

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

# Semi-classical analysis for magnetic Schrödinger operators and applications

Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS)

McGill, May 2008

## Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

In the last decade, the specialists in semi-classical analysis get new spectral questions coming from Physics : more specifically from the theory of superconductivity and from the theory of liquid crystals.

## Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

In the last decade, the specialists in semi-classical analysis get new spectral questions coming from Physics : more specifically from the theory of superconductivity and from the theory of liquid crystals.

We would like to present some of these problems and their solutions.

This involves mathematically a fine analysis of the bottom of the spectrum for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields.

## Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

In the last decade, the specialists in semi-classical analysis get new spectral questions coming from Physics : more specifically from the theory of superconductivity and from the theory of liquid crystals.

We would like to present some of these problems and their solutions.

This involves mathematically a fine analysis of the bottom of the spectrum for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields.

The boundary condition (namely the Neumann condition) will play a basic role.

In the last decade, the specialists in semi-classical analysis get new spectral questions coming from Physics : more specifically from the theory of superconductivity and from the theory of liquid crystals.

We would like to present some of these problems and their solutions.

This involves mathematically a fine analysis of the bottom of the spectrum for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields.

The boundary condition (namely the Neumann condition) will play a basic role.

The recent results presented here are obtained in collaboration with A. Morame, S. Fournais, Y. Kordyukov, X. Pan .. or by my student N. Raymond.

Our main object of interest is the Neumann Laplacian with magnetic field.

We consider a magnetic field

$$\beta = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F}$$

on a regular domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ ) associated with a magnetic potential  $\mathbf{F}$  (vector field on  $\Omega$ ), which (for normalization) satisfies :

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = 0, \quad \mathbf{F} \cdot N_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where  $N(x)$  is the unit interior normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

Our main object of interest is the Neumann Laplacian with magnetic field.

We consider a magnetic field

$$\beta = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F}$$

on a regular domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ ) associated with a magnetic potential  $\mathbf{F}$  (vector field on  $\Omega$ ), which (for normalization) satisfies :

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = 0, \quad \mathbf{F} \cdot N_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where  $N(x)$  is the unit interior normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

We start from the closed quadratic form  $Q_B$

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto Q_B(u) := \int_{\Omega} |(-i\nabla + B\mathbf{F})u(x)|^2 dx. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega)$  be the self-adjoint operator associated to  $Q_B$ .  
 $\mathcal{H}^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega)$  is the differential operator  $(-i\nabla + \mathbf{BF})^2$  with domain

$$\{u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) : N \cdot \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

When  $\Omega$  is bounded, the operator  $\mathcal{H}^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega)$  has compact resolvent and we introduce

$$\lambda_1^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega) := \inf \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{H}^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega). \quad (2)$$

One could also look at the Dirichlet realization  $\mathcal{H}^D(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega)$  with domain

$$\{u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

and to the corresponding groundstate energy  $\lambda_1^D(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega)$ .

Motivated by various questions we consider the three connected problems in the asymptotic  $B \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Pb 1 Find an accurate estimate of the groundstate energy  
 $B \mapsto \lambda_1^N(B\mathbf{F}, \Omega)$ .

Pb 2 Find where a corresponding groundstate is living.

Pb 3 Show that  $B \mapsto \lambda_1^N(B\mathbf{F}, \Omega)$  for large  $B$  is monotonically increasing.

Introduction

**Main goals**

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

We will present results which are

- ▶ either rather generic
- ▶ or non generic but strongly motivated by physics.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

We will present results which are

- ▶ either rather generic
- ▶ or non generic but strongly motivated by physics.

If time permits, we will discuss also applications of this monotonicity for the identification of the **critical fields in superconductivity** and present similar questions in the context of the **theory of liquid crystals**.

Introduction

Main goals

**Rough results**

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

These results (initiated by Lu-Pan and Bernoff-Sternberg) are based on the analysis models with constant magnetic field  $\beta$  :

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

## 1. The case in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)) = B|\beta| .$$

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

1. The case in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)) = B|\beta| .$$

2. The case in the half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ 

$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}^N(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}_+^2)) = \Theta_0 B|\beta| ,$$



$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}^N(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}_+^3)) = \varsigma(\vartheta) B|\beta| ,$$

where  $\vartheta$  is the angle between  $\beta$  and  $N$ ,

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

1. The case in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)) = B|\beta| .$$

2. The case in the half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ 

$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}^N(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}_+^2)) = \Theta_0 B|\beta| ,$$



$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}^N(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}_+^3)) = \varsigma(\vartheta) B|\beta| ,$$

where  $\vartheta$  is the angle between  $\beta$  and  $N$ ,



$$\inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}^D(B\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{R}_+^d)) = B|\beta| .$$

The main points are



$$0 < \Theta_0 < 1. \quad (3)$$

▶ For the case  $d = 3$

$$\Theta_0 = \varsigma\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leq \varsigma(\vartheta) \leq \varsigma(0) = 1. \quad (4)$$

▶  $\vartheta \mapsto \varsigma(\vartheta)$  is decreasing on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ .

From this, we get

1. The bottom of the spectrum for Neumann in the half space is below the problem in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
2. In the  $3D$  case, when we minimize over the  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| = 1$ , the bottom of the spectrum is minimal when  $\beta$  is tangent to the boundary.

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

We introduce

$$b = \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\beta(x)|, \quad (5)$$

$$b' = \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\beta(x)|, \quad (6)$$

and, for  $d = 2$ ,

$$b'_2 = \Theta_0 \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\beta(x)|, \quad (7)$$

and, for  $d = 3$ ,

$$b'_3 = \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\beta(x)| \varsigma(\theta(x)) \quad (8)$$

## Theorem 1 : rough asymptotics

$$\lambda_1^N(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega) = B \min(b, b'_d) + o(B), \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda_1^D(\mathbf{BF}, \Omega) = Bb + o(B) \quad (10)$$

Particular case, if  $|\beta(x)| = 1$ , then

$$\min(b, b'_d) = b'_d = \Theta_0. \quad (11)$$

The consequences for Pb 2 are that a ground state is localized as

$B \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

- ▶ for Dirichlet, at the points of  $\overline{\Omega}$  where  $|\beta(x)|$  is minimum,
- ▶ for Neumann,
  - ▶ if  $b < b'_d$ , at the points of  $\Omega$  where  $|\beta(x)|$  is minimum  
(no difference with Dirichlet).
  - ▶ if  $b > b'_d$  at the points of  $\partial\Omega$  where  $|\beta(x)|\zeta(\theta(x))$  is minimum.

Introduction

Main goals

**Rough results**

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

In particular, if  $|\beta(x)| = 1$ , we are, for Neumann, in the second case, hence the groundstate is localized at the boundary.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

In particular, if  $|\beta(x)| = 1$ , we are, for Neumann, in the second case, hence the groundstate is localized at the boundary.

Moreover, when  $d = 3$ , the groundstate is localized at the point where  $\beta(x)$  is tangent to the boundary.

In particular, if  $|\beta(x)| = 1$ , we are, for Neumann, in the second case, hence the groundstate is localized at the boundary.

Moreover, when  $d = 3$ , the groundstate is localized at the point where  $\beta(x)$  is tangent to the boundary.

All the results of localization are obtained through Agmon estimates (as Helffer-Sjöstrand, Simon have done in the eighties for  $-h^2\Delta + V$ ).

If

$$b < \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\beta(x)| \text{ for Dirichlet}$$

or if

$$b < b' \text{ for Neumann,}$$

the asymptotics are the same (modulo an exponentially small error).

If we assume in addition

### Assumption A

- ▶ There exists a unique point  $x_{min} \in \Omega$  such that  $b = |\beta(x_{min})|$ .
- ▶ This minimum is non degenerate.

we get in  $2D$  (Helffer-Morame)

## Theorem 2

$$\lambda_1^{D \text{ or } N}(BF) = bB + \Theta_{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(B^{\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (12)$$

where  $\Theta_{\frac{1}{2}}$  is computed from the Hessian of  $\beta$  at the minimum.

The problem is still open (Helffer-Kordyukov W. in P.) in the  $3D$  case.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The interior case

The boundary case.

There are also many results for the case when  $b = 0$  (Montgomery, Helffer-Mohamed, Pan-Kwek, Aramaki, Helffer-Kordyukov ....).

The ground state is localized near the minimum.

When more than a minimum, tunneling can occur (Helffer-Sjöstrand, Helffer-Kordyukov).

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The interior case

The boundary case.

We describe recent results of N. Raymond in the  $2D$ -case. This time we assume that

## Assumption B

- ▶  $\Theta_0 b' := b'_2 < b$
- ▶  $\partial\Omega \ni x \mapsto |\beta(x)|$  has a unique non degenerate minimum  $x_{min}$ .

### Theorem 3

$$\lambda_1^N(BF) = b'_2 B + \Theta_{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(B^{\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Theta_{\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{k_0 + k_1}{2} C_1 - \Theta_0 \xi_0 \frac{\partial_{\nu} \beta}{b'} + \sqrt{3C_1} \Theta_0^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{\alpha} \quad (14)$$

with

$$\alpha = \partial_{ss}^2 \beta / b',$$

$k_0$  the curvature at the minimum,  $k_1 = k_0 - \frac{\partial_{\nu} \beta}{b'}$ ,  $C_1 > 0$  (spectral constant), all the derivatives being computed at  $x_{min}$ .

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The interior case

The boundary case.

In particular cases, there were results by Aramaki.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The interior case

The boundary case.

In particular cases, there were results by Aramaki.

Concerning Pb 2, the ground state is localized at the minimum.

The  $3D$ -case is open. For the applications, one can first start with the case when  $|\beta(x)| = 1$ . This is the case of interest in the theory of Liquid crystals.

In the constant magnetic field case, which plays a special role in superconductivity, one needs to go further !

In the two dimensional case, it was proved by  
DelPino-Felmer-Sternberg–Lu-Pan–Helffer-Morame the

### Theorem 4

$$\lambda_1(B) = \Theta_0 B - C \widehat{k}_0 B^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(B^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\widehat{k}_0$  is the maximal curvature of the boundary and  $C > 0$  is a universal constant.

In the two dimensional case, it was proved by DelPino-Felmer-Sternberg–Lu-Pan–Helffer-Morame the

### Theorem 4

$$\lambda_1(B) = \Theta_0 B - C \widehat{k}_0 B^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(B^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\widehat{k}_0$  is the maximal curvature of the boundary and  $C > 0$  is a universal constant.

Concerning Pb 2, we have localization at the points of maximal curvature.

In the two dimensional case, it was proved by DelPino-Felmer-Sternberg–Lu-Pan–Helffer-Morame the

### Theorem 4

$$\lambda_1(B) = \Theta_0 B - C \widehat{k}_0 B^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(B^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\widehat{k}_0$  is the maximal curvature of the boundary and  $C > 0$  is a universal constant.

Concerning Pb 2, we have localization at the points of maximal curvature.

Concerning Pb 3, this has been proved by Fournais-Helffer, who in addition get a complete expansion when the curvature has a non-degenerate maximum.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

**Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field**

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

2D Case

3D-case

Let us consider the (3D) situation.

Let us consider the (3D) situation.

We assume

### Assumption C1

The set of boundary points where  $\beta$  is tangent to  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\Gamma := \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid \beta \cdot N(x) = 0\}, \quad (16)$$

is a regular submanifold of  $\partial\Omega$  :

$$k_n(x) := |d^T(\beta \cdot N)(x)| \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma. \quad (17)$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

2D Case

3D-case

We also assume that

## Assumption C2

The set of points where  $\beta$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  is finite.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

2D Case

3D-case

We also assume that

## Assumption C2

The set of points where  $\beta$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  is finite.

These assumptions are rather generic and for instance satisfied for ellipsoids.

We have the following two-term asymptotics of  $\lambda_1(B)$  (due to Helffer-Morame- Pan).

### Theorem 5

If  $\Omega$  and  $\beta$  satisfy C1-C2, then as  $B \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\lambda_1^N(B) = \Theta_0 B + \hat{\gamma}_0 B^{\frac{2}{3}} + \mathcal{O}(B^{\frac{2}{3}-\eta}),$$

for some  $\eta > 0$ .

In Formula

$$\lambda_1(B) = \Theta_0 B + \widehat{\gamma}_0 B^{\frac{2}{3}} + o(B^{\frac{2}{3}}). \quad (18)$$

$\widehat{\gamma}_0$  is defined by

$$\widehat{\gamma}_0 := \inf_{x \in \Gamma} \widetilde{\gamma}_0(x), \quad (19)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_0(x) := 2^{-2/3} \widehat{\nu}_0 \delta_0^{1/3} |k_n(x)|^{2/3} \left( \delta_0 + (1 - \delta_0) |T(x) \cdot \beta|^2 \right)^{1/3}. \quad (20)$$

Here  $T(x)$  is the oriented, unit tangent vector to  $\Gamma$  at the point  $x$ ,  $\delta_0 \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\widehat{\nu}_0$  are spectral quantities.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

**Monotonicity**

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The statements

About the proof

In almost all the previously mentioned statements, we can answer positively to Pb 3.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The statements

About the proof

In almost all the previously mentioned statements, we can answer positively to Pb 3.

We mentioned already this result for  $d = 2$ , which is also true in the case of the disc, although  $\lambda_1(B)$  is somewhat oscillatory.

For  $d = 3$ , a recent result obtained in collaboration with S. Fournais is the

## Theorem 6

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\beta$  satisfying Assumptions C1-C2.

Let us assume that

1.  $\Gamma$  has one component.
2. There exists  $x \in \Gamma$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}_0(x) > \hat{\gamma}_0$ .

Then the left and right derivatives  $\lambda'_{1,\pm}$  exist and satisfy

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \lambda'_{1,+}(B) = \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \lambda'_{1,-}(B) = \Theta_0. \quad (21)$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The statements  
About the proof

The proof is a mixture of the following considerations :

- ▶ Existence of an expansion of the groundstate.
- ▶ Fine localization of a groundstate.
- ▶ Analytic perturbation theory.
- ▶ Particular structure of the hamiltonian which is quadratic in  $B$ .

The Ginzburg-Landau functional is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa, H}[\psi, \mathbf{A}] = & \\ & \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla_{\kappa H \mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 - \kappa^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\psi|^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \kappa^2 H^2 |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} - \beta|^2 \right\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

with

- ▶  $\Omega$  simply connected,
- ▶  $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,
- ▶  $\beta = (0, 0, 1)$ ,
- ▶  $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} = (\nabla + i\mathbf{A})$ .

We fix the choice of gauge by imposing that

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{A} \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

Terminology for the minimizers

Local critical field = Global critical field

The pair  $(0, \mathbf{F})$  is called the **Normal State**.

A minimizer  $(\psi, A)$  for which  $\psi$  never vanishes will be called **Superconducting State**.

In the other cases, one will speak about **Mixed State**.

The general question is to determine the topology of the subset in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$  of the  $(\kappa, H)$  corresponding to minimizers belonging to each of these three situations.

## Theorem 7

There exists  $\kappa_0$  such that,  $\forall \kappa \geq \kappa_0$ ,  $(0, \mathbf{F})$  is NOT a global minimizer of  $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, H}$  iff  $\lambda_1(\kappa H) < \kappa^2$ .

### Remark.

This makes the monotonicity of  $\lambda_1$  (for  $B$  large), which implies, for  $\kappa$  large, the existence of a unique  $H$  such that

$$\lambda_1(\kappa H) = \kappa^2.$$

particularly interesting.

The energy for the model in Liquid Crystals can be written<sup>1</sup> as

$$\mathcal{E}[\psi, \mathbf{n}] = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}\psi|^2 - \kappa^2|\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\psi|^4 + K_1 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}|^2 + K_2 |\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \tau|^2 + K_3 |\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}|^2 \right\} dx,$$

where :

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is the region occupied by the liquid crystal,
- $\psi$  is a complex-valued function called the *order parameter*,
- $\mathbf{n}$  is a real vector field of unit length called *director field*,
- $q$  is a real number called *wave number*,
- $\tau$  is a real number measuring the chiral pitch,
- $K_1 > 0$ ,  $K_2 > 0$  and  $K_3 > 0$  are called the *elastic coefficients*,
- $\kappa > 0$  depends on the material and on temperature.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The model

A first upper bound

Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional

A limiting case

Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

Main questions

A simpler question

Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

The questions are then :

What is the minimum of the energy ?

What is the nature of the minimizers ?

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals**
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

## The model

- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

The questions are then :

What is the minimum of the energy ?

What is the nature of the minimizers ?

Of course the answer depends heavily on the various parameters !!

As in the theory of superconductivity, a special role will be played by the following critical points of the functional, i.e. the pairs

$$(0, \mathbf{n}) ,$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  should minimize the second part :

$$\int_{\Omega} \{ K_1 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}|^2 + K_2 |\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \tau|^2 + K_3 |\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}|^2 \} dx .$$

These special solutions are called “nematic phases” and one is naturally asking if they are minimizers or local minimizers of the functional.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The model

A first upper bound

Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional

A limiting case

Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

Main questions

A simpler question

Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

For  $\tau > 0$ , let us consider  $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$  the set of the  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -valued vectors satisfying :

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} = -\tau \mathbf{n} , \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{n} = 0 .$$

For  $\tau > 0$ , let us consider  $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$  the set of the  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -valued vectors satisfying :

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} = -\tau \mathbf{n}, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{n} = 0.$$

It can be shown that  $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$  consists of the vector fields  $\mathbb{N}_\tau^Q$  such that, for some  $Q \in \operatorname{SO}(3)$ ,

$$\mathbb{N}_\tau^Q(x) \equiv Q \mathbb{N}_\tau(Q^t x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\mathbb{N}_\tau(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\cos(\tau y_3), \sin(\tau y_3), 0), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (23)$$

As a consequence, if we denote by

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{(\psi, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{V}(\Omega)} \mathcal{E}[\psi, \mathbf{n}],$$

the infimum of the energy over the natural maximal form domain of the functional, then

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau), \quad (24)$$

where

$$c(\kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)} \inf_{\psi} \mathcal{G}_{q\mathbf{n}}(\psi) \quad (25)$$

and  $\mathcal{G}_{q\mathbf{n}}(\psi)$  is the so called reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional.

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
  - Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

Given a vector field  $\mathbf{A}$ , this functional is defined on  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$\psi \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}}[\psi] = \int_{\Omega} \{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 - \kappa^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\psi|^4 \} dx. \quad (26)$$

For convenience, we also write  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}}[\psi]$  as  $\mathcal{G}[\psi, \mathbf{A}]$ .

So we have

$$c(\kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau), \psi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \mathcal{G}[\psi, q\mathbf{n}]. \quad (27)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi, \mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{G}[\psi, q\mathbf{n}], \quad (28)$$

if

$$\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau).$$

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals**
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case**
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We have seen that in full generality that

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau). \quad (29)$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

The model

A first upper bound

Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional

**A limiting case**

Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

Main questions

A simpler question

Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We have seen that in full generality that

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau). \quad (29)$$

Conversely, it can be shown [BCLP, P2, HP2], that when the elastic parameters tend to  $+\infty$ , the converse is asymptotically true.

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We have seen that in full generality that

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau). \quad (29)$$

Conversely, it can be shown [BCLP, P2, HP2], that when the elastic parameters tend to  $+\infty$ , the converse is asymptotically true.

### Proposition 1

$$\lim_{K_1, K_2, K_3 \rightarrow +\infty} C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) = c(\kappa, q, \tau). \quad (30)$$

So  $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$  is a good approximation for the minimal value of  $\mathcal{E}$  for large  $K_j$ 's.

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals**
- Two models with parameters.
- More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional**
- Main questions
- A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We now examine the non-triviality of the minimizers realizing  $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$ .

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We now examine the non-triviality of the minimizers realizing  $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$ .

As for the Ginzburg-Landau functional in superconductivity, this question is closely related to the analysis of the lowest eigenvalue  $\mu(q\mathbf{n})$  of the Neumann realization of the magnetic Schrödinger operator  $-\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}^2$  in  $\Omega$ .

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

But the new point is that we will minimize over  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ . So we shall actually meet

$$\mu_*(q, \tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)} \mu(q\mathbf{n}). \quad (31)$$

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals**
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions**
  - A simpler question
  - Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

As a consequence of the analysis, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0 . \quad (32)$$

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
  - Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

As a consequence of the analysis, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0 . \quad (32)$$

This is a pure spectral problem concerning a family indexed by  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$  of Schrödinger operators with magnetic field  $-\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}^2$ .

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
  - Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

As a consequence of the analysis, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0. \quad (32)$$

This is a pure spectral problem concerning a family indexed by  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$  of Schrödinger operators with magnetic field  $-\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}^2$ . In the analysis of (32), the monotonicity of  $q \mapsto \mu_*(q, \tau)$  is an interesting open question (see Fournais-Helffer [FH3] in Surface Superconductivity).

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
  - Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

We have proved with Pan that if  $\tau$  stays in a bounded interval, then this quantity and  $\mu_*(q, \tau)$  can be controlled when  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$  where

$$\sigma = q\tau$$

which is in some sense the leading parameter in the theory.

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals**
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question**
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

A simpler question which is partially solved in Pan [P2] (with the help of [HM4]) and corresponds to the case  $\tau = 0$  is the following :

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

A simpler question which is partially solved in Pan [P2] (with the help of [HM4]) and corresponds to the case  $\tau = 0$  is the following :

Given a strictly convex open set, find the direction  $\mathbf{h}$  of the constant magnetic field giving asymptotically as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$  the lowest energy for the Neumann realization in  $\Omega$  of the Schrödinger operator with magnetic field  $\sigma \mathbf{h}$ .

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

When looking at the general problem, various problems occur. The magnetic field  $-q\tau\mathbf{n}$  (corresponding when  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$  to the magnetic potential  $q\mathbf{n}$ ) is no more constant, so one should extend the analysis of Helffer-Morame [HM4] to this case.

---

<sup>2</sup>This condition can be relaxed [Ray] at the price of a worse remainder.

- Introduction
- Main goals
- Rough results
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field
- Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field
- Monotonicity
- Application in superconductivity
- Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals
  - Two models with parameters.
  - More on the proof of Monotonicity
- Bibliography

- The model
- A first upper bound
- Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional
- A limiting case
- Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional
- Main questions
  - A simpler question
- Semi-classical case :  $q\tau$  large

When looking at the general problem, various problems occur. The magnetic field  $-q\tau\mathbf{n}$  (corresponding when  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$  to the magnetic potential  $q\mathbf{n}$ ) is no more constant, so one should extend the analysis of Helffer-Morame [HM4] to this case.

A first analysis (semi-classical in spirit) gives, as  $\sigma = q\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\mu_*(q, \tau) = \Theta_0(q\tau) + \mathcal{O}((q\tau)^{\frac{2}{3}}) \quad (33)$$

where the remainder is controlled uniformly for<sup>2</sup>  $\tau \in ]0, \tau_0]$ .

The analysis of the second term is partially done by Pan (upper bound) and is a Work in Progress (with Pan) for the lower bound.

---

<sup>2</sup>This condition can be relaxed [Ray] at the price of a worse remainder.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

**Two models with parameters.**

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

Model 1 : De Gennes model

Model 2 : Montgomery's model.

The spectral analysis is based in particular on the analysis of the family

$$H(\xi) = D_t^2 + (t + \xi)^2, \quad (34)$$

on the half-line (Neumann at 0) whose lowest eigenvalue  $\mu(\xi)$  admits a unique minimum at  $\xi_0 < 0$ .

So our two universal constants attached to the problem on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  can be now defined by :

The first one is

$$\Theta_0 = \mu(\xi_0) . \quad (35)$$

It corresponds to the bottom of the spectrum of the Neumann realization in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  (with  $B = 1$ ).

Note that

$$\Theta_0 \in ]0, 1[ .$$

So our two universal constants attached to the problem on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  can be now defined by :

The first one is

$$\Theta_0 = \mu(\xi_0) . \quad (35)$$

It corresponds to the bottom of the spectrum of the Neumann realization in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  (with  $B = 1$ ).

Note that

$$\Theta_0 \in ]0, 1[ .$$

The second constant is

$$\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \mu''(\xi_0) , \quad (36)$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

**Two models with parameters.**

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

Model 1 : De Gennes model

Model 2 : Montgomery's model.

When the assumptions are not satisfied, and that the magnetic field  $B$  vanishes. Other models should be consider. An interesting case is the case when  $B$  vanishes along a line. This model was proposed by Montgomery in connection with subriemannian geometry but this model appears also in the analysis of the dimension 3 case.

When the assumptions are not satisfied, and that the magnetic field  $B$  vanishes. Other models should be consider. An interesting case is the case when  $B$  vanishes along a line. This model was proposed by Montgomery in connection with subriemannian geometry but this model appears also in the analysis of the dimension 3 case.

More precisely, we meet the following family (depending on  $\rho$ ) of quartic oscillators :

$$D_t^2 + (t^2 - \rho)^2 . \quad (37)$$

Denoting by  $\nu(\rho)$  the lowest eigenvalue, Pan-Kwek have shown that there exists a unique minimum of  $\nu(\rho)$  leading to a new universal constant

$$\hat{\nu}_0 = \inf_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}} \nu(\rho) . \quad (38)$$

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography

Let us be more precise on how we can derive the monotonicity result from the known asymptotics of the groundstate energy and localization estimates for the groundstate itself.

## Proof of Theorem 6

For simplicity, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is connected. Applying Kato's analytic perturbation theory to  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  gives the first part.

Let  $s_0 \in \Gamma$  be a point with  $\tilde{\gamma}(s_0) > \hat{\gamma}_0$ . Let  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  be the vector potential which is gauge equivalent to  $\mathbf{A}$  to be chosen later.

Let  $\widehat{Q}_B$  the quadratic form

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto \widehat{Q}_B(u) = \int_{\Omega} | -i\nabla u + B\widehat{\mathbf{A}}u |^2 dx,$$

and  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(B)$  be the associated operator.

Then  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(B)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  are unitarily equivalent:

$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(B) = e^{iB\phi} \mathcal{H}(B) e^{-iB\phi}$ , for some  $\phi$  independent of  $B$ .

With  $\psi_1^+(\cdot; \beta)$  being a suitable choice of normalized groundstate, we get (by analytic perturbation theory applied to  $\mathcal{H}(B)$  and the explicit relation between  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(B)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda'_{1,+}(B) \\ &= \langle \widehat{\mathbf{A}}\psi_1^+(\cdot; B), p_{B\widehat{\mathbf{A}}}\psi_1^+(\cdot; B) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle p_{B\widehat{\mathbf{A}}}\psi_1^+(\cdot; B), \widehat{\mathbf{A}}\psi_1^+(\cdot; B) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

We now obtain for any  $b > 0$ ,

$$\lambda'_{1,+}(B) = \frac{\widehat{Q}_{B+b}(\psi_1^+(\cdot; B)) - \widehat{Q}_B(\psi_1^+(\cdot; B))}{b} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - b \int_{\Omega} |\widehat{\mathbf{A}}|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda_1(B+b) - \lambda_1(B)}{b} - b \int_{\Omega} |\widehat{\mathbf{A}}|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

We choose  $b := MB^{\frac{2}{3}-\eta}$ , with  $\eta$  from (18) and  $M > 0$  (to be taken arbitrarily large in the end). Then, using (18), (40) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'_{1,+}(B) & \geq \Theta_0 + \widehat{\gamma}_0 B^{-1/3} \frac{(1+b/B)^{2/3}-1}{b/B} \\ & \quad - CM^{-1} - b \int_{\Omega} |\widehat{\mathbf{A}}|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

If we can prove that we can find  $\widehat{A}$  such that

$$B^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_{\Omega} |\widehat{A}(x)|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx \leq C, \quad (43)$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $B$ , then we can take the limit  $B \rightarrow \infty$  in (42) and obtain

$$\liminf_{B \rightarrow \infty} \lambda'_{1,+}(B) \geq \Theta_0 - CM^{-1}. \quad (44)$$

Since  $M$  was arbitrary this implies the lower bound for  $\lambda'_{1,+}(B)$ . Applying the same argument to the derivative from the left,  $\lambda'_{1,-}(B)$ , we get (the inequality gets turned since  $b < 0$ )

$$\limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \lambda'_{1,-}(B) \leq \Theta_0. \quad (45)$$

Since, by perturbation theory,  $\lambda'_{1,+}(B) \leq \lambda'_{1,-}(B)$  for all  $B$ , we get (21).

Thus it remains to prove (43).

We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\widehat{\mathbf{A}}|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega(\epsilon, s_0)} (t^2 + r^4) |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \|\widehat{\mathbf{A}}\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega(\epsilon, s_0)} |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with decay properties, we therefore find the existence of a constant  $C > 0$  such that :

$$\int_{\Omega} |\widehat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 |\psi_1^+(x; B)|^2 dx \leq C B^{-1}, \quad (46)$$

which is stronger than the needed estimate (43).

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



S. Agmon, *Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations: bounds on eigenfunctions of N-body Schrodinger operators*, Princeton Univ. Press, NJ, 1982.



J. Aramaki. *Semi-classical asymptotics of the ground state energy for the Neumann problem associated with superconductivity*. Int. J. Differ. Equ. Appl. 9 (3) (2004), p. 239-271.



J. Aramaki. *Asymptotics of eigenvalue for the Ginzburg-Landau operator in an applied magnetic field vanishing of higher order*. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. Sci 2 (2) (2005), p. 89-125.



J. Aramaki. *Asymptotics of the eigenvalues for the Neumann Laplacian with non-constant magnetic field associated with superconductivity*. Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 25 (3) (2007), p. 529-584.



P. Bauman, M. Calderer, C. Liu and D. Phillips, *The phase transition between chiral nematic and smectic  $A^*$  liquid crystals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 165 (2002), 161-186.



M. C. Calderer, *Studies of layering and chirality of smectic  $A^*$  liquid crystals*, Mathematical and computer Modelling, **34** (2001), 1273-1288.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



R. Dautray and J.L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology*, Berlin-Springer Verlag (1988-1995).



P. G. De Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1966.



P. G. de Gennes, *An analogy between superconductors and smectics A*, Solid State Communications, **10** (1972), 753-756.



P. G. de Gennes, *Some remarks on the polymorphism of smectics*, Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, **21** (1973), 49-76.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, second edition, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1993.



S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *On the third critical field in Ginzburg-Landau theory*, Comm. Math. Phys., **266** (2006), 153-196.



S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Strong diamagnetism for general domains and applications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 57 no. 7 (2007), p. 2389-2400.



S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *On the Ginzburg-Landau critical field in three dimensions*, arXiv:math-ph/0703047v1, March 2007. To appear in CPAM (2008).



S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity*. Book in preparation.



B. Helffer and Y. Kordyukov. *Spectral gaps for periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators : case of hypersurface wells*. Preprint 2008. To appear in the Proceedings of Qmath. 10.



B. Helffer and A. Mohamed. *Semiclassical analysis for the ground state energy of a Schrödinger operator with magnetic wells*.

Journal of Functional Analysis 138 (1) (1996), p. 40-81.



B. Helffer and A. Morame, *Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity*, J. Functional Anal., **185** (2001), 604-680.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



B. Helffer and A. Morame, *Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: the case of dimension 3*, Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences, **112**: (1) (2002), 71-84.



B. Helffer and A. Morame, *Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: curvature effects in the case of dimension 3 - (general case)*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **37** (1) (2004), 105-170.



B. Helffer and X. B. Pan, *Upper critical field and location of surface nucleation of superconductivity*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire, **20** (1), 2003, 145-181.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



B. Helffer and X. B. Pan, *Reduced Landau-de Gennes Functional and Surface Smectic State of Liquid Crystals* To appear in JFA (2008).



B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. *Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit I*. Comm. in PDE, 9(4) (1984), p. 337-408.



T. Kato *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. New York, Springer-Verlag (1966).



F. H. Lin and X. B. Pan, *Magnetic field-induced instabilities in liquid crystals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **38** (5) (2007), 1588-1612.



K. Lu and X. B. Pan, *Gauge invariant eigenvalue problems in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **352** (2000), 1247-1276.



K. Lu and X. B. Pan, *Estimates of the upper critical field for the Ginzburg-Landau equations of superconductivity*, Physica D, **127** : (1-2) (1999), 73-104.



K. Lu and X. B. Pan, *Surface nucleation of superconductivity in 3-dimension*, J. Diff. Equations, **168** (2000), 386-452.



R. Montgomery, *Hearing the zero locus of a magnetic field*. Comm. Math. Phys. **168** (3) (1995), 651-675.

Introduction

Main goals

Rough results

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a variable magnetic field

Two-terms asymptotics in the case of a constant magnetic field

Monotonicity

Application in superconductivity

Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals

Two models with parameters.

More on the proof of Monotonicity

Bibliography



X. B. Pan, *Surface superconductivity in applied fields above  $H_{C_2}$* , Commun. Math. Phys., **228** (2002), 327-370.



X. B. Pan, *Landau-de Gennes model of liquid crystals and critical wave number*, Comm. Math. Phys., **239** (1-2) (2003), 343-382.



X. B. Pan, *Superconductivity near critical temperature*, J. Math. Phys., **44** (2003), 2639-2678.



X. B. Pan, *Surface superconductivity in 3-dimensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (10) (2004), 3899-3937.



X. B. Pan, *Landau-de Gennes model of liquid crystals with small Ginzburg-Landau parameter*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **37** (5) (2006), 1616-1648.



X. B. Pan, *An eigenvalue variation problem of magnetic Schrödinger operator in three-dimensions*, preprint May 2007.



X. B. Pan, *Analogies between superconductors and liquid crystals: nucleation and critical fields*, in: *Asymptotic Analysis and Singularities*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, **47-2** (2007), 479-517.



X. -B. Pan. and K.H. Kwak, *Schrödinger operators with non-degenerately vanishing magnetic fields in bounded*

*domains*. Transactions of the AMS **354** (10) (2002),  
p. 4201-4227.



N. Raymond, *Sharp asymptotics for the Neumann Laplacian with variable magnetic field (case in dimension 2)*. In preparation.



M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I-IV*. Academic Press, New York.



B. Simon. *Semi-classical analysis of low lying eigenvalues I*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 38 (4) (1983), p. 295-307.