Lemma.

- It remains to bound  $J_1(T)$  from below.  $\psi_0(l - \alpha)$  is supported on  $[\alpha - 1, \alpha + 1]$ . The denominator  $4 \sinh^2(l/2)$  is of order  $e^\alpha$ . We find that

$$J_1(T) \geq C_3 T e^{-\alpha} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} (\mu(l))^2.$$

- Call the last sum  $S$ . Then

$$S \geq \frac{\left( \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} \mu(l) \right)^2}{\left( \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} 1 \right)}$$

The numerator is  $\gg [e^{\delta\alpha}/\alpha]^2$  by the prime geodesic theorem. The denominator is  $O(e^{\alpha/2})$  (since the lengths are well-separated). Hence  $S \gg e^{(2\delta-1/2)\alpha}/\alpha^2$ . Substituting  $J(T) \gg S \cdot T/e^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 2 \log T - A$ , we get  $J(T) \gg T^{4\delta-2}/(\log T)^2$ , proving Lemma 4.

- It remains to bound  $J_1(T)$  from below.  $\psi_0(l - \alpha)$  is supported on  $[\alpha - 1, \alpha + 1]$ . The denominator  $4 \sinh^2(l/2)$  is of order  $e^\alpha$ . We find that

$$J_1(T) \geq C_3 T e^{-\alpha} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} (\mu(l))^2.$$

- Call the last sum  $S$ . Then

$$S \geq \frac{\left( \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} \mu(l) \right)^2}{\left( \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \cap [\alpha - 1/2, \alpha + 1/2]} 1 \right)}$$

The numerator is  $\gg [e^{\delta\alpha}/\alpha]^2$  by the prime geodesic theorem. The denominator is  $O(e^{\alpha/2})$  (since the lengths are well-separated). Hence  $S \gg e^{(2\delta-1/2)\alpha}/\alpha^2$ . Substituting  $J(T) \gg S \cdot T/e^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 2 \log T - A$ , we get  $J(T) \gg T^{4\delta-2}/(\log T)^2$ , proving Lemma 4.

It follows from a recent result of Lewis Bowen that in every co-finite or co-compact arithmetic Fuchsian group, one can find infinite index convex co-compact subgroups with  $\delta$  arbitrarily close to 1 (and in particular  $> 3/4$ ). A. Gamburd considered infinite index subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  and constructed subgroups  $\Lambda_N$  such that  $\delta(\Lambda_N) \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . It was shown in [JN1] that subgroups  $\Gamma_N$  of  $\Lambda_N$  (of index two) provide examples of “arithmetic” groups with  $\delta(\Gamma_N) > 3/4$  for large enough  $N$ . Related questions were also considered by Bourgain and Kantorovich. The results of [JN1] can also be generalized to hyperbolic 3-manifolds (work in progress).

We describe some results in [JN2]. Let  $\lambda = s(1 - s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

- If  $X$  has finite area, then all resonances lie in the strip  $0 < \Re(s) < 1/2$ .
- If  $X$  has infinite area, then resonances are spread all over the half plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . We study resonances with the *largest real part*.
- If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then all but finitely many resonances lie in the plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . If  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , F. Naud showed that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{\Re(s) \geq \delta - \epsilon\} = \{\delta\}.$$

Constant  $\epsilon$  is not effective (follows from a Dolgopyat type estimate).

- We want to find “essential spectral gap”

$$G(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \sigma < \delta : \{ \Re(s) \geq \sigma \} \cap \mathcal{R}_X \text{ is finite} \}.$$



We describe some results in [JN2]. Let  $\lambda = s(1 - s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

- If  $X$  has finite area, then all resonances lie in the strip  $0 < \Re(s) < 1/2$ .
- If  $X$  has infinite area, then resonances are spread all over the half plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . We study resonances with the *largest real part*.
- If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then all but finitely many resonances lie in the plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . If  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , F. Naud showed that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{\Re(s) \geq \delta - \epsilon\} = \{\delta\}.$$

Constant  $\epsilon$  is not effective (follows from a Dolgopyat type estimate).

- We want to find “essential spectral gap”

$$G(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \sigma < \delta : \{ \Re(s) \geq \sigma \} \cap \mathcal{R}_X \text{ is finite} \}.$$

We describe some results in [JN2]. Let  $\lambda = s(1 - s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

- If  $X$  has finite area, then all resonances lie in the strip  $0 < \Re(s) < 1/2$ .
- If  $X$  has infinite area, then resonances are spread all over the half plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . We study resonances with the *largest real part*.
- If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then all but finitely many resonances lie in the plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . If  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , F. Naud showed that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{\Re(s) \geq \delta - \epsilon\} = \{\delta\}.$$

Constant  $\epsilon$  is not effective (follows from a Dolgopyat type estimate).

- We want to find “essential spectral gap”

$$G(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \sigma < \delta : \{ \Re(s) \geq \sigma \} \cap \mathcal{R}_X \text{ is finite} \}.$$

We describe some results in [JN2]. Let  $\lambda = s(1 - s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

- If  $X$  has finite area, then all resonances lie in the strip  $0 < \Re(s) < 1/2$ .
- If  $X$  has infinite area, then resonances are spread all over the half plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . We study resonances with the *largest real part*.
- If  $\delta > 1/2$ , then all but finitely many resonances lie in the plane  $\{\Re(s) < 1/2\}$ . If  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , F. Naud showed that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{\Re(s) \geq \delta - \epsilon\} = \{\delta\}.$$

Constant  $\epsilon$  is not effective (follows from a Dolgopyat type estimate).

- We want to find “essential spectral gap”

$$G(\Gamma) := \inf \{ \sigma < \delta : \{ \Re(s) \geq \sigma \} \cap \mathcal{R}_X \text{ is finite} \}.$$

- **Conjecture:**  $G(\Gamma) = \delta/2$  (known for finite volume  $X$ , Selberg).
- **Theorem 5:**  $\Gamma$  - convex co-compact Fuchsian group.
- If  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ , then we have  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2}$ .
- If  $\delta > 1/2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group, then  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ .
- As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2} + O(\delta^2)$ , close to the conjectured bound  $\delta/2$ .

- **Conjecture:**  $G(\Gamma) = \delta/2$  (known for finite volume  $X$ , Selberg).
- **Theorem 5:**  $\Gamma$  - convex co-compact Fuchsian group.
  - If  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ , then we have  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2}$ .
  - If  $\delta > 1/2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group, then  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ .
  - As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2} + O(\delta^2)$ , close to the conjectured bound  $\delta/2$ .

- **Conjecture:**  $G(\Gamma) = \delta/2$  (known for finite volume  $X$ , Selberg).
- **Theorem 5:**  $\Gamma$  - convex co-compact Fuchsian group.
- If  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ , then we have  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2}$ .
- If  $\delta > 1/2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group, then  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ .
- As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2} + O(\delta^2)$ , close to the conjectured bound  $\delta/2$ .

- **Conjecture:**  $G(\Gamma) = \delta/2$  (known for finite volume  $X$ , Selberg).
- **Theorem 5:**  $\Gamma$  - convex co-compact Fuchsian group.
- If  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ , then we have  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2}$ .
- If  $\delta > 1/2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group, then  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ .
- As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2} + O(\delta^2)$ , close to the conjectured bound  $\delta/2$ .

- **Conjecture:**  $G(\Gamma) = \delta/2$  (known for finite volume  $X$ , Selberg).
- **Theorem 5:**  $\Gamma$  - convex co-compact Fuchsian group.
- If  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ , then we have  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2}$ .
- If  $\delta > 1/2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group, then  $G(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ .
- As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2} + O(\delta^2)$ , close to the conjectured bound  $\delta/2$ .



We next discuss connections to lattice point counting problems.

- **Lax-Phillips:** Let  $\delta > 1/2$ . Given  $z, z' \in \mathbf{H}$ , let  $N(T; z, z') := \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(z, \gamma z') \leq T\}$ . Then

$$N(T; z, z') = \sum_j C_j(z, z') e^{\delta_j T} + O\left(T^{5/6} e^{(\delta+1)T/3}\right),$$

where  $\delta_j \in (1/2, \delta]$ ,  $\delta_j(1 - \delta_j) = \lambda_j \in [0, 1/4]$ ,  $\delta_0 = \delta$ .

- Conjecture: optimal error term should be  $O(e^{(\delta/2+\epsilon)T})$ ; expansion may contain additional terms.

We next discuss connections to lattice point counting problems.

- **Lax-Phillips:** Let  $\delta > 1/2$ . Given  $z, z' \in \mathbf{H}$ , let  $N(T; z, z') := \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(z, \gamma z') \leq T\}$ . Then

$$N(T; z, z') = \sum_j C_j(z, z') e^{\delta_j T} + O\left(T^{5/6} e^{(\delta+1)T/3}\right),$$

where  $\delta_j \in (1/2, \delta]$ ,  $\delta_j(1 - \delta_j) = \lambda_j \in [0, 1/4]$ ,  $\delta_0 = \delta$ .

- **Conjecture:** optimal error term should be  $O(e^{(\delta/2+\epsilon)T})$ ; expansion may contain additional terms.

**Theorem 6:**  $\Gamma$  convex co-compact subgroup of an arithmetic group with  $\delta > 1/2$ . There exists a full measure subset  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  such that for all  $(z, z') \in \mathcal{G}$  and all finite expansion of the form  $\sum_j Q_j(T; z, z') e^{\delta_j T}$ , where  $\delta_j \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $Q_j(T; z, z') \in \mathbf{C}[T]$ , then for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\left| N(T; z, z') - \sum_j Q_j(T; z, z') e^{\delta_j T} \right| = \Omega \left( e^{(\delta/2 - 1/4 - \epsilon)T} \right).$$

Here  $\Omega(\bullet)$  means not a  $O(\bullet)$ .

Approximate trace formula: let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . Let

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{su} \varphi(u) du = \widehat{\varphi}(is),$$

where  $\widehat{\varphi}$  F.T. of  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 7.** Let  $\rho < \delta$ , and assume

$$\#\mathcal{F}_\rho := \#\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{\Re(\mathbf{s}) > \rho\} < \infty.$$

Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  small enough,  $\exists \varepsilon \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq 2\varepsilon$  s.t.

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{l(\gamma)}{1 - e^{-kl(\gamma)}} \varphi(kl(\gamma)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\rho} \psi(\lambda) + O\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + |x|)^\delta |\psi(\rho + \tilde{\varepsilon} + ix)| dx\right).$$

Here  $\mathcal{P}$  - primitive closed geodesics. Constant depends on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\Gamma$ .

Lower bound on multiplicities for arithmetic groups: For all  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma$ , let

$$m(\ell) := \#\{(k, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathcal{P} : \ell = kI(\gamma)\}.$$

**Lemma 8.** Assume  $\delta(\Gamma) > 1/2$ . Then  $\exists A_\Gamma > 0$  such that for all  $T$  large, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{T-1 \leq \ell \leq T+1 \\ \ell \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma}} m^2(\ell) \geq A_\Gamma \frac{e^{(2\delta-1/2)T}}{T^2}.$$

Proof uses *bounded clustering* property of  $\Gamma$  (Luo, Sarnak).

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Test functions: Let  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $T \gg 0$ , and

$$\varphi_{\xi, T}(x) := e^{-i\xi x} \varphi(x - T),$$

where

$$\varphi \in C_0^\infty([-2, 2]); \varphi \geq 0; \varphi(x) = 1, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Let  $A \geq \Re(s) \geq 0$ , then

$$\psi_{\xi, T}(s) := \widehat{\varphi_{\xi, T}}(is) = e^{-i\xi T} e^{sT} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi + is).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\xi, T} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{l(\gamma)}{1 - e^{-kl(\gamma)}} e^{-i\xi kl(\gamma)} \varphi(kl(\gamma) - T).$$

Approximate trace formula (Prop. 7) implies

$$\mathcal{S}_{\xi, T} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\rho} \psi_{\xi, T}(\lambda) + E(\xi, T),$$

where

$$E(\xi, T) = O\left(e^{(\rho + \tilde{\varepsilon})T} |\xi|^\delta\right).$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma, T) := \sqrt{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma \xi^2} |\mathcal{S}_{\xi, T}|^2 d\xi,$$

where  $\sigma = \sigma(T) > 0$  - small. One can show that

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma, T) = \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}_\Lambda} a_{\ell, \ell'} \varphi(\ell - T) \varphi(\ell' - T) e^{-\frac{(\ell - \ell')^2}{4\sigma}},$$

where

$$a_{\ell, \ell'} := \frac{\widetilde{\ell \ell'} m(\ell) m(\ell')}{(1 - e^{-\ell})(1 - e^{-\ell'})}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma, T) \geq C \sum_{\substack{T-1 \leq \ell \leq T+1 \\ \ell \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma}} m^2(\ell).$$



Proposition 7 allows to bound  $\frac{\mathcal{G}(\sigma, T)}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}$  by

$$\underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\xi^2} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\rho} \psi_{\xi, T}(\lambda) \right|^2 d\xi}_{\mathcal{I}_1(\sigma, T)} + \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\xi^2} |E(\xi, T)|^2 d\xi}_{\mathcal{I}_2(\sigma, T)}.$$

We assume that  $\mathcal{F}_\rho$  is finite, hence

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\sigma, T) = O\left(e^{2\delta T}\right),$$

uniformly in  $\sigma$ . Also, one can show

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\sigma, T) = O\left(e^{2(\rho+\tilde{\varepsilon})T} \sigma^{-\delta-1/2}\right).$$

**Concluding the proof:**  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ : Cannot use Lemma 8.  
Use

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma, T) \geq C \sum_{\substack{T-1 \leq \ell \leq T+1 \\ \ell \in \mathcal{L}_\Gamma}} m(\ell) \geq B \frac{e^{\delta T}}{T},$$

(using prime geodesic theorem), for  $B > 0$ . Let  
 $T \gg 0, \sigma \ll 1$ .

$$B \frac{e^{\delta T}}{T} = O\left(\sqrt{\sigma} e^{2\delta T}\right) + O\left(e^{2(\rho+\tilde{\varepsilon})T} \sigma^{-\delta}\right).$$

Let  $\sigma = e^{-\alpha T}$ ; get a contradiction as  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  if

$$\alpha > 2\delta \text{ and } \rho < \frac{\delta(1-\alpha)}{2} - \tilde{\varepsilon},$$

hence infinitely many resonances in

$$\{\Re(\mathfrak{s}) \geq \frac{\delta(1-2\delta)}{2} - \epsilon\}, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

**Concluding the proof:**  $\delta \in (1/2, 1)$ :

Use Lemma 8:

$$B \frac{e^{(2\delta-1/2)T}}{T^2} = O\left(\sqrt{\sigma} e^{2\delta T}\right) + O\left(e^{2(\rho+\tilde{\varepsilon})T} \sigma^{-\delta}\right),$$

which if  $\sigma = e^{-\alpha T}$  produces a contradiction whenever  $\alpha > 1$   
and

$$\rho < \frac{\delta(2-\alpha)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence, infinitely many resonances in the strip

$$\{\Re(\mathbf{s}) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon\}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

General  
Results

Lower bounds

Proof:  
Arithmetic  
case

Strips with  
infinitely many  
resonances

Lattice points

Proof of  
Theorem 5

## Questions:

Lower bound for  $\delta = 1/2$ ?

Lower bounds for “non-arithmetic” groups if  $\delta > 1/2$ ?

Effective upper bounds for the essential spectral gap?