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Abstract. We study a line bundle $L$ over an abelian variety $X$ and an isogeny $f : X \to X$ satisfying $f^*L = L^n$. We study the problem of explicitly describing the action of $f$ on global sections of powers of $L$ and we determine the relations imposed by $f$ on the 'thetanulwerte' coming from such sections. In addition, the representation theory of finite and adelic Heisenberg groups is discussed.

0. Introduction

Let $X$ be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle of separable type on $X$ (i.e. $\deg(L)$ is prime to $p$ if $p > 0$). Assume that there exist an isogeny $f : X \to X$ such that $f^*L = L^n$ for some $n$ and $(\deg(f), p) = 1$ if $p > 0$. We say then that $f$ is quasi symmetry of $L$ and if $f \neq 1$ that $L$ is quasi-symmetric. If $n = 1$ we say that $f$ is a symmetry of $L$. This phenomenon is interesting in the context of the general theory of abelian varieties. One can also motivate the interest in such line bundles by the following observations:

(i) As explained in detail below, automorphisms of a curve $\mathcal{C}$ induce automorphisms of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$ and the second power of a carefully chosen line bundle $L$ (inducing the natural polarization of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$) is stable under all these automorphisms.

(ii) If $f$ only satisfies $f^*\phi_L = n \phi_L$, where $\phi_L : X \to X^\vee$ is the polarization induced by $L$, that is, $f$ is an isogeny of the polarized abelian variety $(X, \phi_L)$, then, as explained in detail below, if $L$ is symmetric, we have $f^*L^2 = (L^2)^n$. This shows that the situation we are dealing with is quite common, and in fact there exist whole families in appropriate moduli spaces characterized by this property.

(iii) Sections of ample line bundles are given over the complex numbers by Riemann's theta functions with characteristics. There are various methods by which one can determine a field containing the values of these functions at points corresponding, for example, to abelian varieties
with complex multiplication, of the moduli space of abelian varieties with principal polarization. It is of interest to understand these values as closely as possible.

Before stating some of the results of this paper we recall briefly the definition and basic properties of finite and adelic Heisenberg groups. For a complete account see [Mum1], [Mum3]. Needless to say, the theory on which we base our results is entirely due to Mumford.

**HEISENBERG GROUPS.**

**DEFINITION.** A *finite Heisenberg group* $G$ is a group for which there exists an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow k^\times \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow 1$$

such that $k^\times$ is the center of $G$ and $H$ is a finite abelian group.

It follows from the definition that the *commutator pairing* from $H \times H$ to $k^\times$ is a non-degenerate bimultiplicative skew-symmetric pairing and this implies that if $p > 0$ then $(p, #H) = 1$ and that the elementary divisors of $H$ appear in pairs (We denote the number of elements of $H$ by $d^2$). In fact these are the only restrictions on $H$.

The group $G$ always contains a finite group, denoted by $G^c$, characterized as the set of elements whose $d^2$-th power is trivial. $G^c$ sits in the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_{d^2} \longrightarrow G^c \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\mu_{d^2}$ is the group of $d^2$-th roots of $1$ in $k^\times$.\[1\]

We say that a subgroup $K$ of $G$ is a *level subgroup* if $\pi$ induces an isomorphism between $K$ and $\pi(K)$, and we say then that $K$ *lies above* $\pi(K)$. If $F$ is a subgroup of $H$ then $F$ has a level group above it if and only if $F$ is totally isotropic with respect to the commutator pairing. In fact, any level subgroup of $G$ is contained in $G^c$, because any level subgroup is of exponent $d$. We can always find two maximal isotropic subgroups $F, F'$ of $H$ such that $H = F \oplus F'$ - one says that $F$ has an *orthogonal complement* - but it is not true that every maximal isotropic subgroup has an orthogonal complement. This decomposition enables one to prove that $G$ is determined up to an isomorphism by $H$.

If $G$ is a finite Heisenberg group then Mumford has proved in [Mum1], in analogy with the Stone Von-Neumann theorem, that there exists a unique irreducible representation of $G$ on which $k^\times$ acts through its natural character. A complete description of the basic representation theory of finite and adelic Heisenberg groups appears in the appendix.

Finite Heisenberg groups arise as follows:

**DEFINITION.** Let $X$ be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. We say that $L$ is a line bundle of *separable type* if $(\deg(L), p) = 1$ if $p > 0$ (for $p = 0$ every line bundle is of separable type). Given a line bundle of separable type $L$ one defines the *Heisenberg group* $G(L)$ associated to it by

\[\text{Let } e \text{ be the exponent of } H, \text{ then one may define such subgroups where } d^2 \text{ is replaced by } e^2, 2e \text{ (or even } e \text{ itself if } e \text{ is odd). The particular choice } d^2 \text{ is both canonical and convenient. These remarks follow from the formula } (xy)^{e_0} = x^{e_1} y^{e_2} - [x, y]^{e_0 - 1/2}.\]


\[ G(L) = \{ \phi : L \rightarrow L \mid \phi \text{ is an automorphism of } L \text{ covering translation by } x \text{ on the base} \} \]

That is \( G(L) \) is the group of automorphisms \( \phi \) of \( L \) for which there exists some \( x \) such that \( \phi \) fits into a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \xrightarrow{\phi} & L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{T_x} & X,
\end{array}
\]

where we denote by \( T_x \) the translation map \( T_x(y) = x + y \).

There is a short exact sequence

\[ 1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow G(L) \rightarrow H(L) \rightarrow 0, \]

where \( H(L) = \text{Ker } \phi_L, \phi_L(x) = T_x^* L \otimes L^{-1} \). The group \( G(L) \) is a finite Heisenberg group. We refer the reader for a proof of this fact (it follows also from the results of the appendix) as well for a general discussion of these groups to [Mum1] and [Mum3].

**Definition.** Let \( \mathbb{A}_{f}^\infty \) denote the ring of finite adeles of \( \mathbb{Q} \) with the component corresponding to \( p \) omitted if \( p > 0 \). An **adelic Heisenberg group** is a group \( G \) fitting into an exact sequence

\[ 1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \rightarrow 0, \]

such that \( k^* \) is precisely the center of \( G \) or, equivalently, such that \( k^* \) is contained in the center of \( G \) and the commutator pairing

\[ \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \rightarrow k^* \]

is non degenerate.

The uniqueness of a skew - symmetric non degenerate pairing on \( \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \) implies that every adelic Heisenberg group is isomorphic to the group

\[ k^* \times \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{A}_{f}^{\infty} \]

with the group law

\[ (\alpha, x_1, x_2)(\beta, y_1, y_2) = (\alpha \beta \epsilon (\sqrt{2} (1^{x_1} y_2^{-1} x_2 y_1)), x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2) \]

where

\[ \epsilon : \mathbb{A} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (k^*)_{tor} \]

is a fixed isomorphism. We will usually denote this group by \( G \) and call it the **standard adelic Heisenberg group**.

In contrast to finite Heisenberg groups the representation theory of adelic Heisenberg groups is simple. We call a representation of \( G \) a **representation of order** \( n \) if \( k^* \) acts through the character \( \alpha \mapsto \alpha^n \) (the same definition applies to finite Heisenberg groups). In analogy with the theory of real Heisenberg groups – but in contrast with finite Heisenberg groups – there exists a unique continuous irreducible representation of order \( n \) for every \( n \neq 0 \) (see appendix).

Adelic Heisenberg groups arise from abelian varieties by a 'limiting process':

**Definition.** Let \( X \) be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \geq 0 \). Let \( L \) be an ample line bundle on \( X \) of separable type. Let \( T(X) \) be the prime-to-\( p \) Tate
module of $X$, that is,

$$T(X) = \lim_{(n, \rho) \to 1} X[n]$$

(if $p = 0$ there is no condition in the limit). Let $V(X) = T(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Define the adelic Heisenberg group associated with $L$, $\hat{G}(L)$, as follows:

$$\hat{G}(L) = \text{set of sequences of the form } (x_n, \phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an element of $V(X)$, the maps $\phi_n$ are defined if and only if $x_n \in H(n^*L)$, and then $\phi_n \in G(n^*L)$. If both $\phi_n$ and $\phi_m$ are defined and $m = nd$, then $d^*\phi_n = \phi_m$ where the pull back is with respect to $d x_n = x_n$. The group law is given by

$$(x_n, \phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ast (y_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_n + y_n, \phi_n \circ \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

The group $\hat{G}(L)$ is an adelic Heisenberg group and there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi} V(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$  

(For these facts as well as others stated below see [Mum1], [Mum2], [Mum3]). There is a canonical homomorphic section

$$\sigma^t : T(X) \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L)$$

given by $(x_n)_n \longrightarrow (x_n, \phi_n)_n$ where $(x_n, \phi_n)_n$ is the unique element of $\hat{G}(L)$ such that $\phi_1$ is the identity map. In general $\sigma^t(T(X))$ is not a maximal level subgroup. Actually there is a natural isomorphism for every $n$

$$\text{Normalizer}(\sigma^t(nT(X))) / \sigma^t(nT(X)) = G(n^*L).$$

Suppose from now on that $L$ is symmetric. Then there is a canonical section

$$\tau : V(X) \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L)$$

constructed as follows: given $x \in V(X)$ choose some $y \in \hat{G}(L)$ such that $2 \pi(y) = x$, and put $\tau^t(x) = y \cdot \delta_1(y)^{-1}$ (for the definition of $\delta_1$, which is an automorphism of $\hat{G}(L)$, inducing multiplication by -1 on $V(X)$ and the identity on $k^*$, deduced from multiplication by -1, see section 2). This definition does not depend on $y$ and defines a section – though not homomorphic – to $\pi$.

**Representations and Bases.** There is a natural action of $G(L)$ on $\Gamma(X, L)$:

Let $\phi \in G(L)$ cover translation by $x$ and let $s \in \Gamma(X, L)$ be a global section of $L$. Then

$$U_\phi(s) = \phi \circ s \circ T_x$$

defines an action of $G(L)$ where the center of $G(L)$ acts naturally. In fact this representation is irreducible. It follows from the discussion of the appendix that choosing a maximal level subgroup $K$, a $K$ invariant vector $v_1$ (which is unique up to a scalar) and a section $\Sigma$ for the commutator

$\Sigma$[1] In general if $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is an isogeny and $L$ is a line bundle on $Y$, then for every isomorphism $\phi : L \longrightarrow L$ covering translation by $y$ on the base and for every $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$ there is a unique isomorphism $f^* \phi : f^* L \longrightarrow f^* L$ covering translation by $x$ on the base which is obtained from $\phi$. We call this isomorphism - which depends on $x$ - the pull back $f^* \phi$ with respect to $f(x) = y$. 

---

[1] In general if $f : X \to Y$ is an isogeny and $L$ is a line bundle on $Y$, then for every isomorphism $\phi : L \to L$ covering translation by $y$ on the base and for every $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$ there is a unique isomorphism $f^* \phi : f^* L \to f^* L$ covering translation by $x$ on the base which is obtained from $\phi$. We call this isomorphism - which depends on $x$ - the pull back $f^* \phi$ with respect to $f(x) = y$. 

---
map $\chi : G(L) \longrightarrow K^*$ ($K^*$ = the characters of $K$) we get a basis for $\Gamma(X, L)$. Namely, \{ $U_{x \mathbb{B}}(v_i)$ | $\xi \in K^*$ \}. We shall always assume that $\Sigma(1) = 1$. We have also a decomposition of $\Gamma(X, L)$ into eigenspaces of $K$:

$$\Gamma(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in K^*} \Gamma(X, L)_{\xi}$$

where each $\Gamma(X, L)_{\xi}$ is one dimensional and spanned by $U_{x \mathbb{B}}(v_i)$. When $K$ has an orthogonal complement $K'$ we can do better. We can choose $\Sigma$ as the unique isomorphism with $K'$ induced by the commutator pairing.

The theory of descent shows (loc. cit.) that there is one-one correspondence between level subgroups $K$ over a fixed subgroup $F$ of $H(L)$ and isomorphism classes of line bundles $M$ on $X / F$ such that $p^* M \cong L$, where $p : X \longrightarrow X / F$ is the natural projection. Given such $M$ one associates to it all the automorphisms of $L$ that are of the form $p^* k L$ with respect to all $x \in F$. In this case one can show that $\Gamma(X, L)$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{M : p^* M = L} p^* \Gamma(X / F, M)$ and in fact fixing some level subgroup $K$, that corresponds to one of these $M$'s, this is exactly the decomposition to eigenspaces of $K$.

The analogue for adelic Heisenberg groups is as follows: define

$$\hat{\Gamma}(X, L) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \Gamma(X, n^* L)$$

where the limit runs over all $n$ prime to $p$ if $p > 0$, and is taken with respect to the injections $d^* : \Gamma(X, n^* L) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, d^* n^* L)$.

Given $s \in \hat{\Gamma}(X, L)$ and $(x, \phi_n)_n \in \hat{G}(L)$ define

$$U_{(x, \phi_n)} (s) = \phi_m \circ s \circ T_{-x_m}$$

where $s \in \Gamma(X, M^* L)$. This is a well defined group action of $\hat{G}(L)$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(X, L)$ and the fundamental fact is that it is irreducible. There is a one to one correspondence between maximal level subgroups containing $\mathfrak{c}'(m T(X))$ for some $m$ and line bundles of degree one on abelian varieties rationally isogenous to $X$ whose pull back to $X$ is rationally isomorphic to $L$ (see [Mum3] p. 62 ff for the definition and properties of rational isogenies). We call such level subgroups commensurable with $\mathfrak{c}'(T(X))$. To any such commensurable maximal level subgroup $K$ and a section $\Sigma$ to the commutator map $\chi : \hat{G}(L) \longrightarrow K^*$ (continuous characters) one can associate a basis \{ $U_{x \mathbb{B}}(v_i)$ | $\xi \in K^*$ \}, where $v_i \in \hat{\Gamma}(X, L)$ is a fixed $K$ invariant vector (which is unique up to a scalar). We have also a decomposition to eigenspaces

$$\hat{\Gamma}(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in K^*} \hat{\Gamma}(X, L)_{\xi}$$

and each $\hat{\Gamma}(X, L)_{\xi}$ is a one dimensional space spanned by $U_{x \mathbb{B}}(v_i)$. One should notice that for general level subgroups there is no such decomposition although there are certain families of related bases that one can construct from some other level subgroups. We will develop this idea in §3.
MAIN RESULTS.

In general, the content of this paper is as follows:
§1 contains the general background on quasi-symmetric line bundles neede for the sequel and the definition of the homomorphisms associated with them.

§2 is devoted to the proof of

THE SYMMETRY THEOREM (PRELIMINARY FORM). Let $X$ be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle of separable type on $X$. Let $\mathcal{A}(L) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g^*L \cong L\}$. Assume that there exists a maximal isotropic subgroup $Z$ of $H(L)$ which is $\mathcal{A}(L)$-invariant and choose some level subgroup $Z$ above $Z$. Choose some non zero vector $v_i \in \Gamma(X, L)_i = \Gamma(X, L)^Z$ and choose a section

$$G(L)^* \leftrightarrow \sum \to Z^*, \quad \Sigma(1) = 1.$$ 

Given $g \in \mathcal{A}(L)$, there exists an automorphism $\delta_g$ of $G(L)$ lifting the action of $g$ on $H(L)$ (see §1 below). Let $\phi : g^*L \to L$ be an isomorphism (determined up to a scalar). Let $T = \phi_v, \quad g^* \in \text{End}(\Gamma(X, L))$.

Then:

(a) There exists a unique character $\gamma_g \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, characterized by either:

(i) $\gamma_g(z)^{-1} \delta_g(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, or by

(ii) If $M$ is the line bundle on $X / Z$ corresponding to $Z$ then $g^*M \otimes M^{-1}$ corresponds to $\gamma_g$ under

$$\mathbb{Z}^* \equiv Z^* \equiv \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}^0(X / Z) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)).$$

Moreover, the association $\{g \mapsto \gamma_g\}$ is a cocycle in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}, \mathbb{Z}^*)$

(b) There exists scalars $b_{g, \chi} \in \mu_{d^2} (\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*)$, determined by the equation

$$b_{g, \chi} \left( \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} a_{\chi} v_\chi \right) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} a_{\chi} b_{g, \chi} v_\chi \quad \text{such that}$$

$$T \left( \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} a_{\chi} v_\chi \right) = c(g) \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} b_{g, \chi} v_\chi,$$

where $\{v_\chi = U_{g\chi} v_1\}$ is a basis for $\Gamma(X, L)$ and $c(g)$ is a scalar determined by the equality $Tv_1 = c(g) v_\chi$. In particular the matrix describing $T$, which is given explicitly by the $b_{g, \chi}$'s, is monomial and unitary.

REMARKS. 1) Note that the underlying permutation of $T$ is $\chi \mapsto \gamma_g \cdot g \chi$. Note also that $b_{g, 1} = 1$.

2) For $L$ very ample, $T$ is actually writing the automorphism $g$ by coordinates. Note that the indeterminacy up to a scalar of $T$ disappears in projective coordinates.

3) Given any finite automorphism $g$ of $X$ we can create an ample line bundle for which $g$ is a symmetry by taking the 'norm' of any ample line bundle with respect to $g$. Since the resulting line bundle is ample our method applies. In particular we see that for every automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ of finite order there exists a projective embedding such that the action of $g$ on $X$ is given by a monomial unitary matrix.
4) Both in the case of \( k = \mathbb{C} \) and in the general case we can get from the symmetry theorem identities between functions. Over \( \mathbb{C} \) this could be done by trivializing the pull-back of our line bundle to the universal covering space. In general we may trivialize the pull-back of our line bundle to \( \mathcal{V}(X) \) à la Mumford ([Mum3]).

§3 contains several topics. We discuss the functorial behavior of adelic Heisenberg groups, projection operators on finite Heisenberg groups and the construction of 'compatible' bases to\( \Gamma(X, n^*L) \). Finally we prove a result analogous to the symmetry theorem for isogenies \( f: X \longrightarrow X \) such that \( f^*L = L^p \) and such that \( (\text{deg}(f), p) = 1 \) if \( p > 0 \). The precise formulation requires too much preparatory work to be stated here. Along the way we state another elegant version of the symmetry theorem (and an interesting converse to it) and the section closes with explaining how, under mild restrictions, we can extend our results to isogenies \( f: X \longrightarrow Y \) with appropriate line bundles.

§4 contains some examples illustrating the theory.

§5 consists of three topics. The extension of the simultaneous construction of bases for \( \Gamma(X, n^*L) \) to all \( \Gamma(X, L^n) \). The extension of our results to \( \mathbb{Q} \) - isogenies. A concise dictionary between the analytic and algebraic theory.

In the appendix we classify all the irreducible representation of finite Heisenberg groups and determine the decomposition of tensor products of such representations, hence giving an explicit description of the representation ring. The same results are obtained for continuous representations of adelic Heisenberg groups. Although for finite Heisenberg groups there might be many non isomorphic irreducible representations of order \( n \), for adelic Heisenberg groups there is a unique irreducible continuous representation of order \( n \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) - \{0\}. This is in complete analogy to the well known case of real Heisenberg groups.

The results are explicit enough to easily determine for example the decomposition of \( \Gamma(X, L^n) \) as a module of \( G(L) \) acting via the natural homomorphism \( \epsilon_n: G(L) \longrightarrow G(L^n) \), or of \( \text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L)) \) yielding in this case a new interpretation of the notion of even and odd theta functions and shedding more light, so we believe, on the multiplication map
\[
\Gamma(X, L)^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, L^n).
\]

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Many thanks are due to Ehud De Shalit for many valuable comments. A version of this paper was written while enjoying the excellent working conditions of the Max-Planck-Institut Für Mathematik in Bonn in the summer of 1995.
1. **Quasi-Symmetric Line Bundles on Abelian Varieties.**

We retain the notation fixed in the introduction. Thus \( X \) is an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \geq 0 \). \( L \) is an ample line bundle of separable type on \( X \) etc.

**Definition.** Define

\[
\mathcal{A}(L) = \{ g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid L \cong g^*L \}, \\
\mathcal{A}^*(L) = \{ (g, \phi) \mid \phi: L \to g^*L \text{ an isomorphism} \}.
\]

Note that according to our terminology, \( L \) is *quasi-symmetric* if \( \mathcal{A}(L) \neq \{1\} \). As customary \( L \) is called *symmetric* if \( -1 \in \mathcal{A}(L) \).

**Remarks.** 1) \( \mathcal{A}(L) \) is a finite group.

2) In the sequel we often do not distinguish divisors, line bundles and invertible sheaves. While this might cause some confusion it has the advantage of making some arguments more transparent. In this connection we remark that one can define \( \mathcal{A}^*(L) \) for \( L = \text{divisor} \), line bundle, invertible sheaf (in the obvious way) such that under the usual transition between the different concepts the definitions of the various \( \mathcal{A}^*(L) \) agree.

**Lemma 1.** There is an exact sequence of groups

\[
1 \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(L) \longrightarrow 1,
\]

where we define

\[
(g, \phi)(h, \psi) = (gh, h^*\phi \circ \psi).
\]

This sequence always splits.

**Proof.** The assertion about the exact sequence is easy to check. A quick proof of the second assertion is obtained by requiring the lifting \( \alpha \) of \( a \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) to act as the identity on the fiber of \( L \) in zero. We give a second proof which gives more:

First note that it is enough to prove that there exists some divisor \( D \) on \( X \), such that \( L \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D) \) and such that \( g^{-1}(D) = D \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \). Indeed, given such \( D \), let

\[
\alpha: \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow L
\]

be an isomorphism. Then for every \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) we have

\[
L \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}D) \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} g^*\mathcal{O}_X(D) \xrightarrow{g^*\alpha} g^*L
\]

which gives us a splitting homomorphism

\[
\mathcal{A}(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(L), \quad g \longrightarrow (g, g^*\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}).
\]

To find such \( D \) start with any divisor \( F \) such that \( L \cong \mathcal{O}_X(F) \) and such that \( 0 \notin \text{supp}(F) \). The isomorphism \( g^*L \cong L \) implies that for all \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) there exists a function \( f_g \) such that
\[ g^*F = F + (f_g). \]

Since \(0 \notin \text{supp}(F)\) we also have \(0 \notin \text{supp}(g^*F)\) and therefore \(0 \notin \text{supp}(f_g)\) and we may normalize the functions \(f_g\) for all \(g \in \mathcal{A}(L)\) by requiring that \(f_g(0) = 1\) and that determines each \(f_g\) uniquely.

It is easy to check that

\[ g \mapsto f_g \]

is a \(1\) - cocycle in \(Z^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}, k(X)^*)\), where \(f_g^*(h(x)) = f_g(h(x))\) defines the left action of \(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}\) on \(k(X)\). By Hilbert's 90 there exists \(\Omega \in k(X)^*\) such that for all \(g \in \mathcal{A}(L)\)

\[ f_g = \Omega^g / \Omega. \]

We take \(D = F - (\Omega)\). Q.E.D.

**Corollary 1** (of proof). For every line bundle \(L\) there exists an \(\mathcal{A}(L)\) - invariant divisor \(D\), such that \(L \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)\).

**Remark.** Assume that every simple component of \(X\) is of dimension at least 2. Define \(K_{\mathcal{O}(L)} = X / \mathcal{A}(L)\) and let \(\pi: X \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{O}(L)}\) be the natural quotient map. Then there exists a divisor \(F\) on \(K_{\mathcal{O}(L)}\) such that \(\pi^*F = D\) where \(D\) is a divisor defining \(L\). Indeed, if we define

\[ \mathcal{R}(L) = \{ x \in X \mid \text{stab}_{\mathcal{O}(L)}(x) \neq \{1\} \}. \]

Since

\[ \mathcal{R}(L) = \bigcup_{1 \neq g \in \mathcal{O}(L)} \text{Ker} (1 - g) \]

it follows that \(\text{codim}(\mathcal{R}(L)) \geq 2\). Therefore, letting \(X' = X - \mathcal{R}(L)\), \(K' = X' / \mathcal{A}(L)\) we have natural isomorphisms \(\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Cl}(X')\), \(\text{Cl}(K) = \text{Cl}(K')\) and we reduce to proving the same assertion for \(D_{X'}\) and proving the existence of such \(F\) on \(K'\). But, now the map

\[ \pi: X' \rightarrow K' \]

is étale and finite. For such maps, descent theory tells us that such a divisor \(F\) exists. Note, however, that we cannot conclude that there exists a line bundle \(M\) on \(K_{\mathcal{O}(L)}\) such that \(\pi^*M \equiv L\) (the precise conditions for \(\mathcal{A}(L) = \{\pm 1\}\) were given in [Mum1]). The essential reason for this is that on a singular variety the concepts of Weil and Cartier divisors diverge. A concrete example is given by any ample symmetric \(L(H, \chi)\) such that \(\chi\) is non-trivial. (see §5 for terminology).

**Theorem 3.** Let \(L\) be an ample line bundle on \(X\). Define

\[ \mathcal{A}(L) = \{ g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \text{there exists } \gamma(g) \in X \text{ s.t. } g^*L \equiv T_{\gamma(g) \ast}L \}. \]

Then : 1) \(\mathcal{A}(L)\) is a finite group.

2) Let \(S\) be a subgroup of \(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}\) of order \(s\). Then

\[ g \mapsto \gamma(g) \pmod{H(L)} \]

is a \(1\) - cocycle representing a class in \(H^1(S, X / H(L))\) of order \(m \mid (s, 2)\).

3) There exists \(K \in X\) such that
\[ g^* T_k^* L^m \cong T_k^* L^m \quad \text{for all } g \in S, \]
that is
\[ S \subseteq \mathcal{A}(T_k^* L^m). \]

Further, \( \kappa \) is unique up to an element of
\[ \bigcap_{g \in S} (1 - g)^{-1} H(L^m). \]

Proof. 1) Note that since \( L \) is ample, \( \mathcal{A}(L) \) is precisely the group of automorphisms preserving the algebraic equivalence class of \( L \). That is, precisely the group of automorphisms preserving the polarization \( \phi_L \) which is finite.

2) First note that \( \gamma(g) \) is unique \( \text{mod } H(L) \) and that \( \mathcal{A}(L) \) preserves \( H(L) \). Then
\[ (gh)^* L \cong h^* g^* L \]
\[ \cong h^* T_{\gamma(g)}^* L \]
\[ \cong T_{k}^{-1} (g^* g)^* h^* L \]
\[ \cong T_{k}^{-1} (g^* g) L. \]
This implies that
\[ \gamma(gh) = h^* \gamma(g) + \gamma(h) \text{ (mod } H(L)), \]
where we put \( h^* t = h^{-1} (i) \) (this is an action of \( \mathcal{A}(L)^{op} \)). This shows that for \( g \in S \) we get a cocycle in
\[ H^1(S, X \cap H(L)), \]
which is killed by \( s \) and therefore it is of order \( m \mid s \). Moreover, we may assume, after translating \( L \) which amounts to changing everything by a coboundary, that \( L \) is symmetric. Therefore \( g^* L \) is symmetric for every \( g \) which implies that \( 2\gamma(g) \in H(L) \). Explicating these remarks we see that there exists some \( \kappa_0 \in X / H(L) \) such that
\[ m \overline{\gamma(g)} = (1 - g)^* \kappa_0 \quad \text{for all } g \in S \]
(we denote elements of \( X / H(L) \) by \( \overline{x}, \overline{y} \) etc.). Choose some \( \kappa \in X \) such that \( m \kappa = \kappa_0 \). Then there exists an element \( \overline{\gamma(g)} \in (X / H(L))[m] = H(L^m) / H(L), \) such that
\[ \overline{\gamma(g)} = (1 - g)^* \kappa + \overline{r(g)}. \]

We have:
\[ (1) \quad g^* L^m \equiv T_{g^*} L^m \equiv T_{(1 - g)^* \kappa + (1 - g)^* \kappa}^* L^m \equiv T_{(1 - g)^* \kappa}^* L^m. \]
\[ (2) \quad g^* T_k^* L^m \equiv T_{g^{-1} (k)}^* \quad g^* L^m \equiv T_{g^{-1} (k) + (1 - g)^* \kappa}^* L^m \equiv T_k^* L^m. \]

That proves the first part of 3). To get the uniqueness assertion we note that if
\[ g^* T_k^* L^m \equiv T_k^* L^m, \]
then the second and fourth expressions of (2) shows that
\[ g^* L^m \equiv T_{(1 - g)^* \kappa}^* L^m, \]
hence,
\[ (1 - g)^* \kappa' = \gamma(g) \text{ mod } H(L^m). \]
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Since $\kappa$ satisfies the same equality we see that

$$(1 - g)*m(\kappa - \kappa^{'}) \in H(L),$$

therefore,

$$\kappa - \kappa^{' \in} \bigcap_{g \in A_{\ast}(L)} ((1 - g)*m)^{-1}H(L)$$

which implies the uniqueness assertion. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $C$ be a smooth complete curve and let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Aut}(C)$. We denote by the same letter also the automorphisms induced by $\mathcal{P}$ on $\text{Jac}(C)$. Fix some base point $c \in C$ and let $\Theta = \Theta_c$ be the theta divisor with respect to the embedding $C \hookrightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ determined by the base point $c$. Choose some $\kappa \in \text{Jac}(C)$ such that $T_{\kappa} \ast \Theta_c$ is symmetric and let $L = Q_{\text{Jac}(C)}(T_{\kappa} \ast \Theta_c)$. Then

$$\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}(L^2).$$

Proof. We could have used Theorem 3 but it is better to argue directly using the same rational. Let $L$ be defined as above. Then for every $g \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$g^*L \equiv T_{\gamma(g)}^*L$$

for some unique $\gamma(g)$. Since $L$ is symmetric so is $g^*L$ and therefore $2\gamma(g) \in H(L) = \{0\}$. That is $\gamma(g) \in \text{Jac}(C)[2]$. Thus,

$$g^*L^2 \equiv (T_{\gamma(g)}^*L^2) \equiv T_{\gamma(g)}^*(L^2) \equiv L^2.$$ Q.E.D.

REMARKS. 1) Proposition 4 shows that there are many examples of line bundles $L$ such that $\mathfrak{A}(L) \not\subset \{ \pm 1 \}$. Other examples may be constructed using the theory of complex multiplication.

2) Note that in $\text{Pic}_{g-1}(C)$ the theta divisor is certainly invariant. The problem Proposition 4 deals with is essentially the problem of non-existence of a common fixed point for $\mathcal{P}$. Example II in §4 shows that the curve $y^2 = x^6 - 1$ has no point fixed by all its automorphisms. (Indeed, by Lefschetz fixed point formula, the number of fixed points for the automorphism $\pi$ given there is 2 and these are the points $\{(0, i), (0, -i)\}$. On the other hand the fixed points of the hyperelliptic involution are $\{(0, \zeta) \mid \zeta^6 = 1 \}$. If there were a point $p$ such that the theta divisor with respect to $p$ - denoted by $\Theta_p$ - is invariant under all these automorphisms then $\Theta_p = \Theta_{g(p)} = T_{g(p)} \ast \Theta_p$. Since the theta divisor is of degree 1 we conclude that $g(p) = p$ for all $g$ which is impossible. Therefore, Proposition 4 is the best we can hope for in general.

DEFINITION. Let $L$ be a symmetric ample line bundle. Define

$$\mathfrak{E}(L) = \{ f \in \text{End}(X) \mid f^*L \equiv L^n \text{ for some } n, (p, \text{deg}(f)) = 1 \text{ if } p > 0 \},$$

$$\mathfrak{E}^\infty(L) = \{ f \in \text{End}(X) \mid f^*L \equiv L^n \text{ for some } n, (p, \text{deg}(f)) = 1 \text{ if } p > 0 \}.$$

REMARK. The condition $f^*L \equiv L^n$ is not too strong. Indeed, if $f^*L$ is only algebraically equivalent to $L^n$, then the same considerations as in Proposition 4 show that $f^*L^2 \equiv L^{2n}$.

Consider now an isogeny $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. The
following lemma describes the basic functoriality of the adelic Heisenberg groups associated with line bundles.

**Lemma - Definition 5.** 1) There exists a canonical isomorphism 
\[ j(f, L) : \hat{G}(f^*L) \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \]
fitting into the following commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \hat{G}(f^*L) \rightarrow V(Y) \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \text{Id.} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow V(X) \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}
\]

2) If \( f \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) then the same holds for \( G(f^*L) \) and \( G(L) \) with the obvious modifications.

Assume that \( f \in \mathcal{E}(L), f^*L \cong L^n \), then :

3) There is a canonical surjective homomorphism 
\[ \delta_f : \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \]
fitting into the following commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow V(X) \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \alpha_n \downarrow \delta_f \downarrow V(f) \\
1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow V(X) \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}
\]

where \( \alpha_n : k^* \rightarrow k^* \) is given by \( \alpha_n(t) = t^n \).

4) If \( f \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) then the same holds for \( G(L) \) with the needed modifications.

**Proof.** The definition and the stated properties of \( j(f, L) \) appear in [Mum3] Proposition 4.9. To prove 3) and 4) let

\[ \phi : f^*L \rightarrow L^n \]
be an isomorphism and

\[ \phi^* : G(L^n) \rightarrow G(f^*L) \]
be the induced isomorphism (which is independent of the choice of \( \phi \)) and denote by the same symbol \( \phi^* \) the induced isomorphism

\[ \phi^* : \hat{G}(L^n) \rightarrow \hat{G}(f^*L) \).

The definition of \( \delta_j \) is given in either the finite or adelic case by

\[ \delta_j = j(f, L) \circ \phi^* \circ \varepsilon_n, \]
where \( \varepsilon_n : G(L) \rightarrow G(L^n) \) is given by \( \varepsilon_n(\phi) = \phi^{\otimes n} \) and \( \varepsilon_n : \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow \hat{G}(L^n) \) is the induced homomorphism. The verification of the stated properties of this homomorphism is immediate.

**Q.E.D.**

**Remarks.** 1) The homomorphisms \( \delta_p, \delta_x \) satisfy
QUASI - SYMMETRIC LINE BUNDLES

\[ \delta_f \circ \delta_g = \delta_{fg}. \]

This follows easily from the definitions.

2) For \( f \) multiplication by \( n \) \((n\) any integer\) one can check that our \( \delta_n \) is equal to the homomorphism \( \delta_n \) defined in [Mum1] p. 308.

II. THE SYMMETRY THEOREM.

From now until the end of this section fix a maximal isotropic subgroup \( Z \) of \( H(L) \) and a maximal level subgroup \( \mathcal{Z} \) above \( Z \). Later we will put further conditions on these subgroups.

Given \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) we can define a new action \( U^g \) of \( G(L) \) on \( \Gamma(X, L) \) by

\[ U^g_z(s) = U_{\delta_{g_s}}(s). \]

Since the scalars still act naturally we have a unique, up to a scalar, intertwining linear operator

\[ T : \Gamma(X, L) \to \Gamma(X, L), \]

satisfying

\[ U^g_z \circ T = T \circ U_{\delta_{g_s}}, \quad \text{for all } g \in G(L), s \in \Gamma(X, L). \]

This follows from the fact, analogous to the Stone - Von -Neumann theorem for real Heisenberg groups, that \( G(L) \) has a unique irreducible representation of order 1 (see [Mum1] and appendix). The operator \( T \) is determined, up to a scalar, by the fact that it takes a \( \mathcal{Z} \) - invariant vector to a \( \delta_1(\mathcal{Z}) \) - invariant vector and by its equivariance property.

CENTRAL OBSERVATION. Let \( \phi : g^*L \to L \) be an isomorphism. Then

\[ \phi \circ g^*_\ast : \Gamma(X, L) \to \Gamma(X, L) \]

is a linear isomorphism. I claim that this too is an intertwining operator, therefore is equal, up to a scalar to \( T \).

Denote by \( T \) the map \( \phi \circ g^*_\ast \). We have to prove that

\[ T(U_{\delta_{z\phi}}s) = U_z(T(s)). \]

Claim: For every \( r \in G(g^*L), s \in \Gamma(X, L) \) we have \( g^*(U_{\delta_{g(L)(r)}}s) = U_r(g^*s). \)

Proof (of claim). Let \( r = (x, \phi) \), and \( \delta(g, L)(r) = (gx, \psi) \). Then

\[ g^*(U_{\delta_{g(L)}}s) = g^*(\psi \circ \delta g \circ T^{-1}_{g^{-1}}) = g^*\psi \circ g^*s \circ T^{-1}_x = U_x(g^*s). \]

Then, using the claim, we get

\[ T(U_{\delta_{z\phi}}s) = T(U_{\delta_{g(L)\phi^{-1}}\phi})s. \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_\# \phi_\#(U_{X,Y} \cdot \phi^{-1} \cdot \phi^{-1} s) \\
= \phi_\# \phi^{-1} U_{Z} \phi_\#(g^*s) \\
= U_{Z}(T(s)).
\end{align*}
\]
Q.E.D.

Our goal is to describe the map \( T \) as explicitly as possible. We start with the following ad hoc but convenient definition:

**Definition.** Given an algebraic subgroup \( A \subseteq X \) define \( \text{Pic}(X)^A \) to be the image of \( \text{Pic}(X/ A) \) under the natural pull-back homomorphism

\[
\text{Pic}(X/ A) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X),
\]
(Note that if \( A \) is finite then \( \text{Pic}(X)^A \) is of finite index in \( \text{Pic}(X) \)).

Until the end of this section we assume that \( Z \) is a maximal isotropic subgroup of \( H(L) \) which is \( \mathcal{A}(L) \)-characteristic.\(^{[3]}\)

**Example.** If \( M \) is of degree 1 and \( L = M^{-1} \), then \( X[n] \subseteq H(L) \) is always maximal isotropic and an \( \mathcal{A}(L) \)-characteristic subgroup.

Consider the exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} X/ Z \longrightarrow 0
\]
which yields the dual exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow Z' \longrightarrow (X/ Z)' \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X' \longrightarrow 0,
\]
where \( Z' \) is by definition the kernel of \( \pi^* \). This sequence expands to an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow Z' \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X/ Z) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)' \longrightarrow 0.
\]
All these sequences are sequences of \( \mathcal{A}(L)^p \)-modules. Taking group cohomology we get

\[
\text{Pic}(X)' \xrightarrow{\text{Sing}} H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^p, Z') \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^p, (X/ Z)').
\]
In particular the sheaf \( L \) gives us a cocycle \( \{ g \mapsto N_g \} \subseteq H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^p, Z') \). By its definition it is obtained as follows:

Choose some \( M \in \text{Pic}(X/ Z) \) such that \( \pi^* M = L \). Then

\[
N_g = g^* O \otimes M^{-1}.
\]
Changing the choice of \( M \) amounts to changing the cocycle by a coboundary. Now, by the general theory of descent there is a natural choice of \( M \); Since we have already fixed a level subgroup \( Z \) there is a unique \( M \) corresponding to it, namely, the one that \( Z \) is the descent data for it. Let \( M \) denote this particular sheaf.

Using the canonical isomorphism \( Z' = \text{Hom}(Z, k^*) = Z^* \), we have a cocycle

\[^{[3]}\] Actually everything we would prove works equally well for any subgroup of \( \mathcal{A}(L) \), in particular for cyclic subgroups. The assumption is made only for convenience of presentation.
\{ g \mapsto \gamma_g \} \in Z'(\mathcal{A}(L)^p, Z^*)

obtained from \{ g \mapsto N_g \}. On the other hand, for every \( z \in Z \) there is a unique scalar \( \beta_g(z) \) such that

\[ \beta_g(z) \delta_g(z) \in Z. \]

For a fixed \( g \), \( \beta_g \) is a character of \( Z \), and it is easy to check that

\[ \{ g \mapsto \beta_g \} \in Z'(\mathcal{A}(L)^p, Z^*). \]

**Proposition 6.** \( \beta_g = \gamma_g^{-1} \).

**Proof.** Let us first recol the description of the injection \( Z^* \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X/Z) \). We use [Ser] as a reference for this. Let \( \eta \in Z^* \) and consider the following diagram, where \( N_\eta \) is defined as the push-out of the first square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X/Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & N_\eta & \longrightarrow & X/Z & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

\( N_\eta = \mathbb{G}_m \times X / \{ (\eta(-z), z) \mid z \in Z \} \). The map \( \pi^* \) is the pull back operation

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \pi^*D & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & X/Z & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

\( \pi^*D = D \times_{X/Z} X = \{ (g, x) \mid g \in D, x \in X, \pi(g) = \pi(x) \} \). In particular:

\( \pi^*N_\eta = \{ (x, \alpha, y) \mid (\alpha, y) \in \mathbb{G}_m \times X / \{ (\eta(-z), z) \}, x \in X, \pi(x) = \pi(y) \} \).

We have an isomorphism

\[ \phi_\eta : \pi^*N_\eta \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \]

given by

\[ [(\alpha, y), x] \mapsto (\alpha / \eta(x-y), x). \]

(The inverse is just \( [(\alpha, x), x] \leftarrow (\alpha, x) \).

We have fixed \( M \) and \( Z \). The connection between them is as follows: choose an isomorphism \( \alpha : \pi^*M \longrightarrow L \), then

\[ Z = \{ (x, T_x \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}) \mid x \in Z \}. \]

Put \( \alpha_\eta = \alpha \otimes \phi_\eta \),

\[ \alpha_\eta : \pi^*(M \otimes N_\eta) = \pi^*M \otimes \pi^*N_\eta \longrightarrow L. \]

We get an explicit description of the level subgroup belonging to \( M \otimes N_\eta \), which we denote by \( Z_\eta \):

\[ Z_\eta = \{ (x, T_x \alpha_\eta \circ \alpha^{-1}) \mid x \in Z \}. \]
There is a unique character $\beta_\eta$ such that for all $x \in Z$,

$$(x, \beta_\eta(x) \cdot T_x^* \alpha_\eta \circ \alpha^{-1}) = (x, T_x^* \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}).$$

**Lemma.** $\beta_\eta = \eta$.

Let us assume this lemma for a moment and show that it implies the proposition. If $\eta$ is such that $N_\eta = N_s$ (equivalently $\gamma_s = \eta$), then

$$M \otimes N_\eta = M \otimes N_s = g^* M,$$

hence $Z_\eta$ is the level subgroup corresponding to $g^* M$ in $G(L)$. By functoriality, the subgroup corresponding to $g^* M$ in $G(g^* L)$ is $j(g, M)^{-1}(Z)$, and under the isomorphism

$$\phi : G(L) \longrightarrow G(g^* L)$$

it corresponds to $(\delta_s)^{-1}(Z) = \delta_s^{-1}(Z)$.

Therefore, if $y = gx$ and $(y, T_y^* \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}) \in Z$ we have

$$\beta_{s^{-1}}(y) \delta_{s^{-1}}(y, T_y^* \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}) = \beta_{s^{-1}}(gx) (x, T_x^* \alpha_\eta \circ \alpha^{-1}) \in \delta_{s^{-1}}(Z).$$

However, since $\delta_{s^{-1}}(Z) = Z_\eta$ we have also

$$\beta_{s^{-1}}(x, T_x^* \alpha_\eta \circ \alpha^{-1}) \in \delta_{s^{-1}}(Z).$$

Therefore

$$\beta_\eta = g \beta_{s^{-1}}$$

and using the Lemma we get

$$\gamma_s = \eta = \beta_\eta = g \beta_{s^{-1}}$$

whence

$$\gamma_s^{-1} = (g \beta_{s^{-1}})^{-1} = \beta_s$$

(using the cocycle relation).

**Proof (of the Lemma).** The way to prove that $\beta_\eta = \eta$ is to compute the action at the fiber of $L$ at zero, $L_0$, of the maps $T_x^* \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}$ and $T_x^* \alpha_\eta \circ \alpha_{s^{-1}}$. Consider the following diagrams:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L_0 & (\pi^* M)_0 \\
\alpha \downarrow & (\pi^* M)_0 \\
(T_x^* L)_0 & (T_x^* \pi^* M)_0
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{cc}
L_0 & (\pi^* (M \otimes N_\eta))_0 \\
\alpha \downarrow & (\pi^* (M \otimes N_\eta))_0 \\
(T_x^* L)_0 & (T_x^* \pi^* M)_0 \otimes (T_x^* \pi^* N_\eta)_0
\end{array}
$$

(all the maps in this diagram are the specialization to the fiber at zero. This is omitted for typographical reasons). We see that

$$\beta_\eta(x) = \phi_\eta(0) / (T_x^* \phi_\eta)(0).$$

This scalar is described by the following diagram
Recall that
\[ \pi^* N_\eta = \{ (\alpha, y), x \mid (\alpha, y) \in \mathcal{G}_m \times X / \{(\eta(-z), z)\}_{z \in Z}, x \in X, \pi(x) = \pi(y) \}, \]
and therefore
\[ T_x^* \pi^* N_\eta = \{ (y, s) \mid y \in X, s \in \pi^* N_{\eta|T_x(y)} T_\eta(y) = P(s) \}. \]
The isomorphism \( \pi^* N_\eta \longrightarrow T_x^* \pi^* N_\eta \) is given by \([\alpha, z_1], z_2 \longmapsto (z_2, [(\alpha, z_1), z_2 + x]).\]
Similarly,
\[ \mathcal{A}^1 \times X = \{ (\alpha, x) \mid \alpha \in k^*, x \in X \}, \]
\[ T_x^*(\mathcal{A}^1 \times X) = \{ [y, (\alpha, z)] \mid y \in X, (\alpha, z) \in \mathcal{A}^1 \times X, T_x^*(y) = z \}. \]
\( T_x^*(\mathcal{A}^1 \times X)_0 \) is naturally identified with \( (\mathcal{A}^1 \times X)_x \) by \([0, (\alpha, x)] \longrightarrow (\alpha, x) \). Hence diagram (5) at the fibers at zero looks like
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
(\alpha, 0) & \longleftarrow \phi_\eta & [(\alpha, 0), 0] \\
\downarrow & & \\
(\alpha/\eta(x), x) & \longleftrightarrow [0, (\alpha/\eta(x), x)] & \longleftarrow T_x^* \phi_\eta (0, [(\alpha, 0), x])
\end{array} \]
Q.E.D.

Let us construct an example showing that \( \{ g \longrightarrow \gamma_s \} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}, \mathbb{Z}) \) is not trivial.
Recall first (see (4)), the exact sequence
\[ \text{Pic}(X)^\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(L)^{op}, \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^{op}, (X/Z)^\mathbb{Z}). \]

**Lemma 7.** Let \( S \) be a finite cyclic group of order \( s, S = \langle \sigma \rangle \). Put
\[ sX = \{ x \in X \mid x + \sigma x + \ldots + \sigma^{s-1} x = 0 \}. \]
Then
\[ H^1(S, X) \cong sX / (sX)^0, \]
where \( (sX)^0 \) is the connected component of \( sX \). In particular, if \( sX \) is connected then \( H^1(S, X) = \{ 0 \} \).

**Proof.** The kernel of the map
\[ g^*N = (g, l) \mid y \in Y, l \in N, p(l) = g(y) \] with morphism \( p' : g^*N \longrightarrow Y \),
\[ f^*g^*N = \{ (z, s) \mid z \in Z, s \in g^*N, p'(s) = f(z) \}, \] and
\[ (g \circ f)^*N = \{ (z, l) \mid z \in Z, l \in N, p(l) = (g \circ f)(z) \}. \]
We have \( f^*g^*N = (g \circ f)^*N \) by \((z, (y, l)) \longmapsto (z, l)\).
is contained in $\mathcal{X}$ and in particular is finite. That implies that $(1 - \alpha)(X)$ which is connected is equal to the connected component $\mathcal{X}^0$. Therefore, by the well known description of $H^1$ for cyclic groups,

$$H^1(S, X) \cong \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}^0,$$

and in particular is trivial if $\mathcal{X}$ is connected. Q.E.D.

Let us consider now a generic principally polarized abelian variety $X$. Let $M$ be an ample symmetric line bundle on $X$, and take $L = M^4$. Then $\mathcal{A}(L) = \{\pm 1\}$, $H(L) = X[4]$, and we take $Z = X[2]$. In that case $\mathcal{A}(L)^0 = X$ is connected, and thus

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^0, (X/Z)') = \{0\},$$

further

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^0, Z') = H^1(\mathcal{A}(L), X[2])$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$$

$$\cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

From this we conclude that

$$(\text{Pic}(X'))^{\mathcal{A}(L)^0} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{A}(L)^0, Z')$$

is surjective with a non trivial image, which shows that the cocycles $\{g \mapsto \gamma_g\}$ appearing above are generally non-trivial.

**Back to intertwining maps:**

Let us review the situation. $X$ is an abelian variety, $L$ an ample line bundle on $X$ of degree $d$, $Z \subseteq H(L)$ is a maximal isotropic subgroup which is $\mathcal{A}(L)$ characteristic, and $\mathbb{Z}$ is a maximal level subgroup over $Z$. We denote by $\chi: G(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^*$ the commutator map, $\chi(z) = [\kappa, z]$, $\kappa \in G(L)$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Decompose $\Gamma(X, L)$ according to characters of $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\Gamma(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \Gamma(X, L)_{\psi}.$$

Since we are dealing with a representation of order 1 each component is 1 dimensional (see appendix). Define

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{\delta_{-z}}(z),$$

$\Psi$ is a projection operator on the one dimensional subspace of the $\delta_{-z}(\mathbb{Z})$ invariants.

**Claim:** If $v \in \Gamma(X, L)_{\alpha}$ then $U_{\kappa}v \in \Gamma(X, L)_{\chi_{-z} \cdot \alpha}$, for all $\kappa \in G(L)$.

**Proof.** Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$U_{\gamma}U_{\kappa}v = U_{\kappa \cdot \gamma} U_{\kappa}v = \chi^\kappa(z) \cdot \alpha(z) \cdot U_{\kappa}v.$$

Therefore, since both $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta_{-z}(\mathbb{Z})$ are above $Z$, and the kernel of $\kappa \mapsto \chi^\kappa$ is $k^* \mathbb{Z}$, we
conclude that for all $\kappa \in \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$, $\nu \in \Gamma(X, L)_\kappa$ if and only if $U_\kappa \nu \in \Gamma(X, L)_\kappa$. Thus, there exists a unique $\tau$ such that $\Psi(\Gamma(X, L)_\kappa) \neq \{0\}$, and for that $\tau$ for every $\nu \in \Gamma(X, L)_\kappa$ we have $\Psi(\nu) = \nu$, that is

$$\Gamma(X, L)_\kappa = \Gamma(X, L)^{\delta^{-1}_\kappa}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

However, let $\kappa \in \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$, say $\kappa = \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$, then, identifying $\mathcal{Z}^*$ with $Z^*$,

$$\nu = U_\kappa \nu$$

$$= U^{-1}_{\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})} \nu$$

$$= U(\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1} U_{\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})} \nu$$

$$= (\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1} \tau (g^{-1}\mathcal{Z}) \nu$$

$$= (\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1} g^{-1} \tau \nu.$$

This implies that for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$, $(\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1} g^{-1} \tau (\zeta) = 1$. Therefore $\beta_{g^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})}^{-1} g^{-1} \tau = 1$, or

$$\tau = g \beta_{g^{-1}} = \beta_{g^{-1}} = \gamma_g.$$

Choose some section

$$G(L) \leftarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*, \quad 1 = \Sigma(1),$$

to the commutator map

$$G(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*, \quad \kappa \longmapsto \kappa^*.$$

Choose some non zero $\nu_1 \in \Gamma(X, L)_1$. Then

$$\{ \nu_\kappa = U_{\Sigma(\kappa)} \nu_1 \mid \kappa \in \mathcal{Z}^* \}$$

is a basis for $\Gamma(X, L)$. We have

$$T(\nu_1) = c(g) \nu_{\gamma_g},$$

for some $c(g) \in k^*$. Let $c_{g, \chi} \in \mu_{d^2} \mathcal{Z}$ be defined by the equation

$$c_{g, \chi} \sum (\gamma_g \gamma \chi) = \delta_{g^{-1}}(\Sigma(\chi)), \quad \Sigma(\gamma_g).$$

There are scalars $b_{g, \chi} \in \mu_{d^2}$ such that

$$(7) \quad b_{g, \chi} U_{\Sigma(\nu_1)} = U_{\delta_{g^{-1}}(\Sigma(\chi))} U_{\Sigma(\gamma_g)} \nu_1.$$

These scalars appear when computing $T(\nu_\kappa)$:

$$T(\nu_\kappa) = T(U_{\delta^{-1}_{\delta^{-1}(\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})))}} \nu_1)$$

$$= U_{\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}))} T(\nu_1)$$

$$= c(g) U_{\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}))} \nu_{\gamma_g}$$

$$= c(g) b_{g, \chi} \nu_{\gamma_g \chi}.$$

We have proved

THE SYMMETRY THEOREM (PRELIMINARY FORM). Let $X$ be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle of separable type on $X$. Let $\mathcal{A}(L) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g^* L \cong L\}$. Assume that there exists a maximal isotropic subgroup $\mathcal{Z}$ of $H(L)$ which is $\mathcal{A}(L)$-invariant and choose some level subgroup $\mathcal{Z}$ above $\mathcal{Z}$. Choose some non zero vector $\nu_1 \in \Gamma(X, L)_1 = \Gamma(X, L)^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and choose a section
Given $g \in \mathcal{A}(L)$, let $\phi : g^*L \to L$ be an isomorphism (determined up to a scalar). Let $T = \phi_g^* \in \text{End}(\Gamma(X, L))$. Then:

(a) There exists a unique character $y_s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, characterized by either:
   (i) $\gamma_x(z) = \delta(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, or by
   (ii) If $M$ is the line bundle on $X/Z$ corresponding to $Z$ then $g^*M \otimes M^{-1}$ corresponds to $\gamma_s$ under

   $Z^* \cong Z^* \cong \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}^0(X/Z) \to \text{Pic}^0(X))$.

(b) There exist scalars $b_{g, \chi} \in \mu_{2^l}$ ($\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^*$), determined by the equation

   $b_{g, \chi} U^\chi \gamma_{\chi'} g_{\chi} v_1 = U_{\delta_1} \gamma_{\chi} v_1$,

   such that

   $T \left( \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\chi} v_x \right) = c(g) \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{g, \chi} \gamma_{\chi} v_{x_{\chi} / g_{\chi} y}$

   where $\{v_x = U_{x_{\chi} \chi} v_1\}$ is a basis for $\Gamma(X, L)$ and $c(g)$ is a scalar determined by the equality $Tv_1 = c(g) v_{y_s}$. In particular the matrix describing $T$, which is given explicitly by the $b_{g, \chi}$'s, is monomial and unitary.

COROLLARY 1. Assume that $L = \mathcal{O}_x(D)$. Then $\phi_g$ is multiplication by a function $f_g$. Suppose further that $0 \notin \text{supp}(D)$, then there exists a function $\Omega$ (independent of $g$) such that $f_g = \Omega^s / \Omega$ and $0 \notin \text{supp}(\Omega)$. We get then that for all $x$

$$\frac{\Omega(g_x)}{\Omega(x)} v_x(gx) = c(g) b_{g, \chi} v_{y_{x, g_{\chi} y}}(x),$$

where $c(g)$ is a non zero constant. In particular

$$v_{\chi}(0) = c(g) b_{g, \chi} v_{y_{\chi} / g_{\chi} y}(0).$$

REMARKS. 1) As remarked in the introduction, for $L$ very ample $T$ is actually writing the automorphism $g$ by coordinates.

2) Consider in Corollary 1 a special case where $\gamma_s = 1$, which is a kind of 'total symmetry' with respect to $g$. Note that for every $g$ we have $b_{g, 1} = 1$. Therefore, if $v_i(0) \neq 0$ we conclude that $c(g) = 1$ and that for every $\chi$ such that $g \chi = \chi$ we get

$$c(g) b_{g, \chi} \neq 1 \Rightarrow v_{\chi}(0) = 0.$$

That is, we get a vanishing result for certain theta constants (see §5 for a classical interpretation).

Our next task is to give an explicit expression to the coefficients $b_{g, \chi}$ appearing in the Symmetry Theorem. Although the method can be carried out in complete generality we will assume that $L = n^* M$ for some ample line bundle $M$ of degree 1 and that

$$Z = X[n], \quad Z = K(n, M)$$
by $K(n, M)$ we mean the level subgroup associated to data $n, L, M$.

The particular choices made below are not the best from the algebraic point of view. They are made so that over the complex numbers one gets the most classical sections and actions. Other choices will be developed in the next section.

Choose a theta structure

$$
\Delta : G(L) \rightarrow G(\delta),
$$

where

$$
G(\delta) = k^* \times \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \right) \times \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \right)
$$

with the group law

$$(\alpha, x, l)(\beta, y, m) = (\alpha \beta \psi(\text{'}(nx) \cdot (nm)), x + y, l + m),$$

where for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \psi(a) = \zeta^a$ for $\zeta$ a fixed primitive $n^2$-th root of 1. Define 'the half commutator'

$$
F(\left( \frac{x}{m} \right), \left( \frac{y}{m} \right)) = \psi(\text{'}(nx) \cdot (nm)).
$$

Define

$$
S = \{ (x, l) \mid x, l \in (\mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z})^g \}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \{ (1, x, l) \mid x, l \in (\mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z})^g \}.
$$

We can always choose $\Delta$ such that $\Delta(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$.

Let us choose a section to the commutator map

$$
G(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*
$$

by prescribing a set of representatives to $G(\delta) / k^* \mathcal{B}$,

$$
\text{Rep} = \{ (1, \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{x}{l} \right)) \mid x = (x_1, ..., x_g), l = (l_1, ..., l_g), \ 0 \leq x_i, l_i < n \},
$$

thereby getting a section

$$
\psi \mapsto \Sigma(\psi) = (1, \alpha(\psi)), \ \forall \psi \in \mathcal{B}^*.
$$

Via $\Delta$, each automorphism $\delta_g$ of $G(L)$ induces an automorphism, still denoted $\delta_g$, on $G(\delta)$, hence induces an action on $H(\delta)$ given by a genuine symplectic matrix $M_g \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z} / n^2 \mathbb{Z})$ - the representation of $g$ on $X[n^2]$. Therefore we may write, for $(\alpha, w) = (\alpha, w_1, w_2) \in G(\delta),

$$
\delta_g(\alpha, w) = (\alpha \cdot m_g(w), M_g w),
$$

where $m_g$ satisfies the identity

$$
\frac{m_g(w_1 + w_2)}{m_g(w_1) \cdot m_g(w_2)} = \frac{F(M_g w_1, M_g w_2)}{F(w_1, w_2)}.
$$

Using $\{ \cdot \}$ to denote fractional part, we get

$$
\Sigma(\chi \psi) = (1, \{ \alpha(\chi) + \alpha(\psi) \}),
$$

$$
\delta_g^{\cdot \cdot}(\Sigma(\chi)) = (M_g \cdot (\alpha(\chi)), M_g \cdot \alpha(\chi)).
$$

Since

$$
\chi(1, M_g \cdot (\alpha(\chi)))(y) = [\overline{y}, M_g \cdot (\alpha(\chi))], [M_g \overline{y}, \alpha(\chi)] = g \chi(\overline{y}),
$$

we conclude that

$$
\Sigma(g \chi) = (1, \{M_g \cdot \alpha(\chi) \}).
$$
Therefore
\[ \Sigma(y, g, \chi) = (1, \{\alpha(y) + M_{g^{-1}}(\chi)\}), \]
\[ \delta_{g^{-1}}(\Sigma(\chi), \Sigma(y)) = (m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)), F(M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)), \alpha(y) + M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) + \alpha(y)). \]

Whence (using \([\cdot]\) to denote integral part),
\[ c_{g, x} = \left( \frac{m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) \cdot F(M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)), \alpha(y))}{F([m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) + \alpha(y)], [M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) + \alpha(y)])} \right). \]

Put
\[ \omega_g(\chi) = M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) + \alpha(y), \]
then, after some simple calculations we get
\[ b_{g, x} = \frac{m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) F(\omega_g(\chi) - \alpha(y), \alpha(y))}{F([\omega_g(\chi)], [\omega_g(\chi)])}. \]

**THE SYMMETRY THEOREM (EXPLICIT FORM I).** Under the hypothesis of the Symmetry theorem and the additional hypothesis made above we have

(11) \[ T(\sum_{x \in X} a_x \nu_x) = c(g) \sum_{x \in X} a_x \frac{m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi)) F(\omega_g(\chi) - \alpha(y), \alpha(y))}{F([\omega_g(\chi)], [\omega_g(\chi)])} \nu_{g^{-1} g x}. \]

If \( y = 1 \), then

(12) \[ T(\sum_{x \in X} a_x \nu_x) = c(g) \sum_{x \in X} a_x \frac{m_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi))}{F([M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi))], [M_{g^{-1}}(\alpha(\chi))])} \nu_{g x}. \]

3. **THE QUASI SYMMETRY THEOREM.**

We start with a discussion of Göpel structures and the system of bases constructed by them. We keep the notation used so far. We assume throughout this section that \( L \) is a symmetric even ample line bundle of degree 1 (although some of the definitions and results still hold if the degree is bigger). We refer to [Mum3] pp. 60-61 for the definition of 'even'. We remind the reader of our conventions made in the introduction: if \( p = \text{char.} k \) is positive then the '\( p \)-component' is taken out of \( T(X), V(X) \) etc. For this reason everything that follows should be understood under this restriction even if not specifically mentioned. For example in Lemmas 8, 9, 11 etc. it is tacitly assumed that \( (n, p) = 1 \).
DEFINITION. A Göpel structure on $V(X)$ with respect to $L$ is a pair of maximal isotropic subgroups $V_1, V_2$ of $V(X)$ such that:

(i) $V(X) = V_1 \oplus V_2$.

(ii) $T(X) = T_1 \oplus T_2$ where $T_i = T(X) \cap V_i$.

(iii) $\sigma^L = \tau^L$ on each $T_i$. (See introduction for the definitions of $\sigma^L, \tau^L$).

Given a Göpel structure we can define a system of bases for the vector spaces $I(X, n^*L)$. To do this we need the following.

**Lemma 8.** For every $n$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Normalizer} (\sigma^L(n T(X))) / (\sigma^L(n T(X))) \cong G(n^*L)$$

given by the projection

$$(x_n, \phi_n) \mapsto (x_n, \phi_n).$$

**Proof.** An easy generalization of [Mum3] Proposition 4.13. Q.E.D.

**Definition.** Let $Z(n) = n T(X)$, $Z(n) = \sigma^L(n T(X))$ and $N(n) = \text{Normalizer}(Z(n))$. Let

$$K(n)_1 = \tau^L(V_1) \cap N(n), \quad K(n)_2 = \tau^L(V_2) \cap N(n).$$

Let $\Phi_n : N(n) \rightarrow G(n^*L)$ be the homomorphism inducing the isomorphism of Lemma 8. Let $L(n)_1 = \Phi_n(K(n)_1)$, $L(n)_2 = \Phi_n(K(n)_2)$.

**Lemma 9.** $L(n)_1$ and $L(n)_2$ are maximal level subgroups of $G(n^*L)$ which are orthogonal complements of each other.

**Proof.** Clear. Q.E.D.

We stray from our main course to study projection operators on finite Heisenberg groups: Let $G$ be a finite Heisenberg group

$$1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0,$$

and let $K$ be a maximal level subgroup of $G$, $\# K = d$. Decompose the unique irreducible representation of $G$ where the center acts naturally, denoted by $\Gamma$, as

$$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\psi \in K^*} \Gamma_{\psi},$$

and fix some non zero $\delta_1 \in \Gamma_1$. Let $\{ \delta_{\psi} \mid \psi \in K^* \}$ be a basis for $\Gamma$ with $\delta_{\psi} \in \Gamma_{\psi}$. Denote by $\chi : G \rightarrow K^*$ the 'commutator' map

$$y \mapsto \chi'(z) = z y z^{-1} y^{-1}.$$ 

Given another maximal level subgroup $S$, let $P_S$ be the projection operator on the one dimensional space $\Gamma^S$ of the $S$-invariants given by

$$P_S = \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{s \in S} U_s.$$
Finally, let
\[ A_K(S) = \{ \psi \in K^* | P_S(\delta_\psi) \neq 0 \}. \]

**Lemma 10.** 1) Let \( s \in S, \psi \in K^* \) then
\[ U_s \delta_\psi \in \Gamma_{\psi \cdot \chi^s}. \]
Define
\[ U_s \delta_\psi = a(s, \psi) \cdot \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^s}. \]
Then
\[ a(s_1, s_2, \psi) = a(s_1, \psi \cdot \chi^{s_2}) \cdot a(s_2, \psi). \]

2) Assume that \( K \) has an orthogonal complement \( K' \). There is an isomorphism
\[ \Xi: K^* \rightarrow K' \]
determined by the commutator pairing. Let
\[ \delta_\psi = U_{\Xi(\psi)} \delta_1. \]
then
\[ a(s, \psi_1) = \frac{\psi_1(s)}{\psi_2(s)} a(s, \psi_2), \]
where, by definition, \( \psi(s) = [s, \Xi(\psi)] \), for any \( \psi \in K^* \).

3) Let
\[ S = \text{Ker} \chi \cap S \]
and let \( \alpha \in S^* \) be the unique character such that for all \( s \in S \)
\[ \alpha(s) \cdot s \in K \]
Then, regarding characters of level subgroups \( B \) as characters of their projection \( B \) to \( H \) we have
\[ A_K(S) = \{ \psi \in K^* | \psi \mid_z = \alpha \}. \]

**Proof.** 1) For every \( k \in K \) we have
\[ U_k U_s \delta_\psi = U_k U_s U_{[k, s]} \delta_\psi = \psi(k) \cdot \chi'(k) \cdot U_s \delta_\psi = (\psi \cdot \chi')(k) \cdot U_s \delta_\psi. \]
\[ a(s_1, s_2, \psi) \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^{s_1 s_2}} = U_{s_1} U_{s_2} \delta_\psi = U_{s_1} a(s_2, \psi) \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^{s_2}} = a(s_1, \psi \cdot \chi^{s_2}) \cdot a(s_2, \psi) \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^{s_1} \cdot \chi^{s_2}}. \]

2) Let us compute \( a(s, \psi) \).
\[ a(s, \psi) \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^z} = U_s \delta_\psi = U_s U_{\Xi(\psi)} \delta_1 = U_{\Xi(\psi)} U_s U_{[s, \Xi(\psi)]} \delta_1 = \psi(s) U_{\Xi(\psi)} U_s \delta_1 = \psi(s) a(s, 1) U_{\Xi(\psi)} \delta_{\chi^z} = \psi(s) a(s, 1) \delta_{\psi \cdot \chi^z}. \]
From this follows the general formula.

\[ P_s(\delta) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{s \in S} U_s \delta \]

\[ = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{s \in S} a(s, \psi) \delta_{\chi^s} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\gamma \in X(S)} \left( \sum_{s \in \chi^{-1}(\gamma)} a(s, \psi) \right) \delta_{\psi \gamma} . \]

Choose some representatives \( s \in X^{-1}(\gamma) \), then

\[ P_s(\delta) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\gamma \in X(S)} \left( \sum_{s \in \text{Ker} \chi} a(s, \psi) \right) \delta_{\psi \gamma} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\gamma \in X(S)} \left( a(s, \psi) \sum_{s \in \text{Ker} \chi} a(s, 1) \cdot \psi(s) \right) \delta_{\psi \gamma} \]

using part 1), 2). Notice that this expression is zero if and only if each sum

\[ a(s, \psi) \sum_{s \in \text{Ker} \chi} a(s, 1) \cdot \psi(s) \]

is zero and that the vanishing of this sum does not depend on \( \gamma \). Notice also that \( a(s, 1) \) is a character on \( S \) and therefore this sum vanishes if and only if \( \psi |_S = a(\cdot, 1) \cdot a^{-1} \). To finish the proof we need only to check that \( a(\cdot, 1) = \alpha^{-1} \). Let \( s \in S \), then \( a(s) s \in K \) whence

\[ a(s, 1) \delta_1 = U_s \delta_1 \]

\[ = \alpha(s)^{-1} U_{\alpha(s) \delta_1} \]

\[ = \alpha(s)^{-1} \delta_1 . \]

Q.E.D.

Recall that \( L \) is an ample symmetric line bundle of degree 1 on \( X \). The maximal level subgroup \( \mathcal{A}(1) \) induces maximal level subgroups \( M(n) = \Phi_n(\mathcal{A}(1)) \) on each \( G(n^* L) \).

We would like to mention two reasons for introducing these groups: The first one is that we can decompose \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) according to characters of \( \mathcal{A}(1) \). This can not be done with respect to \( \tau^1(V_1) \).

The second reason is that, as we have already commented above, in the complex case the sections giving the decomposition with respect to \( \mathcal{A}(1) \) are the classical theta functions \( \theta \)

for certain characteristics multiplied by a certain trivial exponent. We should remark that the sections giving the decomposition with respect to the level subgroup \( L(n) \) are no less noble. They are of the form \( \theta \)

for certain characteristics multiplied by a certain trivial exponent (a classical example which also demonstrates the relations between the bases to be obtained below, turns out to be, after some algebro - analytic dictionary has been built, Proposition 1.3 p.124, Mumford / Tata lectures on theta I ).
Choose some non-zero section \( \Theta \in \Gamma(X, L) \). It is unique up to a scalar. For every \( n, n^* \Theta \) is the unique up to a scalar invariant section of \( M(n) \). Choose a section
\[
\Sigma_n : M(n)^* \longrightarrow G(n^*L)
\]
to the commutator map
\[
\chi : G(n^*L) \longrightarrow M(n)^*
\]
and let
\[
\delta_i = n^* \Theta, \quad \delta_\psi = U_{\Sigma_n(\psi)} \delta_i
\]
Later on we will choose the \( \Sigma_n \)'s more carefully and then we will baptize these bases. The notation \( a(x, \psi) \) appearing below is the one used in Lemma 10.1) for \( K = M(n), \ S = L(n), \) (so \( K \) has no orthogonal complement but \( S \) does). In the case \( K = L(n), \ S = M(n) \) (which is considered in Lemma 10.2, 3) we will not need a notation for the scalars of Lemma 10.

**Lemma 11.** Put \( s(n)_1 = P_{L(n)}(n^* \Theta) \). Then \( s(n)_1 \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** In the proof of Lemma 10 the following expression was obtained
\[
P_s(\delta_\psi) = \frac{1}{n^28} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(S)} \left( \sum_{s \in \text{Ker } \chi \cap S} a(s, s, \psi) \right) \delta_\psi \gamma.
\]
The derivation of it did not use any orthogonality assumption. Using part 1) of Lemma 10, we get for \( S = L(n), \ K = M(n), \ \psi = 1, \ \delta_\psi = n^* \Theta, \ \chi : G(n^*L) \longrightarrow M(n)^* \),
\[
P_{L(n)}(n^* \Theta) = \frac{1}{n^28} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(L(n))} \left( a(s, 1, 1) \sum_{s \in \text{Ker } \chi \cap L(n)} a(s, 1) \right) \delta_\gamma.
\]
In this case \( \text{Ker } \chi = k^* M(n) = \Phi_n(k^* \check{Z}(1)) \) and we see that since \( \sigma^z = \tau^L \) on \( T_1 \) we actually have \( \text{Ker } \chi \cap L(n) \subset M(n) \). Therefore if \( s \in \text{Ker } \chi \cap L(n) \) then
\[
a(s, 1) \delta_i = U_s \delta_i = \delta_i.
\]
Thus
\[
P_{L(n)}(n^* \Theta) \neq 0.
\]
Q.E.D.

**Definition.** For every \( n \) define a basis
\[
\mathcal{B}(n) = \{ s(n)_\psi \mid \psi \in L(n)_{i^*} \}
\]
of \( \Gamma(X, n^*L) \) as follows: Let
\[
s(n)_1 = P_{L(n)}(n^* \Theta),
\]
let
\[
\Xi_n : L(n)_{i^*} \longrightarrow L(n)
\]
be the isomorphism determined by the commutator pairing of \( G(n^*L) \) and let
\[
 s(n)_\psi = U_{\Xi_n(\psi)} s(n)_1.
\]

**Corollary.** Let \( L(n)_{i^*} [n] = \{ \text{elements of order } n \text{ in } L(n)_{i^*} \} \), then
\[ s(n)_\psi = \frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{u \in L(n)_1/L(n)_1[n]} \alpha(\Xi_n(\psi)u, 1) \delta^{\chi^u} \cdot \chi^{s(n)_\psi} , \]

(where \( \chi : G(n^*L) \longrightarrow M(n^*) \)).

**Proof.** Note that \( \chi \) induces an isomorphism \( L(n)_1/L(n)[n] \cong \chi(L(n)_1) \). Therefore, for \( \psi = 1 \) the corollary follows from the proof of Lemma 11. The general case follows by applying \( U_{\Xi_\lambda(\psi)} \) and using part 1) of Lemma 10.

Q.E.D.

Let us also record the following

**Lemma 12.**

\[ n^*\Theta = \sum_{\psi \in L(n)_1[n]} s(n)_\psi . \]

**Proof.** Let us first check that this true up to a scalar. By Lemma 10 3) \( n^*\Theta = P_{M^0}(s(n)_1) \) at least up to a scalar. But

\[ P_{M^0}(s(n)_1) = \frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{m \in M(n)} U_m s(n)_1 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{m_2 \in M(n) \cap L(n)_2} \sum_{m_1 \in M(n) \cap L(n)_1} U_{m_2} U_{m_1} s(n)_1 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{m_2 \in M(n) \cap L(n)_2} U_{m_2} s(n)_1 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{\psi \in L(n)_1[n]} s(n)_\psi . \]

To check the constant apply \( P_{L(n)_1} \) to this sum. By linearity and Lemma 10 used for \( K = S = L(n)_1 \) we get

\[ P_{L(n)_1}(\frac{1}{n^g} \sum_{\psi \in L(n)_1[n]} s(n)_\psi) = P_{L(n)_1}(\frac{1}{n^g} s(n)_1) = \frac{1}{n^g} s(n)_1 . \]

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 13.** For every \( d \) the bases \( \mathcal{B}(n) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(dn) \) are related as follows: Let \( \alpha : dL(dn)_1/L(n)_1[d] \longrightarrow L(n)_1 \) be the natural isomorphism. Denoting by \( \alpha \) the induced isomorphism \( dL(dn)_1^* / L(n)_1^*[d] \longrightarrow L(n)_1^* \). We have

\[ d^*(s(n)_\psi) = \sum_{\tau \in dL(dn)_1} s(dn)_\tau \cdot \alpha(\tau) = \psi. \]

**Proof.** The formula up to a scalar follows from the isogeny theorem of Mumford, [Mum1] p.302. The translation to the notation appearing there as follows:
The level structures for every \( m \) are completely determined by choosing a free \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) basis for \( T_2 \). This yields for \( L(dn)_1 \) an isomorphism \( L(dn)_1 = K(\delta_n) \) and \( L(n)_1 = K(\delta_n) \) where \( \delta_{dn} \) and \( \delta_n \) are the types \( (d^2n^2, d^3n^2, ..., d^n n^2) \) and \( (n^2, n^2, ..., n^2) \) respectively. We get then natural isomorphisms \( L(dn)_1 = K(\delta_{dn})^* \), \( L(n)_1 = K(\delta_n)^* \) and therefore uniquely determined theta structures \( j_{dn}, j_n \).

The conclusion is that up to a scalar is that \( A \delta_u = \sum_{\nu; \sigma(\nu) = u} \delta_\nu \) where \( \delta_\nu \) denotes the delta function at \( t \), and \( A \) is as in [Mum1] loc. cit.. We need only verify now that the functions \( s(n)_\nu \) are the functions corresponding to the delta functions at points of \( K(\delta_n) \) with the right normalizations of the isomorphisms \( \beta_{dn} \) appearing there. That is easily checked since the delta functions are characterized by the way the Heisenberg group acts on them. This proves our claim up to a scalar. The rest follows by comparing this with the formula for \( n^* \Theta \) and \( d^* n^* \Theta \) given by Lemma 12.

Q.E.D.

Given an ample even symmetric line bundle \( L \) on \( X \) and an isogeny \( f \in \mathcal{E}^{\times 0}(L) \), say \( f^* L \cong L^2 \), \( \phi : f^*L \longrightarrow L^2 \) an isomorphism, define a new action \( U^f \) of \( \hat{G}(L) \) on \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) by

\[
U^f_z(s) = U_{\delta f\zeta}(s)
\]

and an action of \( \hat{G}(L) \) on \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L^{n^2}) \) by

\[
U^\mu_z(s) = U_{\xi_{n^2\zeta}}(s).
\]

Then, both \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L^{n^2}) \) are irreducible representations of \( \hat{G}(L) \) of order \( n^2 \) and there exists therefore (see appendix) a unique intertwining map \( T : \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(X, L^{n^2}) \).

As in the case of automorphisms we have a

**Central Observation.** The linear isomorphism

\[
\phi_{sf*} : \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(X, L^{n^2})
\]

is an intertwining operator for these two actions, therefore equal up to a scalar to \( T \).\(^5\)

---

\(^5\) There is a Schur lemma for adelic Heisenberg groups. The reason is that every intertwining operator must take the invariants of a maximal level suberound to themselves and this is a one dimensional space if the level
Proof. Let $\mathcal{T} = \phi_d f^*$. Then
\[
\mathcal{T}(U_{\delta_{\phi}(s)}) = \mathcal{T}(U_{f^* L}(\phi^{-1}_{\epsilon_{\phi}})(s))
\]

Claim. For every $r \in \hat{G}(f^* L)$, $s \in \hat{T}(X, L)$ we have
\[
f^*(U_{\phi_{\epsilon_{\phi}}}(s)) = U_{f^*}(f^*(s)).
\]

Proof (of claim). Let $r = (r_m, \phi_m)_m$ then $f(f, L)(r) = (y_m, \psi_m)_m$ where $\phi_m$ is the pull back by $f$ of $\psi_m$ with respect to $f(r_m) = y_m$.
\[
f^k[U_{(\psi_m, \phi_m)}(s)] = f^k(\psi_k \circ s \circ T_{\delta_{\phi}}^{-1})
\]
\[
= \phi_k \circ f^k(s) \circ T_{\epsilon_{\phi}}^{-1}
\]
\[
= U_{(\psi_m, \phi_m)}(f^k(s))
\]
for every $k$ divisible enough

Using the claim we get from (1) that
\[
\mathcal{T}(U_{\delta_{\phi}}(s)) = \phi_d U_{\phi_{\epsilon_{\phi}}}(f^* s)
\]
\[
= U_{\epsilon_{\phi}}(\phi_d f^* s)
\]
\[
= U_{\epsilon_{\phi}} \mathcal{T}(s).
\]
Q.E.D.

Following the method of the Symmetry Theorem, the next thing we have to find is some subgroup of $\hat{G}(L)$ whose image under each of the maps $\epsilon_{n^2}$ and $\delta$ is a maximal level subgroup. The operator $T$ is then determined up to a scalar by the condition that it must take invariant vector of the second level subgroup to an invariant vector of the first.

Lemma 14. We have the following functorial properties:

1) $\epsilon_n \sigma^t(x) = \sigma^{t^*}(x)$ ; $\epsilon_n \tau^t(x) = \tau^{t^*}(x)$.
2) $\eta_n \sigma^{n^2}(x) = \sigma^{n^2}(nx)$ ; $\eta_n \tau^{n^2}(x) = \tau^{n^2}(nx)$ (see [Mum1] for the definition of $\eta_n$).
3) If $\phi : L \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism then $\phi_\star \sigma^t(x) = \sigma^t(x)$ and $\phi_\star \tau^t(x) = \tau^t(x)$.
4) $f(f, L) \sigma^{nt}(x) = \sigma^t(V(f)x)$ ; $f(f, L) \tau^{nt}(x) = \tau^t(V(f)x)$.
5) $\delta_\lambda \sigma^t(x) = \sigma^t(V(f)x)$ ; $\delta_\lambda \tau^t(x) = \tau^t(V(f)x)$.
6) Writing every element of $\hat{G}(L)$ as $\lambda \cdot \tau^t(x)$ we have for $f^* L \equiv L^n$,
\[
\delta_\lambda(\lambda \cdot \tau^t(x)) = \lambda^n \tau^t(V(f)x).
\]

The proof is completely straightforward and therefore omitted.

Definition. Assume that $f : f^* L \equiv L^n$, $L$ ample even symmetric of degree 1. Define
\[
\mathcal{Z} = \{ \sigma^t(x) | x \in T(X) \} \subset \hat{G}(L),
\]
\[
f^*(\mathcal{Z}) = j(f, L)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \hat{G}(f^* L),
\]
\[
f^*(\mathcal{Z})_\phi = \phi_d f^*(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \hat{G}(L^{n^2}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{Z}f = \epsilon_{n^2}^{-1}(f^*(\mathcal{Z})) = \delta_\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \hat{G}(L).
\]

Let $e_{\epsilon_{\phi}} : \frac{1}{2} T(X) / T(X)$ be the quadratic form defined by

subgroup is chosen right. Further, the operator is determined as usual by its action on a single non zero vector.
\[ \sigma^l(2x) = e^l_k(x) \tau^l(2x). \]

(see [Mum3] p.59 ff.).

**Lemma 15.** We have
\[ f^k(\mathcal{Z})_\phi = \{ e^k_{(x/2)} \tau^l n^2 (V(f)^{-1}(x)) \mid x \in T(X) \}, \]
and the projection of \( f^k(\mathcal{Z})_\phi \) to \( G(n^* L) \) is \( K(f, L) \) — the maximal level subgroup corresponding to the descent data \( L^{-1} = f^* L \). In particular, \( f^k(\mathcal{Z})_\phi \) is a maximal level subgroup of \( \hat{G}(L^{-1}) \).

The image of \( \mathcal{Z}(f) \) under both \( \varepsilon^* \) and \( \delta_\gamma \) is a maximal level subgroup and therefore the map \( T \) is uniquely determined by the property of taking a non-zero invariant vector of \( \mathcal{Z}(f) \) under the \( \varepsilon^* \) action to a non-zero invariant vector of \( \mathcal{Z}(f) \) under the \( \delta_\gamma \) action.

**Proof.** The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 14 and the definitions. To get the second, one considers the preimage of \( K(f, L) \) in \( \hat{G}(L^{-1}) \) under the homomorphism
\[ \text{Normalizer} \left( \sigma^{L^{-1}}(T(X)) \right) \rightarrow G(n^* L). \]
It is a level subgroup which must be maximal by index consideration. But it clearly has the same invariant vector as \( f^k(\mathcal{Z})_\phi \) does, namely, \( \phi_{f^* \Theta} \) where \( \Theta \) is a generator of the one dimensional vector space \( \mathcal{P}(X, L) \). This implies equality — two maximal level subgroups having the same projection to \( H(n^* L) \) and a common non-zero fixed vector are equal. The rest is also easily verified. Q.E.D.

We keep the assumption \( f \in E^0(L), f^* L = L^{-1} \). Let \( \omega \in A_{L(n^* L)}(K(f, L)) \) where the fixed level subgroup with orthogonal complement is \( L(n) \) — the maximal level subgroup of \( G(n^* L) \) constructed before. Then \( P_{K(f, L)}(s(n)_{\omega}) \) is the unique, up to a scalar, \( f^k(\mathcal{Z})_\phi \) invariant vector.

Decompose \( \hat{\mathcal{I}}(X, L) \) according to eigen spaces of \( \mathcal{Z} \),
\[ \hat{\mathcal{I}}(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^*} \hat{\mathcal{I}}(X, L) \psi. \]
Choose a section \( \Sigma: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \hat{G}(L) \) and let \( \Theta_\psi = U_{\Sigma(\psi)}(\Theta) \). Then \( \{ \Theta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathcal{Z}^* \} \) is a basis for \( \hat{\mathcal{I}}(X, L) \), each \( \Theta_\psi \) spans \( \hat{\mathcal{I}}(X, L) \psi \) and for every \( n \),
\[ \mathcal{D}(n) = \{ \Theta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathcal{Z}^*[n] \} \]
is a basis for \( \mathcal{I}(X, n^* L) \). Therefore if we had some nice choice of a section we could explicitly write the vector \( s(n)_{\omega} \) and thus solve the problem of writing the pull back \( \phi_{f^* \Theta} \) explicitly. That is our next objective.

Before plunging into details, let us explain what we are about to do. We start by choosing a good theta structure for the big group \( \hat{\mathcal{G}}(L) \) and a section for \( \hat{\mathcal{G}}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^* \) (We will assume that the Göpel structure is obtained from this theta structure. There seems to be no point in generalizing). We work with some 'indeterminate' in our section saving its specialization to the end. That makes the generalization of the case treated below to the general case easier.

Since we have assumed that \( L \) is an ample even symmetric line bundle of degree 1 on \( X \)
QUASI-SYMMETRIC LINE BUNDLES

[6], that means that there exists, by [Mum3] Proposition 4.20, a theta structure
\[ \Delta: \hat{G}(L) \longrightarrow G, \]
where \( G \) is the standard Heisenberg group as in the introduction, having the following properties:
If we define \( V_1, V_2 \subseteq V(X) \) by
\[ \Delta(\tau^1(V_1)) = \{(1, z, 0) | z \in \hat{A}_{\#} \}, \quad \Delta(\tau^2(V_2)) = \{(1, 0, z) | z \in \hat{A}_{\#} \}. \]
Then,
\[ \Delta(\sigma^1(T_1)) = \{(1, z, 0) | z \in \hat{Z}_{\#} \}, \quad \Delta(\sigma^2(T_2)) = \{(1, 0, z) | z \in \hat{Z}_{\#} \}, \]
and \( e \) goes over to the function \( e_\ast \) where:
\[ e_\ast(x / 2, y / 2) = (-1)^{xy}. \]
This implies that \( V_1, V_2 \) is a Göpel structure and that
\[ \Delta(\mathcal{Z}) = \{(e_\ast(x / 2, y / 2), x, y) | x, y \in \hat{Z}_{\#} \}, \]
\[ \Delta(\tau^i(V(X))) = \{(1, x, y) | x, y \in \hat{A}_{\#} \}. \]
Let \( \mathcal{S} = \Delta(\mathcal{Z}) \). We have
\[ \Delta(K(n) \times \mathcal{S}) = \{(1, z, 0) | nz \in \hat{Z}_{\#} \}, \quad \Delta(K(n) \times \mathcal{S}) = \{(1, 0, z) | nz \in \hat{Z}_{\#} \}, \]
whence we get finite theta structures (which is not just "taking everything mod \( n^2 \)"
\[ \Delta_n: G(n^2 L) \longrightarrow G(\delta_n) \]
where
\[ G(\delta_n) = k^\times \times \left( \frac{1}{n} \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{n} \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \right) \]
or simply (under the canonical isomorphism)
\[ G(\delta_n) = k^\times \times \left( \frac{1}{n} \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{n} \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \right) \]
with the usual group law,
\[ (\alpha, x, l, (\beta, y, m) = (\alpha \beta, x + y, l + m) \]
by demanding the natural homomorphism between \( \Delta(K(n)) \) and \( \left( \frac{1}{n} \hat{Z} \times \hat{Z} \right) \) (we have to choose \( \xi_{n^2} \) so that the commutator pairings agree. Therefore we take \( \xi_{n^2} = \xi(1 / n^2) \)). That is, denoting by
\[ p_n: \Delta(K(n)) \Delta(K(n)) = \Delta(N_{\Delta(L)}(\tau^i(nT(X)) \longrightarrow G(\delta_n)) \]
the natural projection, we have that \( p_n \) induce the natural projection on \( \Delta(K(n)) \). The element
\[ (1, x, y) \]
goes under this homomorphism to
\[ p_n((1, x, y)) = p_n((\xi(1 / 2 nx, 0, 0), (1, x, 0))) = (\xi(1 / 2 nx, x, y). \]
Therefore, the image of \( \mathcal{S} \) under these theta structures is
\[ p_n(\mathcal{S}) = \{(1, x, y) | x, y \in \hat{Z} / n \hat{Z} \}, \]
which is precisely the same sort of theta structure used in the Symmetry Theorem.

Define
\[ E(x, y) = \xi(1 / 2 nx, x, y). \]

\[ ^{81} \text{This assumption is not essential but it does simplify the calculations below. We remark that every symmetric line bundle becomes even symmetric after a translation by a torsion point of order 2. Since no new idea is involved in treating the more general case we make this assumption.} \]
Choose as a set of representatives to the cosets $G / k^* \Delta(Z)$ defined by the commutator homomorphism

$$G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^*$$

the set

$$\text{REP} = \{ (l(x, y), x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^x \cap [0, 1) \}$$

where $l: \mathcal{A}_f^x \times \mathcal{A}_f^x \longrightarrow k^*$ is an arbitrary function having the property

$$l(x, 0) = l(0, x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_f^x.$$ 

This gives us a section

$$\Sigma: \mathcal{S}^* \longrightarrow G$$

which we write as

$$\Sigma(\psi) = (l(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)),$$

and sections

$$\Sigma_n: (\mathcal{S} / n \mathcal{S})^* \longrightarrow G(\delta_n)$$

which we can write, identifying $(\mathcal{S} / n \mathcal{S})^*$ with $\mathcal{S}^*[n]$, as

$$\Sigma_n(\psi) = (l(\alpha(\psi)), E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi))$$

These sections induce sections

$$\Sigma: \mathcal{Z}^* \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L)$$

$$\Sigma_n: M(n)^* \longrightarrow G(n^*L).$$

One advantage of such theta structures is the simple form which the homomorphisms $\delta_f$ now have: By Lemma 14, 6 we have $\delta_f(\lambda \cdot \tau^t(x)) = \lambda^2 \cdot \tau^t(V(f) x)$. Denoting by $M_f$ the matrix representing $V(f)$ on $\mathcal{A}_f^{2x}$ and by $D_f$ the induced homomorphism on $G$ we get

$$D_f(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2, M_f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = (\lambda, x, y)$.

In the case where $f \in \mathfrak{A}(L)$ is an automorphism we get

$$d_f: G(\delta_n) \longrightarrow G(\delta_n)$$

$$d_f(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = (\lambda \cdot m_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}), M_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$$

where

$$m_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = \frac{E(M_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}))}{E(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})}.$$

The advantage of this expression is that it is completely explicit once the adic representation of $f$ is known. Because of the usefulness of this result we record it as

**Lemma 16.**

$$D_f(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2, M_f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$d_f(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = \left( \lambda \cdot \frac{E(M_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}))}{E(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})}, M_f(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) \right).$$
Digression - The Symmetry Theorem.

We want to reconsider the Symmetry Theorem. As in the derivation of the explicit form of the symmetry theorem we take the symmetric line bundle to be $n^*L$ where $L$ is of degree one. Moreover, we assume that $L$ itself is even symmetric. Recall that the intertwining operator

$$T : \mathcal{I}(X, n^*L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, n^*L)$$

was determined by

$$T(\Theta) = \Theta_{\gamma_s},$$

where now we take the basis

$$\Theta_{\psi} = U_{\Sigma_{\psi}(\psi)} \Theta_1, \Theta = \Theta_1.$$

We have

$$\Sigma_{\psi}(\psi) = (l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) .$$

We want to determine $T(\Theta_{\psi})$. The intertwining property implies that

$$T(\Theta_{\psi}) = T(U_{\Sigma_{\psi}(\psi)} \Theta_1) = U_{\delta_{\gamma_s}^{-1}}(l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) \cdot U_{l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)), \alpha(\gamma_s)} \Theta_1.$$

By Lemma 16

$$\delta_{\gamma_s}^{-1}(l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) = (l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)), M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi))$$

Therefore, using $[ \cdot ]$, $\{ \cdot \}$, to denote integral and fractional parts, we get

$$\delta_{\gamma_s}^{-1}(l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) \cdot (l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)), \alpha(\gamma_s))$$

$$= (l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)), M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)) \cdot (l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)), \alpha(\gamma_s))$$

$$= (l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)), M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s))$$

$$= (l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot F(\{M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)\}^{-1}, \{M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)\}^{-1})$$

$$\times (1, [M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)])$$

Using the fact that $p_n(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S}(L)$ corresponds under the theta structure $\Lambda_n$ to $M(n) = K(n, L)$, we conclude that

$$U_{\delta_{\gamma_s}^{-1}}(l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) \cdot U_{l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)), \alpha(\gamma_s)} \Theta_1 = b_{\gamma_s, \psi} \Theta_{\gamma_s, \psi}$$

where

$$b_{\gamma_s, \psi} = l(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot l(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot \{M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)\}^{-1}$$

$$\times E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s))^{-1}$$

$$\times F(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi), \alpha(\gamma_s)) \cdot F(\{M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)\})^{-1}$$

Clearly a good choice of $l$ is $l(x, y) = E(x, y)^{-1}$. Making this choice we get

$$b_{\gamma_s, \psi} = E(\alpha(\psi))^{-1} \cdot E(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi)) \cdot F(M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi), \alpha(\gamma_s))$$

$$\times F(\{M_{\gamma_s} \cdot \alpha(\psi) + \alpha(\gamma_s)\})^{-1}$$

Put as before
\[ \omega_g (\psi) = M_g^{-1} \alpha (\psi) + \alpha (\gamma_g) \]

then we get the familiar expression

\[ b_{g, \psi} = m_g^{-1} (\alpha (\psi)) F (\omega_g (\psi) - \alpha (\gamma_g)) F (\{ \omega_g (\psi) \}, [\omega_g (\psi)])^{-1}. \]

Finally, note that

\[ \gamma_g = \beta_g^{-1} ; \quad \beta_g = (m_g^{-1} g)^{-1}. \]

That is

\[ \gamma_g (\binom{x}{y}) = E \left( M_g (\binom{x}{y}) \right) / E \left( \binom{x}{y} \right). \]

THE SYMMETRY THEOREM (EXPLICIT FORM II). Let \( L \) be an ample even symmetric line bundle of degree one on \( X \). Let \( Z = X[n] \) and let \( \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K}(n, L) \) be the maximal level subgroup of \( G(n \ast L) \) lying above \( Z \) and corresponding to the descent data \( n, L \) (\( \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{M}(n) \) in our new terminology). Choose some non zero section \( \Theta \in \Gamma (X, L) \) and let \( \Theta = n \ast \Theta \). Choose a section \( \Sigma \) to the commutator map as described above

\[ G(n \ast L) \leftarrow \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*. \]

Given \( g \in \mathcal{A}(n \ast L) \) let \( \phi : g \ast n \ast L \rightarrow n \ast L \) be an isomorphism. Let \( T = \phi \ast g^* \). Then there exists a constant \( c(g) \) such that

\[ T \left( \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{Z}} a_{\psi} \Theta_{\psi} \right) = c(g) \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{Z}} a_{\psi} b_{g, \psi} \Theta_{\gamma_g \ast g \psi}, \]

where \( \{ \Theta_{\psi} = U_{\Sigma (\psi)} \Theta \} \) is a basis for \( \Gamma (X, n \ast L) \). We have

\[ \gamma_g (\binom{x}{y}) = E \left( M_g (\binom{x}{y}) \right) / E \left( \binom{x}{y} \right). \]

Further, the scalars \( b_{g, \psi} \) are given by

\[ b_{g, \psi} = m_g^{-1} (\alpha (\psi)) F (\omega_g (\psi) - \alpha (\gamma_g)) F (\{ \omega_g (\psi) \}, [\omega_g (\psi)])^{-1}, \]

where

\[ \omega_g (\psi) = M_g^{-1} \alpha (\psi) + \alpha (\gamma_g) \]

and

\[ m_g^{-1} (\alpha (\psi)) = E (M_g^{-1} \alpha (\psi)) E (\alpha (\psi))^{-1}, \quad E (x, y) = e \left( \frac{1}{2} y \cdot x \right). \]

COROLLARY 1. Assume that \( n \ast L = Q_x (D) \), then \( \phi \ast \) is multiplication by a function \( f_\gamma \).

Suppose further that \( 0 \in \text{supp} (D) \), then there exists a function \( \Omega \) such that \( f_\gamma = \Omega^\ast / \Omega \) and \( 0 \in \text{supp} (\Omega) \). We get then that for all \( x \)

\[ \frac{\Omega (g x)}{\Omega (x)} \Theta_{\psi} (g x) = c(g) b_{g, \psi} \Theta_{\gamma_g \ast g \psi} (x), \]

where \( c(g) \) is a non zero constant which is independent of \( \psi \). In particular,

\[ \Theta_{\psi} (0) = c(g) b_{g, \psi} \Theta_{\gamma_g \ast g \psi} (0). \]

Assume that \( \gamma_g = 1 \) then, if \( \Theta (0) \neq 0 \) and therefore \( c(g) = 1 \), we get
\[ \frac{\Omega(gx)}{\Omega(x)} \Theta_\psi(gx) = \frac{E \left( M_{g-1} (\alpha(\psi)) \right)}{E(\alpha(\psi))} \Theta_g\psi(x), \]
\[ \Theta_\psi(0) = \frac{E \left( M_{g-1} (\alpha(\psi)) \right)}{E(\alpha(\psi))} \Theta_g\psi(0). \]

**Example.** To illustrate this theorem take the simplest case \( g = -1 \). Then by our assumption:
\[ \gamma_{-1} = 1, \quad \alpha(\gamma_{-1}) = 0, \quad m_{-1} = 1, \quad (-1)\psi = \psi^{-1} \text{ for every } \psi. \]
It follows that \( h_{g, \psi} = 1 \) for every \( \psi \). Therefore, there exists a constant \( c(-1) \), independent of \( \psi \), such that
\[ T(\Theta_\psi) = \Theta_{\psi^{-1}} \]
for every \( \psi \). This implies that we may normalize \( \phi \) such that
\[ \phi_{\phi}(-1)^\psi(\Theta_\psi) = c(-1) \Theta_{\psi^{-1}} \]
for every \( \psi \) where \( c(-1) = \{\pm 1\} \).

Using this one can get [Mum1] 'Inverse Formula' p.331, [Mum3] Cor. 6.21 p.114, or [Kem] Theorem 4 p.71. We can get the exact constant which is \( 1 \) under the conditions of Corollary 1.

The Symmetry Theorem and the Quasi-Symmetry theorem allow a certain converse. For convenience we assume that \( L \) is a symmetric line bundle of degree 1. It will be more convenient to formulate this converse by using algebraic theta functions which we now define.

The section \( \tau \) allows us to turn sections \( s \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(L) \) into functions \( \mathcal{F}_s \)-\textit{algebraic theta functions} – on \( V(X) \). This is done as follows: Choose a trivialization of \( L \) at zero \( \varepsilon: L(0) \rightarrow k \). an isomorphism. If \( \tau(x) = (x_n, \phi_n) \), then
\[ \mathcal{F}_s(x) = \varepsilon(\phi_n^{-1}(s(x_n))), \]
where \( n \) is any such that \( \phi_n \) is defined (see [Mum3]). Let \( \Theta \) be the unique, up to a scalar, global section of \( L \), then \( \mathcal{F}_\Theta \) has the following property (loc. cit.):
\[ \mathcal{F}_\Theta(x + a) = e^{\lambda} (a/2) e^{\lambda'}(a/2, x) F_{\phi}(x), \quad x \in V(X), \ a \in T(X). \]
It is not difficult to check that given \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) we have the expected identity
\[ \mathcal{F}_{g \cdot \phi}(x) = F_{\phi}(g(x)) \]
and in particular \( c(g) \mathcal{F}_{\phi}(x) = F_{\phi \cdot \phi}(x) = F_{\phi \cdot g}(x) \) where is \( c(g) \) is a root of unity which is equal to one if \( F_{\phi}(0) \neq 0 \).

Now starting from an arbitrary operator \( M \in Sp(V(X)) \) (symplectic w.r.t. the \( \lambda' \) pairing) we define an automorphism
\[ \delta_M: \hat{G}(L) \rightarrow \hat{G}(L), \]
by the formula
\[ \delta_M(\lambda \cdot \tau'(x)) = \lambda \cdot \tau'(Mx). \]
We twist the natural representation \( U \) to a representation \( U^M \) by defining
\[ U^M_z = U_{\delta_M}; \]
We get a unique, up to a scalar, intertwining operator
\[ T_M: \mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L), \]
such that
\[ U \circ T_M = T_M \circ U^M. \]
(This is how the metaplectic group arises). \textbf{However, generally, it does not hold that}
\[ F_{\pi^c}(x) = F_{\pi^c}(Mx). \]

\textbf{Converse Theorem.} Assume that \( F_{\pi^c}(x) = F_{\pi^c}(Mx) \) and that \( M \) is integral, i.e. \( M(T(X)) = T(X) \). Then there exists \( g \in \mathcal{A}(L) \) such that \( T = \phi_\pi g^* \). That is, if \( \phi_\pi \): \( g^*L \cong L \) then, up to a scalar, \( T = \phi_\pi g^* \). In particular, letting \( V(g) \) denote the adic representation of \( g \), we have \( F_{\pi^c}(x) = F_{\pi^c}(V(g)x) \).

\textbf{Proof.}

\textbf{Claim 1.} For every \( s \in \mathcal{F}(L) \) we have \( F_{\pi^c}(s(x)) = F_{\pi^c}(Mx) \).

\textbf{Proof of Claim 1.} One can show (loc. cit.) that
\[ F_{U_{\phi_\pi^c}(\theta)}(x) = \lambda \varepsilon'(y/2, x) F_{\phi_\pi^c}(x - y). \]
We get therefore
\[ F_{\pi^c}(s)(x) = F_{\phi_\pi^c}(x) \]
\[ = \varepsilon'(y/2, x) F_{\phi_\pi^c}(x - y) \]
\[ = \varepsilon'(y/2, Mx) F_{\phi_\pi^c}(Mx - y) \]
\[ = F_{\pi^c}(Mx). \]

\textbf{Claim 2.} Let \( s_1, \ldots, s_n \) be a basis of \( \Gamma(X, M) \) where \( M \) is any symmetric very ample line bundle. Then for every \( x \in X \), for every lifting of \( x \) to \( x^\Lambda \in V(X) \),
\[ (F_{s_1}(x^\Lambda): \ldots: F_{s_n}(x^\Lambda)) = (s_1(x): \ldots: s_n(x)). \]

\textbf{Proof of Claim 2.} Let \( x \in X \), \( x^\Lambda = (x_i), x \in V(X), x_i = x \). Note that if \( \varepsilon' \) is any trivialization of \( M(x_i) \), then \( (s_1(x): \ldots: s_n(x)) = (\varepsilon'(s_1(x)): \ldots: \varepsilon'(s_n(x))) \). Thus the claim reduces to the fact that the following diagram is commutative for a suitable \( \varepsilon' \) (which is trivial):

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(X, n^*M) \supset n^*\Gamma(X, M) & \xrightarrow{\phi_n^{-1}} & n^*\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}(0)) \\
\varepsilon & & \varepsilon' \\
\Gamma(X, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & \mathcal{M}(0) \\
\end{array} \]

Now, assume that \( F_{\pi^c}(x) = F_{\pi^c}(Mx) \). If \( s_1, \ldots, s_n \) are a basis for \( \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \) (2* \( L \equiv L^4 \) and hence very ample) we have for every \( x \in X \),
\[ (F_{s_1}(x^\Lambda): \ldots: F_{s_n}(x^\Lambda)) = (s_1(x): \ldots: s_n(x)). \]
Equivalently
\[ (F_{\pi^c}(M^4x^\Lambda): \ldots: F_{\pi^c}(M^4x^\Lambda)) = (s_1(x): \ldots: s_n(x)). \]
Claim 3. The integrality of M implies that is \( s \in \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \) then \( T(s) \in \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \).

Proof of Claim 3. First of all it follows easily from the definitions that \( \sigma'(2T(X)) \) fixes \( \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \).

In fact, if we decompose \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) according to the characters of the maximal level subgroup \( Z = \sigma'(T(X)) \)

\[
\hat{\Gamma}(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \hat{\Gamma}(X, L)_\psi ,
\]

each summand is one-dimensional and easy: dimension count shows that \( \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \) is precisely the invariants of \( \sigma'(2T(X)) \).

Now, because \( s \) is \( \sigma'(2T(X)) \) invariant \( T(s) \) is \( \delta_m^{-1}(\sigma'(2T(X))) \) invariant. But \( \sigma'(2T(X)) = \tau'(2T(X)) \) and therefore \( \delta_m^{-1}(\sigma'(2T(X))) = \delta_m^{-1}(\tau'(2T(X))) = \tau'(2M^{-1}T(X)) = \tau'(2T(X)) = \sigma'(2T(X)) \).

We get that the integrality of \( M \) implies that \( T(s) \in \Gamma(X, 2^*L) \) again. Hence,

\[
(T(s_1)(M^1x): ... :T(s,)(M^1x)) = (s_1(x): ... :s_n(x)).
\]

That is, if we define a new embedding of \( X \) into \( \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(X, 2^*L)) \) by

\[
x \longmapsto (T(s_1)(x): ... :T(s_n)(x)),
\]

then the image of \( X \) under this embedding intersect the image of \( X \) under the original embedding along \( X_{x_0} \) and thus the images are equal. Hence the map

\[
(s_1(x): ... :s_n(x)) \longmapsto (T(s_1)(x): ... :T(s_n)(x))
\]

is an automorphism (note that \( (T(s_1)(0): ... :T(s,)(0)) = (T(s_1)(M^00): ... :T(s_n)(M^00)) = (s_1(0): ... :s_n(0)) \)).

Q.E.D.

---

End of Digression. Back to the general discussion of endomorphism!

Define a basis for \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) by

\[
\{ \Theta_\psi = U_{x(\psi)} \Theta \mid \psi \in \mathbb{Z}^* \}.
\]

Where now \( \Sigma \) is the particular section we have specified given by the choice of REP and the theta structure. As before, this basis has the property that

\[
\Sigma(n) = \{ \Theta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathbb{Z}^*[n] \}
\]

is a basis for \( \Gamma(X, n^*L) \) for every \( n \).

Recall that we had the sections

\[
\Xi_n : L(n)_1^* \longrightarrow L(n)_2
\]

and the bases \( \mathcal{L}(n) \) to \( \Gamma(X, n^*L) \) were defined using \( \Xi_n \):

\[
\mathcal{L}(n) = \{ s(n)_\psi = U_{x(\psi)} s(n)_1 \mid \psi \in L(n)_1^* \}.
\]

Write

\[
\Xi_n(\psi) = (1, 0, \beta(\psi)).
\]

Using the explicit description of \( \Sigma \) we can make the connections between the bases more explicit then before.
Lemma 17. 1) 
\[ s(n)_1 = \sum_{\psi \in M(n)_2} \Theta_{\psi}, \]
where \( M(n)_1 = M(n) \cap L(n)_1 \). (Note that this is an equality in \( \hat{I}(X, L) \).

2) Given \( \rho \in L(n)_1 \) * define \( \rho^1 \in M(n)^* \) ("extension by zero") as
\[ \rho \mid_{\rho \cap M(n)_1} \mapsto M(n)_1 \xrightarrow{\subset} M(n)^* = M(n)_1 \oplus M(n)_2^*. \]
Put for \( \psi \in M(n)^* \), \( \rho \in L(n)_1 \) * and \( \lambda \) as above
\[ \Phi_1(\psi, \rho) = E(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot I(\alpha(\psi)) \cdot E(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \})^{-1} I(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \})^{-1} \]
\[ \cdot F(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \}, \{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \})^{-1} \]
where \( [\cdot], \{\cdot\} \) denote integral and fractional parts respectively. Then
\[ s(n)_{\rho} = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\psi \in M(n)_2} \Phi_1(\psi, \rho) \Theta_{\psi} \rho^1. \]

3) Choose \( I(x, y) = E(x, y)^{-1} \) then, putting \( \Phi(\psi, \rho) = \Phi_1(\psi, \rho) \), we have
\[ \Phi(\psi, \rho) = F(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \}, \{ \alpha(\psi) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta(\rho) \end{array} \right) \})^{-1}. \]

Proof. We defined \( s(n)_1 = P_{L(n)_1}(\Theta) \). Since under the theta structure \( \Delta_n \) we have
\[ \Delta_n(L(n)_1) = \{ (1, x, 0) \mid x \in \left[ \frac{n}{n} \mathbb{Z} \right] \}, \]
we may take as representatives to \( \Delta_n(L(n)_1) / \Delta_n(M(n)_1) \) elements of \( \text{REP} \) which are of course the image under \( \Sigma \) of \( \Delta_n(M(n)_2)^* \). Therefore (recall that \( \Theta = n^s \Theta \) in \( \hat{I}(X, L) \))
\[ P_{L(n)_1}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{z \in L(n)_1} U_z \Theta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{z \in \Sigma(\psi), \psi \in M(n)_2} \sum_{z \in M(n)_1} U_{\Sigma(\psi)} U_z \Theta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{z \in \Sigma(\psi), \psi \in M(n)_2} U_{\Sigma(\psi)} \Theta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\psi \in M(n)_2^*} \Theta_{\psi}. \]

2) By definition \( s(n)_{\rho} = U_{1, 0, \beta(\rho)} s(n)_1 \). Thus
\[ s(n)_{\rho} = U_{1, 0, \beta(\rho)} s(n)_1 \]
\[ = U_{1, 0, \beta(\rho)} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{z \in \Sigma(\psi), \psi \in M(n)_2^*} U_{\Sigma(\psi)} \Theta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\psi \in M(n)_2^*} U_{1, 0, \beta(\rho)} (\xi(\alpha(\psi) \cdot E(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) \Theta). \]
To get the formula we want, we calculate (using that \( F ((\psi), (\rho)) = 1 \))

\[
\begin{align*}
(1, 0, \beta(\rho)) & \cdot (l(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi)) \\
& = (E(\alpha(\psi)) l(\alpha(\psi)), \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix}) \\
& = (E(\alpha(\psi)) l(\alpha(\psi)) F(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix} \}, \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix})^{-1}, \{ \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix} \}) \\
& \times (1, [\alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix}]) \\
& = (\Phi(l, \psi, \rho) l(\{ \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix} \}), \{ \alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix} \}) \\
& \times (1, [\alpha(\psi) + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\beta(\rho)
\end{pmatrix}]).
\end{align*}
\]

Whence

\[
s(n)_{\rho} = \frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{\psi \in M(n)^{2}} \Phi_{l}(\psi, \rho) \Theta_{\psi, \rho^{*}}.
\]

Q.E.D.

**Remark.** A classical case of the transformation formulas we have just proved is (for the right choice of \( l \)) the change of basis inverse to the change of basis given in Mumford / Tata lectures on theta I p. 124

**The quasi symmetry theorem.** Let \( X \) be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \geq 0 \). Let \( L \) be an ample even symmetric line bundle of degree \( 1 \) on \( X \). Let \( f : X \to X \) be a quasi symmetry of \( L \), \( \phi : f^{*}L \to L^{n^{3}} \) an isomorphism. Fix a theta structure as above and let the groups \( L(n) \), and the bases \( \mathcal{B}(n) \), be defined as above. Let \( \Lambda \), \( \Lambda_{\alpha} \) be the system of theta structures obtained above and let \( \Sigma : \mathcal{O}(T(X))^{*} \to \hat{G}(L) \) be the section constructed by the set of representatives \( REP \) and \( \Lambda \).

Let \( K(f, L) \) be the maximal level subgroup associated with the descent data \( f^{*}L = L^{n^{3}} \), and let \( A(K(f, L)) \) be defined with respect to \( L(n) \). Then the map

\[
\phi_{s}f^{*} : \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \to \hat{\Gamma}(X, n^{*}L)
\]

is an intertwining operator with respect to the \( \delta_{f} \) and \( \varepsilon_{n, 2} \) action (and hence is uniquely determined by taking a \( \mathcal{Z}(f) \) invariant vector under the \( \delta_{f} \) action — that is a \( \delta_{f}(\mathcal{Z}(f)) \) invariant vector under the natural action — to a \( \mathcal{Z}(f) \) invariant vector under the \( \varepsilon_{n, 2} \) action) and therefore equal up to a scalar to the intertwining map determined by the equality in \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \)

\[
\phi_{s}f^{*} \Theta = P_{K(f, L)} s(n)_{\omega}
\]

where \( \omega \in A(K(f, L)) \) is arbitrary. We have

\[
s(n)_{\rho} = \frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{\psi \in M(n)^{2}} \Phi(\psi, \rho) \Theta_{\psi, \rho^{*}}
\]

(for \( l(x, y) = E(x, y)^{-1} \)), where
\[ \Phi(\psi, \rho) = F\left(\{\alpha(\psi) + \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \rho(\psi) \end{array}\right]\}, \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha(\psi) \\ \rho(\psi) \end{array}\right]\right)^{\dagger}. \]

**REMARKS.** 1) Perhaps some general apologetic remarks are in order. In a sense this theorem as stated is not the final detailed answer to the problem of writing \( \phi_{sf}^* \). However, it seemed to us that this is as detailed as is worthwhile to give in this general setting. We have studied each magnitude appearing in this formulation in detail (the connection between the bases, the projection operator etc.) so as to offer sharpened tools to compute any specific problem. The following remarks elaborate this point.

2) We regard here \( K(f, L) \) as an 'atom'. We do not decompose this data further and explicate \( P_{K(f, L)} s(n) \). The same attitude is manifested with regard to writing the general formula for \( \phi_{sf}^* \). Both of these details clearly could be supplied by some tedious computations. However, over the complex numbers, using the Appell - Humbert formalism, we shall give in §5 an explicit description of \( K(f, L) \) just to illustrate the technique.

3) The natural idea would be to give a criterion stating when \( P_{K(f, L)} \Theta_f \) is non zero and save the detour of going through the groups \( L(n) \). However, this does not seem to exists. The reason is that \( Z \) is very far from having an orthogonal complement, which enables us to decompose \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) with respect to it but a nice Lemma as Lemma 10 does not exist. When we take a maximal level subgroup with an orthogonal complement as \( \tau^l(V_1) \), we have Lemma 10 but we can not decompose \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) with respect to it. However, we can do it on finite levels with the groups \( L(n) \). The resulting bases are well enough connected to the base with respect to \( Z \) and nicely related (by the isogeny theorem) to make sense, and to be practical for an explicit computation. Some interesting examples, where these computations may be of interest are given by Prym varieties and Fermat curves (for automorphisms) and by Humbert surfaces and elliptic curves with C.M. (for isogenies). A detailed study of such examples is a topic for another paper.

It is very important to deal also with a more general situation than considered above. Consider the following situation:

Let \( X, Y \) be abelian varieties over \( k \), and

\[ f: X \rightarrow Y \]

an isogeny of degree prime to \( p \). Suppose that there exists an ample even symmetric line bundle \( L \) on \( Y \) of degree 1, and an ample even symmetric line bundle \( M \) on \( X \) of degree 1, such that

\[ f^*L \cong M \]

\( n \) is determined, of course, by \( f \). In this situation the question is how to write the sections \( f^*_s, s \in \Gamma(Y, L) \) as sections of \( M \) relative to the bases that we have constructed (which are independent of \( f \) !). The situation we have dealt with above is when \( X = Y, L = M \) and is therefore a special case of this more general setting. It is amusing to note that the more general case is, under mild restrictions on \( f \), a special case of the special case. Indeed, consider the line bundle \( N = p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L \) on \( X \times Y \), and let

\[ \xi: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y \]

be the composition
\[
X \times Y \xrightarrow{\text{Id.} \times \phi_L} X \times \text{Pic}^0(Y) \xrightarrow{f \times f} Y \times \text{Pic}^0(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Id.} \times \phi_{M^{-1}}} Y \times X \xrightarrow{\text{per.}} X \times Y
\]

where \( \text{per.} (y, x) = (x, y) \).

**Lemma.** Assume that either \( \deg f \) is odd or that for some \( g \), \( f = g \circ \phi \), then \( \xi^* N \cong N^g \).

**Proof.** We have \( \xi(x, y) = ((\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)(y), f(x)) \). Therefore
\[
\xi^* N \cong p_1^* f^* L \oplus p_2^* (\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)^* M
\]
\[= p_1^* M^n \oplus p_2^* (\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)^* M. \]
Hence, it is enough to prove that
\[(\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)^* M \cong L^n.\]

First of all
\[f^* (\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)^* M \cong (\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L \circ f)^* M
\]
\[= n^g M
\]
\[= M^n. \]
We have also \( f^* L^n \cong M^{n^2} \). It follows that \( L^n \) and \( M = (\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)^* M \) are algebraically equivalent. Therefore
\[e^f = e^M \]
and since both are symmetric it is enough to prove ([Mum3] Lemma 4.25) that \( e^f = e^M \) (here we think of \( e \) as a function on \( T(X)/2T(X) \) rather than on \( \frac{1}{2} T(X)/T(X) \)). Now the functorial properties of the sections \( \sigma, \tau \) stated in Lemma 14 show that universally
\[e_g^* D(x) = e_L^*(V(g)x) \]
(where \( g \) is an isogeny between abelian varieties, \( D \) an ample symmetric line bundle etc.).

Whence,
\[e_g^* (y) = e_L^*(V(\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)(y)). \]

If \( f = g \circ \phi \) then \( n \) satisfies \( n^2 = \deg f \) and therefore is even. Hence \( e_g = 1 \). We also have that \( f^{-} = g^{-} \circ \phi \) and therefore \( V(\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L) \) kills \( T(Y)/2T(Y) \) whence \( e_g = 1 \).

If, on the other hand \( \deg f \) is odd then the map
\[V(f): T(X)/2T(X) \longrightarrow T(Y)/2T(Y) \]
is surjective. Take some \( x \in T(X) \) satisfying \( V(f)(x) = y \mod 2T(X) \). Then,
\[e_g^* (y) = e_L^*(V(\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L)(V(f)(x)))
\]
\[= e_L^*(V(\phi_{M^{-1}} \circ f^{-} \circ \phi_L \circ f)(x))
\]
\[= e_L^*(nx)
\]
\[= e_L^*(M^2(x))
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= e^*_k f^*(x) \\
&= (e^*_k f^*(x))^n \\
&= (e^*_k (V(f)x))^n \\
&= e^*_k (y).
\end{align*}
\]

Q.E.D.

REMARK. The conditions of the Lemma are necessary. For a typical example let \( E \) be an elliptic curve, \( \omega \in E[2] \) a non zero point and let \( Y = E / \langle \omega \rangle \). Denote by \( f \) the natural projection \( E \longrightarrow Y \). Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be generators of \( E[4] \) such that \( 2 \alpha = \omega \).

Let \( L \) be the line bundle of degree 1 on \( Y \) defined by the 2 torsion point \( f(\alpha) \). Then \( f^*L \) is defined by the divisor \( \{ \alpha, \alpha + \omega \} \) and we can take as a symmetric line bundle \( M \) such that \( f^*L \cong M^2 \) the line bundle defined by the point \( 0 \in E \). Both \( M \) and \( L \) are symmetric. If we identify \( E \) and \( Y \) with their duals in the canonical way we find that the dual map \( f^- : Y \longrightarrow E \) is the composition

\[ E / \langle \omega \rangle \longrightarrow E / E[2] \longrightarrow E \]

where the last arrow is the isomorphism induced from multiplication by 2 on \( E \). The line bundle \( f^-*M \) is defined by the divisor \( \{ 0, 0 + t \} \), \( t \) is the non zero point of \( E[2] / \langle \omega \rangle \). Then \( f^-*M \) is not isomorphic to \( L^2 \) and ones see (following the proof of the Lemma) that the Lemma does not hold in this case.

Now consider the sequence

\[ X \xleftarrow{i} X \times Y \xrightarrow{\xi} X \times Y, \]

where \( i(x) = (x, 0) \). Then, of course,

\[ i^* \xi^* N \cong M^r, \]

but note that this requires a choice of a trivialization of \( i^*p_2^*L^r \), which is determined up to a scalar and whose effect on global sections is evaluation at zero.

(i) By Kunneth formula \( \Gamma(X \times Y, p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L) = \Gamma(X, M) \otimes \Gamma(Y, L) \).

(ii) The map

\[ \xi^* : \Gamma(X \times Y, p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X \times Y, p_1^*M^r \otimes p_2^*L^r) = \Gamma(X, M^r) \otimes \Gamma(Y, L^r), \]

is well understood by the Quasi Symmetry Theorem (More on that below).

(iii) The map \( i^* \) is just evaluating \( t \) in \( s \otimes t \) at zero.

(iv) The composition \( i^* \xi^* = (0, f(\alpha)) \) is 'the map we seek'.

Note that the map \( \xi^* \) which eventually gives us the map \( f^* \) uses the descent data of both \( f \) and \( f^- \). In general the whole structure needed for the study of \( \xi^* \) is obtained as the product of the structures for \( L \) and \( M \). Or — to use another sloppy formulation — the theory of theta functions is multiplicative. That means, e.g., that

\[ \hat{G} ( p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L) \cong \hat{G} (M) \times \hat{G} (L) / \{ (\alpha, \alpha^{-1}) | \alpha \in k^\times \} \]
and therefore that Göpel structures for $L$ and $M$ induce a Göpel structure for $p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L$. Moreover the two systems of level subgroups $K_f(n)_i$, $L_f(n)_i$, $K_M(n)_i$, $L_M(n)_i$ can be "multiplied" to get such system for $p_1^*M \otimes p_2^*L$ etc.

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we give three examples. All of them concern Riemann surfaces. The first one is the case of a cyclic unramified covering of a Riemann surface. The second is the curves $y^2 = x^{2g+2} - 1$ and the third is $y^2 = x^p - 1$. We work over the complex numbers and use topological arguments and pictures. However, there should be no difficulty writing everything in arbitrary characteristic. We start by determining the representation on the first homology group.

I. A CYCLIC COVERING.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Let $\delta_1, ..., \delta_g, \eta_1, ..., \eta_g$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the cyclic covering of order $n$ of $\mathcal{B}$ obtained by 'unwinding $\eta_g$ $n$ times'.
With respect to the symplectic basis for $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

\[
\{ \delta_1^1, \delta_1^2, \ldots, \delta_1^n, \delta_2^1, \delta_2^2, \ldots, \delta_2^n, \ldots, \delta_{g-1}^1, \delta_{g-1}^2, \ldots, \delta_{g-1}^n, \\
\eta_1^1, \eta_1^2, \ldots, \eta_1^n, \eta_2^1, \eta_2^2, \ldots, \eta_2^n, \ldots, \eta_{g-1}^1, \eta_{g-1}^2, \ldots, \eta_{g-1}^n \},
\]

the generator of the cover automorphism group, $\pi$, which is 'raising one level' is given by
II. $y^2 = x^{2g+2} - 1$.

In every hyperelliptic curve $\mathcal{C}: y^2 = f(x)$, the automorphism $\tau$
\[ y \mapsto -y, \quad x \mapsto x \]
induces multiplication by $-1$ on $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore we examine only the automorphism $\pi$ determined by
\[ y \mapsto y, \quad x \mapsto \zeta x, \quad \zeta = \exp(2\pi i/(2g+2)). \]

We consider this curve, which is of genus $g$, as a two-sheet covering of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, obtained by branch cuts. The following diagram demonstrates this as well as giving a basis for $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$ - dotted lines denote curves on the lower sheet while whole lines denote curves on the upper sheet. For simplicity we demonstrate only the case $g = 4$, the other cases being similar.
The second picture describes the images of the basis elements after applying the automorphism $\pi$. That is, for example, the label $\lambda_1$ in the lower picture denotes the image of $\lambda_1$ under $\pi$. The matrix representing $\pi$ with respect to the basis $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$ is

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

In general, choosing the bases similarly, we get that the matrix representing $\pi$ is given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where

$$
B = 
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
C = 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
III. \( y^2 = x^p - 1 \).

This curve is of genus \((p - 1)/2\) and for \( p \) prime its Jacobian is a simple abelian variety with complex multiplication by \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \). Using similar description of the curve and picking a similar basis for \( H_1(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}) \) one gets that the automorphism \( \pi \) determined by

\[
y \mapsto y, \ x \mapsto \zeta x,
\]

where \( \zeta = \exp(2\pi i / p) \) is given for \( p = 3 \) by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & -i \\
  i & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and for \( p > 3 \) by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  A & B \\
  C & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
  0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

In each one of these examples we take as the even symmetric line bundle on the appropriate Jacobian the line bundle determined by the period matrix of these homology bases with respect to the standard map by some 'dual basis' of \( \Gamma(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}) \). By this we mean that the image is of the form \((\tau, I)\), \( \tau \in \mathfrak{H} \) - the appropriate Siegel upper space. This gives also a decomposition of the period lattice \((\tau, I)\mathbb{Z}^2\) to \( \tau \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and thus a very specific line bundle — which will denoted by \( L \) — the one obtained from the trivial line bundle on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) by dividing by the factor of automorphy

\[
a(\lambda, \nu) = \chi_0(\lambda) \exp(\pi H(\nu, \lambda) + \frac{\pi}{2} H(\lambda, \lambda))
\]

where

\[
H = (\text{Im } \tau)^{-1},
\]

\[
\chi_0(\lambda) = \exp(\pi i \text{Im} H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)),
\]

using the decomposition \( \lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \) obtained from \( \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{R} \otimes \tau \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \) (see [LB]).

In order to derive the explicit form of the Symmetry theorem in these examples, let us compute the expressions appearing in it. We will compute the formulas for \( \pi^{-1} \). Recall that

\[
m_{\pi}(\begin{pmatrix}
  \gamma \\
  \delta
\end{pmatrix}) = E(M_{\pi}(\begin{pmatrix}
  \gamma \\
  \delta
\end{pmatrix}) / E(\begin{pmatrix}
  \gamma \\
  \delta
\end{pmatrix}),
\]

where

\[
E(\begin{pmatrix}
  \gamma \\
  \delta
\end{pmatrix}) = \mathfrak{g}(\gamma, x; y).
\]

I. The matrix \( M_{\pi} \) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  K & 0 \\
  0 & iK^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and therefore \( m_{\pi^{-1}} = 1 \). Hence also \( m_{\pi^{-1}} = 1 \) and this implies that \( \gamma_{\pi^{-1}} = 1 \), because \( \gamma_{\pi^{-1}} = m_{\pi^{-1}} \mid_{Z} \). Thus \( \omega_{\pi^{-1}}(\psi) = M_{\pi} \alpha(\psi) \), which has no integral part. Therefore

\[
b_{\pi^{-1}, \psi} = 1
\]

for all \( \psi \).

This implies that up to a scalar \( \phi_{\pi} \star \chi \colon \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) is given by

\[
T \left( \sum_{\psi \in Z^{*}} a_{\psi} \Theta_{\psi} \right) = \sum_{\psi \in Z^{*}} a_{\psi} \Theta_{\pi^{-1}, \psi},
\]

a simple permutation matrix.

II. The matrix \( M_{\pi} \) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( B = -\pi C^{-1} \). In this case

\[
E(M_{\pi}(\psi)) = E\left(\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1},
\]

and

\[
m_{\pi}(\psi) = E\left(\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix}\right)^{-2}.
\]

However, note that for \( \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix} \in Z \) we get

\[
m_{\pi}(\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix}) = e^{\pi \psi \cdot x} = 1.
\]

This implies that \( \gamma_{\pi^{-1}} = 1 \). The constants \( b_{\pi^{-1}, \psi} \) are given by

\[
b_{\pi^{-1}, \psi} = E(\alpha(\psi))^{-2} F \left( \begin{pmatrix}
0 & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix}(\alpha(\psi)) \right), \left[ \begin{pmatrix}
0 & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix}(\alpha(\psi)) \right]^{-1}
\]

which are completely explicit roots of unity appearing the formula

\[
T \left( \sum_{\psi \in Z^{*}} a_{\psi} \Theta_{\psi} \right) = \sum_{\psi \in Z^{*}} a_{\psi} b_{\pi^{-1}, \psi} \Theta_{\pi^{-1}, \psi}.
\]

III. The matrix \( M_{\pi} \) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( A, B, C \) are as defined above. In this case

\[
E(M_{\pi}(\psi)) = E\left(\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & 0
\end{pmatrix}(x, y)\right)
\]

\[
= E\left(\begin{pmatrix}
Ax + By \\
Cy
\end{pmatrix}\right)
\]
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \sum_i x_i \cdot C(Ax_i + By_i) \right)
\end{equation*}
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \sum_i x_i \cdot y_i + x_i^2 \right).
\end{equation*}
Thus
\begin{equation*}
m(n) \left( \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \sum_i x_i \cdot y_i + x_i^2 \right),
\end{equation*}
which is not trivial on \( Z \). In fact
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ v \end{pmatrix}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} v^2.
\end{equation*}
One can write now the symmetry formula explicitly.

\section{Concluding Remarks}
This section is devoted to three topics. The first is the construction of compatible theta structures allowing one the simultaneous construction of bases for \( \Pi(X, L^n) \) for all \( n \). This construction furnishes the necessary background for extending our results to isogenies \( f \) such that \( f^* L = L^n \) where \( n \) needs not be a square. The second topic is the extension of our results to \( \mathbb{Q} \)-isogenies. That is to elements of \( \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{End}(X) \). The third topic is a short dictionary between the algebraic and analytic languages in case the ground field is the complex numbers.

\section{Simultaneous Bases}
One of the main points of our approach to the problem of writing quasi symmetries by explicit formulae is the possibility of a simultaneous construction of bases for all the spaces \( \Pi(X, n^* L) \). Conceptually, their nice behavior is a result of a certain compatibility of the theta structures defining them. Our purpose now is to define and explain this compatibility and extend it in a way that allows us to extend our simultaneous construction to all the spaces \( \Pi(X, L^n) \).

\textbf{Definition.} Let \( L \) be an ample symmetric line bundle of separable type on an abelian abelian variety \( X \). A \textit{symmetric theta structure} for \( L \) is an isomorphism
\begin{equation*}
\Delta : G(L) \longrightarrow G(\delta)
\end{equation*}
such that
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \circ \delta_1 = D_1 \circ \Delta
\end{equation*}
where \( D_1 \) is the automorphism of \( G(\delta) \) defined by
\begin{equation*}
D_1(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha, -x, -l)
\end{equation*}
(See [Mum1] pp.316-7). A \textit{symmetric theta structure for} \( (L, L^n) \) (or a \textit{compatible pair of theta structures}) is a pair of symmetric theta structures
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_n : G(L^n) \longrightarrow G(n\delta)
\end{equation*}
\[ \Delta_i : G(L) \longrightarrow G(\delta) \]
such that
\[ \Delta_n \circ \varepsilon_n = E_n \circ \Delta_i, \]
\[ \Delta_i \circ \eta_n = H_n \circ \Delta_n. \]

For a definition of \( \varepsilon_n, \eta_n, E_n, H_n \) see loc. cit. pp. 309-310, 316.

In general the existence of such a compatible pair is not a trivial matter. The case \( n = 2 \) is discussed thoroughly by Mumford, loc. cit. §2. However, in the case we are considering it is easy to prove the existence.

Let \( L \) be an ample even symmetric line bundle on \( X \). Define three homomorphisms for the standard adelic Heisenberg group \( G \) — denoted \( \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{H}_n \) — by
\[ \mathcal{D}_1(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha, -x, -l), \quad \mathcal{E}_n(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha^n, nx, l), \quad \mathcal{H}_n(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha^n, x, nl). \]

Define a theta structure
\[ \Delta_n : \hat{G}(L^n) \longrightarrow G \]
by
\[ \Delta_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n(\Delta_n(z)) \]
where \( \Delta_n : \hat{G}(L) \longrightarrow G \) is a symmetric theta structure as used in §3 after Lemma 15 (its existence is guaranteed by our assumptions on \( L \)) and \( z \) is an element such that \( \varepsilon_n(z) = x \). Since \( \text{Ker} \mathcal{E}_n = \mu_n = \text{Ker} (\varepsilon_n : \hat{G}(L) \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L^n)) \) this is well defined. It is easy to check that we have indeed defined a symmetric theta structure.

Consider the pair \( (\Delta_n, \Delta_m) \) of symmetric theta structures for \( (\hat{G}(L^n), \hat{G}(L^m)) \). It is obviously compatible for \( \varepsilon_m, \mathcal{E}_m \). To verify the \( \eta_m, \mathcal{H}_m \) compatibility it is enough to verify this for elements of the form \( \tau^M(x) \) where \( M = L^n \) or \( L^m \). Now
\[ \Delta_n(\tau^M(x)) = \Delta_n(\varepsilon_m(\tau^M(x))) = \mathcal{E}_n(\Delta_i(\tau^M(x))) \]
and therefore, using the identity \( \eta_n(\tau^m(x)) = \tau^m(nx) \) (which is part of the content of Lemma 14) we get
\[ \Delta_n(\eta_n(\tau^m(x))) = \mathcal{E}_n(\Delta_i(\tau^m(nx))). \]

On the other hand,
\[ \mathcal{H}_m \Delta_m(\tau^m(y)) = \mathcal{E}_m \mathcal{H}_m \mathcal{E}_m(\Delta_i(\tau^m(y))). \]

Put, \( \mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{H}_m \mathcal{E}_m \). That is,
\[ \mathcal{D}_m(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha, mx, nl). \]

We have to prove that
\[ \mathcal{D}_m(\Delta_i(\tau^M(y))) = \Delta_i(\tau^M(my)). \]

However, one can easily check that
\[ \mathcal{D}_m(\alpha, x, l) = (\alpha, x, l)^{\frac{m^2 + m}{2} \cdot \left( D_{-1}(\alpha, x, l) \right)^{\frac{m^2 - m}{2}}}. \]

It follows that
\[ \mathcal{D}_m(\Delta_i(\tau^M(y))) = \Delta_i \left( (\tau^M(y))^{\frac{m^2 + m}{2} \cdot \left( D_{-1}(\tau^M(y)) \right)^{\frac{m^2 - m}{2}}} \right). \]
\[ = \Delta_1(\tau^l(m^2 + m \cdot y) \cdot \tau^l(m^2 - m \cdot y)) = \Delta_1(\tau^l(m^2)). \]

Now, for any \( n \) we have an induced isomorphism
\[ G(L^n) \cong N_{\delta L^n}(\delta^n(T(X))) / (\delta^n(T(X))) = N_{\delta}(\delta^n, \delta)) / (\delta^n(\delta)) = G(n\delta) \]
(\( \delta \) was defined in §3 afterLemma 15), where \( \delta = (1, 1, ..., 1) \). The last isomorphism is the following:
\[ N_{\delta}(\delta^n, \delta) = \{ (\alpha, x, l) \mid x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_g, l \leq (1/\hat{n})\} \]
and the isomorphism is established by sending the maximal level subgroups
\[ \{(1, x, 0) \mid x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_g\}, \{(1, 0, l) \mid l \leq (1/\hat{n})\} \]
to their obvious images in \( G(n\delta) \) (the group law on \( G(n\delta) \) is defined using the \( n \)-th root of unity \( g(1/n) \) - compare §3 loc.cit.). Further, the maps between the various \( G(n\delta) \) induced from the maps \( \delta^n, \delta, \delta' \), are precisely the maps \( D_{\delta}, E_{\delta}, H_{\delta} \) and therefore we have succeeded in constructing compatible pairs of theta structures for \( (L^n, L'^n) \) for all \( n, m \). At last, one can also verify that under the isomorphism \( G(L^n) \cong G(n* L) \) the theta structure just constructed for \( G(L^n) \) agrees with the one constructed previously for \( G(n* L) \).

II. VIRTUAL SYMMETRIES.

Suppose that \( f \in \mathcal{O} \otimes \text{End}(X) \). Then usually for \( x \in X, f(x) \) does not make sense. Thus it is not clear what, if at all, \( f^* s, s \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \), should mean. However, when \( X \) is a complex abelian variety, say \( X = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \) then we may identify \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \) with certain holomorphic functions on \( \mathbb{C}^g \) and then we may define \( f^* s \) by \( f^* s(x) = s(f(x)) \) (using the same notation for the complex representation of \( f \)).

On the other hand \( f \) is not far from being an isogeny. There exists a natural number \( n \) such that \( nf \in \text{End}(X) \). For such an \( n \), \( nf \) is an isogeny, for every \( x \in X, (nf)* s(x) \) is meaningful and \( (nf)* s \) is defined with the usual meaning. Now, it is not difficult to convince oneself that the natural embedding
\[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, n* L) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \]
is the right way to define the action of \( 1/n \). This will be further justified when we discuss how this is reflected in the associated algebraic theta functions.

DEFINITION. Let \( f \in (\mathcal{O} \otimes \text{End}(X))^* \). Let \( n \) be such that \( nf \in \text{End}(X) \) and assume that \( (nf)* L \equiv n* L \) (such \( f \) will be called henceforth a virtual symmetry of \( L \)). Define the map
\[ f^* : \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \]
as the composition of the maps \( (nf)^* : \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, n* L) \) and the natural embedding \( 1 : \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, n* L) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, L) \).
Recalling the definition of \( \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) it is easy to see that this is well defined and generalizes the usual natural definition for symmetries \( f \in \text{End}(X)^* \) of \( L \). Notice that any automorphism \( f \) preserving the polarization determined by \( L \) is a virtual symmetry for \( L \) (in virtue of the symmetry of \( L \)). Therefore we also obtain somewhat more flexibility in the treatment of automorphisms as well. We remark that it is easy to generalize this definition for certain other \( f \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{End}(X) \) (obtaining thereby also the same kind of flexibility) or to the relative situation discussed in the end of §3. We leave that to the reader.

The map \((nf)^*\) is an intertwining operator for the action of \( \hat{\Gamma}(L) \) via \( \varepsilon_x \) and \( \delta_x \) as we have already seen. Recall (Lemma 14) that \( \delta_x \varepsilon_x(x) = \varepsilon_x((nf)(x)) \). Therefore we make the following

**DEFINITION.** For a virtual symmetry \( f \) define

\[
\delta_f : \hat{\Gamma}(L) \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(L)
\]

by

\[
\delta_f(\lambda : \varepsilon_x(x)) = \lambda : \varepsilon_x(f(x)).
\]

Let us verify that this is an automorphism. Since \( \varepsilon_x(x) \varepsilon_y(y) = \varepsilon_x(x, y/2) \varepsilon_x(y) \), we need only to check that \( \varepsilon_x(x, y/2) = \varepsilon_x(f(x), f(y)/2) \). Changing variables to \( nx, ny \) and using that \((nf)^*L \equiv n^*L\) we get

\[
\varepsilon_x(n(x), n(y))/2 = \varepsilon_x((nf)(x), (nf)(y))/2
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_x^{nf}(x, y/2)
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_x^{nf}(x, y/2)
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_x(nx, ny/2).
\]

For any virtual symmetry \( f \) we may twist the action of \( \hat{\Gamma}(L) \) by \( \delta_f : U_{\varepsilon_x(x)} = U_{\delta_f(x)} \).

**LEMMA.** \( f^* \) is an intertwining operator :

\[
f^* \circ U = U \circ f^*.
\]

**Proof.** For scalars \( \lambda \in k^* \) the assertion is clear. Therefore it is enough to check it for elements of the form \( \varepsilon_x(nx), x \in V(X) \).

\[
f^* \circ U \varepsilon_x(nx) = f^* \circ U \varepsilon_x(0f(x))
\]

\[
= I \circ (nf)^* \circ U \varepsilon_x(nf(x))
\]

\[
= I \circ U_{\varepsilon_x(\varepsilon_x(x))} \circ (nf)^*
\]

\[
= I \circ U_{\varepsilon_x^nf(x)} \circ (nf)^*.
\]

Therefore we need only to check that

\[
I \circ U_{\varepsilon_x^nf(x)} = U_{\varepsilon_x^f(0x)} \circ I.
\]

This is essentially Lemma 14, 4). Q.E.D.
In characteristic \( p \neq 0 \) we do not have a universal covering space for \( X \). However, Mumford showed that to a large extent \( V(X) \) is the right substitute. For any \( s \in \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) he defined the algebraic theta function \( F_s \) on \( V(X) \) (see §3 "Digression - The Symmetry Theorem"). Therefore we should check that

\[
F_{s \circ f}(x) = F_s(f(x)).
\]

Having verified this we may safely claim that our definitions are right. Recall the definition of \( F_s \) (a full discussion appears in [Mum3]):

We fix an isomorphism

\[
e: L(0) \rightarrow k,
\]

thereby fixing for any isogeny \( h \) (and in particular for \( h \) equal multiplication by \( n \)) an isomorphism

\[
h^*L(0) \cong L(0) \rightarrow k.
\]

Given \( x \in V(X) \), let \( r(x) = (x_n, \phi_n)_n \) and \( s \in \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) we defined

\[
F_s(x) = e(\phi_n^{-1} s(x_n))
\]

\( n \) divisible enough such that both \( s \) is represented by some \( s_n \in \Gamma(X, n^*L) \) and \( \phi_n \in G(n^*L)) \).

An important interpretation of this definition is as follows: for every \( n \) "evaluation at zero" defines a linear functional

\[
\xi_n: \Gamma(X, n^*L) \rightarrow n^*L(0) \cong L(0) \rightarrow k.
\]

They induce a linear functional

\[
\xi_n: \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \rightarrow k.
\]

One can prove (loc. cit.) that

\[
F_s(x) = \xi_n(U_{r(-h,x)}s).
\]

Given an isogeny \( h: X \rightarrow X \) we have an induced functional (via \( h^*L(0) \cong L(0)) \)

\[
h^* \xi_n: \hat{\Gamma}(X, h^*L) \rightarrow k,
\]

and it is clear that

\[
h^* \xi_n(h^*s) = \xi_n(s).
\]

Therefore we see that

\[
F_{h^*s}(x) = h^* \xi_n(U_{r(-h,x)}h^*s)
= h^* \xi_n(h^*U_{r(-h,x)}s)
= \xi_n(U_{r(-h,x)}s)
= F_s(h(x)).
\]

In particular

\[
F_{(nf)}(x) = F_s((nf)(x)).
\]

I claim that the embedding \( I: \hat{\Gamma}(X, n^*L) \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) has the effect

\[
F_I(nx) = F_s(x).
\]

From the definitions it follows that \( F_I(0) = F(0) \). Let us use the identity

\[
F_{U_{r(-\phi,s)}}(x) = \lambda: e(\phi, x/2) \cdot F_s(x - y)
\]

(loc. cit. Lemma 5.7) to conclude that
\[ F_{j}(x) = F_{j_{\infty_{\nu}}}(\lambda(0)) = F_{j_{\infty_{\nu}}}(l(0)) = F_{j_{\infty_{\nu}}}(\rho(x)) . \]

Finally, we get
\[ F_{\rho(x)}(x) = F_{\rho_{\infty}(x)}(x) = F_{\rho_{\infty}}(\frac{1}{H} x) = F_{j}(x) . \]

We summarize all this by

**Theorem.** Let \( f \) be a virtual symmetry. The map \( f^{*} : \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \to \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) defined as the composition of \( (nf)^{*} : \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \to \hat{\Gamma}(X, n^{*} L) \) and the natural embedding \( I : \hat{\Gamma}(X, n^{*} L) \to \hat{\Gamma}(X, L) \) is an intertwining map for the usual action and the \( \delta_{f} \)-twisted action of \( \hat{G}(L) \) where \( \delta_{f} \) is the automorphism of \( \hat{G}(L) \) defined by \( \delta_{f}(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda)f(x) \).

We have an identity of theta functions
\[ F_{\rho(x)}(x) = F_{j}(x) . \]

**Remark.** Over the complex numbers, for the line bundle \( L(H, \chi) \) (see below), \( f \) is a virtual symmetry if and only if \( f^{*}H = H \). This is because we are dealing with symmetric line bundles only. In the case where \( X \) is a simple abelian variety with C.M. by a C.M. field \( K \), the condition \( f^{*}H = H \) is equivalent to the condition \( N_{K^{*}/L}(f) = 1 \). If we take \( f \) to be an isogeny then \( f \) is a root of unity (and thus, usually, just \( \pm 1 \)), where if we allow \( f \) to be a general virtual symmetry then \( f \) is precisely of the form \( g^{*}(\rho(f) - \rho) \) is complex conjugation (and there are infinitely many such \( f \)’s). This new abundance of virtual symmetries is one of the motivations for the introduction of this concept.

### III OVER \( \mathbb{C} \)

This section follows in terminology and notation the book [LB]. Since our general description of the theory over \( \mathbb{C} \) is well known and most of the proofs of our new contributions are easy to prove, we will not offer any proofs to the assertions below. The purpose of this section is to be a "pocket dictionary" for practitioners of the classical theta functions theory which are not well versed with Mumford’s language.

Let \( \Lambda \) be a lattice (i.e. discrete maximal rank subgroup) in \( \mathbb{C}^{g} \). Let \( (H, \chi) \) be an Appell–Humbert data. Recall that this means that \( H \) is a Hermitian form on \( \mathbb{C}^{g} \) — which we shall assume to be non-degenerate — and that \( \chi \) is a semi-character on \( \Lambda \):
\[ \chi : \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}, \chi(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) = \chi(\lambda_{1}) \chi(\lambda_{2}) \exp(\pi i E(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2})) \]
where \( E = \text{Im} \, H \).

Given such a data, define a factor of automorphy \( a = a_{(H, \chi)} \) on \( \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^{g} \) by
\[ a(\lambda, \nu) = \chi(\lambda) \exp(\pi i H(\lambda, \nu)) . \]

The lattice \( \Lambda \) acts on the trivial line bundle \( \mathbb{C}^{g} \times \mathbb{C} \) over \( \mathbb{C}^{g} \) by
\[ \lambda^{*}(v, \nu) = (v + \lambda, a(\lambda, \nu) \cdot \nu), \]
defining an ample line bundle \( L = L(H, \chi) \) of degree \( \frac{1}{\text{det } E} \) on \( X = \mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda \). The global sections of this line bundle, \( \Gamma(X, L) \), are identified with holomorphic functions \( \Theta \) on \( \mathbb{C}^{g} \) satisfying
The Appell-Humbert data satisfies the following identities:

- \( L(H_1, \chi_1) \otimes L(H_2, \chi_2) = L(H_1 + H_2, \chi_1 \chi_2) \);
- \( f^* L(H, \chi) = L(f^* H, f^* \chi) \);
- \( L(H, \chi) \) is symmetric if and only if the image of \( \chi \) is contained in \( \{ \pm 1 \} \).

One can extend the definition verbatim to degenerate matrices and in particular get \( \text{Pic}^0(X) = \{ L(0, \chi) \mid \chi \in \Lambda^s \} \).

Define a group structure on \( \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^s \) by

\[
[\alpha, w][\beta, x] = [\alpha \beta \exp(\pi H(x, w)), x + w].
\]

We call this group \( \hat{G}(L)_c \) and denote by \( \hat{G}(L)_c \) its subgroup consisting of all elements \( [\alpha, w] \) where \( w \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda \). Define also

\[
G(L)^+ = \{ [\alpha, w] : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*, w \in \Lambda^1 \},
\]

\[
T(L) = \{ [a(w, 0), w] : w \in \Lambda \}
\]

(\( \Lambda^1 \) is with respect to \( E \)).

Note that \( \hat{G}(L)_c \) acts on \( \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^s \) by

\[
[\alpha, w][v, t] = [v + w, \alpha \cdot \exp(\pi H(v, w)) \cdot t].
\]

Now, one easily proves that \( G(L)^+ \backslash T(L) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( G(L) \) and that the formula

\[
\lambda^* [v, t] = [\alpha(\lambda, 0), \lambda][v, t]
\]

holds. We also remark that the commutator pairing \( e^L \) of \( G(L) \) is given by

\[
e^L([\alpha, w], [\beta, x]) = \exp(-2\pi i \, E(w, x))
\]

(and if \( L = L(H, \chi) \) is symmetric one verifies that we have \( e^L(x) = \chi(2x) \) for \( x \in \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \)). The action of \( G(L) \) on the global sections of \( L \) is

\[
([\alpha, w] \Theta(x) = \alpha \cdot \exp(\pi H(x - w, w)) \cdot \Theta(x - w).
\]

Given a totally isotropic decomposition \( \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2 \) with respect to \( E \), we define a semi-character \( \chi^\circ \) on \( \Lambda \) by

\[
\chi^\circ(v) = \exp(\pi i \, E(v_1, v_2)),
\]

where the decomposition \( v = v_1 + v_2 \) is deduced from \( \mathbb{C}^s \cong (\mathbb{R} \otimes \Lambda_1) \oplus (\mathbb{R} \otimes \Lambda_2) \). Then the resulting line bundle \( L = L(H, \chi^\circ) \) is a symmetric line bundle. Given any other semi-character \( \chi \) for \( H \), we can find some \( c \in \mathbb{C}^s \) such that

\[
\chi(v) = \chi^\circ(v) \exp(2\pi i \, E(c, v)).
\]

We note that \( c \) is not unique, but once it is chosen we can extend the definition of the factor of automorphy \( a(u, \chi) \) given by (1) to elements of \( \Lambda^1 \) by using (2) as the definition of the extended semi-character (i.e., \( \chi^\circ \) and \( \exp(2\pi i \, E(c, v)) \) have the obvious extension and we use (2) to extend \( \chi \)). We define the theta function \( \Theta = \Theta^\circ_{L(H, \chi)} \) on \( \mathbb{C}^s \) by

\[
\Theta(v) = \exp\left(-\pi H(v, c) - \frac{\pi}{2} H(c, c) + \frac{\pi}{2} H(v + c, v + c)\right) \times \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \exp \left( \pi (H - B)(v + c, \lambda) - \frac{\pi}{2} (H - B)(\lambda, \lambda) \right)
\]
where \( B \) is the \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear extension of \( H_{\mathbb{R} \otimes \Lambda}^{\perp} \).

The decomposition of \( \Lambda \) induces a decomposition \( \Lambda^1 = \Lambda_1^\perp \oplus \Lambda_2^\perp \). Define
\[
K_i = \{ \{ \alpha(w, 0), w \} : w \in \Lambda_i^\perp \}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]
(Note the "hidden" dependence on the choice of \( c \).)

One can readily verify the following statements:

- \( \Theta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^S/\Lambda, L(H, \chi)) \).
- \( K_i \) are mutually orthogonal maximal level subgroups of \( G(L) \).
- \( \Theta \) is \( K_2 \) invariant.
- Define for \( u \in \Lambda_i^\perp/\Lambda_i \),
\[
\Theta_u = [\alpha(u, 0), -u] \Theta,
\]
then \( \{ \Theta_u \mid u \in \Lambda_i^\perp/\Lambda_i \} \) is a basis for \( \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^S/\Lambda, L(H, \chi)) \) and for \( [\alpha, w] \in G(L) \)
\[
[\alpha, w] \Theta_u = \alpha^* e^{i(u - w_1, w_2)} \alpha(w, 0)^{-1} \Theta_{u - w_1}.
\]

A special important case is as follows:

Given \( \tau \in \mathfrak{H}_g \) we define:

- a lattice \( \Lambda_\tau = (\tau I) \mathbb{Z}^2 \),
- an abelian variety \( X_\tau = \mathbb{C}^S/\Lambda_\tau \),
- a principal polarization \( H_\tau = (\text{Im } \tau)^1 \),
- a decomposition \( \Lambda_1 = \tau \mathbb{Z}^2, \lambda_2 = I \mathbb{Z}^2 \),
- a symmetric form \( B_\tau \) the \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear extension of \( H_\tau \mathbb{R} \otimes \Lambda_\tau - B_\tau(v, w) = \text{Im}(\text{Im } \tau)^1 w, \)
- a semi-character \( \chi_0^\tau(v) = \exp(\pi i E_\tau(v_1, v_2)) \), \( E_\tau = \text{Im } H_\tau \),
- a basis for \( \Lambda_\tau \) consisting of the columns of \( (\tau I) \),
- a line bundle \( L_\tau = L(H_\tau, \chi_0^\tau) \) on \( X_\tau \).

we define the functions \( \Theta_{\tau, o, \omega} \) for \( o \in \tau (n^2 \mathbb{Z}^2) \) by
\[
\Theta_{\tau, o, \omega} = [a_{\omega^1 H_\tau \ast \chi_0^\tau}(-w, 0), -\omega]_{G(L), \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}} \Theta_{\omega^1 H_\tau \ast \chi_0^\tau}.
\]

Then \( \{ \Theta_{\tau, o, \omega} : o \in \tau (n^2 \mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2) \} \) is a basis for \( \Gamma(X_\tau, n^* L_\tau) \). We have
\[
\Theta_{\tau, o, \omega}(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\omega n^2}{2} B_\tau(z, z)\right) \Theta_{\omega^1}(n^2 z, n^2 \tau)
\]
where \( \omega = \tau \omega^1 \) and for \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \Theta_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'} \) is Riemann's theta function
\[
\Theta_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}(z, \tau) = \sum_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \exp\left(2\pi i \left( z^1 (N + \varepsilon) \tau (N + \varepsilon) + i(N + \varepsilon)(z + \varepsilon') \right) \right).
\]

Coming back to the more general situation, let \( L \) be a symmetric line bundle \( L = L(H, \chi) \) on \( X = \mathbb{C}^S/\Lambda \). Then given an automorphism \( g \) of \( X \), the Appell-Humbert theorem implies that \( g \) is a symmetry of \( L(H, \chi) \) if and only if \( g^* H = H, g^* \chi = \chi \). Assuming this is the case we can show that
\[
\delta_g[\alpha, w] = [\alpha, gw].
\]

Also
\[
e_n[\alpha, w]_{G(L)} = [\alpha^e, w]_{G(L)^n}, \quad \eta_n[\alpha, w]_{G(L)^n} = [\alpha^e, nw]_{G(L)}
\]
and if \( w \in m^2 \Lambda \) then
\( n^g[\alpha, w]_{G(n^g\mathbb{Q})} = [\alpha, w/n]_{G(n^g\mathbb{Q}^*\mathbb{Q})}. \)

Picking a symplectic basis \((\omega_1, \omega_2)\) of \(\Lambda\) and letting \(w_1, \ldots, w_{2g}\) be the columns of \((\omega_1, \omega_2)\), \(W = (w/n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}\) then \(W_1, \ldots, W_{2g}\) is a basis of \(T(X)\) over \(\mathbb{Z}\) and a basis for \(V(X)\) over \(\mathbb{A}_F\). For every \(x \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda\), \(x^\lambda = (x/n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}\) is in \(V(X)\) and

\[

\psi(x^\lambda) = \left[ \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2}{i} \theta H(x, x) \right), \frac{2}{i} \theta \right] \right]_{G(n^g\mathbb{Q}^*)}^{\mathbb{Q}^*}.

\]

We have the natural embedding

\[
i : \hat{G}(L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \hat{G}(L)
\]

given by

\[
[\alpha, x] \longmapsto ([\alpha, x/n]_{G(n^g\mathbb{Q}^*)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}.
\]

The \(\varepsilon_n, \eta_n, \delta_n\) formulas are immediately deduced from their finite counterparts.

For a concrete example of the system of maximal level subgroups consider again the line bundle \(L_\tau\) on \(X\). We have

\[
G(n^gL_\tau) = \{[\alpha, w] : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*, w \in (\tau I(n^g\mathbb{Z})^{2g}\}
\]

with the group law

\[
[\alpha_1, w_1] [\alpha_2, w_2] = [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \exp(\pi n^g H(w_2, w_1)), w_1 + w_2].
\]

The subgroups of \(G(n^gL_\tau)\)

\[
L(n)_1 = \{[a(w, o), w] : w \in \tau \frac{1}{n^g} \mathbb{Z}^{g} \oplus I\mathbb{Z}^g\},
\]

\[
L(n)_2 = \{[a(w, o), w] : w \in \tau \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus I \frac{1}{n^g} \mathbb{Z}^g\},
\]

\[
M(n) = \{[a(w, o), w] : w \in \tau \frac{1}{n^g} \mathbb{Z}^{g} \oplus I \frac{1}{n^g} \mathbb{Z}^{g}\},
\]

\((a = a, n^gH, n^g, \mathbb{Q}^*)\) are maximal level subgroups. \(L(n)_1\), \(L(n)_2\) are mutually orthogonal and \(M(n)\) is the level subgroup defining \(L\) from \(n^gL\). The subspaces \(V_1 = \tau \mathbb{Q}^g, V_2 = I \mathbb{Q}^g\) induce a Göpel structure on \(\hat{G}(L)_{\mathbb{Q}}\) which gives a Göpel structure on \(\hat{G}(L)\) via \(i\) and extension of aclars. The Göpel structure is inducing the system \(\{L(n)_i : i = 1, 2\}_n\). We have already described how the bases of \(T(X, n^gL_\tau)\) with respect to \(L(n)_1\), \(L(n)_2\) look like. The basis with respect to \(M(n)\) and the section used in the symmetry theorem (for the obvious theta structure) is

\[
\left\{\exp \left( \frac{2}{i} \theta B_i(z, z) \right) : \Theta \left[ \frac{c}{\mathbb{Q}^*} \right](c, \tau, \mathbb{Q}^g) = c, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}, 0 \leq c, c' < (n, \ldots, n) \right\}.
\]

Consider the following situation: we have two lattices \(\Lambda_1 \supseteq \Lambda\), yielding an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_1/\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_1 \overset{i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Let \(L = L(H, \chi)\) be some line bundle on \(\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_1\). Let \(L = i^*L\), Let \(K\) be the corresponding level subgroup og \(G(L)\). Then

(i) \(L = L(H, \chi)|\Lambda\); 
(ii) \(K = \{[\alpha(\chi, \lambda), (\lambda, 0)] : \lambda \in \Lambda/\Lambda\};\)

(iii) Let \(L = L(H, \chi)\) be a line bundle on \(\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda\), and let \(K = \{[\alpha(\lambda, \lambda)] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) be a maximal level subgroup of \(G(L)\) where \(\Lambda\) is a lattice containing \(\Lambda\). Let \(L\) be the line bundle on \(\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda\) defined by the descent data \(K\) (i.e. \(i^*L_{\tau} = L\)). Then \(L_{\tau} = L(H, \chi)\) where \(\chi^k|_{\Lambda_1} = \chi\) and \(\chi^k(\lambda) = i(\lambda)/\exp(\frac{2}{i} \theta H(\lambda, \lambda))\).
APPENDIX. REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE AND ADELIC HEISENBERG GROUPS

A1. THE FIRST CLASSIFICATION

This section gives a 'primary' classification of the irreducible representations of finite Heisenberg groups. We fix the following notation:

- \( k \) - an algebraically closed field.
- \( G \) - a finite Heisenberg group sitting in the exact sequence
  \[
  1 \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow 1
  \]
  where the order of \( H \) is \( d^2 \).
- \( K \) - a maximal level subgroup of \( G \).
- \( \alpha_n \) - the homomorphism \( k^* \longrightarrow k^* \) given by \( t \mapsto t^n \).
- \( B^n \) - the character group of a group \( B \).
- \( B[n], B^n \) - the subgroup of elements of order \( n \) and the subgroup of \( n \)-th powers, respectively, of an abelian group \( B \).

DEFINITION. Let \( U: G \longrightarrow GL(V) \) be a representation of \( G \) on a \( k \)-vector space \( V \). We say that \( (V, U) \) is of order \( n \) if \( k^* \) acts through \( \alpha_n \).

Let \( (V, U) \) be a fixed irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( G \). Decompose \( V \) according to eigenspaces of \( K \)

\[
V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^*} V_{\chi}
\]

and choose some \( \chi_0 \) such that \( V_{\chi_0} \neq \{0\} \).

LEMMA A1.1. \( \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^*} V_{\chi} \) is a non-zero \( G \)-invariant subspace of \( V \), hence equal to \( V \).

Proof. We have a group isomorphism

\[
G / k^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} K^*, \quad y \mapsto \chi^y
\]

where

\[
\chi^y(z) = [z, y],
\]

and \( [z, y] = zyz^{-1}y^{-1} \). It is easy to check that

\[
U_y(V_\psi) = V_{\psi(\chi^y)}.
\]

Q.E.D.

Choose a set theoretic section \( \sigma: K^* \longrightarrow G \) to the map \( y \mapsto \chi^y \). We shall always assume that the image of \( \sigma \) is contained in \( G' \). This is possible since \( k^*G' = G \).
Lemma A1.2. 1) $V_{x_0}$ is an irreducible representation of $k^* Ko(K^n)$ henceforth denoted by $\rho$.

2) $(V, U) \cong \text{Ind}^{G}_{k^* Ko(K^n)}(\rho)$.

Proof. First of all, $k^* Ko(K^n)$ or $\mu_{\alpha} Ko(K^n)$ do act on each $V_{x_0}$ and we denote this action by $\rho_{x_0}$. Thus $\rho_{x_0} = \rho$. Second, we note that the representation theory of $G$ is governed by that of $G'$ and the representation theory of $k^* Ko(K^n)$ by that of $\mu_{\alpha} Ko(K^n)$. This means for example that we can identify $\text{Ind}^{G}_{k^* Ko(K^n)}(\rho)$ with $\text{Ind}^{G}_{\mu_{\alpha} Ko(K^n)}(\rho)$ and the first is irreducible with respect to $G$ if and only if the second is irreducible with respect to $G'$. Conveniently, we may work with characters of finite groups. Assuming that 2) is true we get by Frobenius duality:

$$
1 = <\Phi_{U}, \Phi_{U}>
= <\Phi_{U}, \Phi_{\text{Ind}^{G}_{A}(\rho)}> 
= <\Phi_{U}, \Phi_{\rho}>
= \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{K}^*} <\Phi_{\rho_{x_0}}, \Phi_{\rho}>
= <\Phi_{\rho}, \Phi_{\rho}> + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} <\Phi_{\rho_{x_0}}, \Phi_{\rho}>
$$

where $A = \mu_{\alpha} Ko(K^n)$, and $\Phi$ denotes the character of the appropriate representation. This proves 1) and the obvious fact that the different $\rho_{x_0}$ are all non-isomorphic (although they induce the same representation).

To prove 2) we use the well known interpretation of $V' = \text{Ind}^{G}_{k^* Ko(K^n)}(\rho)$ as $V' = \bigoplus g_i V_{x_0}$ where $\{g_i\}$ are representatives of $G/k^* Ko(K^n)$. An element $g \in G$ acts on a vector $g_i \nu$ by $g \cdot g_i \nu = g_i (\rho_{x_0} (r) \nu)$ if $gg_i = g_j r, r \in k^* Ko(K^n)$. Define a $G$-linear transformation

$$
V' \longrightarrow V
$$

by

$$
g_i \nu \longmapsto U_{x_0} \nu,
$$

Since $\{V_{x_0}\}_{x \in \mathbb{K}^*}$ are permuted by $G$ transitively, $\dim(V) = |G : k^* Ko(K^n)| \cdot \dim(V_{x_0}) = \dim(V')$. Since the image is an irreducible representation, this is an isomorphism. Q.E.D.

Lemma A1.3. Let $(W, \rho)$ be an irreducible representation of $k^* Ko(K^n)$ of order $n$ such that $\rho|_{k^* K} = \alpha_{x} l_{w}$ for some $x \in K^n$, then $(V, U) = \text{Ind}^{G}_{k^* Ko(K^n)}(\rho)$ is an irreducible representation of $G$ of order $n$. 


Proof. Set

\[ V = \bigoplus g_i W \]

where \( \{g_i\} \) are representatives of \( G / k^*K\sigma(K^*[n]) \). An element \( b \in K \) acts on \( g_i W \) by

\[ \rho(g_i^{-1}bg) = \alpha_x \chi(b) b \]

\[ = \chi_{\alpha_x} \cdot \chi(b). \]

That means that if we decompose \( V \) to eigenspaces of \( K \) then \( g_i W = V_{\chi_{\alpha_x}} \). Each \( g_i W \) is a representation of \( k^*K\sigma(K^*[n]) \), henceforth denoted \( \rho_{g_i} \), which clearly can not contain a representation isomorphic to \( \rho \) as can be seen by observing the way \( K \) acts. Using the same computation as in (2.1) we get

\[ \langle \Phi_U, \Phi_U \rangle = \langle \Phi_\rho, \Phi_\rho \rangle + \sum_{g_i \in k^*K\sigma(K^*[n])} \langle \Phi_{\rho_{g_i}}, \Phi_{\rho} \rangle \]

\[ = 1. \]

Q.E.D.

We summarize all we have proved by

THEOREM A1.4. Let \( G \) be a finite Heisenberg group, \( K \) a maximal level subgroup of \( G \) and \( \sigma: K^* \rightarrow G^c \) a set theoretic section to the map \( y \mapsto \chi^y \).

(1) Let \( \rho \) be an irreducible representation of \( k^*K\sigma(K^*[n]) \), then \( \rho|_{k^*K} \) is isotypical, equal to \( \alpha_x \chi \) with some multiplicity and

\[ (V, U) = \text{Ind}_{k^*K\sigma(K^*[n])}^G(\chi) \]

is an irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( G \). Further, every irreducible representation of \( G \) is obtained in this fashion.

(2) If \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) are two irreducible representations of order \( n \) of \( k^*K\sigma(K^*[n]) \) then

\[ \text{Ind}_{k^*K\sigma(K^*[n])}^G(\rho) = \text{Ind}_{k^*K\sigma(K^*[n])}^G(\rho') \]

if and only if \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) belong to the same orbit under the \( G \)-action given by

\[ (g, \rho) \mapsto \rho g; \quad \rho g(b) = \rho(g^{-1}bg). \]

(3) Given an irreducible representation \( U \) of \( G \) on a \( k \)-vector space \( V \) one obtains the full orbit of the representations \( \rho \) associated to it by (2) by letting \( k^*K\sigma(K^*[n]) \) act through \( U \) on the various \( K \)-eigenspaces of \( V \).

(4) Every irreducible representation of \( G^c \) is of order \( n \) for some \( n \). Hence these representations for \( 1 \leq n \leq d^2 \) are generators for the representation ring of \( G^c \).

Proof. We have already proved everything except for the last assertion. To see it is true, decompose an irreducible representation of \( G^c \) according to characters of \( \mu_{d^2} \) and note that since \( \mu_{d^2} \) is central each one of them is \( G^c \) invariant. Hence, there exist a unique eigenspace of \( \mu_{d^2} \) on which the action is given by some \( \alpha_n \).

Q.E.D.
We conclude this section by a lemma that follows immediately from Frobenius duality.

**Lemma A1.5.** Let \( \rho \) be an irreducible representation of \( k^*K\alpha(K^*[n]) \) such that \( \rho \big|_{k^*} = \alpha_n \chi \cdot \text{Id}_W \) for some \( \chi \in K^* \), then \( \rho \) appears \( \dim(\rho) \) times in \( \text{Ind}_{k^*}^{k^*K\alpha(K^*[n])}(\alpha_n \chi) \).

**A2. Classification with Respect to a Maximal Level Subgroup with an Orthogonal Complement**

We keep the notation of §A1. We shall assume throughout this section that \( K \) has an orthogonal complement. Thus, we may choose \( \sigma \) as a homomorphism into \( G^c \) and henceforth we assume this has been done. In this section we use the results of §1 to get an explicit classification of the irreducible representations of order \( n \) of \( G \).

**Lemma A2.1** Every irreducible representation \( \rho \) of order \( n \) of \( k^*K\alpha(K^*[n]) \), such that \( \rho \big|_{k^*} = \alpha_n \chi \) for some \( \chi \in K^* \), is 1-dimensional and is of the form

\[
\rho(\alpha \sigma(\psi)) = \alpha \chi(x) \tau(\psi)
\]

for a suitable \( \tau \in (K^*[n])^* \).

Conversely, given any \( n \), \( \chi \in K^* \), \( \tau \in (K^*[n])^* \) we have an irreducible 1-dimensional representation \( \rho \) of order \( n \) of \( k^*K\alpha(K^*[n]) \) defined as above.

**Proof.** Let \((V, \rho)\) be an irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( k^*K\alpha(K^*[n]) \). We consider it as a representation of \( K^*[n] \) via \( \sigma \) and decompose it according to characters

\[
V = \bigoplus_{\tau \in (K^*[n])^*} a_{\tau} V_{\tau},
\]

where \( a_{\tau} \) are the multiplicities. It is easy to see that each \( V_{\tau} \) is \( k^*K\alpha(K^*[n]) \) invariant. That proves the first part of the lemma.

To prove the second part we need only check that \( \rho \) as defined is a homomorphism:

\[
\rho(\alpha \sigma(\psi) \alpha_i x_i \sigma(\psi_i)) = \rho(\alpha \alpha_i [x_i^{-1}, \sigma(\psi)] x_i \alpha(\psi) \sigma(\psi_i))
\]

\[
= (\alpha \alpha_i)^* \chi(x_i) \tau(\psi \psi_i).
\]

where we used \([x_i^{-1}, \sigma(\psi)]^n = [x_i^{-1}, \sigma(\psi^n)] = 1\).

Q.E.D.

We may now rephrase and explicate Theorem A1.4.

**Theorem A2.2.** Let \( K \) be a maximal level subgroup with an orthogonal complement. The irreducible representations of order \( n \) of \( G \) (\( G^c \)) are in one to one correspondence with triples \((n, \chi, \tau)\) \((1 \leq n \leq d^2 \text{ respectively})\) where \( \chi \in K^*/K^n \), \( \tau \in (K^*[n])^* \).

The representation corresponding to \((n, \chi, \tau)\) is \( \text{Ind}_{k^*}^{k^*K\alpha(K^*[n])}(\alpha_n \chi \tau) \). It is of dimension \( r(n) = \#K^*[n] \) and is denoted by \((W_{(n, \chi, \tau)}, \rho_{(n, \chi, \tau)})\). Its character, denoted by \( \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)} \) is given by
(A2.1) \[ \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha \chi \sigma(\psi)) = r(n) \alpha \chi(x) \sigma(\psi) \cdot 1_{K[n]}(x) \cdot 1_{K[n]}(\psi) \]

for \( \alpha \in k^*, \chi \in K, \psi \in K^*[n] \).

Fix \( n, m \). Put \( d(n) = \#K^*[n], s = (n, m) \), \( a_{n,m} = d(s)^2 / d(n)d(m)d(n+m) \). Let \( \Phi \) be the character of \( W_{(n, \chi, \tau_1)} \otimes W_{(m, \chi, \tau_2)} \) and choose some \( \beta \in K^*[n+m] \) whose restriction to \( K^*[s] \) is \( \tau_1 \tau_2 |_{K^*[n]} \) then

(A2.2) \[ W_{(n, \chi, \tau)} \otimes W_{(m, \chi, \tau)} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^*/K^*[s]} a_{n,m} W_{(n+m, \chi, \chi, \beta)} \cdot \tau |_{K^*[n+m]} \]

Proof. While translating Theorem A1.4 one should note that the \( G \) action on \( (n, \chi_0, \tau) \) changes only \( \chi_0 \) to some \( \chi_0' \) and leaves \( \tau \) intact. To see this is the case, use the decomposition appearing in Lemma A1.1 of \( W_{(n, \chi_0, \tau)} \) as \( \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^*} V_{\chi_0 \chi} \). Suppose that \( K^*[n] \) acts on \( V_{\chi_0} \) by \( \tau \),

\[ U_{\sigma(n)}v = \tau(\eta)v \quad \forall v \in V_{\chi_0} \quad \forall \eta \in K^*[n]. \]

Taking any \( y \in G \) we need to verify that the same holds for \( U_y \). Indeed,

\[ U_{\sigma(n)}U_yv = U_y U_{\sigma^{-1}(\eta)}\sigma(\eta)U_yv \]
\[ = U_y U_{\chi_y'(\sigma(\eta))\cdot \sigma(\eta)}v \]
\[ = U_y \chi_y'(\sigma(\eta))^n \cdot \tau(\eta)v \]
\[ = \tau(\eta)U_yv. \]

It remains to prove the assertions concerning the characters. It is easy to see, using the decomposition of \( W_{(n, \chi, \tau)} \) with respect to \( K^* \) that \( \dim(W_{(n, \chi, \tau)}) = r(n) \) and that

\( \alpha \in k^* \) acts as \( \alpha \chi_0 \cdot I_{r(n)} \),

\( x \in K \) acts as \( \chi(x) \cdot \text{diag}(\chi_1(x), ..., \chi_{r(n)}(x)) \) where \( \{ \chi_1, ..., \chi_{r(n)} \} = K^* \),

\( \psi \in K^* \setminus K^*[n] \) acts as a permutation matrix of a permutation with no fixed points,

\( \psi \in K^*[n] \) acts by \( \tau(\psi) \cdot I_{r(n)} \).

Hence, if \( \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha \chi \sigma(\psi)) \) is non-zero we must have \( \psi \in K^*[n] \) and then

\[ \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha \chi \sigma(\psi)) = \alpha^n \chi(x) \sigma(\psi) \cdot \left( \sum_{\lambda \in K^*} \lambda \right). \]

Since \( K^* \) is dual to \( K[n] \) we get (A2.1).

To compute \( \Phi \) we first note that only characters corresponding to representations of order \( n+m \) can appear in the decomposition of \( \Phi \). We have thus to compute \( \langle \Phi, \Phi_{(h, \chi, \tau)} \rangle \) only for \( h = n+m, \chi \in K^*, \tau \in K^*[n+m] \). Secondly, we note that

\[ \Phi(\alpha \chi \sigma(\psi)) = \alpha^{n+m} r(n)r(m) \chi_1(x) \chi_2(x) \chi_3(x) \chi_4(x) \cdot \tau \tau_3(\psi) \cdot 1_{K[n]}(x) \cdot 1_{K[m]}(\psi) \]

by the general formula for tensor products and the equality \( K[n] \cap K[m] = K[s], K^*[n] \cap K^*[m] = K^*[s] \). Therefore
\[ \langle \Phi, \Phi_{(n+m, \chi, \tau)} \rangle = \frac{1}{d^4} \sum_{g \in G} \Phi(g) \cdot \Phi_{(n+m, \chi, \tau)}(g^{-1}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\alpha, x, \psi} \Phi(\alpha \sigma(\psi)) \cdot \Phi_{(n+m, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha^{-1}[x, \psi]^{-1}x^{-1}\psi^{-1}) \]
\[ = \frac{n(n)r(m)r(n+m)}{d^2} \sum_{x, \psi} \{ [x, \psi]^{-1} \chi_1 x_2(x) \chi(x^{-1})[\tau_1 \tau_2(\psi)] \tau(\psi^{-1}) \cdots K_l(s(x)) \} \]
\[ = \frac{d}{d(n)d(m)d(n+m)} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{A}_f} \chi_1 x_2 x^{-1}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{K}^* s} \tau_1 \tau_2 \psi^{-1}(\psi) \right). \]

The last expression is zero unless both \( \chi \chi^{-1}\big|_{\mathbb{K}^* [s]} = 1 \) and \( \tau_1 \tau_2 \psi^{-1} \big|_{\mathbb{K}^* [s]} = 1 \). If both happen then this expression is equal to \( d \cdot d(s^2) / d(n)d(m)d(n+m) \). Any such \( \chi \) is of the form \( \chi_1 \chi_2 \xi, \xi \in \mathbb{K}^* \).

Any such \( \tau \) is of the form \( \beta \eta \) where \( \eta \) is in the dual of \( \mathbb{K}^* [s] \) in \( \mathbb{K}^*[n+m]^* \) which is just \( \mathbb{K}^*[n+m]^* \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*[n+m]^* \) is a character whose restriction to \( \mathbb{K}^*[s] \) is \( \tau_1 \tau_2 \big|_{\mathbb{K}^*[s]} \). Thus we get

\[ (A2.3) \quad \Phi = \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{K}^*/\mathbb{K}^* n+m, \chi \chi^{-1}, \beta \tau} a_{n,m,\Phi_{(n+m, \chi, \chi^{-1}, \beta \tau)}}, \]
which proves (A2.2).

Q.E.D.

A3. REPRESENTATIONS OF ADELCIC HEISENBERG GROUPS

NOTATION.

\( k \) - an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( p \geq 0 \).

\( \mathbb{A} \) - the adele ring of \( \mathbb{Q} \).

\( \mathbb{A}_f \) - the subring of \( \mathbb{A} \) of finite adeles without the \( p \) - component if \( p > 0 \).

\( e \) - an isomorphism \( \mathbb{A}_f / \mathbb{Z}_f \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}^* \).

\( G \) - the set \( k^* \times \mathbb{A}_f^g \times \mathbb{A}_f^g \) with the multiplication rule, turning \( G \) into a group,

\[ (\lambda, x_1, x_2) \cdot (\mu, y_1, y_2) = (\lambda \mu \cdot e(\sqrt{2}(x_1 \cdot y_2 - x_2 \cdot y_1)), x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2). \]

\( \sigma \) - the group homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_f^g \longrightarrow G \) given by

\[ \sigma(x_1, x_2) = (\lambda^{-1} \cdot x_2, x_1, x_2). \]
DEFINITION. Let \((V, \rho)\) be a representation of \(G\). We say that \((V, \rho)\) is a continuous representation of \(G\) if for every \(v \in V\) there exists an \(m\), depending on \(v\), such that for every \(x \in m \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z}_{f}^{2g})\) we have \(\rho(x) v = v\).

Define now \(G' = k^{*}_{\text{tor}} \times \mathcal{A}_{f}^{2g}\) with the same group law. We say that a representation \((V, \rho)\) of \(G'\) is of order \(n\), \(n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\), if \(k^{*}_{\text{tor}}\) acts through the character \(a_{n}, a_{t} = t^{n}\). We remark that given an ample symmetric line bundle \(L\) and a theta structure

\[
\Delta : \hat{G}(L) \longrightarrow G
\]

we have \(\Delta^{-1}(k^{*}_{\text{tor}} \times \mathcal{A}_{f}^{2g}) = k^{*}_{\text{tor}} \cdot \tau^{1}(V(X))\) and we may define \(\hat{G}(L)^{r}\) in the obvious way. Therefore one may talk of representations of "adelic" order \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) of \(\hat{G}(L)^{r}\).

THEOREM A3.1. (1) There is a unique irreducible continuous representation of \(G^{c}\) of order \(n\), for every \(n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\). This representation is henceforth denoted by \(\hat{V}_{n}\).

(2) For \(n = 0\) there is one to one correspondence between irreducible continuous representations of order 0 of \(G\) and continuous characters of \(\mathcal{A}_{\text{fin}}^{2g}\). The representation corresponding to \(\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{fin}}^{2g}, k^{*})\) is denoted by \(\hat{V}_{0, \psi}\). We denote by \(\hat{V}_{0}\) the representation

\[
\bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{fin}}^{2g}, k^{*})} \hat{V}_{0, \psi}.
\]

(3) i) \(\hat{V}_{(0, \psi)} \otimes \hat{V}_{(0, \chi)} = \hat{V}_{(0, \psi \chi)}\).

ii) \(\hat{V}_{(0, \psi)} \otimes \hat{V}_{n} = \hat{V}_{n}\).

iii) \(\hat{V}_{n} \otimes \hat{V}_{r} = \bigoplus_{n + r = 0} \hat{V}_{n + r}\), an infinite countable sum if \(n + r \neq 0\), and \(\hat{V}_{n} \otimes \hat{V}_{r} = \hat{V}_{r}\) if \(n + r = 0\).

Proof. The existence and uniqueness for \(n = 1\) are proved in [Mum1] Proposition 5.2. To get the claim for a general \(n\) define for every \(n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\) a matrix \(M(n) \in \text{GSp}(2g, \mathcal{A}_{f})\) by \(M(n) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & n \end{array} \right)\). Define a surjective homomorphism

\[
\delta(n) : G^{c} \longrightarrow G, \quad \delta(n)(\alpha, x) = (\alpha', M(n)x).
\]

Since the multiplier of \(M(n)\) is equal to \(n\), this is indeed a homomorphism. Now given a representation \((V, \rho)\) of order \(n\) define a new representation \(\rho'\) of \(G^{c}\) by the formula

\[
\rho'(x) = \rho(\delta(n)^{-1}(x)).
\]

One easily verifies that this is a continuous representation of order 1 of \(G^{c}\). That is \(\delta(n)\) gives a bijection between representations of order 1 and order \(n\). This proves (1).

Since (2) is clear we have to prove only (3). Part i) is clear and ii) follows from (1) — check irreducibility by tensoring with \(\hat{V}_{(0, \psi^{-1})}\). In part iii) the only question is with what multiplicity does \(\hat{V}_{n + r}\) appear in \(\hat{V}_{n} \otimes \hat{V}_{r}\). It is not difficult to check that in an irreducible representation of order \(n\) the dimension of the invariants under a maximal level subgroup is precisely \(|n|^{g}\). Choose the maximal level subgroup \(\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}_{f}^{2g})\) and decompose both \(\hat{V}_{n}\) and \(\hat{V}_{r}\) with respect to \(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}_{f}^{2g})\):

\[
\hat{V}_{n} = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathcal{Z}} (\hat{V}_{n})_{\psi}, \quad \hat{V}_{r} = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathcal{Z}} (\hat{V}_{r})_{\psi}.
\]
Therefore
\[ V_n \otimes V_r = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^*} (V_{\psi})_\alpha \otimes (V_r)_{\alpha^{-1}} \right) \]
Q.E.D.

REMARKS. 1) Theorem A3.1 has an exact analogue in the theory of real Heisenberg groups. See [CR] §2.2.
2) The analogue theorem for \( G \) and representations of order \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) hold of course.

A4. APPLICATIONS TO THE THEORY OF THETA FUNCTIONS.

This section gives some applications of the theory developed so far. The applications given are mainly decompositions of certain global sections of line bundles on abelian varieties as modules of finite and adelic Heisenberg groups.

1) We have a homomorphism
\[ \varepsilon_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L^{\otimes n}) \]
given by \( \phi \mapsto \phi^{\otimes n} \). \( \varepsilon_n \) restricts to \( \alpha_n \) on \( k^* \) and induces by passing to quotients the natural embedding of \( H(L) \) into \( H(L^{\otimes n}) \). Via \( \varepsilon_n \) we have a sequence of \( G(L) \) modules
\[ \Gamma(X, L)^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^n(\Gamma(X, L)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) . \]

2) Assume that \( L \) is symmetric. One can define a homomorphism
\[ \eta_n : G(L^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow G(L) \]
(see [Mum1]) which induces the homomorphisms \( \alpha_n \) on \( k^* \) and multiplication by \( n \) on \( H(L^{\otimes n}) \).
It turns \( \Gamma(X, L) \) into a representation of order \( n \) of \( G(L^{\otimes n}) \).

3) For \( L \) symmetric we have for every integer \( n \) a map \( \delta_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L) \) which is equal to \( \alpha_n^2 \) on \( k^* \) and induces multiplication by \( n \) on \( H(L) \). That gives a representation of order \( n^2 \) of \( G(L) \).

The maps described above satisfy the identities
i) \( \delta_n = \eta_n \circ \varepsilon_n \) for \( \delta_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L), \eta_n : G(L^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow G(L), \varepsilon_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L^{\otimes n}) \).
ii) \( \delta_n = \varepsilon_n \circ \eta_n \) for \( \delta_n : G(L^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow G(L^{\otimes n}), \eta_n : G(L^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow G(L), \varepsilon_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L^{\otimes n}) \).

There are analogous maps \( \varepsilon_n, \eta_n, \delta_n \) for adelic Heisenberg groups. These maps are obtained from the previous ones in a standard fashion and have similar properties.
We get representations of \( G(L) (\mathcal{G}(L)) \) of orders \( n \) and \( n^2 \) via \( \varepsilon_n \) and \( \delta_n \), respectively.
There are also representations of order \( n \) of \( G(L) (\mathcal{G}(L)) \) on \( \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) \) and \( \text{Sym}^n(\Gamma(X, L)) \).
We now study them.

I. \( \Gamma(X, L)^{\otimes n} \) as a \( G(L) \) module of order \( n \).

Choose a maximal level subgroup \( K(L) \) of \( G(L) \) which has an orthogonal complement. We know that there is a unique irreducible representation of order 1 of \( G(L) - W_{(1, 1, 1)} \) in our notation. It is of dimension \( d \), where \( d^2 = \#H(L) \). Since \( d = \text{deg}(L) = \dim(\Gamma(X, L)) \), we conclude Mumford's observation that \( \Gamma(X, L) \) is the aforementioned representation. Therefore, if \( \Phi \) is the character of the \( G(L) \) action on \( \Gamma(X, L)^{\otimes n} \) then \( \Phi = \Phi_{(1, 1, 1)}^n \). Using (3.1) we get

\[
\Phi(\alpha x \sigma(\psi)) = r(1)^n \alpha^n \cdot 1_{k^{[1]}(x)} \cdot 1_{k^{[1]}(\psi)},
\]

where, as before, \( r(n) = \#K^{\otimes n} \), \( d(n) = \#K^*[n] \).

Otherwise said:

\[
(A4.1) \quad \Phi(\alpha x \sigma(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha^n \cdot 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } \psi = 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Now, we simply compute the 'inner products':

\[
< \Phi, \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)} > = \frac{1}{d^4} \sum_{\alpha x \sigma(\psi) \in G(L)} \Phi(\alpha x \sigma(\psi)) \cdot \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}((\alpha x \sigma(\psi))^{-1})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{d^4} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu_{n^2}} \Phi(\alpha) \cdot \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha^{-1})
\]

\[
= \frac{d^{n-1}}{d(n)}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\Gamma(X, L)^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K(L)^n, \chi \in K(L)^n, \tau \in K(L)^n} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d(n)} \cdot W_{(n, \chi, \tau)}.
\]

II. \( \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) \) as a \( G(L) \) module of order \( n \), via \( \epsilon_n \).

Choosing a set theoretic splitting we may write \( G(L) = k^* \times H(L) \) and the group law is given by

\[
(\alpha_1, h_1) \cdot (\alpha_2, h_2) = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2, F_L(h_1, h_2), h_1 + h_2).
\]

\( F_L \) is a normalized 2-cocycle:

(a) \( F_L(h_1, h_2)F_L(h_1 + h_2, h_3) = F_L(h_1, h_2 + h_3)F_L(h_2, h_3). \)

(b) \( F_L(0, 0) = 1. \)
The homomorphism \( \epsilon_n : G(L) \longrightarrow G(L^{\otimes n}) \) can be written as
\[
(\alpha, h) \longmapsto (\alpha^x \cdot s(h), h),
\]
where \( s : G(L) \longrightarrow k^* \) satisfies
\[
\frac{s(h_1, h_2)}{s(h_1) s(h_2)} = \frac{F_{L^x}(h_1, h_2)}{F_L(h_1, h_2)^n}.
\]
Note that \( s(0) = 1 \). Now, the character of the natural action of \( G(L^{\otimes n}) \) on \( \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) \) is just \( \Phi_{(1, 1, 1)} \). Thus, we have
\[
\Phi(\alpha x \alpha(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha \cdot D & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } \psi = 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
where \( D^2 = \#H(L^{\otimes n}) = n^2, \#H(L) = n^2 \cdot d^2 \), that is \( D = d \cdot n^2 \).

Therefore, if \( \Phi \) is the character of the \( \epsilon_n \) action of \( G(L) \) on \( \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) \), then:
\[
\Phi(\alpha, h) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha^n \cdot D & \text{if } h = 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
(A4.2)

We can compute now the multiplicity of each \( \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)} \) in \( \Phi \).

\[
< \Phi, \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)} > = \frac{1}{d^4} \sum_{(\alpha, h) \in G(L)^x} \Phi(\alpha, h) \cdot \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}((\alpha, h)^{-1})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{d^4} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu_d^2} \Phi(\alpha) \cdot \Phi_{(n, \chi, \tau)}(\alpha^{-1})
\]
\[
= \frac{n^8}{d(n)}. \]

We conclude that
\[
(A4.3) \quad \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes n}) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K(L)^n} \bigoplus_{\tau \in K(L)^n} \frac{n^8}{d(n)} W_{(n, \chi, \tau)}
\]

III. \( \text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L)) \) as a representation of order 2 of \( G(L) \).

Recall the basic decomposition
\[
\Gamma(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^*} k \cdot v_\chi,
\]
where \( v_1 \) is arbitrary, \( v_\chi = U_{(0)} v_1 \), and \( \sigma \) is taken to be a homomorphism into \( G(L)^x \). The
vector space $\text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L))$ has a basis $\{v_x v_y \mid x, y \in \mathbb{K}^*\}$ and is $d(d+1)/2$ dimensional. The action of $G(L)^c$ is given by:

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ acts by $\alpha^2 I$, where $I$ is the identity $d(d+1)/2$ matrix.
- $x \in \mathbb{K}$ acts by $\chi(x)$ on $v_x v_y$.
- $\psi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ acts by $v_x v_y \mapsto v_{x\psi} v_y$.

Denoting by $\Phi$ the character of $\text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L))$ we see that

$$\Phi(\alpha x \alpha(y)) = \alpha^2 \sum_{(\chi, \tau) \in \chi \psi, \tau \psi} \chi(x) \tau(y).$$

If the order of $\psi$ is not 1 or 2 then there are no such couples $\{\chi, \tau\}$. Distiguishing cases a short computation gives

(A4.4)  
$$\Phi(\alpha x \alpha(y)) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 d \cdot 1_{\mathbb{K}[2]}(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{K}^*[2]}(y) \cdot \psi(x) \cdot (1_{\mathbb{K}^*[2]}(\psi) + d \cdot 1_{\mathbb{K}[1]}(x)).$$

Let us compute the multiplicity of which an irreducible representation of order 2, $W_{2, x, y}$ appears in $\text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L))$:

$$< \Phi, \Phi_{2, x, y} > = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\mu \in \mu_{d^2}} \Phi(\alpha x \alpha(y)) \Phi_{2, x, y}(\alpha^{-1} x, \alpha(y)^{-1} x^{-1} \alpha(y)^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{d! \cdot d(d+1)}{d} \cdot \frac{r(2)}{d!} + \frac{1}{d!} \cdot \sum_{\mu \in \mu_{d^2}} \frac{d}{2} \cdot \psi(x) \cdot r(2) \cdot \chi(x)^{-1} \tau(\psi)^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{d+1}{2d(2)} + \frac{1}{2d(2)} \left( -1 + \sum_{(x, \psi) \in \mathbb{K}[2] \times \mathbb{K}[2]^* \setminus \{(1, 1)\}} \psi(x) \cdot \chi^{-1}(x) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\psi) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2d(2)} \left( d + \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{K}^*[2]} \chi^{-1}(\psi) \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{K}[2]} \psi(x) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2d(2)} \left( d + \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{K}^*[2]} \chi^{-1}(\psi) \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{K}[2]^{**}} x(\psi \chi^{-1} |_{\mathbb{K}[2]}) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2d(2)} \left( d + d(2) \cdot \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{K}^*[2] \text{ s.t.} \psi |_{\mathbb{K}[2]} = x |_{\mathbb{K}[2]}} \tau^{-1}(\psi) \right).$$

Consider the last expression of (A4.5). Let us denote by $\mathbb{K}^*[2]_x$ the subgroup of all characters
\( \psi \in K^*[2] \) whose restriction to \( K[2] \) is trivial. Then if \( \#K^*[2]_\psi > 1 \) then this aforementioned expression is equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot r(2) \) no matter what \( \chi \) is. Let us denote by \( K^*[2]_r \) the subgroup of \( K[2]^* \) obtained by restricting the elements of \( K^*[2] \) to \( K[2] \). That is we have an exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow K^*[2]_r \longrightarrow K^*[2] \longrightarrow K^*[2]_r \longrightarrow 1.
\]

If \( K^*[2]_r = \{1\} \) then since \( \#K^*[2] = \#K[2] = \#K[2]^* \) we have \( K^*[2]_r = K[2]^* \). That implies that in this case the last expression of (A4.5) is equal, for any \( \chi \):

\[
\frac{1}{2d(2)}(d + d(2) \cdot \tau(\chi|_{K[2]}))
\]

which equal to \( \frac{1}{2}(r(2) + \tau(\chi|_{K[2]})) \). To sum up:

The representation \( W_{(\sigma, \chi, r)} \) appear with the following multiplicity in \( \text{Sym}^2(\Gamma(X, L)) \):

i) \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot r(2) \) if \( K^*[2]_r \neq 1 \),

ii) \( \frac{1}{2}(r(2) + \tau(\chi|_{K[2]})) \) if \( K^*[2]_r = \{1\} \).

**IV. \( \Gamma(X, L) \) as a representation of order \( n \) of \( G(L^\otimes n) \), via \( \eta_n \).**

It is obvious that this is an irreducible representation. The determination of which \( (n, \chi, r) \) belong to this representation is a 'combinatorial problem' which we do not consider here.

**V. \( \Gamma(X, L) \) as a representation of order \( n^2 \) of \( G(L) \), via \( \delta_n \).**

Choosing a splitting of \( G(L) \) as in **II** we can write the homomorphism \( \delta_n \) as

\[
(\alpha, h) \mapsto (\alpha^2 \cdot s(h), nh)
\]

where \( s \) is a character of \( H(L) \).

The character \( \Phi \) of the aforementioned representation is given by

\[
(A4.6) \quad \Phi(\alpha, x, l) = \begin{cases} 
  d \cdot \alpha^2 \cdot s(h) & \text{if } nh = 0, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

We can easily compute now the product

\[
\langle \Phi, \Phi_{(n^2, \chi, r)} \rangle = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\mu \in H(n^2)} \sum_{x \in K[n]} \sum_{l \in K^*[n]} d \cdot s(x + \alpha(l)) \cdot r(n^2) \cdot \chi^{-1}(x) \tau^{-1}(l)
\]

\[
= \frac{r(n^2)}{d} \sum_{x \in K[n]} \sum_{\chi \in K^*[n]} [s \cdot (\chi \tau)^{-1}](x + \alpha(l)).
\]
The last expression is zero unless \( s = \chi \times \tau \) on \( K[n] \times K^*\{n\} \). If this happens then this expression is equal to \( r(n)^2 \cdot d(n)^2 / d = d(n)^2 / d(n^2) \). Fix some \( \chi, \tau \) such that \( s = \chi \times \tau \) then \( I(X, L) \) as a representation of order \( n^2 \) of \( G(L) \) decomposes as follows:

\[
(A4.7) \quad I(X, L) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in K^* / K^*^2} \frac{d(n)^2}{d(n^2)} W(n^2, \chi, \chi \cdot \tau) \cdot \tau \in K^*[n^2]^*.
\]

With regard to adelic Heisenberg groups we have the following assertions:

(i) \( \hat{I}^n(L^{o_n}) \) is the unique irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( \hat{G}(L) \) acting via \( \epsilon_n \);

(ii) \( \hat{I}^n(L) \) is the unique irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( \hat{G}(L^{o_n}) \) acting via \( \eta_n \);

(iii) \( \hat{I}^n(L) \) is the unique irreducible representation of order \( n^2 \) of \( \hat{G}(L) \) acting via \( \delta_n \);

(iv) \( \hat{I}^n(L^{o_n}) \) is a countable multiplicity the unique irreducible representation of order \( n \) of \( \hat{G}(L) \). The same is true for \( \text{Sym}^n(\hat{I}^n(L)) \).

The irreducibility of these representations follows immediately from the fact that the homomorphisms through which they are obtained are all surjective and from the fundamental result ([Mum2] Proposition 5.3) stating that for every ample invertible sheaf \( M \), \( \hat{I}^n(M) \) is the unique irreducible continuous representation of order 1 of \( \hat{G}(M) \). Their uniqueness follows from Theorem A3.1.

As an immediate consequence of (i) we get the following corollary (compare[Mum2] Theorem 7.1) : Choose an non-zero section \( s \in \hat{I}^n(L) \) where the decomposition is with respect to a maximal level subgroup \( Z \) of \( \hat{G}(L) \). Then, denoting by \( U \) the action of \( \hat{G}(L) \) on \( \hat{I}^n(L) \), we have that \( \{(U, s)^n | z \in Z\} \) span \( \hat{I}^n(L^{o_n}) \). Indeed, these generators are permuted - up to scalar factors - by the \( \epsilon_n \) action of \( \hat{G}(L) \).
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