

## On the Infinitude of Primes

Harry Furstenberg

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 62, No. 5. (May, 1955), p. 353.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28195505%2962%3A5%3C353%3AOTIOP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-A

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## References

- 1. Gauss, C. F., Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, arts. 80-81.
- 2. Dickson, L. E., Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers, University of Chicago Press, 1939, p. 270.
- 3. Moller, Raymond, Sums of powers of numbers having a given exponent modulo a prime, This Monthly, vol. 59, 1952, p. 226.

## ON THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMES

HARRY FURSTENBERG, Yeshiva University

In this note we would like to offer an elementary "topological" proof of the infinitude of the prime numbers. We introduce a topology into the space of integers S, by using the arithmetic progressions (from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ ) as a basis. It is not difficult to verify that this actually yields a topological space. In fact, under this topology, S may be shown to be normal and hence metrizable. Each arithmetic progression is closed as well as open, since its complement is the union of other arithmetic progressions (having the same difference). As a result, the union of any finite number of arithmetic progressions is closed. Consider now the set  $A = UA_p$ , where  $A_p$  consists of all multiples of p, and p runs through the set of primes  $\ge 2$ . The only numbers not belonging to A are -1 and 1, and since the set  $\{-1,1\}$  is clearly not an open set, A cannot be closed. Hence A is not a finite union of closed sets which proves that there are an infinity of primes.

## A STATISTICAL DERIVATION OF A PAIR OF TRIGONOMETRIC INEQUALITIES

J. B. Chassan, Massachusetts Institute of Technology\*

The following inequalities and a particular generalization of them can be obtained by comparing the variances of a pair of minimum variance estimators with the corresponding variances of certain less efficient estimators: † Given  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)$  such that  $0 \le \theta_i < \pi$  and  $\theta_j \ne \theta_k$  for  $j \ne k$ . Then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos^{2} \theta_{i}}{\sum \sum_{j>i} \sin^{2} (\theta_{i} - \theta_{j})} \leq {n \choose 2}^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{1})} + \cdots + \frac{\cos \theta_{i-1}}{\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{i-1})} + \cdots + \frac{\cos \theta_{n}}{\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{n})} \right\}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{\cos \theta_{i+1}}{\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{i+1})} + \cdots + \frac{\cos \theta_{n}}{\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{n})} \right\}^{2}$$

<sup>\*</sup> Operations Evaluation Group, Washington, D. C.

<sup>†</sup> So far as the author has been able to determine, the inequalities stated in this paper appear to be new. The statistics involved can be considered as respective pairs of estimators of the coordinates of a fixed point in a plane, based on line-of-sight observations. The minimum variance estimators (under the conditions stated) are the coordinates of the point from which the sum of squares of the distances to each line-of-sight is a minimum. The less efficient estimators correspond to the estimate (of the location of the fixed point) obtained by averaging arithmetically the vectors determined by the intersections of all pairs of lines of sight.