

1. RECALL: MODULES

Let R be a ring, always associative and with 1. Recall that a left R -module M over R is an abelian group M , together with a function,

$$R \times M \rightarrow M, \quad (r, m) \mapsto rm,$$

such that:

- (1) $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$.
- (2) $(r + s)m = rm + sm$.
- (3) $(rs)m = r(sm)$.
- (4) $1m = m$.

One defines right R -modules similarly where the action now is $M \times R \rightarrow M$. We have the notion of a submodule and quotient module: a submodule is a subgroup which is closed under multiplication by R . If $N < M$ is a submodule then the quotient group M/N is naturally an R -module under $r\bar{m} := \overline{rm}$.

An R -module homomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ between R -modules M_1, M_2 , is a function,

$$f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2,$$

which is a group homomorphism and satisfies $f(rm) = rf(m)$. The kernel and image of f are then R -modules. We have the isomorphism theorems for R -modules, the most basic of which is that given $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and a submodule $N < \text{Ker}(f)$ there is a canonical R -module homomorphism $F: M/N \rightarrow M_2$, given by $F(\bar{m}) = f(m)$, such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2 \\ & \searrow \text{can.} & \nearrow F \\ & M/N & \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the kernel of F is $\text{Ker}(f)/N$.

A short exact sequence of modules is a diagram of modules and homomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

such that the image of every map is the kernel of the following one. Namely, $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is injective, $M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ is surjective and the image of M_1 is the kernel of $M_2 \rightarrow M_3$. Thus, $M_3 \cong M_2/\text{Im}(M_1)$.

1.1. Free modules. Recall that a module M is called free on a set $X \subset M$, $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, if every function $f: X \rightarrow N$ (of sets), where N is an R -module, extends uniquely to an R -module homomorphism $F: M \rightarrow N$ such that $F(x) = f(x)$, for $x \in X$. Equivalently, every element of M has a unique expression as $m = \sum_{\alpha \in I} r_\alpha x_\alpha$, with $r_\alpha \in R$ and $r_\alpha = 0$ except for finitely many α 's (so there is no issue of convergence). Still equivalently,

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R = \{(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} : r_\alpha \in R, r_\alpha = 0 \text{ for almost all } \alpha\}.$$

1.2. Modules over a field. If R is a field, then a module over R is just a vector space. Every module is free.

Exercise 1. Let R be a division ring. Prove that every module over R is free. You will need to use Zorn's lemma:

Recall that a partially order set (=poset) S is a set with a relation $x \leq y$ defined between some pairs of elements $x, y \in S$, such that: (i) $x \leq x$; (ii) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$; (iii)

$x \leq y, y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$. A chain in S is a subset $T \subset S$ such that for all t, t' in T , either $t \leq t'$ or $t' \leq t$. We say that a chain has an upper bound if there's an element $s \in S$ (we don't require $s \in T$) such that $s \geq t$ for all $t \in T$. Zorn's lemma states for a non-empty poset S that if every chain in S has an upper bound then S has a maximal element, namely an element $s_0 \in S$ such that if $s \in S$ and $s \geq s_0$ then $s = s_0$ (note that we do not require that $s_0 \geq s$ for all $s \in S$). If you have never seen Zorn's lemma in action, try to use it to prove that any ring R has a maximal left ideal. Take S to be the set of ideals $I \neq R$ of R with the partial order $I \leq J$ if $I \subseteq J$.

1.3. Group rings. Let G be a finite group and k a field. The group ring $k[G]$ has elements $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, where $a_g \in k$. The operations are

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g + \sum_{g \in G} b_g \cdot g = \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g) \cdot g,$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g \right) \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g \cdot g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} a_h b_{h^{-1}g} \right) \cdot g.$$

We view k as contained in $k[G]$ via $a \mapsto a \cdot 1_G$.

A k -linear representation of G , or a representation of G over k , is a homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V),$$

from G to the automorphism group – invertible k -linear transformations – of a vector space V over k . Every such representation ρ makes V into a $k[G]$ -module, where we let

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g \right) \cdot v = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot \rho(g)(v), \quad v \in V,$$

and, conversely, if V is a $k[G]$ -module, then the action of k makes V into a k -vector space, and we get a representation of G by

$$g \mapsto \rho(g), \quad \rho(g)(v) := gv.$$

Exercise 2. Analyze the structure of the rings $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, $\mathbb{C}[G]$, where G is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

1.4. Modules over a PID. Let R be a PID and let M be a finitely generated module over R , which, recall, means that there is a surjective map of R -modules $R^n \rightarrow M$, for some positive integer n ; equivalently, there are elements x_1, \dots, x_n of M such that every element in M is of the form $r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n$ for some $r_i \in R$ (but such an expression is usually not unique). The main theorem is that M is isomorphic to $R^a \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^b R/\mathfrak{a}_i$, where $R \neq \mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_b \neq \{0\}$ are ideals of R and r is a non-negative integer. Moreover, such an expression is unique.

Important cases are $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers, and $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$, the ring of polynomials in the variable x over a field \mathbb{F} . Since an abelian group is the same thing as a \mathbb{Z} -module, the first case gives the classification of finitely generated abelian groups. The second case gives the theory of Jordan canonical form, when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. This requires some more explanation, but the main point is that given a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$, we can make V into a $\mathbb{C}[x]$ module by letting $xv = T(v)$.

1.5. Localization. In this section we assume that R is a commutative ring. Let $S \subset R$ be a multiplicative set, i.e., $1 \in S$ and $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$. For example, R can be the ring of complex analytic functions on \mathbb{C} and S can be the functions that do not vanish at zero. Or R can be the integers \mathbb{Z} and S can be all integers not divisible by p . Both these examples are a special case of the following.

Exercise 3. Let I be a prime ideal in R and $S = R - I$ then S is a multiplicative set. Find the relevant ideals in the examples just mentioned.

We now define a ring $R[S^{-1}]$ as follows: consider symbols $\frac{r}{s}$ where $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ and define a relation:

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} \sim \frac{r_2}{s_2} \iff \exists t \in S \quad t(r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1) = 0.$$

Exercise 4. Prove that this is an equivalence relation. Prove that the operations

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2}, \quad \frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2},$$

make $R[S^{-1}]$ into a commutative ring and that the natural map

$$R \rightarrow R[S^{-1}], \quad r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$$

is a ring homomorphism. Find its kernel. Give examples when the kernel is trivial and when the kernel is not trivial.

Example 1.5.1. Let R be an integral domain and $S = R - \{0\}$. The set S is multiplicative and $R[S^{-1}]$ is actually a field containing R , called its field of fractions. It is the “minimal” field containing R .

Let M be an R -module and S a multiplicative set. We may then define $M[S^{-1}]$ as the equivalence classes of elements $\frac{m}{s}, m \in M, s \in S$ where $\frac{m_1}{s_1} \sim \frac{m_2}{s_2}$ if there exists a $t \in S$ such that $t(s_2 m_1 - s_1 m_2) = 0$. Then $M[S^{-1}]$ is an $R[S^{-1}]$ module, where

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2} = \frac{s_2 m_1 + s_1 m_2}{s_1 s_2}, \quad \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} = \frac{r m_1}{s s_1}.$$

It is easy to see that if $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a homomorphism then the canonical map $f: M_1[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M_2[S^{-1}]$, given by $f(m/s) = f(m)/s$ is well-defined homomorphism.

A particular and important case of localization of modules is the following.

Exercise 5. Let I be an ideal of R then $I[S^{-1}]$ is an ideal of $R[S^{-1}]$, which is the ideal generated by I in $R[S^{-1}]$. Conversely, if $\varphi: R \rightarrow R[S^{-1}]$ is the natural map and J is an ideal of $R[S^{-1}]$ then $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal of R . Prove that $(\varphi^{-1}(J))[S^{-1}] = J$ and if $I \cap S = \emptyset$ then $\varphi^{-1}(I[S^{-1}]) = I$ (while if $I \cap S \neq \emptyset$ then $\varphi^{-1}(I[S^{-1}]) = R$).

Conclude that if I is a prime ideal and $S = R - I$ then there is a bijection between the ideals of R contained in I and the ideals of $R[S^{-1}]$, which takes prime ideals to prime ideals. In particular, $R[S^{-1}]$ is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $I[S^{-1}]$.

Exercise 6. Let S be a multiplicative set and $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence of R -modules. Prove that the sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M_2[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M_3[S^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$ is also exact.

1.6. On the notion of rank. Let R be an integral domain and M a module over R . A set $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subset M$ is independent if $\sum_{\alpha \in I} r_\alpha x_\alpha = 0$ (where all $r_\alpha = 0$ except for finitely many) implies $r_\alpha = 0$ for all α . The rank of M is the supremum of the cardinalities of independent sets $X \subset M$.

Still assuming that R is an integral domain, recall that an element $m \in M$ is called a torsion element if $\exists r \in R, r \neq 0$ such that $rm = 0$. For example, if $R = \mathbb{Z}$, all the element of M that are of finite order (in the sense of the underlying abelian group) are torsion. One lets $\text{tor}(M)$ denote the collection of all torsion elements of M . This is a submodule of M . This submodule has rank 0. Indeed, given an element $m \in \text{tor}(M)$ and $r \in R, r \neq 0$, such that $rm = 0$ we find that the element m is linearly dependent: the non-trivial linear combination rm is equal to zero.

Exercise 7. Let R be an integral domain. Prove that a free R -module M on a set X , has rank $|X|$. You may assume this result for vector spaces and reduce to this case. Prove further that a finitely generated module has finite rank.

Exercise 8. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ and I the ideal $\langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$. Prove that I is not a free R -module and that it has rank 1.

Exercise 9. Show that $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(M/\text{tor}(M))$.

Exercise 10. Let R be an integral domain and M an R -module. (i) Show that the rank of M is equal to the cardinality of a maximal free submodule of M . (ii) Suppose that this rank is n . Prove that every $n + 1$ elements of M are dependent. (iii) Let $N \subset M$ be a maximal free submodule. Prove that M/N is torsion.

Exercise 11. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated modules. Prove that $\text{rk}(M_2) = \text{rk}(M_1) + \text{rk}(M_3)$.