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Abstract. We consider an "anti Sigel's Lemma." Suppose $L_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}), \ldots, L_{M}(\boldsymbol{X})$ are $M$ linear forms in $N$ variables with integer coefficients. Does there exist a vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ with $|\boldsymbol{x}|=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{N}\right|\right\}$ relatively small such that

$$
L_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0
$$

for every $i=1, \ldots, M$ ? We prove that there does exist such a vector with

$$
|\boldsymbol{x}| \leq \frac{M+1}{2}
$$

that is the upper bound is linear in the number of linear forms. This readily generalizes to the number field case, that is if $K$ is a number field of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the linear forms have coefficients in $K$, then there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in K^{N}$ at which none of the linear forms vanish, and

$$
H(\boldsymbol{x})<_{K, N} M^{1 / d}
$$

where $H$ stands for the height function on $K^{N}$.
This question is also closely related to a classical question in the geometry of numbers. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ of maximal rank and determinant $D$, and suppose that $C_{R}^{N}$ is a closed cube in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ centered at the origin with sidelength $2 R$. What would be an upper bound on the number of points of $\Lambda$ in $C_{R}^{N}$ ? We provide an upper bound of the form

$$
\min \left\{\frac{2^{N}}{D}\left([R]+\alpha_{N} D^{1 / N}\right)^{N},(2[R]+1)^{N}\right\}
$$

where [ ] is the integer part function, and $\alpha_{N}$ is a constant that depends only on $N$.

