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Part 1. Basic Concepts and Key Examples

Groups are among the most basic of algebraic structures. Because of their simplicity, in terms of their definition, their complexity is large. For example, vector spaces, which have very complex definition, are easy to classify; once the field and dimension are known, the vector space is unique up to isomorphism. In contrast, it is difficult to list all groups of a given order, or even obtain an asymptotic formula for that number.

In the study of vector spaces the objects are well understood and so one focuses on the study of maps between them. One studies canonical forms (e.g., the Jordan canonical form), diagonalization, and other special properties of linear transformations (normal, unitary, nilpotent, etc.). In contrast, at least in the theory of finite groups on which this course focuses, there is no comparable theory of maps. A theory exist mostly for maps into matrix groups (such maps are called linear representations and will not be studied in this course).

While we shall define such maps (called homomorphisms) between groups in general, there will be a large set of so-called simple groups for which there are essentially no such maps: the image of a simple group under a homomorphism is for all practical purposes just the group itself. To an extent the simple groups serve as basic building blocks, or atoms, from which all other finite groups are composed. The set of atoms is large, infinite in fact. The classification of all simple groups was completed in the second half of the 20-th century and has required thousands of pages of difficult math. There will be little we’ll be able to say about simple groups in this course, besides their existence and some key examples. Thus, our focus - apart from the three isomorphism theorems - will be on the structure of the objects themselves. We will occupy ourselves with understanding the structure of subgroups of a finite group, with groups acting as symmetries of a given set and with special classes of groups (cyclic, simple, abelian, solvable, etc.).

1. First definitions

1.1. Group. A group $G$ is a non-empty set with a function $m : G \times G \to G$, where we usually abbreviate $m(g, h)$ to $g \ast h$ or simply $gh$, such that the following hold:

1. (Associativity) $f(gh) = (fg)h$ for all $f, g, h \in G$. 
2. (Identity) There is an element $e \in G$ such that for all $g \in G$ we have $eg = ge = g$.
3. (Inverse) For every $g \in G$ there is an element $h \in G$ such that $gh = hg = e$.

We call $m(g, h)$ the product of $g$ and $h$. It follows quite easily from associativity that given any $n$ elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ of $G$ we can put parentheses as we like in $g_1 \ast \cdots \ast g_n$ without changing the final outcome. For that reason we allow ourselves to write simply $g_1 \cdots g_n$ (though the actual computation of such product is done by successively by multiplying two elements at the time, e.g. $((g_1g_2)(g_3g_4))g_5$ is a way to compute $g_1g_2g_3g_4g_5$.)

The identity element is unique: if $e'$ has the same property then $e' = ee' = e$. Sometimes we will denote the identity element by $1$ (or by $0$ is the group is commutative - see below). The element

\[ m(f, m(g, h)) = m(m(f, g), h). \]
h provided in axiom (3) is unique as well: if \( h' \) has the same property then \( hg = e = h'g \) and so \( hgh = h'gh \), thus \( h = he = hgh = h'gh = h'e = h' \). We may therefore denote this \( h \) unambiguously by \( g^{-1} \) and call it the inverse of \( g \). Note that if \( h \) is the inverse of \( g \) then \( g \) is the inverse of \( h \) and so \( (g^{-1})^{-1} = g \). Another useful identity is \( (fg)^{-1} = g^{-1}f^{-1} \). It is verified just by checking that \( g^{-1}f^{-1} \) indeed functions as \( (fg)^{-1} \). And it does: \( (g^{-1}f^{-1})(fg) = g^{-1}(f^{-1}f)g = g^{-1}eg = g^{-1}g = e \).

We define by induction \( g^n = g^{n-1}g \) for \( n > 0 \) and \( g^n = (g^{-n})^{-1} \) for \( n < 0 \). Also \( g^0 = e \), by definition. One proves that \( g^{n+m} = g^n g^m \) for any \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \).

A group is called of **finite order** if it has finitely many elements. It is called **abelian** if it is commutative: \( gh = hg \) for all \( g, h \in G \).

### 1.2. Subgroup and order
A subgroup \( H \) of a group \( G \) is a non-empty subset of \( G \) such that (i) \( e \in H \), (ii) if \( g, h \in H \) then \( gh \in H \), and (iii) if \( g \in H \) then also \( g^{-1} \in H \). One readily checks that in fact \( H \) is a group. One checks that \( \{e\} \) and \( G \) are always subgroups, called the **trivial subgroups**.

We will use the notation
\[
H < G
\]
to indicate that \( H \) is a subgroup of \( G \).

One calls a subgroup \( H \) **cyclic** if there is an element \( h \in H \) such that \( H = \{h^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \). Note that \( \{h^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) is always a cyclic subgroup. We denote it by \( \langle h \rangle \). The **order** of an element \( h \in G \), \( \text{ord}(h) \), is defined to be the minimal positive integer \( n \) such that \( h^n = e \). If no such \( n \) exists, we say \( h \) has infinite order.

**Lemma 1.2.1.** For every \( h \in G \) we have \( \text{ord}(h) = \|h\| \).

**Proof.** Assume first that \( \text{ord}(h) \) is finite. Since for every \( n \) we have \( h^{\text{ord}(h)} = e \) we see that \( \langle h \rangle = \{e, h, h^2, \ldots, h^{\text{ord}(h)-1}\} \). Thus, also \( \|h\| \) is finite and is at most \( \text{ord}(h) \).

Suppose conversely that \( \|h\| \) is finite, say of order \( n \). Then the elements \( \{h^0, h, \ldots, h^n\} \) cannot be distinct and thus for some \( 0 \leq i < j \leq n \) we have \( h^i = h^j \). Therefore, \( h^{j-i} = e \) and we conclude that \( \text{ord}(h) \) is finite and \( \text{ord}(h) \) is at most \( \|h\| \). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.2.2.** If \( h \) has a finite order \( n \) then \( \langle h \rangle = \{e, h, \ldots, h^{n-1}\} \) and consists of precisely \( n \) elements (that is, there are no repetitions in this list.)

It is easy to check that if \( \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in J\} \) is a non-empty set of subgroups of \( G \) then \( \cap_{\alpha \in J} H_\alpha \) is a subgroup as well. Let \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) be a set consisting of elements of \( G \) (here \( I \) is some index set). We denote by \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) the minimal subgroup of \( G \) containing \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \). It is clearly the intersection of all subgroups of \( G \) containing \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \).

**Lemma 1.2.3.** The subgroup \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) is the set of all finite expressions \( h_1 \cdots h_t \) where each \( h_i \) is some \( g_\alpha \) or \( g_\alpha^{-1} \).

**Proof.** Clearly \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) contains each \( g_\alpha \) hence all the expressions \( h_1 \cdots h_t \) where each \( h_i \) is some \( g_\alpha \) or \( g_\alpha^{-1} \). Thus, it is enough to show that the set of all finite expressions \( h_1 \cdots h_t \), where each \( h_i \) is some \( g_\alpha \) or \( g_\alpha^{-1} \), is a subgroup. Clearly \( e \) (equal to the empty product, or to \( g_\alpha g_\alpha^{-1} \) if you prefer) is in it. Also, from the definition it is clear that it is closed under multiplication. Finally, since \( (h_1 \cdots h_t)^{-1} = h_t^{-1} \cdots h_1^{-1} \) it is also closed under taking inverses. \( \square \)

We call \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) the **subgroup of \( G \) generated by** \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \); if it is equal to \( G \), we say that \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) are **generators** for \( G \).
2. Main examples

2.1. $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. The set of integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, with the addition operation, is an infinite abelian group. It is cyclic; both 1 and $-1$ are generators.

The group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of integers modulo $n$, $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, with addition modulo $n$, is a finite abelian group. The group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a cyclic group with generator 1. In fact (see the section on cyclic groups), an element $x$ generates $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $(x, n) := \gcd(x, n) = 1$.

Consider $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : (a, n) = 1\}$ with multiplication. Its order is denoted by $\phi(n)$ (the function $n \mapsto \phi(n)$ is call Euler’s phi function; See the exercises for further properties of this function). To see it is a group, note that multiplication is associative and if $(a, n) = 1,(b, n) = 1$ then also $(ab, n) = 1$ and so indeed we get an operation on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times$. The congruence class 1 is the identity and the existence of inverse follows from finiteness: given $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ consider the function $x \mapsto ax$. It is injective: if $ax = ay$ then $a(x - y) = 0 \mod{n}$, that is (using the same letters to denote integers in these congruence classes), $n|a(x - y)$. Since $(a, n) = 1$, we conclude that $n|(x - y)$, that is, $x = y$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $x \mapsto ax$ is also surjective and thus there is an element $x$ such that $ax = 1$.

The Euclidean algorithm gives another proof that inverses exists. Since $(a, n) = 1$, there are $x, y$ such that $ax + ny = 1$, and the algorithm allows us to find $x$ and $y$. Note that $ax \equiv 1 \mod{n}$ and so $x$ is the multiplicative inverse to $a$ modulo $n$.

2.2. Fields. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. This structure was introduced in the course MATH 235. Then $(\mathbb{F}, +)$, the set $\mathbb{F}$ with the addition operation, is a commutative group. As well, $(\mathbb{F}^\times, \times)$, the non-zero elements with the product operation, is a commutative group. Thus, for example, $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ($p$ prime) are groups with respect to addition. The sets $\mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ($p$ prime) are groups with respect to multiplication. The unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is a subgroup.

2.3. The dihedral group $D_n$. Let $n \geq 3$. Consider the linear transformations of the plane that take a regular polygon with $n$ sides, symmetric about zero, onto itself. One easily sees that every such symmetry is determine by its action on the vertices $1, 2$ (thought of as vectors, they form a basis) and that it takes these vertices to the vertices $i, i + 1$ or $i + 1, i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ (and the labels of the vertices are read modulo $n$). One concludes that every such symmetry is of the form $y^a x^b$ for suitable and unique $a \in \{0, 1\}, b \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $y$ is the reflection fixing 1 (so takes $n, 2$ to $2, n$) and $x$ is the rotation taking 1, 2 to 2, 3. One finds that $y^2 = e = x^n$ and that $xyxy = x^{-1}$. All other relations are consequences of these.

The Dihedral group, the group of all these symmetries, is thus a group of order $2n$ generated by a reflection $y$ and a rotation $x$ satisfying $y^2 = x^n = xyxy = e$. This makes sense also for $n = 1, 2$.

The elements $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}$ are rotations clock-wise by angles $\{0, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}, \ldots, \frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n}\}$, respectively. The elements $\{y, xy, x^2y, \ldots, x^{n-1}y\}$ are all reflections.

2.4. The symmetric group $S_n$. Consider the set $S_n$ consisting of all injective (hence bijective) functions, called permutations,

$$\sigma : \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$$

We define

$$m(\sigma, \tau) = \sigma \circ \tau.$$

This makes $S_n$ into a group, whose identity $e$ is the identity function $e(i) = i, \forall i$. 
We may describe the elements of $S_n$ in the form of a table:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
 i_1 & i_2 & \ldots & i_n 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
This defines a permutation $\sigma$ by the rule $\sigma(a) = i_a$.

Another device is to use the notation $(n_1 n_2 \ldots n_s)$, where the $n_j$ are distinct elements of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. This defines a permutation $\sigma$ according to the following convention:
\[
\sigma(n_a) = n_{a+1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a < s, \quad \sigma(n_s) = n_1, \quad \text{and for any other element} \quad x \quad \text{of} \quad \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \quad \text{we let} \quad \sigma(x) = x.
\]
Such a permutation is called a cycle. A cycle of length 2 is called a transposition. One can easily prove the following facts:

1. Disjoint cycles commute.
2. Every permutation is a product of disjoint cycles (uniquely up to permuting the cycles and omitting cycles of length one).
3. The order of $(n_1 n_2 \ldots n_s)$ is $s$.
4. If $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t$ are disjoint cycles of orders $r_1, \ldots, r_t$ then the order of $\sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_t$ is the least common multiple of $r_1, \ldots, r_t$.
5. The symmetric group has order $n!$.

**Example 2.4.1.** The order of the permutation $(1\,2\,3\,4)$ is 4. Indeed, it is not trivial and $(1\,2\,3\,4)^2 = (1\,3)(2\,4), (1\,2\,3\,4)^3 = (4\,3\,2\,1), (1\,2\,3\,4)^4 = 1$.

The permutation $(\frac{1}{6} 2 3 4 \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{4} 3 5 2)$ is equal to the product of cycles $(1\,6\,2)(4\,5)$. It is of order 6.

### 2.4.1. Sign; permutations as linear transformations.

**Lemma 2.4.2.** Let $n \geq 2$. Let $S_n$ be the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. There exists a surjective function
\[
\sgn : S_n \to \{\pm 1\}
\]
(called the sign). It has the property that for every $i \neq j$,
\[
\sgn(ij) = -1,
\]
and for any two permutations $\sigma, \tau$,
\[
\sgn(\sigma\tau) = \sgn(\sigma) \cdot \sgn(\tau).
\]

**Terminology:** We will refer to the property $\sgn(\sigma\tau) = \sgn(\sigma) \cdot \sgn(\tau)$ by saying $\sgn$ is a homomorphism. The terminology will be justified later.
Proof. Consider the polynomial in \( n \)-variables:\(^2\)

\[ p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j). \]

Given a permutation \( \sigma \) we may define a new polynomial

\[ \prod_{i<j} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}). \]

Note that \( \sigma(i) \neq \sigma(j) \) and for any pair \( k < \ell \) we obtain in the new product either \( (x_k - x_\ell) \) or \( (x_\ell - x_k) \). Thus, for a suitable choice of a sign \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) \in \{\pm 1\} \), we have\(^3\)

\[ \prod_{i<j} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j). \]

We obtain a function

\[ \text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}. \]

This function satisfies \( \text{sgn}(k\ell) = -1 \) (for \( k < \ell \)): Let \( \sigma = (k\ell) \) and consider the product

\[ \prod_{i<j} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = (x_k - x_\ell) \prod_{i<j, i \neq k, j \neq \ell} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < \ell} (x_i - x_k). \]

(This corresponds to the cases (i) \( i = k, j \neq \ell \); (ii) \( i \neq k, j \neq \ell \); (iii) \( i = k, j \neq \ell \Rightarrow j > k \); (iv) \( i \neq k, j = \ell \Rightarrow i < \ell \).) Counting the number of signs changes (note that case (ii) doesn’t contribute at all!), we find that

\[ \prod_{i<j} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = (-1)(-1)^{\ell - k} (-1)^{\ell - k} \prod_{i < \ell} (x_i - x_\ell) = - \prod_{i < \ell} (x_i - x_\ell). \]

It remains to show that \( \text{sgn} \) satisfies \( \text{sgn}(\sigma\tau) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sgn}(\tau) \). We first make the innocuous observation that for \( \text{any} \) variables \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \) and for \( \text{any} \) permutation \( \sigma \) we have

\[ \prod_{i<j} (y_{\sigma(i)} - y_{\sigma(j)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<j} (y_i - y_j). \]

Let \( \tau \) be a permutation. We apply this observation for the variables \( y_i := x_{\tau(i)} \). We get

\[ \text{sgn}(\tau\sigma) \cdot p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = p(x_{\tau\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau\sigma(n)}) = p(y_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma(n)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sgn}(\tau) \cdot p(x_1, \ldots, x_n). \]

This gives

\[ \text{sgn}(\tau\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau) \cdot \text{sgn}(\sigma). \]

\( \square \)

**Calculating \text{sgn} in practice.** Recall that every permutation \( \sigma \) can be written as a product of disjoint cycles

\[ \sigma = (a_1 \ldots a_\ell)(b_1 \ldots b_m) \ldots (f_1 \ldots f_n). \]

\(^2\)For \( n = 2 \) we get \( x_1 - x_2 \). For \( n = 3 \) we get \( (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3) \).

\(^3\)For example, if \( n = 3 \) and \( \sigma \) is the cycle \((123)\) we have

\[ (x_{\sigma(1)} - x_{\sigma(3)})(x_{\sigma(2)} - x_{\sigma(3)}) = (x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1) = (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3). \]

Hence, \( \text{sgn}(123) = 1 \).
Lemma 2.4.3. \( \text{sgn}(a_1 \ldots a_\ell) = (-1)^{\ell-1} \).

Proof. We write
\[
(a_1 \ldots a_\ell) = (a_1 a_\ell) \ldots (a_1 a_3)(a_1 a_2) \ldots \ell-1 \text{ transpositions}.
\]
Since a transposition has sign \(-1\) and \text{sgn} is a homomorphism, the claim follows. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.4.4. \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{\# \text{ even length cycles}} \).

A Numerical example. Let \( n = 11 \) and
\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
2 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 7 & 8 & 10 & 6 & 9
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then
\[
\sigma = (1\ 2\ 5)(3\ 4)(6\ 7\ 8\ 10\ 9).
\]
Now,
\[
\text{sgn}(1\ 2\ 5) = 1, \quad \text{sgn}(3\ 4) = -1, \quad \text{sgn}(6\ 7\ 8\ 10\ 9) = 1.
\]
We conclude that \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) = -1 \).

Realizing \( S_n \) as linear transformations. Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be any field. Let \( \sigma \in S_n \). There is a unique linear transformation
\[
T_\sigma : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n,
\]
such that
\[
T_\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
where, as usual, \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) are the standard basis of \( \mathbb{F}^n \). Note that
\[
T_\sigma \begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \\
x_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \\
\vdots \\
x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
(For example, because \( T_\sigma x_1 e_1 = x_1 e_{\sigma(1)} \), the \( 1 \) coordinate is \( x_1 \), namely, in the \( \sigma(1) \) place we have the entry \( x_{\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(1))} \).) Since for every \( i \) we have \( T_\sigma T_\tau(e_i) = T_\sigma e_{\tau(i)} = e_{\sigma \tau(i)} = T_{\sigma \tau} e_i \), we have the relation
\[
T_\sigma T_\tau = T_{\sigma \tau}.
\]
The matrix representing \( T_\sigma \) is the matrix \( (a_{ij}) \) with \( a_{ij} = 0 \) unless \( i = \sigma(j) \). For example, for \( n = 4 \) the matrices representing the permutations \((12)(34)\) and \((1234)\) are, respectively
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
Otherwise said,

\[ T_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
    e_{\sigma(1)} & e_{\sigma(2)} & \cdots & e_{\sigma(n)} \\
    e_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} & e_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} & \cdots & e_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}
\end{pmatrix} \]

It follows that

\[ \text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(T_\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \det \begin{pmatrix}
    e_{\sigma(1)} & e_{\sigma(2)} & \cdots & e_{\sigma(n)} \\
    e_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} & e_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} & \cdots & e_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}
\end{pmatrix} = \det(e_1 | e_2 | \cdots | e_n) = \det(I_n) = 1. \]

Recall that \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) \in \{\pm 1\} \). We get

\[ \det(T_\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma). \]

2.4.2. Transpositions and generators for \( S_n \). For \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) we have the transposition \( \sigma = (ij) \). Let \( T \) be the set of all transpositions (\( T \) has \( n(n-1)/2 \) elements). Then \( T \) generates \( S_n \). In fact, the transpositions \((12), (23), \ldots, (n-1\ n)\) alone generate \( S_n \). We leave these facts as an exercise.

2.4.3. The alternating group \( A_n \). Consider the set \( A_n \) of all permutations in \( S_n \) whose sign is 1. They are called the even permutations (those with sign \(-1\) are called odd). We see that \( e \in A_n \) and that if \( \sigma, \tau \in A_n \) also \( \sigma \tau \) and \( \sigma^{-1} \) are in \( A_n \). This follows from \( \text{sgn}(\sigma \tau) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\tau), \text{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)^{-1} \).

Thus, \( A_n \) is a group. It is called the alternating group. It has \( n!/2 \) elements (use multiplication by \((12)\) to create a bijection between the odd and even permutations). Here are some examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( A_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>{1, (123), (132)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>{1, (123), (132), (124), (142), (134), (143), (234), (243), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.4. A useful formula for conjugation. Let \( \sigma, \tau \in S_n \). There is a nice formula for \( \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \) (this is called conjugating \( \sigma \) by \( \tau \)). If \( \sigma \) is written as a product of cycles then the permutation \( \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \) is obtained by applying \( \tau \) to the numbers appearing in the cycles of \( \sigma \). That is, if \( \sigma \) takes \( i \) to \( j \) then \( \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \) takes \( \tau(i) \) to \( \tau(j) \). Indeed,

\[ \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}(\tau(i)) = \tau(\sigma(i)) = \tau(j). \]

Here is an example: say \( \sigma = (1\ 4)(2\ 5)(3\ 7\ 6) \) and \( \tau = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)(6\ 7) \) then \( \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = (\tau(1)\ \tau(4)) (\tau(2)\ \tau(5)) (\tau(3)\ \tau(7)\ \tau(6)) \) = (2\ 1)(3\ 5)(4\ 6\ 7).

---

4This gives the interesting relation \( T_{\sigma^{-1}} = T^t_\sigma \). Because \( \sigma \mapsto T_\sigma \) is a group homomorphism we may conclude that \( T_{\sigma^{-1}} = T^t_\sigma \). Of course for a general matrix this doesn’t hold.
2.5. **Matrix groups and the quaternions.** Let $R$ be a commutative ring with 1. We let $\text{GL}_n(R)$ denote the $n \times n$ matrices with entries with $R$, whose determinant is a unit in $R$.

**Proposition 2.5.1.** $\text{GL}_n(R)$ is a group under matrix multiplication.

*Proof.* Multiplication of matrices is associative and the identity matrix is in $\text{GL}_n(R)$. If $A, B \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ then $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ gives that $\det(AB)$ is a unit of $R$ and so $AB \in \text{GL}_n(R)$. The adjoint matrix satisfies $\text{Adj}(A)A = \det(A)I_n$ and so every matrix $A$ in $\text{GL}_n(R)$ has an inverse equal to $(A^{-1})\text{Adj}(A)$. Note that $A^{-1}A = \text{Id}$ implies that $\det(A^{-1}) = \det(A)^{-1}$, hence an invertible element of $R$. Thus $A^{-1}$ is in $\text{GL}_n(R)$. □

**Proposition 2.5.2.** If $R$ is a finite field of $q$ elements then $\text{GL}_n(R)$ is a finite group of cardinality $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$.

*Proof.* To give a matrix in $\text{GL}_n(R)$ is to give a basis of $R^n$ (consisting of the columns of the matrix). The first vector $v_1$ in a basis can be chosen to be any non-zero vector and there are $q^n - 1$ such vectors. The second vector $v_2$ can be chosen to be any vector not in $\text{Span}(v_1)$; there are $q^n - q$ such vectors. The third vector $v_3$ can be chosen to be any vector not in $\text{Span}(v_1, v_2)$; there are $q^n - q^2$ such vectors. And so on. □

**Exercise 2.5.3.** Prove that the set of upper triangular matrices in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is any field, forms a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. It is also called a **Borel subgroup**. Prove that the set of upper triangular matrices in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with 1 on the diagonal, where $\mathbb{F}$ is any field, forms a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. It is also called a **unipotent subgroup**. Calculate the cardinality of these groups when $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field of $q$ elements.

Consider the case $R = \mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers, and the set of eight matrices
\[
\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}.
\]
One verifies that this is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, called the **Quaternion group**. One can use the notation
\[\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\]
for the matrices, respectively. Then we have
\[i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \ ij = -ji = k, \ jk = i, \ ki = j.\]

2.6. **Direct product.** Let $G, H$ be two groups. Define on the cartesian product $G \times H$ multiplication by
\[m : (G \times H) \times (G \times H) \to G \times H, \ m((a, x), (b, y)) = (ab, xy).\]
This makes $G \times H$ into a group, called the **direct product** (also direct sum) of $G$ and $H$.

One checks that $G \times H$ is abelian if and only if both $G$ and $H$ are abelian. The following relation among orders hold: $\text{ord}((x, y)) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}(x), \text{ord}(y))$. It follows that if $G, H$ are cyclic groups whose orders are co-prime then $G \times H$ is also a cyclic group.

**Example 2.6.1.** If $H_1 < H, G_1 < G$ are subgroups then $H_1 \times G_1$ is a subgroup of $H \times G$. However, not every subgroup of $H \times G$ is of this form. For example, the subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ are $\{0\} \times \{0\}, \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the subgroup $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ which is not a product of subgroups.
2.7. **Groups of small order.** One can show that in a suitable sense (namely, “up to isomorphism”; see § 7.1) the following is a complete list of groups for the given orders. (In the middle column we give the abelian groups and in the right column the non-abelian groups).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>abelian groups</th>
<th>non-abelian groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td>(S_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td>(D_4, Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td>(D_5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td>(D_6, A_4, T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the following table we list for every \(n\) the number \(G(n)\) of subgroups of order \(n\) (this is taken from J. Rotman/An introduction to the theory of groups):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(G(n))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(G(n))</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Cosets and Lagrange’s theorem**

3.1. **Cosets.** Let \(G\) be a group and \(H\) a subgroup of \(G\). A **left coset** of \(H\) in \(G\) is a subset \(S\) of \(G\) of the form

\[ gH := \{gh : h \in H\}, \]

for some \(g \in G\). A **right coset** is a subset of \(G\) of the form

\[ Hg := \{hg : h \in H\}, \]

for some \(g \in G\). For brevity we shall discuss only left cosets but the discussion with minor changes applies to right cosets as well.

**Example 3.1.1.** Consider the group \(S_3\) and the subgroup \(H = \{1, (12)\}\). The following table lists the left cosets of \(H\). For an element \(g\), we list the coset \(gH\) in the middle column, and the coset \(Hg\) in the last column.
The first observation is that the element \( g \) such that \( S = gH \) is not unique. In fact, as the following lemma implies, \( gH = kH \) if and only if \( g^{-1}k \in H \). The second observation is that two left cosets are either equal or disjoint (but a left coset can intersect a right coset in a more complicated way); this is a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.2.** Define a relation \( g \sim k \) if \( \exists h \in H \) such that \( gh = k \). This is an equivalence relation such that the equivalence class of \( g \) is precisely \( gH \).

**Proof.** Since \( g = ge \) and \( e \in H \) the relation is reflexive. If \( gh = k \) for some \( h \in H \) then \( kh^{-1} = g \) and \( h^{-1} \in H \). Thus, the relation is symmetric. Finally, if \( g \sim k \sim \ell \) then \( gh = k, kh' = \ell \) for some \( h, h' \in H \) and so \( g(hh') = \ell \). Since \( hh' \in H \) we conclude that \( g \sim \ell \) and the relation is transitive. \( \square \)

Thus, pictorially the cosets look like that:

![Figure 2. Cosets of a subgroup H of a group G.](image)

**Remark 3.1.3.** One should note that in general \( gH \neq Hg \); The table above provides an example. Moreover, \( (13)H \) is not a right coset of \( H \) at all. A difficult theorem of P. Hall asserts that given a finite group \( G \) and a subgroup \( H \) one can find a set \( g_1, \ldots, g_d \) such that \( g_1H, \ldots, g_dH \) are precisely the left cosets of \( H \) and \( Hg_1, \ldots, Hg_d \) are precisely the right cosets of \( H \).

### 3.2. Lagrange's theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.1.** Let \( H < G \). The group \( G \) is a disjoint union of left cosets of \( H \). If \( G \) is of finite order then the number of left cosets of \( H \) in \( G \) is \( |G|/|H| \). We call the number of left cosets the index of \( H \) in \( G \) and denote it by \( [G : H] \).
Proof. We have seen that there is an equivalence relation whose equivalence classes are the cosets of $H$. Recall that different equivalence classes are disjoint. Thus,

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} g_i H,$$

a disjoint union of $s$ cosets, where the $g_i$ are chosen appropriately. We next show that for every $x, y \in G$ the cosets $xH, yH$ have the same number of elements.

Define a function

$$f : xH \to yH, \quad f(g) = yx^{-1}g.$$

Note that $f$ is well-defined: since $g = xh$ for some $h \in H$, $f(g) = yh$, which is an element of $yH$. Similarly, the function $f' : yH \to xH$, $f'(g) = xy^{-1}g$ is well-defined. Clearly, $f \circ f'$ and $f' \circ f$ are the identity functions of $yH$ and $xH$, respectively. This shows that $f$ is bijective and so $|xH| = |yH|$ for any $x, y \in G$. Thus, $|G| = s \cdot |H|$ and $s = |G : H| = |G|/|H|$. □

**Corollary 3.2.2.** If $G$ is a finite group then $|H|$ divides $|G|$.

**Remark 3.2.3.** The converse does not hold. The group $A_4$, which is of order 12, does not have a subgroup of order 6.

**Corollary 3.2.4.** If $G$ is a finite group then $\text{ord}(g) \mid |G|$ for all $g \in G$.

**Proof.** We saw that $\text{ord}(g) = |\langle g \rangle|$. □

**Remark 3.2.5.** The converse does not hold. If $G$ is not a cyclic group then there is no element $g \in G$ such that $\text{ord}(g) = |G|$.

**Corollary 3.2.6.** If the order of $G$ is a prime number then $G$ is cyclic.

**Proof.** From Corollary 3.2.4 we deduce that every element different from the identity has order equal to $|G|$. Thus, every such element generates the group. □

### 4. Cyclic groups

Let $G$ be a finite cyclic group of order $n$, $G = \langle g \rangle$.

#### 4.1. Orders of elements and subgroups.

**Lemma 4.1.1.** We have $\text{ord}(g^a) = n/\gcd(a, n)$.

**Proof.** Note that $g^a = g^{a-n}$ and so $g^a = e$ if and only if $n \mid t$ (cf. Corollary 1.2.2). Thus, the order of $g^a$ is the minimal $r$ such that $ar$ is divisible by $n$. Clearly $a \cdot n/\gcd(a, n)$ is divisible by $n$ so the order of $g^a$ is less or equal to $n/\gcd(a, n)$. On the other hand if $ar$ is divisible by $n$ then, because $n = \gcd(a, n) \cdot n/\gcd(a, n)$, $r$ is divisible by $n/\gcd(a, n)$. □

**Corollary 4.1.2.** The element $g^a$ generates $G$, i.e. $\langle g^a \rangle = G$, if and only if $(a, n) = 1$. Thus, the number of generators of $G$ is $\phi(n) := \#\{1 \leq a \leq n : (a, n) = 1\}$.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** For every $h \mid n$ the group $G$ has a unique subgroup of order $h$. This subgroup is cyclic.

**Proof.** We first show that every subgroup is cyclic. Let $H$ be a non trivial subgroup. Then there is a minimal $0 < a < n$ such that $g^a \in H$ and hence $H \supseteq \langle g^a \rangle$. Let $g^b \in H$. We may assume that $r > 0$. Write $r = ka + k'$ for $0 \leq k' < a$. Note that $g^{r-ka} \in H$. The choice of $a$ then implies that $k' = 0$. Thus, $H = \langle g^a \rangle$. 


Proposition 4.2.2. If \(a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(a, b|n\), then \(a^b \equiv b^a \mod n\). The proof follows. \(\square\)

where we have used that the order of an element divides the order of the group.

Proof. Consider an element \(g\) of order \(d\) in \(G\). It follows that all the elements of order \(d\) in \(G\) generate the same subgroup. That subgroup is a cyclic group of order \(d\) and thus has \(\phi(d)\) generators that are exactly the elements of order \(d\). The formula follows.

Corollary 4.2.3. Let \(G\) be a cyclic group of order \(n\). Then we have

\[
\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = \frac{n}{\text{num. elts. of order } d}.
\]

(The summation is over positive divisors of \(n\), including 1 and \(n\).)

Proof. Let \(G\) be a cyclic group of order \(n\). Then we have

\[
n = |G| = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq n} \#\{g \in G : \text{ord}(g) = d\} = \sum_{d|n} \#\{g \in G : \text{ord}(g) = d\},
\]

where we have used that the order of an element divides the order of the group.

Now, if \(h \in G\) has order \(d\) it generates a subgroup of order \(d\). Such subgroup being unique, it follows that all the elements of order \(d\) generate the same subgroup. That subgroup is a cyclic group of order \(d\) and thus has \(\phi(d)\) generators that are exactly the elements of order \(d\). The formula follows.

Proposition 4.2.2. Let \(G\) be a finite group of order \(n\) such that for \(h|n\) the group \(G\) has at most one subgroup of order \(h\) then \(G\) is cyclic.

Proof. Consider an element \(g \in G\) of order \(h\). The subgroup \(\langle g \rangle\) it generates is of order \(h\) and has \(\phi(h)\) generators. We conclude that every element of order \(h\) must belong to this subgroup (because there is a unique subgroup of order \(h\) in \(G\)) and that there are exactly \(\phi(h)\) elements of order \(h\) in \(G\).

On the one hand \(n = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)\). The number of elements of order \(d\) is \(\phi(d)\). This gives immediately that \(G\) is cyclic.

Corollary 4.2.3. Let \(\mathbb{F}\) be a finite field then \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) is a cyclic group.

Proof. Let \(q\) be the number of elements of \(\mathbb{F}\). To show that for every \(h\) dividing \(q - 1\) there is at most one subgroup of order \(h\) we note that every element in that subgroup - call it \(H\) - will have order dividing \(h\) and hence will solve the polynomial \(x^h - 1\). That is, the \(h\) elements in that subgroup must be the \(h\) solutions of \(x^h - 1\). In particular, this subgroup is unique. \(\square\)

4.2. \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) is cyclic.

Lemma 4.2.1. We have

\[
n = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d).
\]

Proof. Let \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) be a finite group of order \(n\) such that for \(h|n\) the group \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) has at most one subgroup of order \(h\) then \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) is cyclic.

Proof. Consider an element \(g \in \mathbb{F}^\times\) of order \(h\). The subgroup \(\langle g \rangle\) it generates is of order \(h\) and has \(\phi(h)\) generators. We conclude that every element of order \(h\) must belong to this subgroup (because there is a unique subgroup of order \(h\) in \(\mathbb{F}^\times\)) and that there are exactly \(\phi(h)\) elements of order \(h\) in \(\mathbb{F}^\times\).

On the one hand \(n = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)\). The number of elements of order \(d\) is \(\phi(d)\). This gives immediately that \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) is cyclic.

Corollary 4.2.3. Let \(\mathbb{F}\) be a finite field then \(\mathbb{F}^\times\) is a cyclic group.

Proof. Let \(q\) be the number of elements of \(\mathbb{F}\). To show that for every \(h\) dividing \(q - 1\) there is at most one subgroup of order \(h\) we note that every element in that subgroup - call it \(H\) - will have order dividing \(h\) and hence will solve the polynomial \(x^h - 1\). That is, the \(h\) elements in that subgroup must be the \(h\) solutions of \(x^h - 1\). In particular, this subgroup is unique. \(\square\)

The proof shows an interesting fact. If \(\mathbb{F}\) is a field of \(q\) elements, then \(\mathbb{F}\) is the union of \(\{0\}\) and the \(q-1\) roots of \(x^{q-1} - 1\), equivalently \(\mathbb{F}\) is the solutions to the polynomial \(x^q - x\). We note that \(\mathbb{F}\) has finite characteristic \(p\) and that therefore \(q\) is a power of \(p\). Conversely, suppose that \(L\) is a field of characteristic \(p\) and the polynomial \(x^q - x\) splits completely in \(L\). Then \(L := \{a \in L : a^q - a = 0\}\) is a field with \(q\) elements. Indeed, one only need to verify that this set is closed under addition, multiplication and inverse (multiplicative and additive). The only tricky one to check is addition. However, since for \(p\) prime, \(p|\binom{q}{i}, 1 < i < p\), one concludes from the binomial theorem that \((x + y)^p = x^p + y^p\) in \(L\) and, by iteration, that \((x + y)^q = x^q + y^q\) in \(L\). This gives immediately that \(\mathbb{F}\) is closed under addition.
Remark 4.2.4. Although the groups \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\) are cyclic for every prime \(p\), that doesn’t mean we know an explicit generator. **Artin’s primitive root conjecture** states that 2 is a generator for infinitely many primes \(p\) (the conjecture is the same for any prime number instead of 2). Work starting with R. Murty and R. Gupta, and continued with K. Murty and Heath-Brown, had shown that for infinitely many primes \(p\) either 2, 3 or 5 are a primitive root.

5. Constructing subgroups

5.1. **Commutator subgroup.** Let \(G\) be a group. Define its **commutator subgroup** \(G’\), or \([G, G]\), to be the subgroup generated by \(\{xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x, y \in G\}\). An element of the form \(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\) is called a **commutator**. We use the notation \([x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\). It is not true in general that every element in \(G’\) is a commutator, though every element is a product of commutators, by definition.

**Example 5.1.1.** We calculate the commutator subgroup of \(S_3\). First, note that every commutator is an even permutation, hence contained in \(A_3\). Next, \((12)(13)(12) = (13)(2)\) is in \(S_3’\). It follows that \(S_3’ = A_3\).

5.2. **Centralizer subgroup.** Let \(H\) be a subgroup of \(G\). We define its **centralizer** \(C_G(H)\) to be the set \(\{g \in G : gh = hg, \forall h \in H\}\). One checks that it is a subgroup of \(G\) called the **centralizer of \(H\) in \(G\)**.

Given an element \(h \in G\) we may define \(C_G(h) = \{g \in G : gh = hg\}\). It is a subgroup of \(G\) called the centralizer of \(h\) in \(G\). One checks that \(C_G(h) = C_G(\langle h \rangle)\) and that \(C_G(H) = \cap \{h \in H : C_G(h)\}\).

Taking \(H = G\), the subgroup \(C_G(G)\) is the set of elements of \(G\) such that each of them commutes with every other element of \(G\). It has a special name; it is called the **center** of \(G\) and denoted \(Z(G)\). In this course we will not be using the centralizer of a proper subgroup much, but the centralizer of \(G\), namely, it centre, will be often used.

**Example 5.2.1.** If \(G\) is abelian then \(G = Z(G) = C_G(H)\) for any subgroup \(H \subset G\). If \(H_1 \subset H_2 \subset G\) then \(C_G(H_2) \subset C_G(H_1)\). If \(G = G_1 \times G_2\) then \(C_{G_1 \times G_2}(G_1 \times \{1\}) = Z(G_1) \times G_2\) and, more generally, \(C_{G_1 \times G_2}(H_1 \times \{H_2\}) = C_{G_1}(H_1) \times C_{G_2}(H_2)\).

**Example 5.2.2.** We calculate the centralizer of \((12)\) in \(S_5\). First recall the useful observation from §2.4.4: \(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\) is the permutation obtained from \(\sigma\) by changing its entries according to \(\tau\). For example: \((1234)[(12)(35)](1234)^{-1} = \langle 1234 \rangle[(12)(35)](1432) = (1234)(1453) = (23)(45)\) and \((23)(45)\) is indeed obtained from \((12)(35)\) by changing the labels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 according to the rule \((1234)\).

Using this, we see that the centralizer of \((12)\) in \(S_5\) is just \(S_2 \times S_3\) (Here \(S_2\) are the permutations of 1, 2 and \(S_3\) are the permutations of 3, 4, 5. Viewed this way they are subgroups of \(S_5\)).

5.3. **Normalizer subgroup.** Let \(H\) be a subgroup of \(G\). Define the **normalizer** of \(H\) in \(G\), \(N_G(H)\), to be the set \(\{g \in G : ghg^{-1} = H\}\). It is a subgroup of \(G\). Note that \(H \subset N_G(H)\), \(C_G(H) \subset N_G(H)\) and \(H \cap C_G(H) = Z(H)\).

**Example 5.3.1.** Consider \(S_3 < S_4\). If \(\tau \in N_{S_3}(S_3)\) then \(\tau(123)\tau^{-1} \in S_3\) and so \(\tau\) takes 1, 2 and 3 to 1, 2 and 3 (perhaps scrambling their order). Thus, \(\tau \in S_3\). That is, \(N_{S_4}(S_3) = S_3\).
6. Normal subgroups and quotient groups

Let $N < G$. We say that $N$ is a **normal** subgroup if for all $g \in G$ we have $gN = Ng$; equivalently, $gNg^{-1} = N$ for all $g \in G$; equivalently, $gN \subset Ng$ for all $g \in G$; equivalently, $gNg^{-1} \subset N$ for all $g \in G$. We will use the notation $N < G$ to signify that $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Note that an equivalent way to say that $N < G$ is to say that $N < G$ and $N_G(N) = G$.

**Example 6.0.2.** The group $A_3$ is normal in $S_3$. If $\sigma \in A_3$ and $\tau \in S_3$ then $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}$ is an even permutation because its sign is $\text{sgn}(\tau)\text{sgn}(\sigma)\text{sgn}(\tau^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\tau)^2\text{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$. Thus, $\tau A_3\tau^{-1} \subset A_3$.

The subgroup $H = \{1, (12)\}$ is not a normal subgroup. Use the table above to see that $(13)H \neq H(13)$.

Let $N \triangleleft G$. Let $G/N$ denote the set of left cosets of $N$ in $G$. We show that $G/N$ has a natural structure of a group; it is called the **quotient group** of $G$ by $N$.

Given two cosets $aN$ and $bN$ we define

$$aN \ast bN = abN.$$ 

We need to show this is well defined: if $aN = a'N$ and $bN = b'N$ then we should have $abN = a'b'N$. Now, we know that for a suitable $\alpha, \beta \in N$ we have $a'\alpha = a, b'\beta = b$. Thus, $a'b'N = a\alpha b\beta N = abb^{-1}\alpha b\beta N = ab(b^{-1}\alpha b)N$. Note that since $N < G$ and $\alpha \in N$ also $b^{-1}\alpha b \in N$ and so $ab(b^{-1}\alpha b)N = abN$.

One checks easily that $N = eN$ is the identity of $G/N$ and that $(gN)^{-1} = g^{-1}N$. (Note that $(gN)^{-1}$ - the inverse of the element $gN$ in the group $G/N$ is also the set $\{(gn)^{-1} : n \in N\} = Ng^{-1} = g^{-1}N$.)

**Definition 6.0.3.** A group is called **simple** if its only normal subgroups are the trivial ones $\{e\}$ and $G$.

**Remark 6.0.4.** We shall later prove that $A_n$ is a simple group for $n \geq 5$. By inspection one finds that also $A_n$ is simple for $n \leq 3$. On the other hand $A_4$ is not simple. The “Klein 4 group” $V := \{1, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ is a normal subgroup of $A_4$.

Recall the definition of the commutator subgroup $G'$ of $G$ from §5.1. In particular, the notation $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. One easily checks that $g[x, y]g^{-1} = [gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1}]$ and that $[x, y]^{-1} = [y, x]$. Hence, also $g[x, y]^{-1}g^{-1} = [gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1}]^{-1}$.

**Proposition 6.0.5.** The subgroup $G'$ is normal in $G$. The group $G/G'$ is abelian (it is called the abelianization of $G$). Furthermore, if $G/N$ is abelian then $N \supseteq G'$.

**Proof.** We know that $G' = \{[x_1, y_1]^e_1 \cdots [x_r, y_r]^e_r : x_i, y_i \in G, e_i = \pm 1\}$. It follows that

$$gG'g^{-1} = \{[gx_1g^{-1}, gy_1g^{-1}]^e_1 \cdots [gx_rg^{-1}, gy_rg^{-1}]^e_r : x_i, y_i \in G, e_i = \pm 1\} \subseteq G',$$

hence $G' \triangleleft G$.

For every $x, y \in G$ we have $xG' \cdot yG' = xyG' = xy(y^{-1}x^{-1}yx)G' = yxG' = yG' \cdot xG'$. Thus, $G/G'$ is abelian. If $G/N$ is abelian then for every $x, y \in G$ we have $xN \cdot yN = yN \cdot xN$. That is, $xyN = yxN$; equivalently, $x^{-1}y^{-1}xyN = N$. Thus, for every $x, y \in G$ we have $xN$ contains all the generators of $G'$ and so $N \supseteq G'$.

**Example 6.0.6.** Abelianization of $D_n$. Recall that the dihedral group $D_n$ – the symmetries of a regular $n$-gon – is generated by $x, y$ subject to the relations $y^2 = x^n = yxyx = 1$. Let $H = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Note that if $n$ is odd, $H = \langle x \rangle$, while for $n$ even $H$ has index 2 in $\langle x \rangle$. We check first that $H$ is
Then, we quickly see that $H$ is normal in $G$. To show that $D_n/H$ is abelian, since $D_n$ is generated by the images $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$, it is enough to show that $xHx^{-1} = H$, and the identity $yx^2y^{-1} = (yx)^2 = x^{-2}$ implies that $yHx^{-1} = H$.

We next claim that in fact $H = D'_n$. First, since $x^2 = [y, x]$ we have $H \subseteq D'_n$. To show equality it is enough to show that $D_n/H$ is abelian. Since $D_n/H$ is generated by the images $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ of the elements $x, y$, it is enough to show that $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ commute. That is, that $[\bar{y}, \bar{x}]$ is the identity element; otherwise, since $[y, x] = x^{-2} \in H$.

Note that for $n$ odd, the group $D_n^{ab}$ has order 2 and so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. For $n$ even, the group $D_n^{ab}$ has order 4 and it is not hard to check that it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (under $\bar{x} \mapsto (1, 0)$, $\bar{y} \mapsto (0, 1)$, say).

**Example 6.0.7.** Abelianization of the unipotent group Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field and $n \geq 2$ an integer. Consider the unipotent group $N$ in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ comprised all upper-triangular matrices with 1’s along the diagonal. Let $H$ be the collection of matrices in $N$ that have 0’s in all the $(i, i + 1)$ entries. For example, for $n = 4$ we are talking about the groups

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & * & * & * \\
* & 1 & * & * \\
* & * & 1 & * \\
* & * & * & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 1 & * & * \\
* & * & 1 & * \\
* & * & * & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

We claim that $H = N'$. First we check that $H$ is normal in $N$. This is easily followed because, for instance,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a & * & * \\
1 & b & * & * \\
1 & c & * & * \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a' & * & * \\
1 & b' & * & * \\
1 & c' & * & * \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a + a' & * & * \\
1 & b + b' & * & * \\
1 & c + c' & * & * \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

from which we deduce that also

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a & * & * \\
1 & b & * & * \\
1 & c & * & * \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -a & * & * \\
1 & -b & * & * \\
1 & -c & * & * \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then, we quickly see that $H$ is normal and even that each commutator lies in $H$. To show that $H = N'$ more work is done. We leave it as a (challenging) exercise for the interested reader. At the very least, verify that for $n = 3$ (and that’s not hard).

**Lemma 6.0.8.** Let $B$ and $N$ be subgroups of $G$, $N \triangleleft G$.

(1) $B \cap N$ is a normal subgroup of $B$.

(2) $BN := \{bn : b \in B, n \in N\}$ is a subgroup of $G$. Also, $NB$ is a subgroup of $G$. In fact, $BN = NB$.

(3) If $B \triangleleft G$ then $BN \triangleleft G$ and $B \cap N \triangleleft G$.

(4) If $B$ and $N$ are finite then $|BN| = |B||N|/|B \cap N|$. The same holds for $NB$.

**Proof.** (1) $B \cap N$ is a normal subgroup of $B$: First $B \cap N$ is a subgroup of $G$, hence of $B$. Let $b \in B$ and $n \in B \cap N$. Then $bnb^{-1} \in B$ because $b, n \in B$ and $nb^{-1} \in N$ because $N \triangleleft G$.

(2) $BN := \{bn : b \in B, n \in N\}$ is a subgroup of $G$: Note that $ee = e \in BN$. If $bn, b'n' \in BN$ then $bnn'n' = [bb'][((b')^{-1}nb')n'] \in BN$. Finally, if $bn \in BN$ then $(bn)^{-1} = n^{-1}b^{-1} = b^{-1}[bn^{-1}b^{-1}] \in BN$.

Note that $BN = \bigcup_{b \in B} bN = \bigcup_{b \in B} Nb = NB$.

(3) If $B \triangleleft G$ then $BN \triangleleft G$: We saw that $BN$ is a subgroup. Let $g \in G$ and $bn \in BN$ then $gbng^{-1} = [gb][g^{-1}]n^{-1} \in BN$, using the normality of both $B$ and $N$. If $x \in B \cap N, g \in G$
then \( gxg^{-1} \in B \) and \( gxg^{-1} \in N \), because both are normal. Thus, \( gxg^{-1} \in B \cap N \), which shows \( B \cap N \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \).

(4) If \( B \) and \( N \) are finite then \( |BN| = |B||N|/|B \cap N| \): Define a map of sets,
\[
f : B \times N \to BN, \quad (b, n) \mapsto bn.
\]
to prove the assertion it is enough to prove that every fibre \( f^{-1}(x) \), \( x \in BN \), has cardinality \( |B \cap N| \).

Suppose that \( x = bn \), then for every \( y \in B \cap N \) we have \( (by)(y^{-1}n) = bn \). This shows that \( f^{-1}(x) \supset \{(by, y^{-1}n) : y \in B \cap N \} \), a set of \( |B \cap N| \) elements. On the other hand, if \( bn = b_1n_1 \) then \( y_1 = b_1^{-1}b = n_1n^{-1} \) and hence \( y_1 \in B \cap N \). Let \( y = y_1^{-1} \) then \( (by)(y^{-1}n) = b_1n_1 \). Thus, \( f^{-1}(x) = \{(by, y^{-1}n) : y \in B \cap N \} \).  

\[\square\]

**Remark 6.0.9.** In general, if \( B, N \) are subgroups of \( G \) (that are not normal) then \( BN \) need not be a subgroup of \( G \). Indeed, consider the case of \( G = S_3 \), \( B = \{1, (12)\}, N = \{1, (13)\} \) then \( BN = \{1, (12), (13), (123)\} \) which is not a subgroup of \( S_3 \). Thus, in general \( <B, N> \supset BN \) and equality does not hold. We can deduce though that
\[
|<B, N>| \geq \frac{|B||N|}{|B \cap N|}.
\]
This is a very useful formula. Suppose, for example, that \( (|B|, |N|) = 1 \) then \( |B \cap N| = 1 \) because \( B \cap N \) is a subgroup of both \( B \) and \( N \) and so by Lagrange’s theorem: \( |B \cap N| \) divides both \( |B| \) and \( |N| \). In this case then \( |<B, N>| \geq |B| \cdot |N| \). For example, and subgroup of order 3 of \( A_4 \) generates \( A_4 \) together with the Klein group.

**Simple Groups.**

A group \( G \) is called simple if it has no non-trivial normal subgroups. Every group of prime order is simple. A group of odd order, which is not prime, is not simple (Theorem of Feit and Thompson). The classification of all finite simple groups is known. We shall later prove that the alternating group \( A_n \) is a simple group for \( n \geq 5 \).

Another family of simple groups is the following: Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a finite field and let \( SL_n(\mathbb{F}) \) be the \( n \times n \) matrices with determinant 1. It’s a group. Let \( T \) be the diagonal matrices with all elements on the diagonal begin equal (hence the elements of \( T \) are in bijection with solutions of \( x^n = 1 \) in \( \mathbb{F} \)); it is the center of \( SL_2(\mathbb{F}) \). Let \( PSL_n(\mathbb{F}) = SL_n(\mathbb{F})/T \). This is a simple group for \( n \geq 2 \) and any \( \mathbb{F} \), the only exceptions being \( n = 2 \) and \( \mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \). (See Rotman, op. cit., §8).

One can gain some understanding about the structure of a group from its normal subgroups. If \( N \triangleleft G \) then we have a **short exact sequence**
\[
1 \to N \to G \to G/N \to 1.
\]

(That means that all the arrows are group homomorphisms and the image of an arrow is exactly the kernel of the next one.) Thus, might hope that the knowledge of \( N \) and \( G/N \) allows to find the properties of \( G \). This works best when the map \( G \to G/N \) has a section, i.e., there is a homomorphism \( f : G/N \to N \) such that \( \pi_N \circ f = Id \). Then \( G \) is a **semi-direct product.** We’ll come back to this later in the course.

---

\[5\text{Note that we do need to assume } BN \text{ is a subgroup. In particular, we do not need to assume that } B \text{ or } N \text{ are normal.}\]
Part 2. The Isomorphism Theorems

7. Homomorphisms

7.1. Basic definitions. Let $G$ and $H$ be two groups. A homomorphism $f : G \to H$ is a function satisfying $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$. It is a consequence of the definition that $f(e_G) = e_H$ and that $f(a^{-1}) = f(a)^{-1}$.

A homomorphism is called an isomorphism if it is 1:1 and surjective. In that case, the set theoretic inverse function $f^{-1}$ is automatically a homomorphism too. Thus, $f$ is an isomorphism if and only if there exists a homomorphism $g : H \to G$ such that $h \circ g = id_G, g \circ h = id_H$.

Two groups, $G$ and $H$, are called isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $f : G \to H$. We use the notation $G \cong H$. For all practical purposes two isomorphic groups should be considered as the same group. Being isomorphic is an equivalence relation on groups.

Example 7.1.1. Let $n \geq 2$. The sign map $sgn : S_n \to \{\pm 1\}$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Example 7.1.2. Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $n$, say $G = \langle g \rangle$. The group $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, define a function $f : G \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $f(g^a) = a$. Note that $f$ is well defined because if $g^a = g^b$ then $n(b - a)$. It is a homomorphism: $g^ag^b = g^{a+b}$. It is easy to check that $f$ is surjective. It is injective, because $f(g^a) = 0$ implies that $n|a$ and so $g^a = g^0 = e$ in the group $G$.

Example 7.1.3. We have an isomorphism $S_3 \cong D_3$ coming from the fact that a symmetry of a triangle (an element of $D_3$) is completely determined by its action on the vertices.

Example 7.1.4. The Klein four group $V = \{1, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $(12)(34) \mapsto (0, 1), (13)(24) \mapsto (1, 0), (14)(23) \mapsto (1, 1)$.

The kernel $\text{Ker}(f)$ of a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ is by definition the set

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{g \in G : f(g) = e_H\}.$$ 

For example, the kernel of the sign homomorphism $S_n \to \{\pm 1\}$ is the alternating group $A_n$.

Lemma 7.1.5. The set $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a normal subgroup of $G$; $f$ is injective if and only if $\text{Ker}(f) = \{e\}$. For every $h \in H$ the preimage $f^{-1}(h) := \{g \in G : f(g) = h\}$ is a coset of $\text{Ker}(f)$.

Proof. First, since $f(e) = e$ we have $e \in \text{Ker}(f)$. If $x, y \in \text{Ker}(f)$ then $f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = ee = e$ so $xy \in \text{Ker}(f)$ and $f(x^{-1}) = f(x)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e$ so $x^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(f)$. That shows that $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a subgroup. If $g \in G, x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ then $f(gxg^{-1}) = f(g)f(x)f(g^{-1}) = f(g)ef(g)^{-1} = e$. Thus, $\text{Ker}(f) \triangleleft G$.

If $f$ is injective then there is a unique element $x$ such that $f(x) = e$. Thus, $\text{Ker}(f) = \{e\}$. Suppose that $\text{Ker}(f) = \{e\}$ and $f(x) = f(y)$. Then $e = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(xy^{-1})$ so $xy^{-1} = e$. That is $x = y$ and $f$ is injective.

More generally, note that $f(x) = f(y)$ iff $f(x^{-1}y) = e$ iff $x^{-1}y \in \text{Ker}(f)$ iff $y \in x\text{Ker}(f)$. Thus, if $h \in H$ and $f(x) = h$ then the fibre $f^{-1}(h)$ is precisely $x\text{Ker}(f)$.

Lemma 7.1.6. If $N \triangleleft G$ then the canonical map $\pi_N : G \to G/N$, given by $\pi_N(a) = aN$, is a surjective homomorphism with kernel $N$.

Proof. We first check that $\pi$ is a homomorphism: $\pi(ab) = abN = aNbN = \pi(a)\pi(b)$. Since every element of $G/N$ is of the form $aN$ for some $a \in G$, $\pi$ is surjective. Finally, $a \in \text{Ker}(\pi)$ iff $\pi(a) = aN = N$ (the identity element of $G/N$) iff $a \in N$. □
Corollary 7.1.7. A subgroup \( N < G \) is normal if and only if it is the kernel of a homomorphism.

7.2. Behavior of subgroups under homomorphisms. Let \( f : G \to H \) be a group homomorphism.

Proposition 7.2.1. If \( A < G \) then \( f(A) < H \), in particular \( f(G) < H \). If \( B < H \) then \( f^{-1}(B) < G \). Furthermore, if \( B \triangleleft H \) then \( f^{-1}(B) \triangleleft G \). If, moreover, \( f \) is surjective then \( A \triangleleft G \) implies \( f(A) \triangleleft H \).

Proof. Since \( f(e) = e, e \in f(A) \). Furthermore, the identities \( f(x)f(y) = f(xy), f(x)^{-1} = f(x^{-1}) \) show that \( f(A) \) is closed under multiplication and inverses. Thus, \( f(A) \) is a subgroup.

Let \( B < H \). Since \( f(e) = e \) we see that \( e \in f^{-1}(B) \). Let \( x, y \in f^{-1}(B) \) then \( f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \in B \) because both \( f(x) \) and \( f(y) \) are in \( B \). Thus, \( xy \in f^{-1}(B) \). Also, \( f(x^{-1}) = f(x)^{-1} \in B \) and so \( x^{-1} \in f^{-1}(B) \). This shows that \( f^{-1}(B) < G \).

Suppose now that \( B \triangleleft H \). Let \( x \in f^{-1}(B), g \in G \). Then \( f(gxg^{-1}) = f(g)f(x)f(g)^{-1} \). Since \( f(x) \in B \) and \( B \triangleleft H \) it follows that \( f(g)f(x)f(g)^{-1} \in B \) and so \( gxg^{-1} \in f^{-1}(B) \). Thus, \( f^{-1}(B) \triangleleft G \).

The last claim follows with similar arguments. \( \square \)

Remark 7.2.2. It is not necessarily true that if \( A \triangleleft G \) then \( f(A) \triangleleft H \). For example, consider \( G = \{1, (12)\} \) with its embedding into \( S_3 \).

8. The first isomorphism theorem

Theorem 8.0.3. (The First Isomorphism Theorem) Let \( f : G \to H \) be a homomorphism of groups. There is an injective homomorphism \( f' : G/\ker(f) \to H \) such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{f} & H \\
\downarrow{\pi_{\ker(f)}} & & \downarrow{f'} \\
G/\ker(f) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

In particular, \( G/\ker(f) \cong f(G) \).

Proof. Let \( N = \ker(f) \). We define \( f' \) by

\[ f'(aN) = f(a). \]

The map \( f' \) is well defined: if \( aN = bN \) then \( a = bn \) for some \( n \in N \). Then \( f'(aN) = f(a) = f(bn) = f(b)f(n) = f(b) = f'(bN) \). Therefore, \( f' \) is well defined. Now \( f'(aNbN) = f'(abN) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = f'(aN)f'(bN) \), which shows \( f' \) is a homomorphism. If \( f'(aN) = f(a) = e \) then \( a \in N \) and so \( aN = N \). That is, \( f' \) is injective and surjective onto its image. We conclude that \( f' : G/N \to f(G) \) is an isomorphism.

Finally, \( f'(\pi_N(a)) = f'(aN) = f(a) \) so \( f' \circ \pi_N = f \). Therefore, the diagram commutes. \( \square \)

Example 8.0.4. Let us construct two homomorphisms

\[ f_1 : D_4 \to S_2. \]

We get the first homomorphism \( f_1 \) be looking at the action of the symmetries on the axes \( \{a, b\} \). Thus, \( f_1(x) = (ab), f_1(y) = 1 \) \((x \text{ permutes the axes, while } y \text{ fixes the axes} – \text{though not pointwise})\). Similarly, if we let \( A, B \) be the lines whose equation is \( a = b \) and \( a = -b \), then \( D_4 \) acts as permutations on \( \{A, B\} \) and we get a homomorphism \( f_2 : D_4 \to S_2 \) such that \( f_2(x) = (AB), f_2(y) = (AB) \).
The homomorphism \( f_i \) is surjective and therefore the kernel \( N_i = \text{Ker}(f_i) \) has 4 elements. We find that \( N_1 = \{1, x^2, y, x^2y\} \) and \( N_2 = \{1, x^2, xy, x^3y\} \). By the first isomorphism theorem we have \( D_4/N_i \cong S_2 \).

Now, quite generally, if \( g_i : G \to H_i \) are group homomorphisms then \( g : G \to H_1 \times H_2 \), defined by \( g(r) = (g_1(r), g_2(r)) \) is a group homomorphism with kernel \( \text{Ker}(g_1) \cap \text{Ker}(g_2) \). One uses the notation \( g = (g_1, g_2) \). Applying this to our situation, we get a homomorphism

\[
f = (f_1, f_2) : D_4 \to S_2 \times S_2,
\]

whose kernel is \( \{1, x^2\} \). It follows that the image of \( f \) has 4 elements and hence \( f \) is surjective. That is,

\[
D_4/\langle x^2 \rangle \cong S_2 \times S_2.
\]

**Example 8.0.5.** A homomorphism, especially if it is injective, could be a mean to realize a group defined abstractly in a more concrete fashion. We have already done so, without making a big deal out of it. Recall that \( D_n \) was defined as the group of symmetries of a regular \( n \)-gon. Buy enumerating the vertices we realized \( D_n \) as a subgroup of \( S_n \). In effect, we have constructed an injective homomorphism \( D_n \to S_n \) under which \( y \mapsto (1)(2 \, n)(3 \, n - 1) \cdots, x \mapsto (1 \, 2 \, 3 \cdots n) \).

**Example 8.0.6.** Consider the group \( G = \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \), a group with \( 168 = (8 - 1)(8 - 2)(8 - 4) \) elements. This is a famous group in fact, being the only simple group (namely a group with no non-trivial normal subgroups of order 168; All other simple groups of order less 168 are the cyclic abelian groups of prime order and the alternating group \( A_5 \) of order 60). By considering its action on \( \mathbb{F}_2^3 - \{0\} \) we get an injective group homomorphism \( \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \to S_7 \). Now, the only element of order 7 of \( S_7 \) up to conjugation is a cycle of length 7. It will follow from theorems we shall prove later that since \( 7|168 \) the group \( G \) must have an element of order 7. We can therefore conclude that there is a matrix in \( \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \) of order 7 and that matrix permutes cyclically the non-zero vectors of the space. Can you find that matrix?? This example illustrates the use of homomorphisms between groups to conclude facts about a given group from facts about its homomorphic images.

**Example 8.0.7.** Let \( G \) be an abelian group and fix an integer \( n \). Consider \( G[n] = \{g \in G : g^n = 1_G\} \) and let \( G^n := \{g^n : g \in G\} \). Making use of the fact \( G \) is abelian one easily checks that these are subgroups. If \( G \) is not abelian this need not be true. For example, take \( G = S_3 \) and \( n = 2 \). Then \( S_3[2] = \{1, (12), (13), (23)\} \) which is not a subgroup. In this case \( S_3^2 = \{(1), (123), (132)\} \) is a subgroup, but if we take \( n = 3 \) we find that \( S_3^3 = \{1, (12), (13), (23)\} \), which is not a subgroup.

Getting back to the case where \( G \) is abelian, we notice that we have a surjective homomorphism:

\[
[n] : G \to G^n, \quad [n](g) := g^n.
\]
The kernel of this homomorphism is $G[n]$ and so, using the first isomorphism theorem, we conclude
\[ G/G[n] \cong G^n. \]

Here is a simple application. Suppose that $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then the equation $x^3 - a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has a unique solution for every non-zero congruence class $a$. Indeed, since $3 \nmid (p - 1)$, there are no elements of order 3 in the group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times$. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times$, that is, every element is a cube. But more is true; since the kernel of the homomorphism $[3]: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times, g \mapsto g^3$ is trivial in this case, every $a$ is obtained from a unique $g$ as $a = g^3$. That is, we have a unique solution.

9. The second isomorphism theorem

**Theorem 9.0.8.** Let $G$ be a group. Let $B < G, N \triangleleft G$. Then
\[ BN/N \cong B/(B \cap N). \]

**Proof.** Recall from Lemma 6.0.8 that $B \cap N \triangleleft B$. We define a function
\[ f : BN \to B/B \cap N, \quad f(bn) = b \cdot B \cap N. \]
We need first to show it is well defined. Recall from the proof of Lemma 6.0.8 that if $bn = b'n'$ then $b' = by$ for some $y \in B \cap N$. Therefore, $b \cdot B \cap N = by \cdot B \cap N = b' \cdot B \cap N$ and $f$ is well defined.

We show now that $f$ is a homomorphism. Note that $(bn)(b_1n_1) = (bb_1)(b_1^{-1}nb_1)n_1$ and so $f(bn \cdot b_1n_1) = bb_1 \cdot B \cap N = b \cdot B \cap N \cdot b_1 \cdot B \cap N = f(b)f(b_1)$, which shows $f$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, $f$ is surjective: $b \cdot B \cap N = f(b)$.

The kernel of $f$ is $\{bn : f(b) = e, b \in B, n \in N\} = \{bn : b \in B \cap N, b, n \in N\} = (B \cap N)N = N$. By the First Isomorphism Theorem $BN/N \cong B/B \cap N$. \[ \square \]

**Remark 9.0.9.** This is often used as follows: Let $f : G \to H$ be a group homomorphism with kernel $N$. Let $B < G$. What can we say about the image of $B$ under $f$? Well $f(B) = f(BN)$ and $f : BN \to H$ has kernel $N$. We conclude that $f(B) \cong BN/N \cong B/(B \cap N)$.

In fact, this idea gives another proof of the theorem. Consider the homomorphism $\pi : G \to G/N$. Its restriction to $BN$ is a homomorphism with kernel $N$ and so, by the First Isomorphism Theorem, $f(BN) \cong BN/N$. The restriction of $f$ to $B$ is also a group homomorphism with kernel $B \cap N$. Thus, $f(B) \cong B/(B \cap N)$. But, $f(B) = f(BN)$ and we are done.

10. The third isomorphism theorem

**Theorem 10.0.10.** Let $f : G \to H$ be a surjective homomorphism of groups.

1. $f$ induces a bijection:
\[ \{\text{subgps of } G \text{ containing } \text{Ker}(f)\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{subgps of } H\}. \]

   Given by $G_1 \mapsto f(G_1), G_1 < G$, and in the other direction by $H_1 \mapsto f^{-1}(H_1), H_1 < H$.

2. **Suppose that** $\text{Ker}(f) < G_1 < G$. **Then** $G_1 \triangleleft G_2$ **if and only if** $f(G_1) \triangleleft f(G_2)$. **Moreover, in that case,**
\[ G_2/G_1 \cong f(G_2)/f(G_1). \]
(3) Let $N < K < G$ be groups, such that $N \triangleleft G, K \triangleleft G$. Then

$$(G/N)/(K/N) \cong G/K.$$ 

Proof. We proved in general (Prop. 7.2.1) that if $G_1 < G$ then $f(G_1) < H$ and if $H_1 < H$ then $f^{-1}(H_1) < G$. Since $f$ is a surjective map we have $f(f^{-1}(H_1)) = H_1$. We need to show that if $\ker(f) < G_1$ then $f^{-1}(f(G_1)) \supseteq G_1$. Clearly $f^{-1}(f(G_1)) \supseteq G_1$. Let $x \in f^{-1}(f(G_1))$ then $f(x) \in f(G_1)$. Choose then $g \in G_1$ such that $f(g) = f(x)$ and write $x = g(g^{-1}x)$. Note that $f(g^{-1}x) = e_H$ and so $g^{-1}x \in \ker(f) \subseteq G_1$. Thus, $xg(g^{-1}x) \in G_1$.

Consider the restriction of $f$ to $G_2$ as a surjective group homomorphism $f : G_2 \to f(G_2)$. We proved under those conditions that if $G_1 \triangleleft G_2$ then $f(G_1) \triangleleft f(G_2)$. If $f(G_1) \triangleleft f(G_2)$ then we also proved that $f^{-1}(f(G_1)) \triangleleft G_2$. Since $G_1 \subseteq \ker(f)$ we have $f^{-1}(f(G_1)) = G_1$.

It remains to show that if $\ker(f) < G_1 \triangleleft G_2$ then $G_2/G_1 \cong f(G_2)/f(G_1)$. The homomorphism obtained by composition

$$G_2 \to f(G_2) \to f(G_2)/f(G_1),$$

is surjective and has kernel $f^{-1}(f(G_1)) = G_1$. The claim now follows from the First Isomorphism Theorem.

We apply the previous results in the case where $H = G/N$ and $f : G \to G/N$ is the canonical map. We consider the case $G_1 = K, G_2 = G$. Then $G/K \cong f(G)/f(K) = (G/N)/(K/N)$.  

**Example 10.0.11.** Consider again the group homomorphism $f : D_4 \to S_2 \times S_2$ constructed in Example 8.0.4. Using the third isomorphism theorem we conclude that the graph of the subgroups of $D_4$ containing $<x^2>$ is exactly that of $S_2 \times S_2$ (analyzed in Example 2.6.1). Hence we have:

$$\begin{array}{c}
D_4 & \to & K_1 & \to & K_2 & \to & K_3 & \to & H_1 & \to & H_2 & \to & H_3 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
< x^2 > & & \{1\} & & \{e\} & & \{e\} & & \{e\} & & \{e\} & & \{e\} \\
\end{array}$$

We’ll see later that this does not exhaust the list of subgroups of $D_4$. Here we have

- $K_1 = <x>$,
- $K_2 = <y, x^2>$,
- $K_3 = <xy, x^2>$.
and
\[ H_1 = f(K_1) = \{(1, 1), ((ab), (AB))\}, \]
\[ H_2 = f(K_2) = \{(1, 1), (1, (AB))\}, \]
\[ H_3 = f(K_3) = \{(1, 1), ((ab), 1)\}. \]

**Example 10.0.12.** Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field and let \( N = \{\text{diag}[f, f, \ldots, f] : f \in \mathbb{F}^\times\} \) be the set of diagonal matrices with the same non-zero element in each diagonal entry. We proved in an assignment that \( N = Z(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})) \) and is therefore a normal subgroup. The quotient group

\[ \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) := \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})/N \]

is called the projective linear group.

Let \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}) \) be the set of equivalence classes of non-zero vectors in \( \mathbb{F}^n \) under the equivalence \( v \sim w \) if there is \( f \in \mathbb{F}^\times \) such that \( f v = w \); that is, the set of lines through the origin. The importance of the group \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \) is that it acts as automorphisms on the projective \( n - 1 \)-space \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}) \).

Let

\[ \pi : \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \to \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \]

be the canonical homomorphism. The function

\[ \det : \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{F}^\times \]

is a group homomorphism, whose kernel, the matrices with determinant one, is denoted \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \). Consider the image of \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \) in \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \); it is denoted \( \text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \). We want to analyze it and the quotient \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \).

The group \( \text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \) is equal to \( \pi(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})) = \pi(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})N) \) and is therefore isomorphic to \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})N/N \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cap N = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\mu_n(\mathbb{F}) \), where by \( \mu_n(\mathbb{F}) \) we mean the group \( \{f \in \mathbb{F}^\times : f^n = 1\} \) (where we identify \( f \) with \( \text{diag}[f, f, \ldots, f] \)). Therefore,

\[ \text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\mu_n(\mathbb{F}). \]

We have \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cong (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})/N)/(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})N/N) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})N \). Let \( \mathbb{F}^{\times(n)} \) be the subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}^\times \) consisting of the elements \( \{f^n : f \in \mathbb{F}^\times\} \). Under the isomorphism \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \) the subgroup \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})N \) corresponds to \( \mathbb{F}^{\times(n)} \). We conclude that

\[ \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F})/\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^\times /\mathbb{F}^{\times(n)}. \]

11. The lattice of subgroups of a group

Let \( G \) be a group. Consider the set \( \Lambda(G) \) of all subgroups of \( G \). Define an order on this set by \( A \leq B \) if \( A \) is a subgroup of \( B \). This relation is transitive and \( A \leq B \leq A \) implies \( A = B \). That is, the relation is really an order.

The set \( \Lambda(G) \) is a lattice. Every two elements \( A, B \) have a minimum \( A \cap B \) (that is if \( C \leq A, C \leq B \) then \( C \leq A \cap B \)) and a maximum \( \langle A, B \rangle \) - the subgroup generated by \( A \) and \( B \) (that is \( C \geq A, C \geq B \) then \( C \geq \langle A, B \rangle \)). If \( A \in \Lambda(G) \) then let \( \Lambda_A(G) \) to be the set of all elements in \( \Lambda(G) \) that are greater or equal to \( A \). It is a lattice in its own right. We have the property that

\[ \text{if } N \triangleleft G \text{ then } \Lambda_G(N) \cong \Lambda(G/N) \text{ as lattices} \] — This is the Third Isomorphism Theorem.
Here is the lattice of subgroups of $D_4$. Normal subgroup are boxed.

How to prove that these are all the subgroups? Note that every proper subgroup has order 2 or 4 by Lagrange’s theorem. If it is cyclic then it must be one of the above, because the diagram certainly contains all cyclic subgroups. Else, it can only be of order 4 and every element different from $e$ has order 2. It is easy to verify that any two distinct elements of order 2 generate one of the subgroups we have listed.

There are at least two ways in which one uses this concept:

- To examine whether two groups can be isomorphic. Isomorphic groups have isomorphic lattices of subgroups. For example, the groups $D_4$ and $Q$ both have 8 elements. The lattice of subgroups of $Q$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & \downarrow \quad \langle i \rangle \quad \langle j \rangle \quad \langle k \rangle \\
& \downarrow \quad \langle -1 \rangle \\
& \downarrow \quad \{1\}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude that $Q$ and $D_4$ are not isomorphic.

- To recognize quotients. Consider for example $D_4/(x^2)$. This is a group of 4 elements. Let us give ourselves that there are only two groups of order 4 up to isomorphism and those are $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. The lattice of subgroups for them are

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 & \quad \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \\
\{0, 0\}, \{0, 1\} & \quad \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\} \quad \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\} \quad \{0, 2\} \\
\{0, 0\} & \quad \{0\}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude that $D_4/(x^2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. 

Part 3. Group Actions on Sets

12. Basic definitions

Let $G$ be a group and let $S$ be a non-empty set. We say that $G$ acts on $S$ if we are given a function

$$G \times S \to S, \quad (g, s) \mapsto g \star s,$$

such that:

(i) $e \star s = s$ for all $s \in S$;
(ii) $(g_1 g_2) \star s = g_1 \star (g_2 \star s)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $s \in S$.

Given an action of $G$ on $S$ we can define the following sets. Let $s \in S$. Define the orbit of $s$

$$\text{Orb}(s) = \{g \star s : g \in G\}.$$

Note that $\text{Orb}(s)$ is a subset of $S$, equal to all the images of the element $s$ under the action of the elements of the group $G$. We also define the stabilizer of $s$ to be

$$\text{Stab}(s) = \{g \in G : g \star s = s\}.$$

Note that $\text{Stab}(s)$ is a subset of $G$. In fact, it is a subgroup, as the next Lemma states.

One should think of every element of the group as becoming a symmetry of the set $S$. We’ll make more precise later. For now, we just note that every element $g \in G$ defines a function $S \to S$ by $s \mapsto gs$. This function, we’ll see later, is bijective.

13. Basic properties

**Lemma 13.0.13.** (1) Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$. We say that $s_1$ is related to $s_2$, i.e., $s_1 \sim s_2$, if there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$g \star s_1 = s_2.$$

This is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class of $s_1$ is its orbit $\text{Orb}(s_1)$.

(2) Let $s \in S$. The set $\text{Stab}(s)$ is a subgroup of $G$.

(3) Suppose that both $G$ and $S$ have finitely many elements. Then

$$|\text{Orb}(s)| = \frac{|G|}{|\text{Stab}(s)|}.$$

**Proof.** (1) We need to show reflexive, symmetric and transitive. First, we have $e \star s = s$ and hence $s \sim s$, meaning the relation is reflexive. Second, if $s_1 \sim s_2$ then for a suitable $g \in G$ we have $g \star s_1 = s_2$. Therefore

$$g^{-1} \star (g \star s_1) = g^{-1} \star s_2$$
$$\Rightarrow (g^{-1} g) \star s_1 = g^{-1} \star s_2$$
$$\Rightarrow e \star s_1 = g^{-1} \star s_2$$
$$\Rightarrow s_1 = g^{-1} \star s_2$$
$$\Rightarrow g^{-1} \star s_2 = s_1$$
$$\Rightarrow s_2 \sim s_1.$$
It remains to show transitive. If \( s_1 \sim s_2 \) and \( s_2 \sim s_3 \) then for suitable \( g_1, g_2 \in G \) we have
\[
 g_1 \cdot s_1 = s_2, \quad g_2 \cdot s_2 = s_3.
\]
Therefore,
\[
 (g_2 g_1) \cdot s_1 = g_2 \cdot (g_1 \cdot s_1) = g_2 \cdot s_2 = s_3,
\]
and hence \( s_1 \sim s_3 \).
Moreover, by the very definition the equivalence class of an element \( s_1 \) of \( S \) is all the elements of the form \( g \cdot s_1 \) for some \( g \in G \), namely, \( \text{Orb}(s_1) \).

(2) Let \( H = \text{Stab}(s) \). We have to show that: (i) \( e \in H \); (2) If \( g_1, g_2 \in H \) then \( g_1 g_2 \in H \); (iii) If \( g \in H \) then \( g^{-1} \in H \).

First, by definition of group action we have
\[
 e \cdot s = s.
\]
Therefore \( e \in H \). Next suppose that \( g_1, g_2 \in H \), i.e.,
\[
 g_1 \cdot s = s, \quad g_2 \cdot s = s.
\]
Then
\[
 (g_1 g_2) \cdot s = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot s) = g_1 \cdot s = s.
\]
Thus, \( g_1 g_2 \in H \). Finally, if \( g \in H \) then \( g \cdot s = s \) and so
\[
 g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot s) = g^{-1} \cdot s \\
\Rightarrow (g^{-1} g) \cdot s = g^{-1} \cdot s \\
\Rightarrow e \cdot s = g^{-1} \cdot s \\
\Rightarrow s = g^{-1} \cdot s,
\]
and therefore \( g^{-1} \in H \).

(3) We claim that there exists a bijection between the left cosets of \( H \) and the orbit of \( s \). If we show that, then by Lagrange’s theorem,
\[
 |\text{Orb}(s)| = \text{no. of left cosets of } H = \text{index of } H = |G|/|H|.
\]

Define a function
\[
 \{\text{left cosets of } H\} \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Orb}(s),
\]
by
\[
 \phi(gH) = g \cdot s.
\]
We claim that \( \phi \) is a well defined bijection. First

**Well-defined:** Suppose that \( g_1 H = g_2 H \). We need to show that the rule \( \phi \) would give the same result whether we take the representative \( g_1 \) or the representative \( g_2 \) to the coset, that is, we need to show
\[
 g_1 \cdot s = g_2 \cdot s.
\]
Note that \( g_1^{-1} g_2 \in H \), i.e., \( (g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot s = s \). We get
\[
 g_1 \cdot s = g_1 \cdot ((g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot s) \\
= (g_1 (g_1^{-1} g_2)) \cdot s \\
= g_2 \cdot s.
\]

**\( \phi \) is surjective:** Let \( t \in \text{Orb}(s) \) then \( t = g \cdot s \) for some \( g \in G \). Thus,
\[
 \phi(gH) = g \cdot s = t,
\]
and we get that \( \phi \) is surjective.

**\( \phi \) is injective:** Suppose that \( \phi(g_1H) = \phi(g_2H) \). We need to show that \( g_1H = g_2H \). Indeed,

\[
\phi(g_1H) = \phi(g_2H) \\
\Rightarrow g_1 \ast s = g_2 \ast s \\
\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} \ast (g_1 \ast s) = g_2^{-1} \ast (g_2 \ast s) \\
\Rightarrow (g_2^{-1}g_1) \ast s = (g_2^{-1}g_2) \ast s \\
\Rightarrow (g_2^{-1}g_1) \ast s = e \ast s \\
\Rightarrow (g_2^{-1}g_1) \ast s = s \\
\Rightarrow g_2^{-1}g_1 \in \text{Stab}(s) = H \\
\Rightarrow g_1H = g_2H.
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 13.0.14.** The set \( S \) is a disjoint union of orbits.

**Proof.** The orbits are the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation \( \sim \) defined in Lemma 13.0.13. Any equivalence relation partitions the set into disjoint equivalence classes.

We have in fact seen that every orbit is in bijection with the cosets of some group. If \( H \) is any subgroup of \( G \) let us use the notation \( G/H \) for its cosets (note though that if \( H \) is not normal this is not a group, but just a set). We saw that if \( s \in S \) then there is a natural bijection \( G/\text{Stab}(s) \leftrightarrow \text{Orb}(s) \). Thus, the picture of \( S \) is as follows

![Figure 3. The set decomposes into orbits; each is the cosets of a subgroup.](image)

### 14. Some examples

**Example 14.0.15.** The group \( S_n \) acts on the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). The action is transitive, i.e., there is only one orbit. The stabilizer of \( i \) is \( S_{\{1, 2, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\}} \cong S_{n-1} \).

**Example 14.0.16.** The group \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{F}^n \), and also \( \mathbb{F}^n - \{0\} \). The action is transitive on \( \mathbb{F}^n - \{0\} \) and has two orbits on \( \mathbb{F}^n \). The stabilizer of 0 is of course \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \); the stabilizer of a non-zero vector \( v_1 \) can be written in a basis \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n \) with \( w_1 = v_1 \) as the matrices of the shape

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & * & \ldots & * \\
0 & * & \ldots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & * & \ldots & * \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Example 14.0.17. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ then we have an action
\[ H \times G \rightarrow G, \quad (h, g) \mapsto hg. \]
In this example, $H$ is “the group” and $G$ is “the set”. Here the orbits are right cosets of $H$ and the stabilizers are trivial. Since $G = \bigsqcup \text{Orb}(g_i) = \bigsqcup Hg_i$ we conclude that $|G| = \sum_i |\text{Orb}(g_i)| = \sum_i |H|/|\text{Stab}(g_i)| = \sum_i |H|$ and therefore that $|H| \mid |G|$ and that $[G : H]$, the number of cosets, is $|G|/|H|$. We have recovered Lagrange’s theorem.

Example 14.0.18. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let $S = \{gH : g \in G\}$ be the set of left cosets of $H$ in $G$. Then we have an action
\[ G \times S \rightarrow S, \quad (a, bH) \mapsto abH. \]
Here there is a unique orbit (we say $G$ acts transitively). The stabilizer of $gH$ is the subgroup $gHg^{-1}$.

Example 14.0.19. Let $G = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. It acts on the sphere by rotations: an element $\theta \in G$ rotates the sphere by angle $\theta$ around the north-south axes. The orbits are latitude lines and the stabilizers of every point is trivial, except for the poles whose stabilizer is $G$. See Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Action on the sphere by rotation.](image)

Example 14.0.20. Let $G$ be the dihedral group $D_8$. Recall that $G$ is the group of symmetries of a regular octagon in the plane.
\[ G = \{e, x, x^2, \ldots, x^7, y, yx, yx^2, \ldots, yx^6\}, \]
where $x$ is the rotation clockwise by angle $2\pi/8$ and $y$ is the reflection through the $y$-axis. We have the relations
\[ x^8 = y^2 = e, \quad yxy = x^{-1}. \]
We let $S$ be the set of colorings of the octagon (= necklaces laid on the table) having 4 red vertices (rubies) and 4 green vertices (sapphires). The group $G$ acts on $S$ by its action on the octagon.

For example, the coloring $s_0$ in Figure 5 is certainly preserved under $x^2$ and under $y$. Therefore, the stabilizer of $s_0$ contains at least the set of eight elements
\[ \{e, x^2, x^4, x^6, y, yx^2, yx^4, yx^6\}. \]

Remember that the stabilizer is a subgroup and, by Lagrange’s theorem, of order dividing 16 = $|G|$. On the other hand, $\text{Stab}(s_0) \neq G$ because $x \not\in \text{Stab}(s_0)$. It follows that the stabilizer has exactly 8 elements and is equal to the set in (1).
According to Lemma 13.0.13 the orbit of $s_0$ is in bijection with the left cosets of $\text{Stab}(s_0) = \{e, x^2, x^4, x^6, y, yx^2, yx^4, yx^6\}$. By Lagrange’s theorem there are two cosets. For example, $\text{Stab}(s_0)$ and $x\text{Stab}(s_0)$ are distinct cosets. The proof of Lemma 13.0.13 tells us how to find the orbit: it is the set $$\{s_0, xs_0\},$$ portrayed in Figure 6.

**Example 14.0.21.** Let $\Gamma$ be the group of symmetries of the cube obtained by rigid motions (so reflections are not allowed). The action of $\Gamma$ on the 8 vertices gives an injective homomorphism $\Gamma \hookrightarrow S_8$. But, as we shall see that are much more useful realizations of $\Gamma$.

Firstly, it is easy to see that $\Gamma$ acts transitively on the 6 faces of the cube. The stabilizer of a face is rotations that keep the face but rotate it around its middle point. The orbit-stabilizer formula then gives that $$\#\Gamma = 24.$$ By considering the action of $\Gamma$ on two adjacent faces we see that the homomorphism $\Gamma \to S_6$ must be injective. We obtain that $\Gamma$ can be realized as a transitive subgroup of $S_6$.

Now consider the action of $\Gamma$ on the 4 long diagonals of the cube. A rotation keeping the front face has the effect $(1243)$, while a rotation keeping the right-facing face has the effect $(2314)$. The cyclic subgroups generated by those two cycles are $\{1, a = (1243), b = (14)(23), (3421)\}$ and $\{1, c = (2314), d = (21)(34), (4132)\}$. We see that the subgroup they generate contains the Klein group (calculate $bd$), and a short calculation shows that it in facts contains a subgroup of order 8 (for instance the subgroup generated by the Klein group and $(1243)$). Thus, the order of the subgroup they generate is divisible by 8. On the other hand, its order is also divisible by 3 because it contains $ac = (132)$. Therefore, the image of $\Gamma$ is $S_4$ and since $\Gamma$ has also 24 elements, we conclude that

$$\Gamma \cong S_4.$$
15. Cayley’s theorem

**Theorem 15.0.22.** Every finite group of order $n$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_n$.

We first prove a lemma that puts group actions in a different context. Let $A$ be a finite set. Let $\Sigma_A$ be the set of bijective functions $A \to A$. Then, $\Sigma_A$ is a group. In fact, if we let $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ be the elements of $A$, we can identify bijective functions $A \to A$ with permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and we see that $\Sigma_A \cong S_n$.

**Lemma 15.0.23.** To give an action of a group $G$ on a set $A$ is equivalent to giving a homomorphism $G \to \Sigma_A$. The kernel of this homomorphism is $\bigcap_{a \in A} \text{Stab}(a)$.

**Proof.** An element $g$ defines a function $\phi_g : A \to A$ by $\phi_g(a) = ga$. We have $\phi_e$ being the identity function. Note that $\phi_h \phi_g(a) = \phi_h(ga) = hga = \phi_{hg}(a)$ for every $a$ and hence $\phi_h \phi_g = \phi_{hg}$. In particular, $\phi_g \phi_g^{-1} = \phi_g^{-1} \phi_g = Id$. This shows that every $\phi_g$ is a bijection and the map

$$\Psi : G \to \Sigma_A, \quad g \mapsto \phi_g,$$

is a homomorphism. (Conversely, given such a homomorphism $\Psi$, define a group action by $g \ast a := \Psi(g)(a)$.)

The kernel of this homomorphism is the elements $g$ such that $\phi_g$ is the identity, i.e., $\phi_g(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$. That is, $g \in \text{Stab}(a)$ for every $a \in A$. The set of such elements $g$ is just $\bigcap_{a \in A} \text{Stab}(a)$. □

**Proof. (of Theorem)** Consider the action of $G$ on itself by multiplication (Example 14.0.17), $(g, g') \mapsto gg'$. Recall that all stabilizers are trivial. Thus this action gives an injective homomorphism

$$G \to \Sigma_G \cong S_n,$$

where $n = |G|$. □

16. The coset representation

Let $G$ be a group and $H$ a subgroup of finite index $n$. Consider the action of $G$ on the set of cosets $G/H$ of $H$ and the resulting homomorphism

$$\Psi : G \to \Sigma_{G/H} \cong \Sigma_n,$$

where $n = [G : H]$. We shall refer to it as the **coset representation** of $G$. The kernel $K$ of $\Psi$ is $\bigcap_{a \in G/H} \text{Stab}(a) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \text{Stab}(gH) = \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$. 


Being a kernel of a homomorphism, \( K \) is normal in \( G \) and is contained in \( H \). Furthermore, since the resulting homomorphism \( G/K \to S_n \) is injective we get that \(|G/K| = [G : K]\) divides \([G : H]! = |S_n|\). In particular, we conclude that every subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) contains a subgroup \( K \) which is normal in \( G \) and of index at most \([G : H]!\). Thus, for example, a simple infinite group has no subgroups of finite index.

In fact, the formula \( K = \cap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1} \) shows that \( K \) is the maximal subgroup of \( H \) which is normal in \( G \). Indeed, if \( K' \triangleleft G, K' < H \) then \( K' = gK'g^{-1} \subseteq gHg^{-1} \) and we see that \( K' \subseteq K \).

17. The Cauchy-Frobenius formula

The Cauchy-Frobenius formula, sometime called Burnside’s lemma, is a very useful formula for combinatorial problems.

17.1. A formula for the number of orbits.

Theorem 17.1.1. (CFF) Let \( G \) be a finite group acting on a finite set \( S \). Let \( N \) be the number of orbits of \( G \) in \( S \). Define

\[
l(g) = |\{s \in S : g \ast s = s\}|
\]

(the number of elements of \( S \) fixed by the action of \( g \)). Then

\[
N = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} l(g).
\]

Remark 17.1.2. If \( N = 1 \) we say that \( G \) acts transitively on \( S \). It means exactly that: For every \( s_1, s_2 \in S \) there exists \( g \in G \) such that \( g \ast s_1 = s_2 \).

Proof. We define a function

\[
T : G \times S \to \{0, 1\}, \quad T(g, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \ast s = s \\ 0 & g \ast s \neq s \end{cases}.
\]

Note that for a fixed \( g \in G \) we have

\[
l(g) = \sum_{s \in S} T(g, s).
\]

and that for a fixed \( s \in S \) we have

\[
|\text{Stab}(s)| = \sum_{g \in G} T(g, s).
\]
Let us fix representatives $s_1, \ldots, s_N$ for the $N$ disjoint orbits of $G$ in $S$. Now,

\[
\sum_{g \in G} I(g) = \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{s \in S} T(g, s) \right) = \sum_{s \in S} \left( \sum_{g \in G} T(g, s) \right)
= \sum_{s \in S} |\text{Stab}(s)| = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{|G|}{|\text{Orb}(s)|}
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|G|}{|\text{Orb}(s_i)|} \cdot |\text{Orb}(s_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |G|
= N \cdot |G|.
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 17.1.3.** Let $G$ be a finite group acting transitively on a finite $S$. Suppose that $|S| > 1$. Then there exists $g \in G$ without fixed points.

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose that every $g \in G$ has a fixed point in $S$. That is, suppose that for every $g \in G$ we have $I(g) \geq 1$.

Since $I(e) = |S| > 1$ we have that

\[
\sum_{g \in G} I(g) > |G|.
\]

By Cauchy-Frobenius formula, the number of orbits $N$ is greater than 1. Contradiction. \[\square\]

**Example 17.1.4.** The symmetry group of the cube $\Gamma$ acts transitively on the faces. It follows that there is a symmetry of the cube leaving no face fixed (there are many, in fact). Can you find it?

**Example 17.1.5.** A subgroup $G$ of $S_n$ is called **transitive** if its action on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is transitive. If $n > 1$, the Corollary says that such a subgroup contains a permutation with no fixed points. Moreover, by the orbit-stabilizer formula, $G$ has a subgroup of index $n$ and so $n|\#G$. Such results are used in the classification of transitive subgroups of $S_n$ for small values of $n$ - a classification important to Galois theory because the Galois group of an irreducible separable polynomial of degree $n$ is a transitive subgroup of $S_n$. For example, for $S_3$ we find that $A_3$ and $S_3$ are the only transitive subgroups. For $S_4$ we are looking for subgroups of order divisible by 4 (so 4, 8, 12 and 24) that act transitively and also contain a permutation with no fixed point. After conjugation, we may therefore assume that either $(1234)$ or $(12)(34)$ belongs to the subgroup. Continuing the analysis, one finds that up to conjugation the transitive subgroups are $V, \langle(1234)\rangle, D_4, A_4, S_4$.

### 17.2. Applications to combinatorics.

**Example 17.2.1.** How many roulettes with 11 wedges painted 2 blue, 2 green and 7 red are there when we allow rotations?

Let $S$ be the set of painted roulettes. Let us enumerate the sectors of a roulette by the numbers $1, \ldots, 11$. The set $S$ is a set of $\binom{11}{2} \cdot \binom{9}{2} = 1980$ elements (choose which 2 are blue, and then choose out of the nine left which 2 are green).
Let $G$ be the group $\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$. It acts on $S$ by rotations. The element $1$ rotates a painted roulette by angle $2\pi/11$ anti-clockwise. The element $n$ rotates a painted roulette by angle $2n\pi/11$ anti-clockwise. We are interested in $N$ – the number of orbits for this action. We use CFF.

The identity element always fixes the whole set. Thus $I(0) = 1980$. We claim that if $1 \leq i \leq 10$ then $i$ doesn’t fix any element of $S$. Indeed, suppose that $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $i$ fixes $s$. Then so does $(i) = \mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$ (the stabilizer is a subgroup). But any coloring fixed under rotation by 1 must be single colored! Contradiction.

Applying CFF we get

$$N = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{n=0}^{10} I(n) = \frac{1}{11} \cdot 1980 = 180.$$  

**Example 17.2.2.** How many roulettes with 12 wedges painted 2 blue, 2 green and 8 red are there when we allow rotations?

Let $S$ be the set of painted roulettes. Let us enumerate the sectors of a roulette by the numbers $1, \ldots, 12$. The set $S$ is a set of \binom{12}{2} \binom{10}{2} = 2970 elements (choose which 2 are blue, and then choose out of the ten left which 2 are green).

Let $G$ be the group $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$. It acts on $S$ by rotations. The element $1$ rotates a painted roulette by angle $2\pi/12$ anti-clockwise. The element $n$ rotates a painted roulette by angle $2n\pi/12$ anti-clockwise. We are interested in $N$ – the number of orbits for this action. We use CFF.

The identity element always fixes the whole set. Thus $I(0) = 2970$. We claim that if $1 \leq i \leq 11$ and $i \neq 6$ then $i$ doesn’t fix any element of $S$. Indeed, suppose that $i$ fixes a painted roulette. Say in that roulette the $r$-th sector is blue. Then so must be the $i + r$ sector (because the $r$-th sector goes under the action of $i$ to the $r + i$-th sector). Therefore so must be the $r + 2i$ sector. But there are only 2 blue sectors! The only possibility is that the $r + 2i$ sector is the same as the $r$ sector, namely, $i = 6$.

If $i$ is equal to 6 and we enumerate the sectors of a roulette by the numbers $1, \ldots, 12$ we may write $i$ as the permutation

$$(1 \ 7)(2 \ 8)(3 \ 9)(4 \ 10)(5 \ 11)(6 \ 12).$$

In any coloring fixed by $i = 6$ the colors of the pairs $(1, 7), (2, 8), (3, 9), (4, 10), (5, 11)$ and $(6, 12)$ must be the same. We may choose one pair for blue, one pair for green. The rest would be red. Thus there are $30 = 6 \cdot 5$ possible choices. We summarize:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element $g$</th>
<th>$I(g)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i \neq 6$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 6$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applying CFF we get that there are

$$N = \frac{1}{12} (2970 + 30) = 250$$

different roulettes.

**Example 17.2.3.** In this example $S$ is the set of necklaces made of four rubies and four sapphires laid on the table. We ask how many necklaces there are when we allow rotations and flipping-over.

We may talk of $S$ as the colorings of a regular octagon, four vertices are green and four are red. The group $G = D_8$ acts on $S$ and we are interested in the number of orbits for the group $G$.

The results are the following
We explain how the entries in the table are obtained:

The identity always fixes the whole set \( S \). The number of elements in \( S \) is \( \binom{8}{4} = 70 \) (choosing which 4 would be green).

The element \( x \) cannot fix any coloring, because any coloring fixed by \( x \) must have all sections of the same color (because \( x = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8) \)). If \( x^r \) fixes a coloring \( s_0 \) so does \( (x^r)^r = x^{(r^2)} \) because the stabilizer is a subgroup. Apply that for \( r = 3, 5, 7 \) to see that if \( x^r \) fixes a coloring so does \( x \), which is impossible. \(^6\)

Now, \( x^2 \) written as a permutation is \((1\ 3\ 5\ 7)(2\ 4\ 6\ 8)\). We see that if, say 1 is green so are 3, 5, 7 and the rest must be red. That is, all the freedom we have is to choose whether the cycle \((1\ 3\ 5\ 7)\) is green or red. This gives us two colorings fixed by \( x^2 \). The same rational applies to \( x^6 = (8\ 6\ 4\ 2)(7\ 5\ 3\ 1) \).

Consider now \( x^4 \). It may written in permutation notation as \((1\ 5)(2\ 6)(3\ 7)(4\ 8)\). In any coloring fixed by \( x^4 \) each of the cycles \((1\ 5)(2\ 6)(3\ 7)\) and \((4\ 8)\) must be single colored. There are thus \( \binom{4}{2} = 6 \) possibilities (Choosing which 2 out of the four cycles would be green).

It remains to deal with the elements \( yx^i \). We recall that these are all reflections. There are two kinds of reflections. One may be written using permutation notation as

\[(i_1\ i_2)(i_3\ i_4)(i_5\ i_6)\]

(with the other two vertices being fixed. For example \( y = (2\ 8)(3\ 7)(4\ 6) \) is of this form). The other kind is of the form

\[(i_1\ i_2)(i_3\ i_4)(i_5\ i_6)(i_7\ i_8)\]

(For example \( yx = (1\ 8)(2\ 7)(3\ 6)(4\ 5) \) is of this sort). Whatever is the case, one uses similar reasoning to deduce that there are 6 colorings preserved by a reflection.

One needs only apply \textbf{CFF} to get that there are

\[N = \frac{1}{16}(70 + 2 \cdot 2 + 6 + 8 \cdot 6) = 8\]

distinct necklaces.

17.3. **The game of 16 squares.** Sam Loyd (1841-1911) was America’s greatest puzzle expert and invented thousands of ingenious and tremendously popular puzzles.

In this game, we are given a \( 4 \times 4 \) box with 15 squares numbered 1, 2, \ldots, 15 and one free spot. At every step one is allowed to move an adjacent square into the vacant spot. For example

\[^6 x^{(x^2)} = x^8 = x \text{ because } x^8 = e, \text{ etc.}\]

\[^7\text{This doesn’t have much to do with group theory. At least an elementary solution is available with no notions from groups. It is given here for sheer fun and as illustration of “acting on a set”.}\]
Can one pass from the original position to the position below?

It turns out that the answer is no. Can you prove it? Apparently, the puzzle was originally marketed with the tiles in the impossible position with the challenge to rearrange them into the initial position!

![Figure 7. Loyd’s 14 – 15 puzzle.](image)

17.4. **Rubik’s cube.**

In the case of the Rubik cube there is a group $G$ acting on the cube. The group $G$ is generated by 6 basic moves $a, b, c, d, e, f$ (each is a rotation of a certain “third of the cube”) and could be thought of as a subgroup of the symmetric group on $54 = 9 \times 6$ letters. It is called the cube group. The structure of this group is known. It is isomorphic to

\[
(Z/3Z^7 \times Z/2Z^{11}) \rtimes ((A_8 \times A_{12}) \rtimes Z/2Z)
\]

---

[^8]: Also known as the Hungarian cube.
(the notation will make sense once we have defined semi-direct products). The order of the cube group is

\[ 2^{27} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 = 43,252,003,274,489,856,000, \]

while the order of \( S_{54} \) is

\[ 2^{308,436,973,392,413,073,275,810,832,785.645718079411322880000000000000. \]

One is usually interested in solving the cube. Namely, reverting it to its original position. Since the current position was gotten by applying an element \( \tau \) of \( G \), in group theoretic terms we attempt to find an algorithm of writing every \( G \) in terms of the generators \( a, b, c, d, e, f \) since then also \( \tau^{-1} \) will have such an expression, which is nothing else than a series of moves that return the cube to its original position. It is natural do deal with the set of generators \( a^\pm1, b^\pm1, \ldots, f^\pm1 \) (why do 3 times \( a \) when you can do \( a^{-1} \)??). A common question is what is the maximal number of basic operations that may be required to return a cube to its original position. Otherwise said, what is the diameter of the Cayley graph? But more than that, is there a simple algorithm of finding for every element of \( G \) an expression in terms of the generators?

The Cayley graph.
Suppose that \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) are generators for \( G \). We define an oriented graph taking as vertices the elements of \( G \) and taking for every \( g \in G \) an oriented edge from \( g \) to \( gg_\alpha \). If we forget the orientation, the property of \( \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \) being a set of generators is equivalent to the graph being connected.

Suppose that the set of generators consists of \( n \) elements. Then, by definition, from every vertex we have \( n \) vertices emanating and also \( n \) arriving. We see therefore that all Cayley graphs are regular graphs. This, in turn, gives a systematic way of constructing regular graphs.

Suppose we take as a group the symmetric group (see below) \( S_n \) and the transpositions as generators. One can think as a permutation as being performed in practice by successively swapping the places of two elements. Thus, in the Cayley graph, the distance between a permutation and the identity (the distance is defined as the minimal length of a path between the two vertices) is the minimal way to write a permutation as a product of transpositions, and could be thought of as a certain measure of the complexity of a permutation.

The figure below gives the Cayley graph of \( S_3 \) with respect to the generating set of transpositions. It is a 3-regular oriented graph and a 6 regular graph.
Now, since the Cayley graph of $G$ has 12 edges emanating from each vertex (and is connected by definition of the cube group) it follows that to reach all positions one is forced to allow at least $\log_{12} |G| \sim 18.2$, thus at least 19, moves.\footnote{There is a subtle point we are glossing over here. It is that perhaps there are operations that move the cube but leave the overall coloring fixed ("we move the pieces but in the end it looks the same"). That is, is the stabilizer of every position of the cube trivial? It seems that the answer is yes; note that it is enough to prove that for the original position (as stabilizers of elements in the same orbit are conjugate subgroups). Here, it seems that the key point is to consider the corner pieces and then the edge pieces.}
Part 4. The Symmetric Group

18. Conjugacy classes

Let $\sigma \in S_n$. We write $\sigma$ as a product of disjoint cycles:

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r.$$ 

Since disjoint cycles commute, the order does not matter and we may assume that the length of the cycles is non-decreasing. Namely, if we let $|(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t)| = t$ (we shall call it the length of the cycle; it is equal to its order as an element of $S_n$), then

$$|\sigma_1| \leq |\sigma_2| \leq \cdots \leq |\sigma_r|.$$ 

We may also allow cycles of length 1 (they simply stand for the identity permutation) and then we find that

$$n = |\sigma_1| + |\sigma_2| + \cdots + |\sigma_r|.$$ 

We therefore get a partition $p(\sigma)$ of the number $n$, that is, a set of non-decreasing positive integers $1 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$ such that $n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_r$. Note that every partition is obtained from a suitable $\sigma$.

**Lemma 18.0.1.** Two permutations, $\sigma$ and $\rho$, are conjugate (namely there is a $\tau$ such that $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \rho$) if and only if $p(\sigma) = p(\rho)$.

**Proof.** Recall the formula we used before, if $\sigma(i) = j$ then $(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1})(\tau(i)) = \tau(j)$. This implies that for every cycle $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t)$ we have

$$\tau(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t) \tau^{-1} = (\tau(i_1) \tau(i_2) \cdots \tau(i_t)).$$ 

In particular, since $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = (\tau \sigma_1 \tau^{-1})(\tau \sigma_2 \tau^{-1}) \cdots (\tau \sigma_r \tau^{-1})$, a product of disjoint cycles, we get that $p(\sigma) = p(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1})$.

Conversely, suppose that $p(\sigma) = p(\rho)$. Say

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r$$

$$= (i_1^1 \cdots i_{t(1)}^1)(i_2^2 \cdots i_{t(2)}^2) \cdots (i_r^r \cdots i_{t(r)}^r),$$

and

$$\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_r$$

$$= (j_1^1 \cdots j_{t(1)}^1)(j_2^2 \cdots j_{t(2)}^2) \cdots (j_r^r \cdots j_{t(r)}^r).$$

Define $\tau$ by

$$\tau(i_b^a) = j_b^a,$$

then $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \rho$. \qed

**Corollary 18.0.2.** Let $p(n)$ be the number of partitions of $n$.\textsuperscript{10} There are $p(n)$ conjugacy classes in $S_n$.

\textsuperscript{10}Since $2 = 2 = 1 + 1$, $3 = 3 = 1 + 2 = 1 + 1 + 1$, $4 = 4 = 2 + 2 = 1 + 3 = 1 + 1 + 2 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$, $5 = 5 = 2 + 3 = 1 + 4 = 1 + 1 + 3 = 1 + 2 + 2 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \ldots$ we get $p(1) = 1$, $p(2) = 2$, $p(3) = 3$, $p(4) = 5$, $p(5) = 7$, $p(6) = 11, \ldots$. The function $p(n)$ is asymptotic to $\frac{e^{\sqrt{2\pi n}}}{4n^{3/4}}$. 

Next, we discuss conjugacy classes in $A_n$. Note that if $\sigma \in A_n$ then since $A_n \triangleleft S_n$ also $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \in A_n$. That is, all the $S_n$-conjugacy classes of elements of $A_n$ are in $A_n$. However, we would like to consider the $A_n$-conjugacy classes of elements of $A_n$.

**Lemma 18.0.3.** The $S_n$-conjugacy class of an element $\sigma \in A_n$ is a disjoint union of $[S_n : A_n C_{S_n}(\sigma)]$ $A_n$-conjugacy classes. In particular, it is one $A_n$-conjugacy class if there is an odd permutation commuting with $\sigma$ and is two $A_n$-conjugacy class if there is no odd permutation commuting with $\sigma$. In the latter case, the $S_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma$ is the disjoint union of the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma$ and the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}$, where $\tau$ can be chosen to be any odd permutation.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be the $S_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma$. Write $A = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in J} A_{\alpha}$, a disjoint union of $A_n$-conjugacy classes. We first note that $S_n$ acts on the set $B = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$. Indeed, if $A_\alpha$ is the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma_\alpha$, and $\rho \in S_n$ then define $\rho A_\alpha \rho^{-1}$ to be the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\rho \sigma_\alpha \rho^{-1}$. This is well defined: if $\sigma'_\alpha$ is another representative for the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma_\alpha$ then $\sigma'_\alpha = \tau \sigma_\alpha \tau^{-1}$ for some $\tau \in A_n$. It follows that $\rho \sigma'_\alpha \rho^{-1} = \tau \rho \sigma \tau^{-1} \rho^{-1} = (\tau \rho \sigma \tau^{-1})(\rho \sigma_\alpha \rho^{-1})(\tau \rho \sigma \tau^{-1})^{-1}$ is in the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\rho \sigma_\alpha \rho^{-1}$ (because $\rho \tau \rho^{-1} \in A_n$).

The action of $S_n$ is transitive on $B$. Consider the $A_n$-conjugacy class of $\sigma$ and denote it by $A_0$. The stabilizer of $A_0$ is just $A_0 C_{S_n}(\sigma)$. Indeed, $\rho A_\alpha \rho^{-1} = A_0$ if and only if $\rho \sigma_\alpha \rho^{-1}$ is in the same $A_n$-conjugacy class as $\sigma$. Namely, if and only if $\rho \sigma_\alpha \rho^{-1} = \tau \sigma_\alpha \tau^{-1}$ for some $\tau \in A_n$, equivalently, $(\tau^{-1} \rho) \sigma = \sigma (\tau^{-1} \rho)$, that is $(\tau^{-1} \rho) \sigma \in C_{S_n}(\sigma)$ which is to say that $\rho \in A_n C_{S_n}(\sigma)$.

We conclude that the size of $B$ is the length of the orbit of $A_0$ and hence is of size $[S_n : A_n C_{S_n}(\sigma)]$. Since $[S_n : A_n] = 2$, we get that $[S_n : A_0 C_{S_n}(\sigma)] = 1$ or 2, with the latter happening if and only if $A_0 \supseteq C_{S_n}(\sigma)$. That is, if and only if $\sigma$ does not commute with any odd permutation. Moreover, the orbit consists of the $A_n$-conjugacy classes of the elements $g \sigma$, $g$ running over a complete set of representatives for the cosets of $A_n C_{S_n}(\sigma)$ in $S_n$. \hfill $\square$

In the case we need this lemma, that is in the case of $A_6$ one can decide the situation “by inspection”. However, it is interesting to understand in general when does the centralizer contain an odd permutation.

**Lemma 18.0.4.** Let $\sigma$ be a permutation and write $\sigma$ as a product of disjoint cycles of non-increasing length:

$$\sigma = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_a = (i_1^1, i_2^1, \ldots, i_{r_1}^1)(i_1^2, \ldots, i_{r_2}^2) \cdots (i_1^a, \ldots, i_{r_a}^a).$$

Thus, $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_a$ and we have also listed cycles of length 1 if any. The centralizer of $\sigma$ contains an odd permutation unless each cycle has odd length and all the length are different, that is, unless each $r_i$ is odd and $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_a$. In that case, the centralizer of $\sigma$ consists of even permutations only.

**Proof.** Suppose first that there is a cycle $c_j$ of even length, which is thus an odd permutation. Since disjoint cycles commute $c_j c_i c_j^{-1} = c_j$ and so $c_j \sigma c_j^{-1} = (c_j c_1 c_j^{-1})(c_j c_2 c_j^{-1}) \cdots (c_j c_a c_j^{-1}) = c_1 \cdots c_a = \sigma$. Thus, the centralizer of $\sigma$ contains the odd permutation $c_j$.

Suppose now that there are two cycles of the same length. To ease notation, let’s assume these are $c_1$ and $c_2$, but the same argument works in general. We may assume that they are both of odd length, otherwise we have already shown that the centralizer contains an odd permutation. Then, let $\tau = (i_1^1 i_2^1)(i_2^2 i_3^2) \cdots (i_{r_n}^{n-1} i_{r_n}^n)$. Then $\tau$ is an odd permutation and we find $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \sigma$.

The case left at this point is when $\sigma$ is a product of disjoint cycles, all of odd lengths and strictly decreasing order: $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_a$. In this case, if $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = \sigma$, that is,

$$(\tau(i_1^1), \tau(i_2^1)), \ldots, \tau((i_1^n)(\tau(i_2^n)), \ldots, \tau((i_{r_1}^{n-1})(\tau(i_{r_2}^{n-1})), \ldots, \tau((i_{r_n}^n))$$

is equal to

$$(i_1^1, i_2^1, \ldots, i_{r_1}^1)(i_2^2, \ldots, i_{r_2}^2) \cdots (i_{r_a}^a, \ldots, i_{r_a}^a).$$
then, by comparing sizes of cycles, we see that $\tau c_i \tau^{-1} = c_i$. But that means that $\tau = c_1^{b_1} c_2^{b_2} \cdots c_a^{b_a}$ for some $b_i$ and so $\tau$ is even.

\[ \Box \]

19. The simplicity of $A_n$

In this section we prove that $A_n$ is a simple group for $n \neq 4$. The cases where $n < 4$ are trivial; for $n = 4$ we have seen it fails (the Klein 4-group is normal). We shall focus on the case $n \geq 5$ and prove the theorem inductively. We therefore first consider the case $n = 5$.

We make the following general observation:

**Lemma 19.0.5.** Let $N \triangleleft G$ then $N$ is a disjoint union of $G$-conjugacy classes.

**Proof.** Distinct conjugacy classes, being orbits for a group action, are always disjoint. If $N$ is normal and $n \in N$ then its conjugacy class $\{gng^{-1} : g \in G\}$ is contained in $N$. \[ \Box \]

Let us list the conjugacy classes of $S_5$ and their sizes.

**Conjugacy classes in $S_5$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cycle type</th>
<th>representative</th>
<th>size of conjugacy class</th>
<th>order</th>
<th>even?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(12345)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+4</td>
<td>(1234)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+1+3</td>
<td>(123)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+2+2</td>
<td>(12)(34)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+1+1+1+1</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+1+1+1+1+1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+3</td>
<td>(12)(345)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let $\tau$ be a permutation commuting with $(12345)$. Then

\[(12345) = \tau(12345)\tau^{-1} = (\tau(1) \tau(2) \tau(3) \tau(4) \tau(5))\]

and so $\tau$ is the permutation $i \mapsto i + n$ for $n = \tau(1) - 1$. In particular, $\tau = (12345)^{n-1}$ and so is an even permutation. We conclude that the $S_5$-conjugacy class of $(12345)$ breaks into two $A_5$-conjugacy classes, with representatives $(12345), (21345)$.

One checks that $(123)$ commutes with the odd permutation $(45)$. Therefore, the $S_5$-conjugacy class of $(123)$ is also an $A_5$-conjugacy class. Similarly, the permutation $(12)(34)$ commutes with the odd permutation $(12)$. Therefore, the $S_5$-conjugacy class of $(12)(34)$ is also an $A_5$-conjugacy class. We get the following table for conjugacy classes in $A_5$.

**Conjugacy classes in $A_5$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cycle type</th>
<th>representative</th>
<th>size of conjugacy class</th>
<th>order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(12345)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(21345)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+1+3</td>
<td>(123)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+2+2</td>
<td>(12)(34)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+1+1+1+1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If $N \triangleleft A_5$ then $|N|$ divides 60 and is the sum of 1 and some of the numbers in $(12, 12, 20, 15)$. One checks that this is impossible unless $N = A_5$. We deduce
Lemma 19.0.6. The group $A_5$ is simple.

Theorem 19.0.7. The group $A_n$ is simple for $n \geq 5$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. We may assume that $n \geq 6$. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $A_n$ and assume $N \neq \{1\}$.

First step: There is a permutation $\rho \in N, \rho \neq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\rho(i) = i$.

Indeed, let $\sigma \in N$ be a non-trivial permutation and write it as a product of disjoint non-trivial cycles, $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_s$, say in decreasing length. Suppose that $\sigma_1$ is $(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_r)$, where $r \geq 3$. Then conjugating by the transposition $\tau = (i_1 i_2)(i_5 i_6)$, we get that $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma(i_1) = i_1$ and if $r > 3$ then $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma(i_2) = i_4 \neq i_2$. If $r = 3$ then $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 i_3)(i_4 \ldots)$ and $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma(i_2) = \tau \sigma(i_4) \in \{i_3, i_5\}$. Thus, $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma$ is a permutation of the kind we were seeking.

It still remains to consider the case where each $\sigma_i$ is a transposition. Then, if $\sigma = (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_4)$ then $\sigma$ moves only 4 elements and thus fixes some element and we are done, else $\sigma = (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_4)(i_5 i_6) \ldots$. Let $\tau = (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_5)$ then $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma = (i_2 i_1)(i_5 i_4)(i_3 i_6) \ldots (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_4)(i_5 i_6) \ldots = (i_3 i_5)(i_4 i_6) \ldots$ and so is a permutation of the sort we were seeking.

Second step: $N = A_n$.

Consider the subgroups $G_i = \{ \sigma \in A_n : \sigma(i) = i \}$. We note that each $G_i$ is isomorphic to $A_{n-1}$ and hence is simple. By the preceding step, for some $i$ we have that $N \cap G_i$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $G_i$, hence equal to $G_i$.

Next, note that $(12)(34)G_1(12)(34) = G_2$ and, similarly, all the groups $G_i$ are conjugate in $A_n$ to each other. It follows that $N \supseteq < G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n >$. Now, every element in $S_n$ is a product of (usually not disjoint) transpositions and so every element $\sigma$ in $A_n$ is a product of an even number of transpositions, $\sigma = \lambda_1 \mu_1 \ldots \lambda_r \mu_r$ ($\lambda_i, \mu_i$ transpositions). Since $n > 4$ every product $\lambda_i \mu_i$ belongs to some $G_j$ and we conclude that $< G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n > = A_n$. 

\qed
Part 5. \(p\)-groups, Cauchy’s and Sylow’s Theorems

20. The class equation

Let \(G\) be a finite group. \(G\) acts on itself by conjugation: \(g \ast h = ghg^{-1}\). The class equation is the partition of \(G\) to orbits obtained this way. The orbits are called in this case conjugacy classes. Note that the stabilizer of \(h \in G\) is \(C_G(h)\) and so its orbit has length \([G : C_G(h)]\). Note thus the elements with orbit of length 1 are precisely the elements in the center \(Z(G)\) of \(G\). We get

\[
|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{\text{reps. } x \not\in Z(G)} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|}.
\]

Remark 20.0.8. One can prove that for every \(n > 0\) there are only finitely many finite groups with exactly \(n\) conjugacy classes. (One uses the following fact: Given \(n > 0\) and a rational number \(q\) there are only finitely many \(n\)-tuples \((c_1, \ldots, c_n)\) of natural numbers such that \(q = \frac{1}{c_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{c_n}\).)

For example, the only group with one conjugacy class is the trivial group \(\{1\}\); the only group with two conjugacy classes is \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\); the only groups with 3 conjugacy classes are \(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\) and \(S_3\).

21. \(p\)-groups

Let \(p\) be a prime. A finite group \(G\) is called a \(p\)-group if its order is a positive power of \(p\).

Lemma 21.0.9. Let \(G\) be a finite \(p\) group. Then the center of \(G\) is not trivial.

Proof. We use the class equation 3. Note that if \(x \not\in Z(G)\) then \(C_G(x) \neq G\) and so the integer \(\frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|}\) is divisible by \(p\). Thus, the left hand side of

\[
|G| - \sum_{\text{reps. } x \not\in Z(G)} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|} = |Z(G)|
\]

is divisible by \(p\), hence so is the right hand side. In particular \(|Z(G)| \geq p\).

Theorem 21.0.10. Let \(G\) be a finite \(p\) group, \(|G| = p^n\).

1. For every normal subgroup \(H \triangleleft G, H \neq G\), there is a subgroup \(K \triangleleft G\) such that \(H < K < G\) and \([K : H] = p\).

2. There is a chain of subgroups \(H_0 = \{1\} < H_1 < \cdots < H_n = G\), such that each \(H_i \triangleleft G\) and \(|H_i| = p^i\).

Proof. (1) The group \(G/H\) is a \(p\) group and hence its center is a non-trivial group. Take an element \(e \neq x \in Z(G/H)\); its order is \(p^r\) for some \(r\). Then \(y = x^{p^{r-1}}\) has exact order \(p\). Let \(K' = \langle y \rangle\). It is a normal subgroup of \(G/H\) of order \(p\) (\(y\) commutes with any other element). Let \(K = \pi_H^{-1}(K')\). By the Third Isomorphism Theorem \(K\) is a normal subgroup of \(G\), \(K/H \cong K'\) so \([K : H] = p\).

(2) The proof just given shows that every \(p\) group has a normal subgroup of \(p\) elements. Now apply repeatedly the first part.

A variant of the theorem above is the following.
Proposition 21.0.11. Let \( G \) be a \( p \)-group and let \( H \) be a proper subgroup of \( G \), then there is a subgroup \( H^+ \supset H \) such that \( |H^+ : H| = p \) and, if \( H \) is not the identity subgroup, there is a subgroup \( H^- \subset H \) such that \( |H : H^-| = p \).

Proof. We argue by induction on the order of \( G \). If \( |G| = p \) the Proposition is clear. Assume the result for groups of order \( p^r \) and let \( G \) have order \( p^{r+1} \) with \( r \geq 1 \). From the Theorem applied to \( H = \{1\} \), we know that \( G \) has a normal subgroup with \( p \) elements, say \( J \). If \( J \) is not contained in \( H \) let \( H^+ = JH \). As \( J \) is normal, \( H^+ \) is a subgroup and \( |H^+| = |J| \cdot |H|/|J \cap H| = p \cdot |H| \).

If \( J \subset H \), consider \( G/J \) that has order \( p^r \) and the proper subgroup \( H/J \). There is a subgroup \( K \) of \( G/J \) in which \( H/J \) is contained with index \( p \). Let \( H^+ \) be the pre image of \( K \) under the natural homomorphism \( G \to G/J \). Then \( H^+ \supset H \) and \( K/(H/J) = (H^+J)/(H/J) \cong H^+/H \) and thus \( H \) has index \( p \) in \( H^+ \). That finishes the first part of the Proposition.

As to the second part, this follows easily from the Theorem. \( H \) is itself a \( p \)-group and so it has a series of subgroups as in part (2) of the theorem, in particular a subgroup of index \( p \). ◻

21.1. Examples of \( p \) groups.

21.1.1. Groups of order \( p \). We proved in the assignments that every such group is cyclic, thus isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

21.1.2. Groups of order \( p^2 \). We shall prove in the assignments that every such group is commutative. It then follows from the structure theorem for finite abelian groups that such a group is either isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \) or to \( (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 \).

21.1.3. Groups of order \( p^3 \). First, there are the abelian groups \( \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \), \( \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) and \( (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3 \).

We shall prove in the assignments that if \( G \) is not abelian then \( G/Z(G) \) cannot be cyclic. It follows that \( Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) and \( G/Z(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 \). One example of such a group is provided by the matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a & b \\
0 & 1 & c \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p \). Note that if \( p \geq 3 \) then every element in this group is of order \( p \) (use \((I-N)^p = I+N^p\)), yet the group is non-abelian. (This group, using a terminology to be introduced later, is a semi-direct product \( (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).) More generally the upper unipotent matrices in \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \) are a group of order \( p^{n(n-1)/2} \) in which every element has order \( p \) if \( p \geq n \). Notice that these groups are non-abelian.

Getting back to the issue of non-abelian groups of order \( p^3 \), one can prove that there is precisely one additional non-abelian group of order \( p^3 \). It is generated by two elements \( x, y \) satisfying: \( x^p = y^{p^2} = 1 \), \( xyx^{-1} = y^{1+p} \). (This group is a semi-direct product \( (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

21.2. The Frattini subgroup. Let \( G \) be a group. Define the Frattini subgroup \( \Phi(G) \) of \( G \) to be the intersection of all maximal subgroups of \( G \), where by a maximal subgroup we mean a subgroup of \( G \) not equal to \( G \) and not contained in any proper subgroup of \( G \). If \( G \) has no such subgroup (for example, if \( G = \{1\} \), or if \( G = \mathbb{Q} \) with addition) then we define \( \Phi(G) = G \).

Proposition 21.2.1. Let \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group. The Frattini subgroup of \( G \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \) and has the following properties:

1. \( G/\Phi(G) \) is a non-trivial abelian group and every non-zero element in it has order \( p \). It is the largest quotient of \( G \) with this property.
2. \( \Phi(G) = G^pG' \), where \( G' \) is the commutator subgroup of \( G \) and \( G^p \) is the subgroup of \( G \) generated by the set \( \{g^p : g \in G\} \).
Proof. Any automorphism $f : G \to G$ takes maximal subgroups to maximal subgroups. Therefore, $\Phi(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup, in particular normal.

Since any maximal subgroup $H$ has index $p$ (by our previous results), it follows from the exercises that it is normal because $p$ is the minimal prime dividing the order of $G$. Thus, $G/H$ is a group with $p$ elements and so abelian. Thus, $H \supseteq G'$. It follows that $\Phi(G) \supseteq G'$ and therefore $G/\Phi(G)$ is abelian. Further, let $g \in G$ then $gH$ has order 1 or $p$ in $G/H$ and, in particular $g^pH = (gH)^p = H$. That is, $H \supseteq G^p$ and so $\Phi(G) \supseteq G^pG'$ and every non-trivial element of $G/\Phi(G)$ has order $p$.

Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ and suppose $G/N$ is elementary abelian. The same argument as above shows that $N \supseteq G^pG'$.

It remains to show that $\Phi(G) \subseteq G^pG'$. First, note that since $G'$ is normal in $G$, indeed $G^pG'$ is a subgroup of $G$. If $G/G^pG'$ is cyclic it has a unique maximal subgroup $\{0\}$ and its preimage $G^pG'$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$, in particular containing $\Phi(G)$. Suppose then that $G/G^pG'$ is not cyclic. Suppose there is an element $g \in \Phi(G) \setminus G^pG'$. Pass to $G/G^pG'$ and to the image of $g$, $\bar{g}$ in it. Then $\bar{g} \neq 0$ and $G/G^pG'$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p^r$ for some $r > 1$, where $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the field of $p$ elements $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. In that case, we can find a hyperplane of codimension 1, say $W$, such that $\bar{g} \notin W$. The preimage of $W$ in $G$ is a maximal subgroup that doesn’t contain $g$ and that’s a contradiction. □

22. Cauchy’s Theorem

One application of group actions is to provide a simple proof of an important theorem in the theory of finite groups.

**Theorem 22.0.2. (Cauchy)** Let $G$ be a finite group of order $n$ and let $p$ be a prime dividing $n$. Then $G$ has an element of order $p$.

Proof. Let $S$ be the set consisting of $p$-tuples $(g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ of elements of $G$, considered up to cyclic permutations. Thus if $T$ is the set of $p$-tuples $(g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ of elements of $G$, $S$ is the set of orbits for the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ on $T$ by cyclic shifts. One may therefore apply **CFF** and get

$$|S| = \frac{n^p - n}{p} + n.$$  

Note that $n \not| |S|$.

Now define an action of $G$ on $S$. Given $g \in G$ and $(g_1, \ldots, g_p) \in S$ we define

$$g(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = (gg_1, \ldots, gg_p).$$

This is a well defined action.

Since the order of $G$ is $n$, since $n \not| |S|$, and since $S$ is a disjoint union of orbits of $G$, there must be an orbit $\text{Orb}(s)$ whose size is not $n$. However, the size of an orbit is $|G|/|\text{Stab}(s)|$, and we conclude that there must be an element $(g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ in $S$ with a non-trivial stabilizer. This means that for some $g \in G$, such that $g \neq e$, we have

$$(gg_1, \ldots, gg_p) \text{ is equal to } (g_1, \ldots, g_p) \text{ up to a cyclic shift.}$$

This means that for some $i$ we have

$$(gg_1, \ldots, gg_p) = (g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_p, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_i).$$

Therefore, $gg_1 = g_1$, $g^2g_1 = g_1$, $g^3g_1 = g_1$, ..., $g^pg_1 = g_1$ (we always read the indices mod $p$). That is, there exists $g \neq e$ with

$$g^p = e.$$
23. Sylow’s Theorems

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $p$ be a prime dividing its order. Write $|G| = p^r m$, where $(p, m) = 1$. By a $p$-subgroup of $G$ we mean a subgroup whose order is a positive power of $p$. By a maximal $p$-subgroup of $G$ we mean a $p$-subgroup of $G$ not contained in a strictly larger $p$-subgroup.

**Theorem 23.0.3.** Every maximal $p$-subgroup of $G$ has order $p^r$ (such a subgroup is called a Sylow $p$-subgroup) and such a subgroup exists. All Sylow $p$-subgroups are conjugate to each other. The number $n_p$ of Sylow $p$-subgroups satisfies: (i) $n_p|m$; (ii) $n_p ≡ 1$ (mod $p$).

**Remark 23.0.4.** To say that $P$ is conjugate to $Q$ means that there is a $g \in G$ such that $gPg^{-1} = Q$. Recall that the map $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $G$. This implies that $P$ and $Q$ are isomorphic as groups.

Another consequence is that to say there is a unique $p$-Sylow subgroup is the same as saying that a $p$-Sylow is normal. This is often used this way: given a finite group $G$ the first check in ascertaining whether it is simple or not is to check whether the $p$-Sylow subgroup is unique for some $p$ dividing the order of $G$. Often one engages in combinatorics of counting how many $p$-Sylow subgroups can be, trying to conclude there can be only one for a given $p$ and hence getting a normal subgroup.

We first prove a lemma that is a special case of Cauchy’s Theorem 22.0.2, but much easier. Hence, we supply a self-contained proof that doesn’t use Cauchy’s theorem.

**Lemma 23.0.5.** Let $A$ be a finite abelian group, let $p$ be a prime dividing the order of $A$. Then $A$ has an element of order $p$.

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on $|A|$. Let $N$ be a maximal subgroup of $A$, distinct from $A$. If $p$ divides the order of $N$ we are done by induction. Otherwise, let $x \notin N$ and let $B = \langle x \rangle$. By maximality the subgroup $BN$ is equal to $A$. On the other hand $|BN| = |B| \cdot |N|/|B \cap N|$. Thus, $p$ divides the order of $B$. That is the order of $x$ is $pa$ for some $a$ and so the order of $x^p$ is precisely $p$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 23.0.6.** There is a $p$-subgroup of $G$ of order $p^n$.

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on the order of $G$. Assume first that $p$ divides the order of $Z(G)$. Let $x$ be an element of $Z(G)$ of order $p$ and let $N = \langle x \rangle$, a normal subgroup. The order of $G/N$ is $p^{r-1}m$ and by induction it has a $p$-subgroup $H'$ of order $p^{r-1}$. Let $H$ be the preimage of $H'$. It is a subgroup of $G$ such that $H/N \cong H'$ and thus $H$ has order $|H'| \cdot |N| = p^r$.

Consider now the case where $p$ does not divide the order of $Z(G)$. Consider the class equation

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{\text{reps. } x \notin Z(G)} \frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|}.$$  

We see that for some $x \notin Z(G)$ we have that $p$ does not divide $\frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|}$. Thus, $p^r$ divides $C_G(x)$. The subgroup $C_G(x)$ is a proper subgroup of $G$ because $x \notin Z(G)$. Thus, by induction $C_G(x)$, and hence $G$, has a $p$-subgroup of order $p^n$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 23.0.7.** Let $P$ be a maximal $p$-subgroup and $Q$ any $p$-subgroup then

$$Q \cap P = Q \cap N_G(P).$$

**Proof.** Since $P \subset N_G(P)$ also $Q \cap P \subset Q \cap N_G(P)$. Let $H = Q \cap N_G(P)$. Then, since $P \triangleleft N_G(P)$ we have that $HP$ is a subgroup of $N_G(P)$. Its order is $|H| \cdot |P|/|H \cap P|$ and so a power of $p$. Since $P$ is a maximal $p$-subgroup we must have $HP = P$ and thus $H \subset P$. \hfill \Box
Proof. (Of Theorem) Let $P$ be a Sylow subgroup of $G$. Such exists by Proposition 23.0.6. Let

$$S = \{P_1, \ldots, P_3\}$$

be the set of conjugates of $P = P_1$. That is, the subgroups $gPg^{-1}$ one gets by letting $g$ vary over $G$. Note that for a fixed $g$ the map $P \rightarrow gPg^{-1}$, $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ is a group isomorphism. Thus, every $P_i$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup. Our task is to show that every maximal $p$-subgroup is an element of $S$ and find out properties of $a$.

Let $Q$ be any $p$-subgroup of $G$. The subgroup $Q$ acts by conjugation on $S$. The size of $Orb(P_i)$ is $|Q|/|\text{Stab}_Q(P_i)|$. Now $\text{Stab}_Q(P_i) = Q \cap N_G(P_i) = Q \cap P_i$ by Lemma 23.0.7. Thus, the orbit consists of one element if $Q \subset P_i$ and is a proper power of $p$ or otherwise.

Take first $Q$ to be $P_1$. Then, the orbit of $P_1$ has size 1. Since $P_1$ is a maximal $p$-subgroup it is not contained in any other $p$-subgroup, thus the size of every other orbit is a power of $p$. It follows, using that $S$ is a disjoint union of orbits, that $a = 1 + tp$ for some $t$. Note also that $a = |G|/|N_G(P)|$ and thus divides $|G|$.

We now show that all maximal $p$-subgroups are conjugate. Suppose, to the contrary, that $Q$ is a maximal $p$-subgroup which is not conjugate to $P$. Thus, for all $i$, $Q \neq P_i$ and so $Q \cap P_i$ is a proper subgroup of $Q$. It follows then that $S$ is a union of disjoint orbit all having size a proper power of $p$. Thus, $p|a$. This is a contradiction. \hfill $\square$

23.1. Examples and applications.

23.1.1. $p$-groups. Every finite $p$-group is of course the only $p$-Sylow subgroup (trivial case).

23.1.2. $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$. In every abelian group the $p$-Sylow subgroups are normal and unique. The 2-Sylow subgroup is $< 3 >$ and the 3-Sylow subgroup is $< 2 >$.

23.1.3. $S_3$. Consider the symmetric group $S_3$. Its 2-Sylow subgroups are given by $\{1, (12)\}$, $\{1, (13)\}$, $\{1, (23)\}$. Note that indeed $3|3 = 3!/2$ and $3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. It has a unique 3-Sylow subgroup $\{1, (123), (132)\}$. This is expected since $n_3|2 = 3!/3$ and $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ implies $n_3 = 1$.

23.1.4. $S_4$. We want to find the 2-Sylow subgroups. Their number $n_2|3 = 24/8$ and is congruent to 1 modulo 2. It is thus either 1 or 3. Note that every element of $S_4$ has order 1, 2, 3, 4. The number of elements of order 3 is 8 (the 3-cycles). Thus, we cannot have a unique subgroup of order 8 (it will contain any element of order 2 or 4). We conclude that $n_2 = 3$. One such subgroup is $D_8 \subset S_4$; the rest are conjugates of it.

Further, $n_3|24/3$ and $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $n_3 = 1$ then that unique 3-Sylow would need to contain all 8 element of order 3 but is itself of order 3. Thus, $n_3 = 4$.

Remark 23.1.1. A group of order 24 is never simple, though it does not mean that one of the Sylow subgroups is normal, as the example of $S_4$ shows. However, consider the representation of a group $G$ of order 24 on the cosets of $P$, where $P$ is its 2-Sylow subgroup. It gives us, as we have seen in the past, a normal subgroup of $G$, contained in $P$, whose index divides $6 = [G : P]$! and hence is non-trivial.
Call this subgroup $K$. Then, we see that $|K| = 4$; it is preserved under conjugation hence is a subgroup of all three 2-Sylow subgroups, say $P, P', P''$. We have the following picture

![Diagram](image)

23.1.5. Groups of order $pq$. Let $p < q$ be primes. Let $G$ be a group of order $pq$. Then $n_q|p, n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Since $p < q$ we have $n_q = 1$ and the $q$-Sylow subgroup is normal (in particular, $G$ is never simple). Also, $n_p|q, n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus, either $n_p = 1$, or $n_p = q$ and the last possibility can happen only for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

We conclude that if $p \nmid (q - 1)$ then both the $p$-Sylow $P$ subgroup and the $q$-Sylow subgroup $Q$ are normal. Note that the order of $P \cap Q$ divides both $p$ and $q$ and so is equal to 1. Let $x \in P, y \in Q$ then $[x, y] = (xyx^{-1})y^{-1} = x(yxy^{-1}y) \in P \cap Q = \{1\}$. Thus, $PQ$, which is equal to $G$, is abelian.

We shall later see that whenever $p|(q - 1)$ there is a non-abelian group of order $pq$ (in fact, unique up to isomorphism). The case of $S_3$ falls under this.

23.1.6. Groups of order $p^2q$. Let $G$ be a group of order $p^2q$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes. We prove that $G$ is not simple:

If $q < p$ then $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $n_p|q < p$, which implies that $n_p = 1$ and the $p$-Sylow subgroup is normal.

Suppose that $p < q$, then $n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $n_q|p^2$, which implies that $n_q = 1$ or $p^2$. If $n_q = 1$ then the $q$-Sylow subgroup is normal. Assume that $n_q = p^2$. Each pair of the $p^2$ $q$-Sylow subgroups intersect only at the identity (since $q$ is prime). Hence they account for $1 + p^2(q - 1)$ elements. Suppose that there were 2 $p$-Sylow subgroups. They intersect at most at a subgroup of order $p$. Thus, they contribute at least $2p^2 - p$ new elements. All together we get at least $1 + p^2(q - 1) + 2p^2 - p = p^2q + p^2 - p + 1 > p^2q$ elements. That’s a contradiction and so $n_p = 1$; the $p$-Sylow subgroup is normal.

**Remark 23.1.2.** A theorem of Burnside states that a group of order $p^aq^b$ with $a + b > 1$ is not simple. You will prove in the assignments that groups of order $pqr$ ($p < q < r$ primes) are not simple. Note that $|A_5| = 60 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ and $A_5$ is simple. A theorem of Feit and Tompsoon says that a finite simple group is either of prime order, or of even order. We can also state it as saying that non-commutative finite simple group has even order.

23.1.7. $GL_n(F)$. Let $F$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. The order of $GL_n(F)$ is $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1}) = q^{(n-1)n/2}(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)$. Thus, a $p$-Sylow has order $q^{(n-1)n/2}$. One such subgroup consists of the upper triangular matrices with 1 on the diagonal (the unipotent group):

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & * & \cdots & *\\
0 & 1 & \cdots & * \\
& & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

See the exercises for further treatment of this example.

Let us look at the particular case of $G = GL_2(F_3)$ that is a group with $(3^2 - 1)(3^2 - 3) = 48$ elements. As $48 = 2^4 \cdot 3$, we are looking for 2-Sylow subgroups and for 3-Sylow subgroups, one of which we already know. The stabilizer of the unipotent subgroup under conjugation can be checked
to be the upper triangular matrices. And so, the number of 3-Sylow subgroups is \(\frac{48}{12} = 4\). How does a 2-Sylow subgroup \(Q\) of \(G\) looks like?

To give a subgroup \(Q\) of index 3 is to give a transitive action of \(G\) on 3 elements, \(Q\) being the stabilizer of one of the elements in this action. Can we find a set of 3 elements on which \(G\) acts? I don’t have a good idea for that, but we will find \(Q\) in a different way. Consider the dihedral group of 8 elements. We can realize it as matrices in \(GL_2(\mathbb{R})\); as such, it is generated by the matrices \(y = (-1, 1)\) and \(x = (-1, -1)\). We can view these matrices as having entries in \(\mathbb{F}_3\) and that way \(D_4\) is realized as a subgroup of \(GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)\) consisting of the matrices \(\{(±1, ±1), (±1, ±1)\}\). Now consider the matrix \(t = (-1, 1)\). It is invertible and \(t^2 = (-1, -1)\). So \(t\) has order 4, \(t^2 \in D_4\). It is therefore a good guess that \(Q = \langle t, D_4 \rangle\). To check \(\langle t, D_4 \rangle\) is a subgroup we need to check that \(t\) normalizes \(D_4\). We find that \(tyt^{-1} = xy\) and \(txt^{-1} = (txyt^{-1})(tyt^{-1}) = (t^2yt^{-2})(xy) = yxy = x^{-1}\) and that’s enough to show that \(t\) normalizes \(D_4\). Now \(|\langle t, D_4 \rangle| = |\langle t \rangle| \cdot |D_4|/|\langle t \rangle \cap D_4| = 4 \cdot 8/2 = 16\) and so we may take \(Q\) to be \(\langle t, D_4 \rangle\).

23.2. Being a product of Sylow subgroups.

**Proposition 23.2.1.** Let \(G\) be a finite group of order \(p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_r^{a_r}\), where the \(p_i\) are distinct primes and the \(a_i > 0\). Choose for every prime \(p_i\) a Sylow subgroup \(P_i\). Then

\[G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r \iff P_i \triangleleft G, \forall i.\]

Before the proof we need to collect a few more facts. The proofs are easy and we leave them as exercises.

**Lemma 23.2.2.** Let \(G\) be a finite group, \(p \neq q\) primes dividing the order of \(G\) and \(P, Q\) corresponding Sylow subgroups then \(P \cap Q = \{1\}\).

**Lemma 23.2.3.** Let \(G\) be a group with normal subgroups \(A, B\). If \(A \cap B = \{1\}\) then the elements of \(A\) commute with those of \(B\), namely, for all \(a \in A, b \in B\),

\[ab = ba.\]

We now prove the Proposition 23.2.1. Suppose that each \(P_i\) is normal. Define a function

\[f : P_1 \times \cdots \times P_r \to G, \quad f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = x_1x_2\cdots x_r.\]

Using the lemmas above, we see that \(P_i\) and \(P_j\) commutes for all \(i \neq j\). A direct verification now gives that \(f\) is a homomorphism. One proves by induction on \(i\) that the order of \(P_1 \cdots P_i\) is \(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_i^{a_i}\) and that it is a subgroup. For example, since \(P_2\) is normal, \(P_1P_2\) is a subgroup and \(\langle P_1P_2 \rangle = \langle P_1 \rangle \langle P_2 \rangle/\langle (P_1 \cap P_2) \rangle\). But by the first lemma \(P_1 \cap P_2 = \{1\}\) and so \(\langle P_1P_2 \rangle = \langle P_1 \rangle \langle P_2 \rangle\).

Conversely, if \(G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r\), then, in the left hand side, each group \(\{1\} \times \cdots \times P_i \times \cdots \times \{1\}\) is a normal \(p_i\)-Sylow subgroup. Thus, also, in the right hand side, each \(p_i\)-Sylow is normal.
Part 6. Composition series, the Jordan-Hölder theorem and solvable groups

24. Composition series

24.1. Two philosophies. In the study of finite groups one can sketch two broad philosophies:

The first one, that we may call the “Sylow philosophy” (though such was not made by Sylow, I believe), is given a finite group to study its $p$-subgroups and then study how they fit together. Sylow’s theorems guarantee that the size of $p$-subgroup is as big as one can hope for, guaranteeing the first step can be taken. The theory of $p$-groups, the second step, is a beautiful and powerful theory, which is quite successful. I know little about a theory that tells us how $p$-groups fit together.\footnote{The class of nilpotent groups turns out to be the same as the class of groups that are a direct product of their $p$-Sylow subgroups.}

The second philosophy, that one may call the “Jordan-Hölder philosophy”, suggests given a group $G$ to find a non-trivial normal subgroup $N$ in $G$ and study the possibilities for $G$ given $N$ and $G/N$. The first step then is to hope for the classification of all finite simple groups. Quite astonishingly, this is possible and was completed towards the end of the last (20th) century.

The second step is figuring out how to create groups $G$ from two given subgroups $N$ and $H$ such that $N$ will be a normal subgroup of $G$ and $H$ isomorphic to $G/N$. There is a lot known here. We have seen one machinery, the semi-direct product $N \rtimes H$.

25. The Jordan-Hölder theorem and solvable groups

25.1. Composition series and composition factors. Let $G$ be a group. A normal series for $G$ is a series of subgroups

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_n = \{1\}.$$ 

A composition series for $G$ is a series of subgroups

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_n = \{1\},$$

such that $G_{i-1}/G_i$ is a nontrivial simple group for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The composition factors are the quotients $\{G_{i-1}/G_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The quotients are considered up to isomorphism, where the order of the quotients doesn’t matter, but we do take the quotients with multiplicity. For example, the group $D_4$ has a composition series

$$D_4 \triangleright \langle y \rangle \triangleright \langle y^2 \rangle \triangleright \{1\}.$$ 

The composition factors are $\{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\}$.

A group $G$ is called solvable if it has a normal series in which all the composition factors are abelian groups.

Lemma 25.1.1. Let $G$ be a finite group. $G$ is solvable if and only if it has a composition series whose composition factors are cyclic groups of prime order.

Proof. to be added... (see class notes for proof).
25.2. **Jordan-Hölder Theorem.** The Jordan-Holder theorem clarifies greatly the yoga behind the concept of composition series.

**Theorem 25.2.1.** Let $G$ be a finite group. Any two composition series for $G$ have the same composition factors (considered with multiplicity).

Note that a consequence of the theorem is that any two composition series have the same length, since the length determines the number of composition factors.

The proof of the theorem is quite technical, unfortunately. It rests on the following lemma.\(^\text{12}\)

**Lemma 25.2.2.** (Zassenhaus) Let $A\triangleleft A^*$, $B\triangleleft B^*$ be subgroups of a group $G$. Then

$$\frac{A(A^* \cap B)}{A(A^* \cap B)} \cong \frac{B(B^* \cap A^*)}{B(B^* \cap A)}.$$  

Before the proof, recall some easy to prove facts: (i) Let $S \triangleleft G$, $T \triangleleft G$ be subgroups of a group $G$. Then $ST$ is a subgroup of $G$ (and $ST = TS$). (ii) If also $T \triangleleft G$ then $ST \triangleleft G$.

**Proof.** Let $D$ be the following set:

$$D = (A^* \cap B)(A \cap B^*).$$

We show that $D$ is a normal subgroup of $A^* \cap B^*$, $D = (A \cap B^*)(A^* \cap B)$ and

$$\frac{B(B^* \cap A^*)}{B(B^* \cap A)} \cong \frac{A^* \cap B^*}{D}.$$ 

The lemma then follows from the symmetric role played by $A$ and $B$.

It is easy to check directly from the definitions that $(A^* \cap B) \triangleleft A^* \cap B^*$ and, similarly, $(A \cap B^*) \triangleleft A^* \cap B^*$. It follows that $D \triangleleft A^* \cap B^*$ and that $D = (A \cap B^*)(A^* \cap B)$. The subtle point of the proof is to construct a homomorphism

$$f : B(B^* \cap A^*) \to \frac{A^* \cap B^*}{D}.$$ 

Let $x \in B(B^* \cap A^*)$, say $x = bc$ for $b \in B$, $c \in (B^* \cap A^*)$. Let

$$f(x) = cD$$

(which is an element of $\frac{A^* \cap B^*}{D}$.)

First, $f$ is well defined. If $x = b_1c_1$ then $c_1c^{-1} = b_1^{-1}b \in (B^* \cap A^*) \cap B \subset D$. As $D \triangleleft (B^* \cap A^*)$ and $c_1 \in (B^* \cap A^*)$ also $c^{-1}c_1 \in D$, and so $cD = c_1D$. Next, $f$ is a homomorphism. Suppose that $x = bc, y = b_1c_1$ and so $xy = bcbc_1c_1$. Note that $cbc_1c_1 \in B$ (as $B$ is normal in $B^*$ and $c \in B^*$) and so $xy = b_1'c_1'$ for some $b_1' \in B$. It now follows that $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$.

It is clear from the definition that $f$ is a surjective homomorphism. When is $x = bc \in \text{Ker}(f)$? This happens if and only if $c \in D$, that is $x \in B(A^* \cap B)(A \cap B^*) = B(A \cap B^*)$. This shows that $B(A \cap B^*) \triangleleft B(A^* \cap B^*)$ and the desired isomorphism. \(\Box\)

**Theorem 25.2.3.** Let $G$ be a group. Any two finite composition series for $G$ are equivalent; namely, have the same composition factors.

\(^{12}\)Our proof follows Rotman’s in *An introduction to the theory of groups.*
Proof. More generally, we prove that any two normal series for $G$ have refinements that are equivalent; namely, have the same composition factors (with the same multiplicities). This holds also for infinite groups that may not have composition series, and so is useful in other situations. In the case of composition series, since they cannot be refined in a non-trivial, as the quotients are simple groups, we get that any two composition series for $G$ (if they exist at all) are equivalent.

Thus, let

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_n = \{1\},$$

and

$$G = H_0 \triangleright H_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright H_m = \{1\}.$$

First, use the second series to refine the first. Define:

$$G_{ij} = G_{i+1}(G_i \cap H_j).$$

For fixed $i$, this is a descending series of sets, beginning at $G_{i0} = G_i$ and ending at $G_{im} = G_{i+1}$. Taking in the Zassenhaus lemma $A = G_{i+1}, A^* = G_i, B = H_{j+1}, B^* = H_j$ gives us that $G_{i,j+1} = A(A^* B) \triangleleft G_{ij} = A(A^* \cap B^*)$ (and, in particular, that these are subgroups).

Similarly, now use the first series to refine the second by defining

$$H_{ij} = H_{j+1}(H_j \cap G_i).$$

As above, the series $H_j = H_{0j} \triangleright H_{1j} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright H_{nj} = H_{j+1}$ is a series of subgroups, each normal in the former. Finally, applying the Zassenhaus lemma again to $A = G_{i+1}, A^* = G_i, B = H_{j+1}, B^* = H_j$, we find that

$$\frac{G_{ij}}{G_{i,j+1}} = \frac{A(A^* \cap B^*)}{A(A^* \cap B)} \cong \frac{B(B^* \cap A^*)}{B(B^* \cap A)} = \frac{H_{ij}}{H_{i+1,j}}.$$

This gives a precise matching of the factors. \hfill $\square$

Note that every finite group $G$ has a composition series. While the composition series itself is not unique, the composition factors are. So, in a sense, the Jordan-Hölder theorem is a unique factorization theorem for groups. From this point of view, the simplest groups are the solvable groups. These are the groups with the simplest factors - cyclic groups of prime order. We therefore now focus our attention on solvable groups for a while. Their study is further motivated by Galois theory and we shall return to this point later in §25.3.

25.3. Solvable groups. We first introduce some terminology. A sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_a \xrightarrow{f_a} G_{a+1} \xrightarrow{f_{a+1}} G_{a+2} \xrightarrow{f_{a+2}} \cdots$$

is called exact, if for every $a$, $\text{Im}(f_a) = \text{Ker}(f_{a+1})$. If the sequence terminates at $G_a$ there is no condition on $\text{Im}(f_a)$, and if it begins with $G_a$ there is no condition on $\text{Ker}(f_a)$. A short exact sequence (or SES, for short) is an exact sequence of the sort

$$1 \longrightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{f} G_2 \xrightarrow{g} G_3 \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $1$ stands for the group of 1 element. Note that the maps $1 \rightarrow G_1$ and $G_3 \rightarrow 1$ are uniquely determined, hence we do not specify them. Thus, a sequence is short exact if $f$ is injective, $g$ is surjective and $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(g)$.

Recall that a group $G$ is called solvable if there is a finite normal series for $G$,

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_n = \{1\},$$

with abelian quotients. Every abelian group is solvable. Any group of order $pq$, where $p < q$ are primes is solvable as the $q$-Sylow is always normal and the quotient is a group of order $p$, hence
cyclic. Similarly, we have seen that groups of order \( p^2q \) and \( pqr \), where \( p, q, r \) are distinct primes, are solvable. A theorem of Burnside states that groups of order \( p^aq^b \) are solvable.

Of course, not every group is solvable. Any non-abelian simple group (such as \( A_n \) for \( n \geq 5 \), and \( \text{PSL}_n(F_q) \) for \( n \geq 2 \) and \( (n, q) \neq (2, 2) \) or \( (2, 3) \)) is non solvable.

The class of solvable groups is closed under basic operations. More precisely we have the following results.

**Proposition 25.3.1.** Let \( G \) be a solvable group and \( H < G \) a subgroup. Then \( H \) is solvable.

*Proof.* to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Proposition 25.3.2.** Let

\[
0 \to G_1 \to G \to G_2 \to 0
\]

be an exact sequence of groups. Then \( G \) is solvable if and only if both \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are solvable.

*Proof.* to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Example 25.3.3.** Every abelian group is solvable. Proof to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Example 25.3.4.** Every finite \( p \)-group is solvable. Proof to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Example 25.3.5.** Every group of order \( pq \), where \( p < q \) are primes, is solvable. Proof to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Example 25.3.6.** Every group of order \( p^a \), where \( p, q \) are distinct primes, is solvable. Proof to be added... (see class notes for proof).

**Example 25.3.7.** Every group of order \( p^aq^b \), where \( p, q \) are distinct primes and \( p^a! < p^aq^b \) has a non-trivial normal subgroup.

**Example 25.3.8.** Every group of order less than 60 is solvable.

First note that the following numbers are prime:

\( 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59 \).

The following are a prime power:

\( 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 27, 32, 49 \).

The following are a product of two distinct primes:

\( 6, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 26, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 46, 51, 55, 57, 58 \).

The following are of the form \( p^2q \), where \( p \) and \( q \) are distinct primes:

\( 12, 18, 20, 28, 44, 45, 50, 52 \).

The following are for the form \( pqr \) for distinct primes \( p, q, r \):

\( 30, 42 \).

Groups whose order is one of the integers above are solvable. The orders left to consider are:

\( 24, 36, 40, 48, 54, 56 \).

Of those, \( 24 = 3 \cdot 2^3 \), \( 36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \), \( 48 = 3 \cdot 2^4 \) and \( 54 = 2 \cdot 3^3 \) are of the form \( p^aq^b \), where \( p, q \) is are distinct primes and \( p^a! < p^aq^b \), so solvable. It remains to consider groups of order \( 40 = 2^3 \cdot 5 \) and \( 56 = 2^3 \cdot 7 \).

Let \( G \) be a group of order 40. If the 5-Sylow are not normal there are 8 of them. Counting elements, the 5 Sylow contribute \( 1 + 8(5 - 1) = 33 \) elements and one 2-Sylow contributes 7 more elements. It follows that there is a unique 2-Sylow; call it \( P \). By induction \( P \) and \( G/P \) are solvable and so \( G \) is.

Let \( G \) be a group of order 56. Suppose that the 7-Sylow of \( G \) is not normal. Then there are 8 7-Sylow subgroups. These already account for a set \( S \) consisting of \( 1 + (7 - 1) \times 8 = 49 \) distinct
elements of $G$. If $P$ is a 2-Sylow subgroup then $P \cap S = \{e\}$ and it follows that $P = G \setminus S \cup \{e\}$. Since this holds for any 2-Sylow subgroup, we conclude that $P$ is the unique 2-Sylow subgroup and hence normal.

The motivation for the study of solvable groups comes from Galois theory. Let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be an irreducible polynomial with rational coefficients. In Galois theory one associates to $f$ a finite group $G_f \subseteq S_n$, called the Galois group of $f$. One of Galois’s main achievements is to prove that one can solve $f$ in radicals (meaning, express the solutions of $f$ using operations as taking roots, adding and multiplying) if and on if $G_f$ is a solvable group.

It follows that there are formulas in radicals to solve equations of degree $\leq 4$ (every group that can possibly arise as $G_f$ has order less than 60, hence is solvable). On the other hand, one can produce easily an equation $f$ of degree 5 such that $G_f = S_5$, hence is not solvable.

**Remark 25.3.9.** Here are two theorems concerning solvable groups. The first is hard, but can be done in a graduate course in algebra. The second is among the most difficult proof in algebra ever written. (Please do not use these theorems in the assignments.)

**Theorem 25.3.10 (Burnside).** Let $p, q$ be primes. A finite group of order $p^aq^b$ is solvable.

**Theorem 25.3.11 (Feit-Thompson).** Every finite group of odd order is solvable.
Part 7. Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, Semi-direct Products and Groups of Low Order

26. The structure theorem for finitely generated abelian groups

26.1. Generators. Recall that a group $G$ is called finitely generated if there are $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G$ such that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$. We saw two interpretation of this: (i) $G$ is the minimal subgroup of $G$ that contains all the elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ (namely, no proper subgroup of $G$ will contain all these elements). (ii) Every element of $G$ can be written in the form $x_1x_2 \cdots x_N$, where each $x_i$ is either $g_j$ or $g_j^{-1}$ for some $j$.

It is sometimes easier to use the first, seemingly more abstract definition. For example, consider the elements $\{(1234), (13), (123), (12345)\}$ of $S_5$. $S_5$ is generated by them. Indeed, the first two elements generate a copy of $D_4$ and so it follows that every subgroup containing these elements will have order divisible by 8, 3 and 5 and so of order divisible by 120, thus equal to $S_5$.

Let $G$ be now an abelian group and use additive notation. It is easy then to conclude that $G$ is finitely generated if and only if there exist elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ of $G$ such that

$$G = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$  

**Lemma 26.1.1.** An abelian group $G$ is finitely generated if and only if for some positive integer $n$ there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \to G.$$

**Proof.** Proof to be added... (see class notes for now). □

26.2. The structure theorem. The structure theorem will proved in the next semester as a corollary of the structure theorem for modules over a principal ideal domain. That same theorem will also yield the Jordan canonical form of a matrix. It is really the “correct way” to prove both these theorems, hence we defer the proof for that time.

**Theorem 26.2.1.** Let $G$ be a finitely generated abelian group. Then there exists a unique non-negative integer $r$ and integers $1 \leq n_1 | n_2 | \ldots | n_t$ ($t \geq 0$) such that

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_t\mathbb{Z}.$$  

**Remark 26.2.2.** The integer $r$ is called the rank of $G$. The subgroup in $G$ that corresponds to $\mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_t\mathbb{Z}$ under such an isomorphism is canonical (independent of the isomorphism). It is the subgroup of $G$ of elements of finite order, also called the torsion subgroup of $G$ and sometime denoted $G_{\text{tor}}$. On the other hand, the subgroup corresponding to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ is not canonical and depends very much on the isomorphism.

A group is called free abelian group if it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ for some $r$ (the case $t = 0$ in the theorem above). In this case, elements $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ of $G$ that correspond to a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ are called a basis of $G$; every element of $G$ has the form $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_rx_r$ for unique integers $a_1, \ldots, a_r$.

**Remark 26.2.3.** The Chinese remainder theorem gives that if $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s}$, $p_i$ distinct primes, then

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{a_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p_s^{a_s}\mathbb{Z}.$$  

Thus, one could also write an isomorphism $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times \prod_i \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{b_i}\mathbb{Z}$.

We shall also prove the following corollary in greater generality next semester.

**Corollary 26.2.4.** Let $G, H$ be two free abelian groups of rank $r$. Let $f : G \to H$ be a homomorphism such that $G/\ker(f(H))$ is a finite group. There are bases $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ of $G$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ of $H$ and integers $1 \leq n_1 | \ldots | n_r$ such that $f(y_i) = n_ix_i$. 
Example 26.2.5. Let $G$ be a finite abelian $p$-group, $|G| = p^n$. Then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_s}\mathbb{Z}$ for unique $a_i$ satisfying $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_s$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_s = n$. It follows that the number of isomorphism groups of finite abelian groups of order $p^n$ is $p(n)$ (the partition function of $n$).

27. Semi-direct products

Given two groups $B, N$ we have formed their direct product $G = N \times B$. Identifying $B, N$ with their images $\{1\} \times B, N \times \{1\}$ in $G$, we find that: (i) $G = NB$, (ii) $N \triangleleft G, B \triangleleft G$, (iii) $N \cap B = \{1\}$. Conversely, one can easily prove that if $G$ is a group with subgroups $B, N$ such that: (i) $G = NB$, (ii) $N \triangleleft G, B \triangleleft G$, (iii) $N \cap B = \{1\}$, then $G \cong N \times B$. The definition of a semi-direct product relaxes the conditions a little.

Definition 27.0.6. Let $G$ be a group and let $B, N$ be subgroups of $G$ such that: (i) $G = NB$; (ii) $N \triangleleft G$; (iii) $N \cap B = \{1\}$. Then we say that $G$ is a semi-direct product of $N$ and $B$.

Let $N$ be any group. Let Aut$(N)$ be the set of automorphisms of the group $N$. It is a group in its own right under composition of functions.

Let $B$ be another group and $\phi : B \to \text{Aut}(N), b \mapsto \phi_b$ be a homomorphism (so $\phi_{b_1 b_2} = \phi_{b_1} \circ \phi_{b_2}$). Define a group

$$G = N \rtimes_\phi B$$

as follows: as a set $G = N \times B$, but the group law is defined as

$$(n_1, b_1)(n_2, b_2) = (n_1 \cdot \phi_{b_1}(n_2), b_1 b_2).$$

We check associativity:

$$[(n_1, b_1)(n_2, b_2)](n_3, b_3) = (n_1 \cdot \phi_{b_1}(n_2) \cdot \phi_{b_1 b_2}(n_3), b_1 b_2 b_3)$$
$$= (n_1 \cdot \phi_{b_1}(n_2) \cdot \phi_{b_1}(n_3), b_1 b_2 b_3)$$
$$= (n_1, b_1)(n_2 \cdot \phi_{b_2}(n_3), b_2 b_3)$$
$$= (n_1, b_1)=[[n_2, b_2](n_3, b_3)].$$

The identity is clearly $(1_N, 1_B)$. The inverse of $(n_2, b_2)$ is $(\phi_{b_2}^{-1}(n_2^{-1}), b_2^{-1})$. Thus $G$ is a group. The two bijections

$$N \to G, \quad n \mapsto (n, 1); \quad B \to G, \quad b \mapsto (1, b),$$

are group homomorphisms. We identify $N$ and $B$ with their images in $G$. We claim that $G$ is a semi-direct product of $N$ and $B$.

Indeed, clearly the first two properties of the definition hold. It remains to check that $N$ is normal and it’s enough to verify that $B \subset N_G(N)$. According to the calculation above:

$$(1, b)(n, 1)(1, b^{-1}) = (\phi_b(n), 1).$$

We now claim that every semi-direct product is obtained this way: Let $G$ be a semi-direct product of $N$ and $B$. Let $\phi_b : N \to N$ be the map $n \mapsto bnb^{-1}$. This is an automorphism of $N$ and the map

$$\phi : B \to \text{Aut}(N)$$

is a group homomorphism. We claim that $N \rtimes_\phi B \cong G$. Indeed, define a map

$$(n, b) \mapsto nb.$$
It follows from the definition that the map is surjective. It is also bijective since \( nb = 1 \) implies that \( n = b^{-1} \in N \cap B \) hence \( n = 1 \). It remains to check that this is a group homomorphism, but \((n_1 \cdot \phi_{b_1}(n_2), b_1 b_2) \mapsto n_1 \phi_{b_1}(n_2) b_1 b_2 = n_1 b_1 n_2 b_1^{-1} b_1 b_2 = (n_1 b_1)(n_2 b_2)\).

**Proposition 27.0.7.** A semi-direct product \( N \rtimes_{\phi} B \) is the direct product \( N \times B \) if and only if \( \phi : B \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N) \) is the trivial homomorphism.

**Proof.** Indeed, that happens iff for all \((n_1, b_1), (n_2, b_2)\) we have \((n_1 \phi_{b_1}(n_2), b_1 b_2) = (n_1 n_2, b_1 b_2)\). That is, iff for all \( b_1, n_2 \) we have \( \phi_{b_1}(n_2) = n_2 \), which implies \( \phi_{b_1} = id \) for all \( b_1 \). That is, \( \phi \) is the trivial homomorphism. \( \square \)

**Example 27.0.8.** The Dihedral group \( D_{2n} \) is a semi-direct product. Take \( N = \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \) and \( B = \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). Then \( D_{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) with \( \phi_1 = -1 \).

27.1. **Application to groups of order \( pq \).** We have seen in §23.1.5 that if \( p < q \) and \( p \nmid (q - 1) \) then every group of order \( pq \) is abelian. Assume therefore that \( p \mid (q - 1) \).

**Proposition 27.1.1.** If \( p \mid (q - 1) \) there is a unique non-abelian group, up to isomorphism, of order \( pq \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be a non-abelian group of order \( pq \). We have seen that in every such group \( G \) the \( q \)-Sylow subgroup \( Q \) is normal. Let \( P \) be any \( p \)-Sylow subgroup. Then \( P \cap Q = \{1\} \) and \( G = QP \). Thus, \( G \) is a semi-direct product of \( Q \) and \( P \).

It is thus enough to show that there is a non-abelian semi-direct product and that any two such products are isomorphic. We may consider the case \( Q = \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}, P = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 27.1.2.** \( \text{Aut}(Q) = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^* \).

**Proof.** Since \( Q \) is cyclic any group homomorphism \( f : Q \rightarrow H \) is determined by its value on a generator, say 1. Conversely, if \( h \in H \) is of order dividing \( q \) then there is such a group homomorphism with \( f(1) = h \). Take \( H = Q \). The image of \( f \) is the cyclic subgroup \(< h >\) and thus \( f \) is surjective (equivalently, isomorphic) iff \( h \) is a generator. Thus, any element \( h \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^* \) determines an automorphism \( f_h \) of \( Q \) by \( a \mapsto ah \). Note that \( f_h(f_g)(a) = f_h(agh) = f_{hg}(a) \) and so the association \( h \leftrightarrow f_h \) is a group isomorphism \((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^* \cong \text{Aut}(Q) \). \( \square \)

Since \((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^* \) is a cyclic group of order \( q - 1 \) (Corollary 4.2.3), and since \( p \mid (q - 1) \), there is an element \( h \) of exact order \( p \) in \((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^* \). Let \( \phi \) be the homomorphism determined by \( \phi_1 = f_h \) and let \( G = Q \rtimes_{\phi} P \) . We claim that \( G \) is not abelian.

\[(n, 0)(0, b) = (n, b), \quad (0, b)(n, 0) = (\phi_b(n), b).\]

The two are always equal only if \( \phi_b(n) = n \) for all \( b \) and \( n \), i.e., \( \phi_b = 1 \) for all \( b \), but choosing \( b = 1 \) we get \( \phi_1 = h \) and thus a contradiction.

We now show that \( G \) is unique up to isomorphism. If \( H \) is another such semi-direct product then \( H = \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) where \( \psi_1 \) is an element of order \( p \) (if it is the identity \( H \) is abelian) and thus \( \psi_1 = \phi_1^r = \phi_r \) for some \( r \) prime to \( p \).

Define a map
\[
\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \quad (n, b) \mapsto (n, rb).
\]

This function is easily checked to be injective, hence bijective. We check it is a group homomorphism:

In \( G \) we have \((n_1, rb_1)(n_2, rb_2) = (n_1 \cdot \psi_{rb_1}(n_2), r(b_1 + b_2)) = (n_1 + \psi_{b_1}(n_2), r(b_1 + b_2)) \) which is the image of \((n_1 + \psi_{b_1}(n_2), b_1 + b_2)\), the product \((n_1, b_1)(n_2, b_2)\) in \( H \). \( \square \)
Example 27.1.3. Is there a non-abelian group of order 165 containing \( \mathbb{Z}/55\mathbb{Z} \)?

In such a group \( G \), the subgroup \( \mathbb{Z}/55\mathbb{Z} \) must be normal (its index is the minimal one dividing the order of \( G \)). Since there is always a 3-Sylow, we conclude that \( G \) is a semi-direct product \( \mathbb{Z}/55\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \). This is determined by a homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/55\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/55\mathbb{Z})^\times \). The right hand side has order \( \varphi(55) = 4 \cdot 10 \). Thus, the homomorphism is trivial and \( G \) is a direct product. It follows that \( G \) must be commutative.

Cases where two semi-direct products are isomorphic.

It is useful to generalize the last argument. Consider a map \( \phi : B \to \text{Aut}(N) \) be a homomorphism and consider the group

\[ G = N \rtimes_\phi B. \]

Consider two automorphisms \( f : N \to N, g : B \to B \). Let \( S \) be \( G \) considered as a set and consider the self map

\[ S \to S, \quad (n, b) \mapsto (f(n), g(b)). \]

We may define a new group law on \( S \) by

\[ (n_1, b_1) \cdot (n_2, b_2) = f \circ g \left( (f^{-1}(n_1), g^{-1}(b_1))(f^{-1}(n_2), g^{-1}(b_2)) \right) \]

\[ = f \circ g \left( (f^{-1}(n_1), g^{-1}(b_1)) (f^{-1}(n_2), g^{-1}(b_2)) \right) \]

\[ = (n_1 \cdot f([g^{-1}(b_1)](f^{-1}(n_2))), b_1 b_2) \]

Clearly, \( S \) with the new group law is isomorphic as groups to \( G \).

This suggests the following, define an action of \( \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(N) \) on \( \text{Hom}(B, \text{Aut}(N)) \) via the embedding \( \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(N) \to \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(\text{Aut}(N)) \). That is, \( g \in \text{Aut}(B) \) acts by \( \phi \mapsto \phi \circ g \) and \( f \in \text{Aut}(N) \) acts by \( \phi \mapsto c_f \circ \phi \), where \( c_f \) is conjugation by \( f \). That is, \( (c_f \circ \phi)(b) = f \phi(b) f^{-1} \). Then, we see that every orbit for this action gives isomorphic groups \( N \rtimes_\phi B \). Note that the action of \( \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(N) \) on \( \text{Hom}(B, \text{Aut}(N)) \) factors through \( \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(N)/\text{Z}(\text{Aut}(N)) \).

28. Groups of low, or simple, order

28.1. Groups of prime order. We have seen that all such groups are cyclic. By Example 7.1.2 the unique cyclic group up to isomorphism of order \( p \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

28.2. Groups of order \( p^2 \). Every such group is abelian. By the structure theorem it is either isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \) or to \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

28.3. Groups of order \( pq, p < q \). This case was discussed in § 27.1 above. We summarize the results: there is a unique abelian group of order \( pq \). If \( p \nmid (q-1) \) then every group of order \( pq \) is abelian. If \( p | (q-1) \) there is a unique non-abelian group up to isomorphism; it can be taken as any non trivial semi-direct product \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \).

28.3.1. Groups of order \( 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15 \). The results about groups of prime order and of order \( pq, p \leq q \) allow us to determine the following possibilities:
28.4. Groups of order 8. We know already the structure of abelian groups of order 8: $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$. We also know two non-isomorphic non-abelian groups of order 8: $D_8$, $Q$ (in $Q$ there are six elements of order 4, while in $D_8$ there are two).

We prove that every non-abelian group $G$ of order 8 is isomorphic to either $D_8$ or $Q$. Suppose that $G$ has a non-normal subgroup of order 2, then the kernel of the coset representation $G \to S_4$ is trivial. Thus, $G$ is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $S_4$, but so is $D_4$. Since all 2-Sylow subgroups are conjugate, hence isomorphic, we conclude that $G \cong D_4$.

Thus, assume that $G$ doesn’t have a non-normal subgroup of order 2. Consider the center $Z(G)$ of $G$. We claim that the center has order 2. Indeed, otherwise $G/Z(G)$ is of order 2 hence cyclic. But $G/Z(G)$ is never cyclic.

We now claim that $Z(G) = \{1, z\}$ is the unique subgroup of $G$ of order 2. Indeed, if $\{1, h\} = H < G$ of order 2 it must be normal by hypothesis. Then, for every $g \in G$, $ghg^{-1} = h$, i.e. $h \in Z(G)$. It follows that every element $x$ in $G$ apart from 1 or $z$ has order 4, and so every such $x$ satisfies $x^2 = z$. Rename $z$ to $-1$ and the rest of the elements (which are of order 4 so come in pairs) are then $i, i^{-1}, j, j^{-1}, k, k^{-1}$. Since $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ we can write $i^{-1} = -i$, etc.

Note that the subgroup $< i, j >$ must be equal to $G$ and so $i$ and $j$ do not commute. Thus, $ij \neq 1, -1, i, -i, j, -j$ (for example, $ij = -i$ implies that $j = (-i)^2 = -1$ and so commutes with $i$). Without loss of generality $ij = k$ and then $ji = -k$ (because the only other possibility is $ji = k$ which gives $ij = ji$). We therefore get the relations (the new ones are easy consequences):

$$G = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}, \quad i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = -ji = k.$$  

This determines completely the multiplication table of $G$ which is identical to that of $Q$. Thus, $G \cong Q$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>abelian groups</th>
<th>non-abelian groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$S_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$D_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$D_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/13\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/14\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$D_{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28.5. Groups of order 12. We know that the abelian groups are $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$. We are also familiar with the groups $A_4$ and $D_{12}$. One checks that in $A_4$ there are no elements of order 6 so these two groups are not isomorphic.

Note that in $A_4$ the 4-Sylow subgroup is normal (it is $\{1, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$), and the 3-Sylow is not. Note that in $D_{12}$ the 3-Sylow is normal (it is $\{1, x^2, x^4\}$, the rest are 6 reflections and the rotations $x, x^3, x^5$).

In a non-abelian group of order 12 = $2^33$, either the 3-Sylow is normal or the 2-Sylow is normal, but not both (if both are, prove the group is abelian).

We now construct a group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ as examples $x, y, x\pm 1$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, etc. If $X = \{x, y\}$ we have as examples $x, y, x^{-1}y, y^{-1}x, y^{-1}y, y^{-1}x^{-1}y$, and so on. We say that two words $\omega, \sigma$ are equivalent if one can get from one word to the other performing the following basic operations:

1. Replace $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_i xx^{-1} \omega_{i+1} \ldots \omega_n$ and $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_i x^{-1} x \omega_{i+1} \ldots \omega_n$ by $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_i \omega_{i+1} \ldots \omega_n$, and the opposite of those operations (i.e., inserting $xx^{-1}$ or $x^{-1}x$ at some point in the word).

We denote this equivalence relation by $\omega \sim \sigma$. For example, for $X = \{x, y\}$ we have

$x \sim xyy^{-1} \sim xyyx^{-1}y^{-1} \sim xy^{-1}yxx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

A word is called reduced if it doesn’t contain a string of the form $xx^{-1}$ or $x^{-1}x$ for some $x \in X$.

We now construct a group $\mathcal{F}(X)$ called the free group on $X$ as follows. The elements of the group $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are equivalence classes

$$[\omega] = \{\sigma | \sigma \sim \omega\}$$

of words in the alphabet $X$. Multiplication is defined using representatives:

$$[\sigma][\tau] = \sigma \tau$$
(the two words are simply written one after the other). It is easy to see that this is well-defined on equivalence classes: the operations performed on \( \sigma \) to arrive at an equivalent word \( \sigma' \) can be performed on the initial part of \( \sigma \tau \) to arrive at \( \sigma' \tau \), etc. The identity element is the empty word; we also denote it 1, for convenience. The inverse of \([w] \) where \( \omega = \omega_1 \ldots \omega_n \) is the equivalence class of \( \omega^{-1}_n \ldots \omega^{-1}_1 \) (where we define \((x^{-1})^{-1} = x \) for \( x \in X \)). Finally, the associative law is clear. We have constructed a group. Clearly this group depends up to isomorphism only on the cardinality of the set \( X \). Name, if we have a bijections of sets \( X \cong Y \) then it induces an isomorphism \( \mathcal{F}(X) \cong \mathcal{F}(Y) \); for that reason we may denote \( \mathcal{F}(X) \) simply by \( \mathcal{F}(d) \), where \( d \) is the cardinality of \( X \).

29.1. Properties of free groups. The group \( \mathcal{F}(d) \) has the following properties:

1. Given a group \( G \), and \( d \) elements \( s_1, \ldots, s_d \) in \( G \), there is a unique group homomorphism \( f : \mathcal{F}(d) \to G \) such that \( f(x_i) = s_i \). Indeed, one first define for a word \( y_1 \ldots y_d \), \( y_i = x_{n(i)}^e_i \), \( e_i \in \{\pm 1\} \), \( f(y_1 \ldots y_d) = s_{n(1)}^{e_1} \ldots s_{n(d)}^{e_d} \). One checks that equivalent words have the same image and so gets a well defined function \( \mathcal{F}(d) \to G \). It is easy to verify it is a homomorphism.

2. If \( G \) is a group generated by \( d \) elements there is a surjective group homomorphism \( \mathcal{F}(d) \to G \). This follows immediately from the previous point. If \( s_1, \ldots, s_d \) are generators take the homomorphism taking \( x_i \) to \( s_i \).

3. If \( w_1, \ldots, w_r \) are words in \( \mathcal{F}(d) \), let \( N \) be the minimal normal subgroup containing all the \( w_i \) (such exists!). The group \( \mathcal{F}(d)/N \) is also denoted by \( <x_1, \ldots, x_d \mid w_1, \ldots, w_r> \) and is said to be given by the generators \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \) and relations \( w_1, \ldots, w_r \). For example, one can prove that \( \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{F}(1) \), \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong <x_1|x_1^n> \), \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong <x_1, x_2 | x_1x_2x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}> \), \( S_3 \cong <x_1, x_2 | x_1^2, x_2^3, (x_1x_2)^2> \), and more generally \( D_{2n} = <x, y | x^n, y^2, yxyxy> \). This is discussed at greater length below.

4. If \( d = 1 \) then \( \mathcal{F}(d) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) but if \( d > 1 \) then \( \mathcal{F}(d) \) is a non-commutative infinite group. In fact, for every \( k \), \( S_k \) is a homomorphic image of \( \mathcal{F}(d) \) if \( d \geq 2 \).

29.2. Reduced words.

**Theorem 29.2.1.** Any word is equivalent to a unique reduced word.

**Proof.** We need to show that two reduced words that are equivalent are in fact equal. Let \( \omega \) and \( \tau \) be equivalent reduced words. Then, there is a sequence

\[ \omega = \sigma_0 \sim \sigma_1 \sim \cdots \sim \sigma_n = \tau, \]

where at each step we either insert, or delete, one couple of the form \( xx^{-1} \) or \( x^{-1}x \), \( x \in X \). Let us look at the lengths of the words. The length function, evaluated along the chain, receives a relative minimum at \( \omega \) and \( \tau \). Suppose it receives another relative minimum first at \( \sigma_r \) (so the length of \( \sigma_{r-1} \) is bigger than that of \( \sigma_r \) and the length of \( \sigma_1 \) is smaller than that of \( \sigma_{r+1} \)). We can take \( \sigma_r \) and reduce it be erasing repeatedly pairs of the form \( xx^{-1} \), or \( x^{-1}x \), until we cannot do that any more. We get a chain of equivalences \( \sigma_r = \alpha_0 \sim \alpha_1 \sim \cdots \sim \alpha_s \), where \( \alpha_s \) is a reduced word. We now modify our original chain to the following chain

\[ \omega = \sigma_0 \sim \sigma_1 \sim \cdots \sim \sigma_r = \alpha_0 \sim \cdots \sim \alpha_{s-1} \sim \alpha_s \sim \sigma_{s+1} \sim \cdots \sim \sigma_0 = \sigma_r \sim \sigma_{r+1} \ldots \sigma_n = \tau. \]

A moment reflection shows that by this device, we can reduce the original claim to the following.

Let \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) be two reduced words that are equivalent as follows

\[ \omega = \sigma_0 \sim \sigma_1 \sim \cdots \sim \sigma_n = \tau \]

where the length increases at every step from \( \sigma_0 \) to \( \sigma_\alpha \) and decreases from \( \sigma_\alpha \) to \( \sigma_n = \tau \). Then \( \sigma = \tau \).
We view \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) as two reduced words obtained by cancellation only from the word \( \sigma_a \). We argue by induction on the length of \( \sigma_a \).

If \( \sigma_a \) is reduced, there’s nothing to prove because then necessarily \( 0 = a = n \) and we are considering a tautology. Else, there is a pair of the form \( dd^{-1} \) or \( d^{-1}d \) in \( \sigma_a \). We allow ourselves here \( (d^{-1})^{-1} = d \) and then we may that there is a pair \( dd^{-1} \) where \( d \) or \( d^{-1} \) are in \( X \). Let us highlight that pair using a yellow marker and keep track of it. If in the two cancellations processes (one leading to \( \sigma \), the other to \( \tau \)) the first step is to delete the highlighted pair, then using induction for the word \( \sigma_a \) with the highlighted pair deleted, we may conclude that \( \sigma = \tau \). If in the cancellation process leading to \( \sigma \) at some point the highlighted pair is deleted, then we may change the order of the cancellations so that the highlighted pair is deleted first. Similarly concerning the reduction to \( \tau \). And so, in those cases we return to the previous case. Thus, we may assume that in either the reduction to \( \sigma \), or the reduction to \( \tau \), the highlighted pair is not deleted. Say, in the reduction to \( \sigma \). How then can \( \sigma \) be reduced? The only possibility is that at some point in the reduction process (not necessarily the first point at which it occurs) we arrive at a word of the form \( \cdots d^{-1}[dd^{-1}] \cdots \) or \( \cdots [dd^{-1}]d \cdots \) and then it is reduced to \( \cdots d^{-1}[dd^{-1}] \cdots \) or \( \cdots d^{-1}d \cdots \). But note that the end result is the same as if we strike out the highlighted pair. So we reduce to the previous case. \( \square \)

Note that as a consequence, if \( \omega \in [\omega] \) is a word whose length is the minimum of the lengths of all words in \([\omega]\) then \( \omega \) is the unique reduced word in the equivalence class \([\omega]\).

29.3. Generators and relations. Let \( X \) be a set. Denote by \( F(X) \) the free group on \( X \). Let \( R = \{r_a \} \) a collection of words in the alphabet \( X \). We define the group \( G \) generated by \( X \), subject to the relations \( R \) as follows. Let \( N \) be the minimal normal subgroup of \( F(X) \) containing \([r]\) for all \( r \in R \). Define \( G \) as \( F(X)/N \). Note that in \( G \) any word \( r \) becomes trivial. Note also that \( G \) is a universal object for this property. Namely, given a function \( f : X \to H \), \( H \) a group, \( f \) a function such that \( f(r) = 1_H \) for all \( r \in R \), where if \( r = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \), \( \omega_i = x^{\pm 1} \) for \( x \in X \), then \( f(r) = f(\omega_1) \cdots f(\omega_n) \) (with \( f(x^{-1}) := f(x)^{-1} \)), there is a unique homomorphism \( F : G \to H \) such that \( F([r] \mod N) = f([r]) \). We denote \( G \) also by \( \langle X \mid R \rangle \).

A presentation of a group \( H \) is an isomorphism

\[ H \cong \langle X \mid R \rangle \]

for some \( X \) and \( R \). A group can have many presentations. There is always the tautological presentation. Take \( X = \{ g : g \in G \} \) - we write \( g \) so that we can distinguish between \( g \) as an element of the group \( G \) and \( g \) an element of \( X \), and take

\[ R = \{ r = \underline{\omega_1} \cdots \underline{\omega_n} : \text{in the group } G \text{ we have that the product } \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n = 1_G \}. \]

But usually there are more interesting, and certainly more economical presentations.

1. Let \( F(X)' \) be the commutator subgroup of \( F(X) \) then \( \langle X : F(X)' \rangle \) is a presentation of the free abelian group on \( X \). But, for example, for \( X = \{ x, y \} \), we have the more economical presentation

\[ \langle \{ x, y \} : xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle. \]

Let's prove it. First, from the universal property, since in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) all commutators are trivial, there is a unique homomorphism

\[ \langle \{ x, y \} : xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad x \mapsto (1, 0), y \mapsto (0, 1). \]

Clearly this is a surjective homomorphism. Define now a homomorphism

\[ \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \langle \{ x, y \} : xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle, \quad f(m, n) = x^m y^n. \]
We need to show that \( f \) is a homomorphism. Namely, that in the group \( \langle \{ x, y \} : xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle \) we have
\[
x^{a}y^{b}x^{c}y^{d} = x^{a+c}y^{b+d}.
\]
It’s enough to show that \( xy = yx \) because then we may pass the powers of \( x \) through those of \( y \) one at the time. But we have the equality \( yx = (xyx^{-1}y^{-1})(yx) = xy \). It is easy to check that \( f \) is an inverse to the previous homomorphism.

(2) \( S_n \) is generated by the permutations \((12)\) and \((12\cdots n)\) and so it follows that it has a presentation \( \langle \{ x, y \} : R \rangle \) for some set of relations \( R \); for example, \( R \) could be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism \( F(\{ x, y \}) \to S_n \) that takes \( x \) to \((12)\) and \( y \) to \((12\cdots n)\). As such, \( R \) is an infinite set. But, can we replace \( R \) be a finite list of relations. The answer is yes. It follows from the following two theorems, that we will not prove in the course, one reason being that the best proofs use the theory of covering spaces and fundamental groups that we do not assume as prerequisites.

**Theorem 29.3.1.** (Nielsen-Schreier) A subgroup of a free group is free.

**Theorem 29.3.2.** Let \( F \) be a free group of rank \( r \) and let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( F \) of finite index \( h \). The \( H \) is free of rank \( h(r - 1) + 1 \).

It follows that we can determine all the relations in \( S_n \) as a consequence of certain \( n! + 1 \) relations. However, this is far from optimal. For example, \( S_3 \) has the presentation
\[
\langle \{ x, y \} : x^2, y^3, xyxy \rangle
\]
The explanation for this particular saving is that we take the minimal normal subgroup generated by the relations and not the minimal subgroup generated by the relations. In this example, the minimal normal subgroup has rank \( 7 = 3! + 1 \), while the minimal subgroup has rank at most 3. We leave it as an exercise to prove that this is indeed a presentation for \( S_3 \) and to find a similar presentation for \( S_4 \).

(3) After experimenting a little with examples, one easily concludes that it is in general difficult to decide whether a finitely presented group is isomorphic to a given one. In fact, a theorem (which is essentially “the word problem” for groups) says that there is no algorithm that given a finite presentation \( \langle X | R \rangle \), \( X \) and \( R \) finite, will decide in finite time (that is independent of the presentation) whether this is a presentation of the finite group or not.

### 29.4. Some famous problems in group theory.

Fix positive integers \( d, n \). The **Burnside problem** asks if a group generated by \( d \) elements in which every element \( x \) satisfies \( x^n = 1 \) is finite. Every such group is a quotient of the following group \( B(d, n) \): it is the free group \( \mathcal{F}(d) \) generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \) moded out by the minimal normal subgroup containing the expressions \( f^n \) where \( f \) is an element of \( \mathcal{F}(d) \). It turns out that in general the answer is negative; \( B(d, n) \) is infinite for \( d \geq 2, n \geq 4381, n \) odd. There are some instances where it is finite: \( d \geq 2, n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \).

One can then ask, is there a finite group \( B_0(d, n) \) such that every finite group \( G \), generated by \( d \) elements and in which \( f^n = 1 \) for every element \( f \in G \), is a quotient of \( B_0(d, n) \)? E. Zelmanov, building on the work of many others, proved that the answer is yes. He received the 1994 Fields medal for this.

The **word problem** asks whether there is an algorithm (guaranteed to stop in finite time) that determines whether a finitely presented group, that is a group gives by generators and relations as \( < x_1, \ldots, x_d | w_1, \ldots, w_r > \) for some integers \( d, r \), is the trivial group or not. It is known that the answer to this question (and almost any variation on it!) is NO. This has applications to topology.
It is known that every finitely presented group is the fundamental group of a manifold of dimension 4. It then follows that there is no good classification of 4-manifolds. If one can decide if a manifold $X$ is isomorphic to the 4-dimensional sphere or not, one can decide the question of whether the fundamental group of $X$ is isomorphic to that of the sphere, which is the trivial group, and so solve the word problem.

---

A manifold of dimension 4 is a space that locally looks like $\mathbb{R}^4$. The fundamental group is a topological construction that associates a group to any topological space. The group has as its elements equivalent classes of closed loops in the space, starting and ending at some arbitrarily chosen point, where if we can deform, within the space, one loop to another we consider them as the same element of the fundamental group.
Part 8. Rings

30. Basic definitions

Definition 30.0.1. A ring $R$ is an abelian group together with a multiplication map,
$$R \times R \to R, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy,$$
and an element $1 \in R$, such that the following holds:

1. (Associativity) $(xy)z = x(yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.
2. (Distributivity) $x(y + z) = xy + xz$ and $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.
3. (Identity) $1x = x1 = x$ for all $x \in R$.

Note that we insist on $R$ having a (specified) identity element $1$. In that our conventions differ from Dummit and Foote's.

Some formal easy consequences of the definition are:

1. The identity element $1$ is unique. That is, if there's an element $e$ in $R$ such that $ex = xe = x$ for all $x \in R$ then $x = 1$.
2. $0x = x0 = 0$.
3. $(-1)x = -x = x(-1)$.

The zero ring is the simplest example. This is a ring with one element (the element 0), and in particular $1 = 0$ in this ring. Conversely, a ring $R$ in which $1 = 0$ must be the zero ring as for every $r \in R$, $r = 1r = 0r = 0$.

A ring is called commutative if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in R$. A non-zero element $x \in R$ is called a zero divisor if for some non-zero element $y$ we have $xy = 0$ or $yx = 0$. A non-zero commutative ring with no zero divisors is called an integral domain.

An element $x \in R$ is called a unit if $\exists y \in R$ such that $xy = yx = 1$. The units form a group under multiplication that is denoted $R^\times$.

Example 30.0.2. Let $k$ be a field and $V$ a vector space over $k$. One easily verifies that the collection of linear maps from $V$ to itself, $\text{End}_k(V)$, is a ring, where multiplication is composition of linear maps. If $V$ has finite dimension then if $x, y \in \text{End}_k(V)$ and $xy = 1$ then also $yx = 1$ and so $x$ is a unit. However, suppose that $V = \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots) : a_i \in k\}$ and $x$ is the linear map $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots) \mapsto (a_2, a_3, \ldots)$. Then $x$ is not injective and so there is no function $y : V \to V$ such that $yx = 1$. On the other hand, if $y$ is the linear map $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots) \mapsto (0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ then $xy = 1$. This example explains why we insist on $xy = yx = 1$ in the definition of a unit.

A non zero ring $R$ is called a division ring (or a skew field) if $R^\times = R - \{0\}$, i.e., every non-zero element is a unit. If $R$ is also commutative then, as we know well, $R$ is called a field.

A subset $I \subseteq R$ is called a two-sided ideal of $R$ (or simply, an ideal of $R$), denoted $I \triangleleft R$, if $I$ is a subgroup and for all $r \in R$ we have both inclusions
$$Ir \subseteq I, \quad rl \subseteq I.$$

A left (resp. right) ideal is defined the same only that one requires just $rl \subseteq I$ (resp. $Ir \subseteq I$).

Proposition 30.0.3. Let $R$ be a ring and $I \triangleleft R$ a two sided ideal. The quotient group $R/I$ has a canonical ring structure given by
$$(r + I)(s + I) = rs + I,$$
with identity element $1 + I$. 
Proof. We first check that multiplication is well defined. Any other representatives for the cosets are of the form \( r + i_1, s + i_2 \) for \( i_1, i_2 \in I \). Then \( (r + i_1 + l)(s + i_2 + l) \) is equal by definition to \( (r + i_1)(s + i_2) + l = rs + i_1 s + r i_2 + i_1 i_2 + l = rs + l \), using that \( I \) is an ideal.

The rest of the axioms follows mechanically from the fact that they hold in \( R \). For example, letting \( r = r + l \), we have \( r(x + y) = r \cdot x + y = r(x + y) = r x + r y = r x + r y = r \cdot x + r \cdot y \). \( \square \)

31. Key Examples of Rings

31.1. The zero ring. This is the ring \( R = \{ 0 \} \). Note that in this ring \( 1 = 0 \). This is the case excluded when defining integral domains, fields or division rings. As we have already noted above, to say that \( R \) is a non-zero ring (i.e., \( R \) is not the zero ring) is equivalent to saying that \( 1 \neq 0 \) in \( R \).

31.2. The integers and the integers modulo \( n \). The primal example is the integers

\[ \mathbb{Z} = \{ \ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \} \]

with the usual addition and multiplication. This is an integral domain and \( \mathbb{Z}^\times = \{ \pm 1 \} \).

Definition 31.2.1. If \( R \) is any commutative ring and \( r \in R \) we define \( (r) = R r = r R = \{ r a : a \in R \} \).

Lemma 31.2.2. The set \( (r) \) is an ideal, called a principal ideal.

Proof. We first check it is a subgroup. Indeed, \( 0 = 0 r \in R r \). If \( a r, b r \in R r \) then \( a r + b r = (a + b) r \) is in \( R r \), and \( -(a r) = -1 (a r) = (-1 \cdot a) r = (-a) r \in R r \). Thus, \( R r \) is a subgroup.

Next, let \( a r \in R r \) and \( b \in R \) then \( b (a r) = (b a) r \in R r \) and \( (a r) b = a b r \in R r \) (here we use the commutativity of \( R \) in an essential way). Thus, \( R r \) is an ideal. \( \square \)

As an application, we find the ideals \( (n) = \mathbb{Z} n = \{ \ldots, -2n, -n, 0, n, 2n, 3n, \ldots \} \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \). One can prove that every ideal of \( \mathbb{Z} \) has such a form. This is an example of PID, as we shall see later.

Using Proposition 30.0.3 we find the rings

\[ \mathbb{Z}/(n) = \mathbb{Z}/n \mathbb{Z} = \{ \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{n-1} \} \]

(already familiar to us as abelian groups), where we let \( \overline{i} = i + n \mathbb{Z} \). Note that this is a commutative ring with \( n \) elements. If \( n \) is not prime, say \( n = a b \), then \( \overline{a b} = \overline{a} = \overline{0} \) and we find that \( \mathbb{Z}/n \mathbb{Z} \) has zero divisors. If, on the other hand, \( n = p \) is a prime, \( \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \) doesn’t have zero divisors because \( \overline{a b} = \overline{0} \) implies that \( p | a b \) and so, w.l.o.g., \( p | a \), giving \( \overline{a} = \overline{0} \). It follows from the next proposition that \( \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \) is a field.

Proposition 31.2.3. Let \( R \) be an integral domain with finitely many elements then \( R \) is a field.

Proof. Let \( a \in R \) be a non-zero element. The map \( R \to R, x \mapsto a x \) is injective: \( a x = a y \Rightarrow a (x - y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y \). Since \( R \) is a finite set, the map is also surjective and so there is an \( x \) such that \( a x = 1 \).

The units of \( \mathbb{Z}/n \mathbb{Z} \) are \( \mathbb{Z}/n \mathbb{Z}^\times = \{ \overline{a} : 1 \leq a \leq n, (a, n) = 1 \} \). This is a set familiar to us; recall that its cardinality is denoted \( \varphi(n) \).
31.3. Matrices over \( R \). Let \( R \) be a commutative ring. Then \( M_n(R) \) denote the \( n \times n \) matrices with entries in \( R \) under matrix addition and multiplication. This is a ring whose units are denoted \( \text{GL}_n(R) \); a matrix is invertible in \( R \) if and only if its determinant belongs to \( R^\times \). Indeed, the usual determinant properties show that for any commutative ring if \( AB = I \) then \( \det(A) \cdot \det(B) = 1 \) and hence \( \det(B) \in R^\times \). Conversely, we have \( A \cdot \text{adj}(A) = \det(A) \cdot I \) and so, if \( \det(A) \in R^\times \) we have an inverse: \( A^{-1} = \det(A)^{-1} \text{adj}(A) \).

If \( n > 1 \) and \( R \) is not the zero ring, it is in a non-commutative ring and has zero divisors. Indeed
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
and
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

31.4. Polynomial and power series rings. Let \( R \) be a commutative ring and \( x \) a formal symbol. The ring of polynomials over \( R \), \( R[x] \), is the expressions of the form \( a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n \), \( a_i \in R \) (where \( n \) may be different for each expression). We allow zero coefficients; we may therefore define addition by
\[
\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + b_i)x^i.
\]

Multiplication is defined by
\[
\left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_{i-j} \right)x^i.
\]

In general, due to zero divisors, there is no elegant description of the units of this ring. However,

**Proposition 31.4.1.** Let \( R \) be an integral domain. The units of \( R[x] \) are \( R^\times \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \) is a unit, \( a_n \neq 0 \), and \( \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i \) is the inverse and \( b_m \neq 0 \). The coefficient of \( x^{n+m} \) is \( a_n b_m \), which is not zero because \( R \) is an integral domain. Thus, we must have \( n + m = 0 \) and so \( n = m = 0 \). That is, \( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = a_0 \), \( \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i = b_0 \), and \( a_0 b_0 = 1 \); that is \( a_0 \in R^\times \). \( \square \)

We may define two related rings: the ring \( R[[x]] \) of Taylor series or power series, whose general element is \( \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i x^i \), \( a_i \in R \), and the ring \( R((x)) \) of Laurent series, whose general element is \( \sum_{i=N}^\infty a_i x^i \), \( a_i \in R \), where \( N \) is an integer that depends on the element and may be negative. We have
\[
R[x] \subset R[[x]] \subset R((x)).
\]

Addition and multiplication are defined by the same formulas. We have

**Proposition 31.4.2.** Let \( R \) be an integral domain, the so are \( R[x], R[[x]] \) and \( R((x)) \). The units of \( R[[x]] \) are \( \{ \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i x^i : a_0 \in R^\times \} \). If \( R \) is a field, the ring \( R((x)) \) is also a field; every non-zero element is a unit.
31.5. Hamilton’s quaternions. Recall the quaternion group of 8 elements:
\[ \{ \pm \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \pm \left( \begin{array}{cc} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{array} \right), \pm \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \pm \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right) \} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{C}). \]
We denoted these elements, respectively, \( \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k \). Let \( \mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be a field, e.g., \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \). The quaternion algebra over \( \mathbb{F} \) is the set
\[ \{ a + bi + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F} \}, \]
with matrix multiplication and addition. Namely, the matrices
\[ \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a+bi & c+di \\ -c+di & a-bi \end{array} \right) : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \]
\[ = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ -b & a \end{array} \right) : a, b \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \]
Definition 31.5.1. Let \( R \) be a ring. A subset \( R_1 \subseteq R \) is called a subring if it is a subgroup of \( R \), closed under multiplication and \( 1 \in R_1 \).

It follows immediately that a subring is a ring in its own right.

Proposition 31.5.2. The quaternions over \( \mathbb{F} \), denoted \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \), are a subring of \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \). Moreover, they form a non-commutative division ring.

Proof. We note that if \( z_1 = a_1 + b_1i, z_2 = a_2 + b_2i \), where \( a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F} \) – we say that \( z_n \in \mathbb{F}[i] \), \( n = 1, 2 \) – then \( z_1 + z_2, z_1z_2, \overline{z_1} \) are also in \( \mathbb{F}[i] \). Using the usual properties of conjugation of complex numbers we find that \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc} A' & B' \\ -B' & A' \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A + A' & B + B' \\ -B + B' & A + A' \end{array} \right) \), which shows closure under addition. Also \( 0 = (0, 0) = (0, 0) \) is in \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \) and \( -\left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -A & -B \\ -B & -A \end{array} \right) \), which shows closure under additive inverse. Thus, \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \) is a subgroup of \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \).

Note that \( 1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) is in \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \) and
\[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} C & D \\ -D & C \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} AC-\overline{BD} & AD+B\overline{C} \\ \overline{AD}+B\overline{C} & AC-\overline{BD} \end{array} \right) \),
Hence, \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \) is closed under multiplication too.

Non-commutativity is familiar to us: \( ij = -ji \) et cetera. To show \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \) is a division ring, note that if \( M = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ -B & A \end{array} \right) \) then \( \text{det}(M) = |A|^2 + |B|^2 \) and so if \( M \neq 0 \) then \( \text{det}(M) \neq 0 \). Now, \( M^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|^2+|B|^2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & -B \\ B & A \end{array} \right) \), which is again an element of \( \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{F} \).

31.6. The ring of quotients. The ring of quotients is a general construction that allows embedding a commutative integral domain in a field; moreover, that field is the smallest possible. A case to keep in mind is the ring \( \mathbb{Z} \) and the field \( \mathbb{Q} \). If \( \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{F} \) and \( \mathbb{F} \) is a field, then for every non-zero \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have the element \( m \times n^{-1} \) in \( \mathbb{F} \). In this sense, we find that \( \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{F} \). This discussion also provides a clue as to how to construct the field of quotients.

Let \( R \) be a commutative integral domain. Define a relation on \( R \times (R - \{0\}) \) by
\[ (a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if } ad - bc = 0. \]
Theorem 31.6.1. The relation (4) is an equivalence relation. One denotes the equivalence classes by \( Q(R) \). The operations
\[
(a, b) + (c, d) = (ad + bc, bd), \quad (a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd).
\]
are well defined. Under these operations \( Q(R) \) is a field. The map \( R \to Q(R), r \mapsto (r, 1) \) is injective and \( R \) may be viewed as a subring of \( Q(R) \).

Proof. Straight from the definition we get that \((a, b) \sim (a, b) \) and that if \((a, b) \sim (c, d) \) then \((c, d) \sim (a, b) \). Suppose that \((a, b) \sim (c, d) \) and \((c, d) \sim (e, f) \). Then \((af - be) = (ad - bc)f + (cf - de)b = 0 \). Since \( d \neq 0 \), and \( R \) is an integral domain, we have that \( af - be = 0 \) and so \((a, b) \sim (e, f) \).

We denote from now on a pair \((a, b)\) by \( \frac{a}{b} \). Then \((a, b) \sim (c, d) \), that is \( \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d} \), if \( ad - bc = 0 \).

The addition and multiplication rules are familiar:
\[
\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}, \quad \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}.
\]
We verify that they are well defined. We need to show that if \( \frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{a'}{b'} \), then \( \frac{a+d}{b} \sim \frac{a'd'+b'd}{b'd'} \)

and \( \frac{ac}{bd} \sim \frac{a'c'}{b'd'} \). This amounts to the identities \((ad + bc)(b_1d_1) = (ab_1)d_1 + bb_1(c_1d_1) = a_1bd_1 + bb_1c_1d = (a_1d_1 + b_1c_1)(bd) \) and \((ac)(b_1d_1) = (a_1)(c_1d_1) = a_1b_1c_1d = (a_1c_1)(bd) \).

One now checks that the operations are commutative, associative and distributive. The verification is formal and straightforward. For example: \( (\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}) \frac{e}{f} = \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \frac{e}{f} = \frac{ade+bce}{bdf} \) and \( \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd} \).

The zero element is the equivalence class of \( \frac{0}{1} \) (it consists of the elements \( \frac{0}{a}, a \in R \)), and the identity element is the equivalence class of \( \frac{1}{1} \) (it consists of the elements \( \frac{a}{a}, a \in R, a \neq 0 \)). The additive inverse of \( \frac{a}{b} \) is \( -\frac{a}{b} \). Indeed \( \frac{a}{b} + -\frac{a}{b} = \frac{ab-ab}{b^2} = \frac{0}{b^2} \sim \frac{0}{1} \). It follows that \( Q(R) \) is a commutative ring.

Finally, if \( \frac{a}{b} \neq 0 \) then \( a \neq 0 \) and so \( \frac{b}{a} \) is defined. We have \( \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{b}{a} = \frac{ab}{ab} \sim \frac{1}{1} = 1 \). Thus, \( Q(R) \) is a field.

Example 31.6.2. We have \( Q(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q} \) and for any field \( F \) we have \( Q(F[x]) = F(x) \), the field of rational fractions over \( F \) (recall that an element of \( F(x) \) is a fraction of polynomials \( f(x)/g(x) \) where \( g(x) \neq 0 \)). Also, for any commutative integral domain \( R \) we have \( Q(R[x]) = Q(R)(x) \). In section 32 we shall see that, in a precise sense, if \( R \) is a field then \( R = Q(R) \).

32. Ring homomorphisms and the isomorphism theorems

Definition 32.0.3. A ring homomorphism \( f : R \to S \) is a function satisfying: (i) \( f(r_1 + r_2) = f(r_1) + f(r_2) \); (ii) \( f(r_1r_2) = f(r_1)f(r_2) \) and (iii) \( f(1_R) = 1_S \).

Example 32.0.4. Let \( l \triangleleft R \) be a two sided ideal. The canonical map
\[
\pi_R : R \to R/l, \quad \pi_l(a) = a + l,
\]
is a ring homomorphism. Indeed, this is just \((a + l) + (b + l) = a + b + l \), \((a + l)(b + l) = ab + l \), and \( 1 + l \) being the identity of \( R/l \). We see that if we want \( \pi_l \) to be a ring homomorphism this forces the definition of addition and multiplication on the cosets \( R/l \).

Theorem 32.0.5. Let \( f : R \to S \) be a ring homomorphism. Then \( J := \text{Ker}(f) \) is a two sided ideal of \( R \) called the kernel of \( f \). If \( l \) is a two sided ideal of \( R \) such that \( l \subseteq J \) there is a unique ring
homomorphism \( f' : R/I \to S \) such that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\
\pi_I \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\
R/I & \xrightarrow{f'} & S
\end{array}
\]

The kernel of \( f' \) is \( J/I \).

**Context:** Two sided ideals are in analogy to normal subgroups. We can take quotients by such ideals. If \( f : R \to S \) is a ring homomorphism, \( K \triangleleft S \) then \( f^{-1}(K) \triangleleft R \). If \( f \) is surjective and \( K \triangleleft R \) then \( f(K) \triangleleft S \). In particular, it follows that \( J/I = \pi_I(J) \) is an ideal of \( R/I \) (though this also follows from the first part of the Theorem applied to \( f' \)).

**Proof:** We already know that \( \text{Ker}(f) \) is a subgroup of \( R \). If \( r \in R \) and \( a \in \text{Ker}(f) \) then \( f(ra) = f(r)f(a) = f(r) \cdot 0 = 0 \) and likewise \( f(ar) = 0 \). Thus, \( \text{Ker}(f) \) is an ideal of \( R \).

Define \( f' : R/I \to S \) by \( f'(r + I) = f(r) \). This is well defined: if \( i \in I \) then, because \( I \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) \), \( f'(r + i + I) = f(r + i) = f(r) + f(i) = f(r) \). It follows immediately that \( f' \) is a ring homomorphism.

For example, \( f'((a + I)(b + I)) = f'(ab + I) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = f'(a + I)f'(b + I) \).

Note that \( f'(\pi_I(a)) = f'(a + I) = f(a) \) so \( f' \circ \pi_I = f \). Moreover, \( f'(a + I) = 0 \) iff \( f(a) = 0 \). Thus, \( f'(a + I) = 0 \) if \( a \in J \), and it follows that \( \text{Ker}(f') = J/I \).

Finally, \( f' \) is unique. Suppose that \( f'' : R/I \to S \) also satisfies \( f'' \circ \pi_I = f \) then \( f''(a + I) = f''(\pi_I(a)) = f(a) = f'(a + I) \) and so \( f' = f'' \).

**Corollary 32.0.6.** If \( f \) is surjective and \( I = J \) we conclude that \( f' : R/\text{Ker}(f) \to S \) is an isomorphism, \( R/\text{Ker}(f) \cong S \).

**Corollary 32.0.7.** If \( I \subset J \) are two sided ideals of \( R \) then

\[
(R/I)/(J/I) \cong R/J.
\]

**Proof.** Apply the Theorem to the homomorphism \( \pi_J : R \to R/J \). We get

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{\pi_J} & R/J \\
\pi_I \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\
R/I & \xrightarrow{f'} & S
\end{array}
\]

We have \( \text{Ker}(f') = J/I \). By the previous Corollary, \( (R/I)/\text{Ker}(f') = (R/I)/(J/I) \cong R/J \).

**Remark 32.0.8.** The only ideals of a division ring \( R \) (e.g., a field) are 0 and \( R \). Thus, if \( R \) is a division ring, \( S \) is not the zero ring, and \( f : R \to S \) is a ring homomorphism then \( f \) is injective. In particular, any ring homomorphism between fields is injective.

**Proposition 32.0.9.** Let \( f : R \to S \) be a surjective ring homomorphism. There is a bijection between ideals of \( R \) containing the kernel of \( f \) and ideals of \( S \), given by \( I \mapsto f(I) \) (with inverse \( J \mapsto f^{-1}(J) \)).

I leave writing a detailed proof to you. Note that we already know such a bijection exists on the level of subgroups. Thus, the only point to check is that it takes ideals to ideals, which is quite straightforward.
32.1. The universal property of the ring of quotients.

**Theorem 32.1.1.** Let $R$ be a commutative integral domain. There is a natural injective ring homomorphism

$$R \rightarrow Q(R), \quad r \mapsto (r, 1) = \frac{r}{1}.$$  

Every element of $R$ is invertible in $Q(R)$. If $F$ is a field and $j : R \rightarrow F$ is an injective ring homomorphism then there is a unique ring homomorphism $J : Q(R) \rightarrow F$ rendering the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \longrightarrow & Q(R) \\
j & & j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F & & F
\end{array}$$

**Proof.** It follows straight from the definitions that $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$ is a ring homomorphism. It is injective since $\frac{r}{1} = 0$ iff $r = 0$. We may thus view $R$ as a subring of $Q(R)$ as we shall usually do. If $r \in R$ is not zero then $r \cdot \frac{1}{r}$ (more precisely, $\frac{r}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{1}$) is just $1 = \frac{r}{r}$. Thus, every non-zero element of $R$ is invertible in $Q(R)$.

Given $j$, define $J$ by $J\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = j(r)j(s)^{-1}$. This is well defined: First, if $j(s) \neq 0$ then $j(s)^{-1}$ exists and, second, if $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{r'}{s'}$ (thus $rs' = r's$) then $J\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = j(r)j(s)^{-1} = j(r)j(s)'j(s)^{-1}j(s')^{-1} = j(rs')j(s)^{-1}j(s')^{-1} = j(r's)j(s)^{-1}j(s')^{-1} = j(r's)j(s)^{-1}j(s')^{-1} = j(r's')j(s)^{-1}j(s')^{-1} = J\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)$. It is easy to verify that $J$ is a homomorphism and of course $J(r) = J\left(\frac{r}{1}\right)$. □

32.2. A useful lemma.

**Lemma 32.2.1.** Let $R, S$ be commutative rings. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $s \in S$ be any element. There exists a unique ring homomorphism

$$F : R[x] \rightarrow S,$$

such that $F(r) = f(r)$ for $r \in R$ and $F(x) = s$.

**Proof.** Define

$$F\left(\sum a_i x^i\right) = \sum f(a_i)s^i.$$  

By definition, $F(r) = f(r)$ for $r \in R$ and $F(x) = s$. It is easy to check that $F$ is a ring homomorphism. □
From now on, all rings are assumed to be commutative

33. More on ideals

Here are some easy properties of ideals:

- If \( \{ l_\alpha : \alpha \in A \} \) are ideals then so is \( \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} l_\alpha \).
- If \( I, J \) are ideals then \( I + J = \{ i + j : i \in I, j \in J \} \) is an ideal.
- If \( I, J \) are ideals then \( IJ \), defined as \( \bigcap_{K \supseteq I,J} K \), is an ideal. It is the minimal ideal of \( R \) containing the set \( \{ ij : i \in I, j \in J \} \).
- Let \( A \) be any subset of \( R \). The ideal generated by \( A \) is defined to be \( \bigcap_{K \supseteq A} K \) and is denoted \( \langle A \rangle \) or \( (A) \). For example, if \( A = \{ ij : i \in I, j \in J \} \) then \( \langle A \rangle \) is the ideal \( IJ \).

A very important case is when \( A \) contains one element, \( A = \{ a \} \), then \( \langle a \rangle = Ra = aR \). A principal ideal is such an ideal, namely, of the form \( \langle a \rangle \) for some \( a \in R \).

The following Lemma is not hard to prove.

Lemma 33.0.2. We have \( \langle A \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i a_i : r_i \in R, a_i \in A, N \geq 0 \} \) (by definition, the empty sum is equal to the zero element of \( R \)).

Example 33.0.3. In \( \mathbb{Z} \) every ideal is principal, equal to \( (n) \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). The same holds in the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) of Gaussian integers and in the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{F}[x] \) over a field \( \mathbb{F} \). This will follow from the fact that the rings \( \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{F}[x] \) are all Euclidean.

In the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}] \) the ideal \( (2, \sqrt{-6}) \) is not principal. In the ring \( \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \) (polynomials in two variables with rational coefficients) the ideal \( (x, y) \) is not principal.

Definition 33.0.4. An ideal \( I \triangleleft R \) is called prime if \( I \neq R \) and if \( ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \text{ or } b \in I \).

An ideal \( I \triangleleft R \) is called maximal if \( I \neq R \) and if \( J \) is an ideal containing \( I \) then \( J = I \) or \( J = R \).

Proposition 33.0.5. The following holds:

1. \( I \) is prime \( \iff \) \( R/I \) is an integral domain.
2. \( I \) is maximal \( \iff \) \( R/I \) is a field.
3. \( I \) is maximal \( \Rightarrow \) \( I \) is prime.
4. Every ideal of \( R \) is contained in a maximal ideal.

Proof.

1. \( I \) is prime iff \( I \neq R \) and \( \{ ab \in I : a \in I \text{ or } b \in I \} \), i.e., iff \( R/I \) is not the zero ring and \( \overline{ab} = 0 \Rightarrow \overline{a} = 0 \text{ or } \overline{b} = 0 \) (where \( \overline{a} = a + I \), etc.). That is, \( I \) is prime iff \( R/I \) is an integral domain.

2. Suppose that \( I \) is maximal. Let \( a \not\in I \) then \( (I, a) = I + (a) = R \) so \( 1 = ri + sa \) for some \( r, s \in R, i \in I \), which gives \( \overline{1} = \overline{r} \cdot \overline{s} \). Since any non zero element of \( R/I \) is of the form \( \overline{a} \) for some \( a \notin I \) we conclude that every non-zero element of \( R/I \) is invertible and thus \( R/I \) is a field.

3. Suppose that \( R/I \) is a field. Let \( J \supseteq I \) be an ideal. Then \( J/I \) is an ideal of \( R/I \) and so is either the zero ideal or equal to \( R/I \). It follows that \( J = I \) or \( J = R \). Thus, \( I \) is a maximal ideal.

4. If \( I \) is maximal \( R/I \) is a field, hence an integral domain and therefore \( I \) is prime.

(4) Let \( S \) be a poset — a partially ordered set. Namely, there is a relation \( \preceq \) defined on \( S \), which is transitive, reflexive and if \( x \preceq y, y \preceq x \) then \( x = y \). A chain in \( S \) is a subset \( S_0 \) such that if \( x, y \in S_0 \) then either \( x \preceq y \) or \( y \preceq x \). A subset \( S_0 \) has a supremum if there is an element
\( s \in S \) such that for all \( s_0 \in S_0 \) we have \( s_0 \prec s \) and if \( t \in S \) and for all \( s_0 \in S_0 \) we have \( s_0 \preceq t \) then \( s \preceq t \).

**Zorn’s Lemma.** Let \( S \) be a poset in which any chain has a supremum. Then \( S \) has a maximal element, namely, an element \( z \in S \) such that if \( s \in S \) and \( z \preceq s \) then \( z = s \).

The proof of this lemma is beyond the scope of this course. It is known to be equivalent to the Axiom of Choice of set theory. We apply the lemma as follows. Let \( S \) be the set of all ideals of \( R \) except the ideal \( R \) itself. This is a poset: \( I \not\preceq J \) if \( I \subseteq J \). Any chain of ideals \( \{ I_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \} \) has a supremum \( U_{\alpha \in A} I_{\alpha} \) (this is indeed an ideal!). Hence, by Zorn’s lemma \( S \), has a maximal element \( M \). The construction gives that \( M \) is a maximal ideal of \( R \).

\[ \square \]

**Example 33.0.6.** When is a principal ideal \( (r) \) prime? The first condition is that \( (r) \neq R \). That is, \( r \) is not a unit. Secondly, if \( ab \in (r) \), that is \( ab = rc \) for some \( c \in R \) then \( a \in (r) \) or \( b \in (r) \), meaning \( a = rc_2 \) or \( b = rc_3 \) for some \( c_i \in R \).

Let us say, for a general commutative ring \( R \), that \( f \mid g \) in \( R \) if \( g = fc \) for some \( c \in R \). We see that in this terminology, \( (r) \) is a prime ideal if \( r \) is not a unit and \( r|ab \implies r|a \) or \( r|b \). This is a property of prime numbers and motivates the terminology “prime” (but we also require \( r \neq 0 \)).

In particular, the prime ideals of \( \mathbb{Z} \) are precisely the ideals of the form \( (p) \), where \( p \) is a prime number. The ideal \( (1+i) \) of \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) is maximal: \( \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2+1))/((1+x, x^2+1)/(x^2+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2+1, 1+x) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1+x, 2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[x]/(1+x) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.

The ideal \( (x^2-y^2) \) of \( \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \) is not prime. We have \((x+y)(x-y) = x^2-y^2 \) and \( x+y \notin (x^2-y^2) \).

### 34. The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let \( R \) be a commutative ring. Two ideals \( I, J \) of \( R \) are called co-prime if \( I + J = R \); equivalently, we have \( 1 = i + j \) for some \( i \in I, j \in J \).

**Theorem 34.0.7.** (The Chinese Remainder Theorem) Let \( R \) be a commutative ring and \( A_1, \ldots, A_k \) ideals of \( R \), co-prime in pairs \( (A_i + A_j = R \text{ for } i \neq j) \). Then,

\[
R/(A_1 \cdots A_k) \cong R/A_1 \times \cdots \times R/A_k.
\]

**Proof.** We define a map

\[
f : R \to R/A_1 \times R/A_2 \times \cdots \times R/A_k, \quad r \mapsto (r + A_1, \ldots, r + A_k).
\]

This is a ring homomorphism whose kernel is \( A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \supseteq A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k \). We need to prove that this is actually an equality and that \( f \) is surjective. The key is the following Lemma:

**Lemma 34.0.8.** For every \( i \) there is an element \( e_i \in R \) such that

\[
e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{A_i}, \quad e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{A_j}, \forall j \neq i.
\]

**Proof.** (Lemma) Without loss of generality, \( i = 1 \). For each \( j = 2, 3, \ldots, k \) write

\[
1 = x_j + y_j, \quad x_j \in A_1, y_j \in A_j.
\]

Then

\[
1 = (x_1 + y_1)(x_2 + y_2) \cdots (x_k + y + k) = \alpha + y_2 y_3 \cdots y_k.
\]

Here \( \alpha \) is a sum of products, each involving at least on \( x_j \), so \( \alpha \in A_1 \). Let

\[
e_1 = 1 - \alpha.
\]
Indeed, define a map $\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that

\[ 3 \psi_i = s_i + A_i = r_i. \]

Then $f(s_1 e_1 + s_2 e_2 + \cdots + s_k e_k) = \sum_i s_i f(e_i) = \sum_i (0, \ldots, 0, 3 \psi_i, 0, \ldots, 0)) = (3 \psi_1, 3 \psi_2, \ldots, 3 \psi_k).$

It remains to prove that $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k \supseteq A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k$. We prove that by induction on $k$. For $k = 1$ this is clear. Consider the case $k = 2$. We have $1 = x_2 + y_2$ as in Equation (5). Let $c \in A_1 \cap A_2$. Then $c = cx_2 + cy_2$. Note that $c \in A_2, x_2 \in A_1 \Rightarrow cx_2 \in A_1 A_2$ and $c \in A_1, y_2 \in A_2 \Rightarrow cy_2 \in A_1 A_2$. Thus, $c \in A_1 A_2$.

Assume now that $k > 2$. Let $B = A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k$. We know by induction that $B = A_2 \cdots A_k$. Note that $A_1$ and $B$ are relatively prime, because by Equation (5)

\[ 1 = \alpha + y_2 \cdots y_k, \quad \alpha \in A_1, y_2 \cdots y_k \in B. \]

Using the case $k = 2$ we have that $A_1 B \supseteq A_1 \cap B$, i.e., $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k \supseteq A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k$. \hfill \Box

Remark 34.0.9. One may ask why is it important to prove that the kernel is $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k$ and not just $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k$. The reason is that in general it is easier to calculate the product of ideals than their intersection. For example, if each $A_i$ is principal, $A_i = (a_i)$, then $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$. This formula can be generalized. For example, if $A_1 = (\{a_{i_1}\}), A_2 = (\{b_{j_2}\})$ then $A_1 A_2 = (\{a_{i_1} b_{j_2}\})$.

Corollary 34.0.10. Let $a_1, \cdots, a_k$ be relatively prime integers – that is, $(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Then

\[ \mathbb{Z}/(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(a_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(a_k). \]

In particular, given residues classes $b_i \mod a_i$, there is an integer $b$, unique up to adding multiples of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$ such that $b \equiv a_i \pmod{a_i}$ for all $i$.

Example 34.0.11. Find an integer congruent to 5 mod 7 and congruent to 10 mod 13. In the notation of the proof, we are looking for $5e_1 + 10e_2$. Write $1 = 2 \cdot 7 - 13, e_1 = 1 + 13 = 14$. Then $b = 5 \cdot (-13) + 10 \cdot 14 = 75$ is congruent to 5 mod 7 and to 10 mod 13. Note that by modifying by a multiple of $7 \times 13$ we can get a small solution, namely $-16$. This is typical too.

Example 34.0.12. Suppose that $d$ is a non-square integer. Then the ring $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - d)$ is isomorphic to the subring of $\mathbb{C}$ given by $R = \{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, which is an integral domain. In fact, a field. Indeed, define a map $\mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow R$ by $f(x) \mapsto f(\sqrt{d})$. This is a ring homomorphism and the kernel contains $(x^2 - d)$. As $x^2 - d$ is irreducible, this is precisely the kernel.

On the other hand, suppose that $d$ is a square. Say $d = e^2$. Then $(x^2 - d) = (x - e)(x + e)$ (equality of ideals!). The ideals $(x - e), (x + e)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ are relatively prime, because $\frac{1}{2}(x + e) - \frac{1}{2}(x - e) = 1$ and is an element of $(x - e) + (x + e)$. By CRT, $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - d) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x - e) \times \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x + e) \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, which is not an integral domain.
Part 9. Euclidean, Principal Ideal and Unique Factorization Domains

35. Euclidean domain

Definition 35.0.13. Let $R$ be an integral domain. We say that $R$ is Euclidean if there is a function (called norm)

$$N : R - \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$$

such that for any $a, b \in R$, $b \neq 0$, there are $q, r \in R$ such that

$$a = qb + r,$$

with $r = 0$ or $N(r) < N(b)$.

Example 35.0.14. $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $N(a) = |a|$.

Example 35.0.15. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. Define a norm on $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$,

$$N(f(x)) = \deg(f(x)),$$

then $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is Euclidean. Indeed, write

$$a = a_N x^N + a_{N-1} x^{N-1} + \cdots + a_0, \quad a_N \neq 0$$

and

$$b = b_M x^M + b_{M-1} x^{M-1} + \cdots + b_0, \quad b_M \neq 0.$$ 

If $N < M$ take $q = 0$ and $r = a$. If $N \geq M$, let $q = q_{N-M} x^{N-M} + \cdots + q_0$, where the coefficients $q_i$ are determined recursively by attempting to solve $a = qb$, i.e.,

$$a_N x^N + a_{N-1} x^{N-1} + \cdots + a_0 = (q_{N-M} x^{N-M} + \cdots + q_0) (b_M x^M + b_{M-1} x^{M-1} + \cdots + b_0).$$

That is, we solve recursively for the $q_i$:

$$q_{N-M} b_M = a_N$$

$$q_{N-M-1} b_M + q_{N-M} b_{M-1} = a_{N-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$q_0 b_M + q_1 b_{M-1} + \cdots + q_M b_0 = a_0$$

(This will be discussed in more detail in class. It is possible that $M > N - M$, in this case we have written above 0 = $q_{N-M+1} = q_{N-M+2} = \cdots = q_M$ for notational convenience.) Then, the residue, if any, will be polynomial of degree less than $M$.

Example 35.0.16. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This is a subring of the complex numbers. Let

$$N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2 = |a + bi|^2.$$ 

Given two elements $a + bi, c + di$ of $R$, let us write

$$a + bi = \frac{a + bi}{c + di} (c + di) = \left(\frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} + \frac{-ad + bc}{c^2 + d^2} i\right) (c + di).$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2}$ and $\beta = \frac{-ad + bc}{c^2 + d^2}$. Find integers $A, B$ such that

$$|\alpha - A| \leq 1/2, \quad |\beta - B| \leq 1/2.$$ 

Then

$$a + bi = (A + Bi)(c + di) + ((\alpha - A) + (\beta - B)i)(c + di).$$
Then \( q = A + Bi \) and \( r = ((\alpha - A) + (\beta - B)i)(c + di) \). Note that \( q, r \in R \). Finally,
\[
N(r) = [(\alpha - A)^2 + (\beta - B)^2]N(c + di) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(c + di) < N(c + di).
\]

36. Principal ideal domains

**Definition 36.0.17.** An integral domain \( R \) in which every ideal is principal, i.e. of the form \((r) = Rr = rR \) for some \( r \in R \), is called a principal ideal domain (PID).

**Proposition 36.0.18.** Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

*Proof.* Let \( I \triangleleft R \) be an ideal. If \( I = \{0\} = (0) \) there is nothing to prove. Else, choose \( b \in I, b \neq 0 \) such that \( N(b) \) is minimal among the norms of the non-zero elements of \( I \). Let \( a \in I \) then we may write \( a = qb + r \) with \( r = 0 \) or \( N(r) < N(b) \). However, \( r = a - qb \in I \) so \( r = 0 \) else we get a contradiction to the definition of \( b \). That is, \( a \in (b) \) and it follows that \( I = (b) \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 36.0.19.** \( \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}[x], \) for \( \mathbb{F} \) a field, and \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) are PID.

36.1. Division and gcd’s. Let \( R \) be an integral domain and \( a, b \in R \). We say that \( b \) divides \( a \), \( b\mid a \), if there exists \( x \in R \) such that \( a = bx \). We say that \( a \) and \( b \) are associates, \( a \sim b \), if \( a = bx \) and \( x \in R^\times \).

Here are some easy consequences of the definitions:

- \( c \mid b \) and \( b \mid a \Rightarrow c \mid a \).
- \( 1 \mid a, a \mid 1 \Leftrightarrow a \in R^\times \).
- \( b \mid a \) and \( a \mid b \Leftrightarrow b \sim a \). Being associates is an equivalence relation.
- \( b \mid a_1, b \mid a_2 \Rightarrow b \mid (a_1 + a_2) \).
- \( b \mid a \Rightarrow b \mid ac, \forall c \in R \).

**Lemma 36.1.1.** \( b \mid a \Leftrightarrow (a) \subseteq (b) \) (“to divide is to contain”). In particular, \( a \sim b \Leftrightarrow (a) = (b) \).

*Proof.* We have \( b \mid a \Leftrightarrow a = bx \Leftrightarrow a \in (b) \Leftrightarrow (a) \subseteq (b) \). \( \square \)

A greatest common divisor (g.c.d.) of two elements \( a, b \in R \) is an element \( d \in R \) having the following properties:

1. \( d \mid a \) and \( d \mid b \);
2. If \( d' \mid a \) and \( d' \mid b \) then \( d' \mid d \).

Note the emphasis on “\( a \)” in “a greatest common divisor”. It is not unique (if it exists at all), but it “almost” is.

**Lemma 36.1.2.** A g.c.d., if it exists, is unique up to a unit. In that case, it will be denoted \( \gcd(a, b) \) or, simply, \((a, b)\).

*Proof.* Let \( d \) be a gcd of \( a \) and \( b \) and \( u \) a unit. Then, if \( a = d \cdot e \) we have \( a = ud \cdot u^{-1}e \), and similarly for \( b \). So \( ud \) also divides \( a \) and \( b \). Let \( f \) be an element dividing both \( a \) and \( b \). Then \( f \) divides \( d \), because \( d \) is a gcd. But then \( f \mid ud \). So \( ud \) has the two defining properties of a gcd and hence is a gcd as well.

Now let \( d_1 \) be another gcd of \( a \) and \( b \). Since it divides \( a \) and \( b \) it must divide \( d \), because \( d \) is a gcd. So \( d_1 \mid d \), but, reversing the roles of \( d \) and \( d_1 \), also \( d_1 \mid d \). It follows that \( d \sim d_1 \). \( \square \)
In general a \( g.c.d. \) need not exist. The following lemma provides a criterion for its existence. Note that this criterion is not necessary but only sufficient. For example, in the ring \( \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \) we have \( g.c.d.(x, y) = 1 \) but \( \langle x, y \rangle \) is not principal.

**Lemma 36.1.3.** If the ideal \( \langle a, b \rangle \) is principal, \( \langle a, b \rangle = (d) \), then \( d \) is a g.c.d. of \( a, b \).

**Proof.** If \( \langle a, b \rangle = (d) \) then \( a \in (d), b \in (d) \) so \( d | a, d | b \). If \( d' | a, d' | b \) then \( a, b \in (d') \) and so \( \langle a, b \rangle \subseteq (d') \). Hence, \( (d) \subseteq (d') \) and so \( d' | d \).

\( \square \)

**Corollary 36.1.4.** If \( R \) is a PID then any two elements of \( R \) have a g.c.d..

### 36.2. Calculation of g.c.d.’s – the Euclidean algorithm.

Let \( R \) be a Euclidean ring. Then \( R \) is a PID and hence any two elements \( a, b \in R \) have a g.c.d.. The Euclidean algorithm provides means to calculate that g.c.d..

**Theorem 36.2.1.** Let \( a, b \) be elements of the Euclidean ring \( R \). Write, with quotients \( q_i \) and residues \( r_i \) as in the definition of Euclidean ring,

\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= q_0 b + r_0 \\
    b &= q_1 r_0 + r_1 \\
    r_0 &= q_2 r_1 + r_2 \\
    &\vdots \\
    r_{n-1} &= q_n r_n \\
\end{align*}
\]

Indeed, the process always stops. Moreover \( r_n \) is \( \gcd(a, b) \).

**Proof.** The proof is easy, can be left as an exercise; we will give it in class. \( \square \)

**Example 36.2.2.** Let us calculate the g.c.d. of 1079 and 1131. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
    1131 &= 1 \times 1079 + 52 \\
    1079 &= 20 \times 52 + 39 \\
    52 &= 1 \times 39 + 13 \\
    39 &= 3 \times 13 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( 13 = (1079, 1131) \).

**Example 36.2.3.** Let us calculate the g.c.d. of \( x^3 - x \) and \( x^3 + 3x^2 + x \) in \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \). We have

\[
\begin{align*}
    x^3 + 3x^2 + x &= 1 \times (x^3 - x) + 3x^2 + 2x \\
    x^3 - x &= (x/3 - 2/9)(3x^2 + 2x) - 5x/9 \\
    3x^2 + 2x &= -9/5(3x + 2)(-5x/9) \\
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that \( \text{gcd}(x^3 - x, x^3 + 3x^2 + x) = x \).

**Remark 36.2.4.** Let \( R \) be a PID. Then for every \( a, b \) we have \( \langle a, b \rangle = (d) \) for some \( d \in R \). In the case \( R \) is Euclidean we have a method to find \( d \). In the general case, we do not have a method.

Note that in the case of PID we have \( \langle a, b \rangle = (d) \) and so there are \( x, y \in R \) such that \( \text{gcd}(a, b) = xa + yb \). In the Euclidean case the Euclidean algorithm also gives \( x, y \) by “solving back”. An example will suffice to clarify how to do that. Refer back to Example 36.2.2. We have \( 13 = (1079, 1131) \). Moreover, \( 52 = 1 \times 39 + 13 \) and so \( 13 = 52 - 39 \). Now, \( 1079 = 20 \times 52 + 39 \) and so \( 13 = 52 - (1079 - 20 \times 52) = 21 \times 52 - 1079 \). Use now that \( 1131 = 1 \times 1079 + 52 \) to get that \( 13 = 21 \times (1131 - 1079) - 1079 = 21 \times 1131 - 22 \times 1079 \).
36.3. Irreducible and prime elements.

Definition 36.3.1. Let $R$ be an integral domain. Let $r$ be an element of $R$, $r \neq 0$ and $r$ not a unit.

1. The element $r$ is called irreducible if
   \[ r = ab \implies r \sim a \text{ or } r \sim b. \]

2. The element $r$ is called prime if
   \[ r \mid ab \implies r \mid a \text{ or } r \mid b. \]

Remark 36.3.2. Note that if $r, s$ are associates then $r$ is irreducible (prime) if and only if $s$ is.

Note also that $r$ is prime if and only if $(r)$ is a non-zero prime ideal.

Lemma 36.3.3. If $r$ is prime then $r$ is irreducible.

Proof. Suppose that $r = ab$. Then $r \mid ab$ and so, without loss of generality, $r \mid a$. But $a \mid r$ and so $r \sim a$. □

Example 36.3.4. In general an irreducible element need not be prime. Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

We have the factorization
\[ (1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{-5}) = 2 \cdot 3. \]

I claim that all these elements are irreducible. First, the units of this ring are just $\pm 1$. Now, for example, if $2 = (a + b \sqrt{-5})(c + d \sqrt{-5})$ then $2^2 = (a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2)$. From that we see that $a = \pm 2, b = 0$ and so $2 \sim a$. Similar arguments work for the rest.

On the other hand, none of these elements can be prime. For example, $2 | (1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{-5})$ but clearly $2 \nmid 1 + \sqrt{-5}$. Or, if you prefer, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]/(2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 5)$. We have $(x + 1)^2 = x^2 + 1 = x^2 + 5 = 0$ in this ring, which shows that we have zero divisors. Hence, (2) is not a prime ideal.

In contrast, in certain rings, such as $\mathbb{Z}$, the concepts of prime and irreducible are one. The following Proposition generalizes this.

Proposition 36.3.5. If $R$ is a PID (e.g., if $R$ is Euclidean) then $r$ is prime if and only if $r$ is irreducible.

Proof. A prime element is always irreducible by Lemma 36.3.3. We show the converse. Let $r$ be an irreducible element. Suppose that $(r) \subseteq B \subset R$. Since $R$ is a PID, we have $B = (b)$ for some $b \in R$. Thus, $r = ab$ for some $a \in R$. But $r$ is irreducible so $r \sim a$ (and so $b \in R^\times$) of $r \sim b$. We see that, correspondingly, either $B = R$ or $B = (r)$. We conclude that $(r)$ is a maximal ideal.

Since a maximal ideal is a prime ideal, it follows that $(r)$ is a prime ideal and so $r$ is a prime element. □

Corollary 36.3.6. In a PID, every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

Proof. Every prime ideal if of the form $(r)$, for $r$ prime/irreducible. We saw that this implies $(r)$ is maximal. □

Corollary 36.3.7. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x]$ a polynomial is prime if and only if it is irreducible. The quotient ring $\mathbb{F}[x]/(f(x))$ is a field if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible.

The field $\mathbb{F}[x]/(f(x))$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{F}$ and in it the polynomial $f$ has a root. If the degree of $f$ is $d$ then the dimension of $\mathbb{F}[x]/(f(x))$ as an $\mathbb{F}$-vector space is $d$; in fact, $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{d-1}\}$ is a basis. Thus, if $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field with $q$ elements, $\mathbb{F}[x]/(f(x))$ has $q^d$ elements.

Proof. Straightforward; we’ll elaborate in class. □
Example 36.3.8. Let $R$ be an integral domain which is not a field (e.g., $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$, $\mathbb{F}$ a field). Then $R[y]$ is an integral domain that is not a PID.

Indeed, the ideal $(y)$ is prime since $R[y]/(y) \cong R$ which is an integral domain. It is not a maximal ideal since $R$ is not a field.

37. Unique factorization domain (UFD)

Definition 37.0.9. Let $R$ be an integral domain. $R$ is called a **unique factorization domain (UFD)** if for every $r \in R$, not zero and not a unit, the following holds:

1. $r$ can be written as a product of irreducible elements $p_i$,
   \[ r = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n. \]
2. If $r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m$ is another expression of $r$ as a product of irreducible elements then $m = n$ and after re-indexing we have $p_i \sim q_i$ for all $i$.

Proposition 37.0.10. Let $R$ be a UFD and $r$ an element of $R$. Then $r$ is prime if and only if $r$ is irreducible.

Remark 37.0.11. Recall that a PID also has this property (Prop. 36.3.5). We shall prove below that a PID is UFD, so it all adds up!

Proof. A prime element is always irreducible (Lemma 36.3.3). Let $r \in R$ be irreducible. Suppose that $r | ab$. Then $ab = rw$. Write the irreducible decomposition of each element: $a = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, b = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m, w = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_q$. Then $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m = r t_1 t_2 \cdots t_q$ gives two expressions for $ab$ as product of irreducible elements. It follows that either $r \sim p_i$ for some $i$, or $r \sim q_j$ for some $j$. Thus, either $r | a$ or $r | b$. \qed

37.1. A PID is a UFD.

Theorem 37.1.1. Let $R$ be a PID then $R$ is a UFD.

We have thus the following situation

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R \text{ Euclidean} & \iff & R \text{ PID} & \iff & R \text{ UFD}
\end{array}
\]

We remark that in all three classes of rings we have the notion of a gcd. In a Euclidean ring, gcd$(a, b)$ exists and can be algorithmically computed as $ax + by$ for some $x, y \in R$. In a PID $R$, gcd$(a, b)$ exists and is equal to $ax + by$ for some $x, y$, but we have no algorithm to find $x, y$. Finally, in a UFD $R$, gcd$(a, b)$ exists and need not be equal to $ax + by$ for any $x, y$.

In particular, we conclude:

Corollary 37.1.2. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field then $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is UFD; every polynomial can be written as a product of irreducible polynomials uniquely (up to multiplication by units = $\mathbb{F}^\times$, and permuting the polynomials).

Example 37.1.3. A UFD need not be a PID. We shall show below that $R$ is a UFD implies that $R[x]$ is a UFD. Hence, $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is a UFD but is not a PID (the ideal $\langle x, y \rangle$ is not principal).

A PID needs not be Euclidean. I don’t know an easy example. One can prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2}]$ is a PID, but not Euclidean with respect to any candidate norm $N$. (See exercise 102).
Proof. The first step is to prove that if \( r \in R \) is not zero, or a unit, then \( r \) can be written as a product of irreducible elements.

Suppose not, then \( r \) is not irreducible and so \( r = r_1s_1 \), where either \( r_1 \) or \( s_1 \) are not a product of irreducible elements, without loss of generality, \( r_1 \). Then \( r_1 = r_2s_2 \), where either \( r_2 \) or \( s_2 \) are not a product of irreducible elements (and are not associates of \( r_1 \)), without loss of generality, \( r_2 \). Then \( r_2 = r_3s_3 \), where either \( r_3 \) or \( s_3 \) are not a product of irreducible elements (and are not associates of \( r_2 \)), without loss of generality, \( r_3 \). And so on.

We get a chain of division: \( \ldots r_3|r_2|r_1|r, \) where this is “true division”; any two elements are not associates. We thus get a strictly increasing chain of ideals:

\[
(r) \subseteq (r_1) \subseteq (r_2) \subseteq (r_3) \subseteq \ldots
\]

Consider then \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (r_i) \). It is easy to check this is an ideal, and so, since \( R \) is a PID, of the form \( (g) \) for some \( g \in R \). But then \( g \in (r_i) \) for some \( i \) and we get \( (r_i) = (g) \). It then follows that \( (r_i) = (r_{i+1}) = (r_{i+2}) = \ldots \). This is a contradiction.

The second step is to prove this decomposition is unique. Say

\[
r = p_1 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m,
\]
a product of irreducible elements and without loss of generality \( m \geq n \). We prove the uniqueness by induction on \( n \):

If \( n = 1 \) then we get a factorization of the irreducible element \( p_1 \). Then either \( q_1 \) or \( q_2 \cdots q_m \) is a unit. It must thus be the case that \( m = 1 \) and \( p_1 = q_1 \).

Assume the result for \( n - 1 \). Since \( p_n|q_1 \cdots q_m \) there is some \( i \) such that \( p_n|q_i \) (in a PID an irreducible element is prime). Thus, since \( q_i \) is irreducible, \( q_i = p_nx \) for some unit \( x \). We get

\[
p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} = (xq_1)q_2 \cdots \hat{q}_i \cdots q_m.
\]

By induction \( n - 1 = m - 1 \) and \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n-1} \) are the same as \( xq_1, q_2, \ldots, \hat{q}_i, \ldots, q_m \) up to multiplication by units (or, what is the same, \( q_1, q_2, \ldots, \hat{q}_i, \ldots, q_m \) up to multiplication by units). \( \square \)

37.1.1. Arithmetic in UFD’s. The unique factorization property allows us to do arithmetic in a UFD much like in \( \mathbb{Z} \). For instance, we can also define the least common multiple (lcm) of two elements \( a, b \) as an element \( c \) such that \( a|c \) and \( b|c \) and if \( a|c' \) and \( b|c' \) then \( c|c' \). We easily check that if the lcm exists it is unique up to a unit. In fact, the lcm always exists. The case where one of \( a, b \) is zero or a unit is easily checked and in general the following proposition gives, moreover, a formula for it.

Proposition 37.1.4. Let \( R \) be a UFD. Let \( x = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n} \cdot u, \ y = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_n^{\beta_n} \cdot v, \) where the \( p_i \) are non-associated irreducible elements, \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \) are non-negative integers and \( u, v \) are units. Then

\[
gcd(x, y) = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_n^{s_n}, \quad s_i = \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\},
\]

and

\[
lcm(x, y) = p_1^{t_1} \cdots p_n^{t_n}, \quad t_i = \max\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}.
\]

The proposition follows immediately from the following result.

Lemma 37.1.5. In the notation above, \( z|x \) if and only if \( z = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n} w \) with \( a_i \leq \alpha_i \) for all \( i \) and \( w \) a unit.

Proof. Clearly every such \( z \) divides \( x \): \( x = p_1\alpha_1 - a_1 \cdots p_n\alpha_n - a_nuw^{-1}z. \) Conversely, if \( z|x \), say \( x = zt \) then write \( z \) and \( t \) as a product of irreducible elements. Say \( z = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n}q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_m^{b_m}w \) and \( t = p_1^{d_1} \cdots p_n^{d_n}q_1^{l_1} \cdots q_m^{l_m}w' \), where we allow non-negative (including zero) exponents. Thus,

\[
p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \cdot u = p_1^{a_1 + d_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n + d_n}q_1^{b_1 + l_1} \cdots q_m^{b_m + l_m}ww'.
\]
Unique factorization gives that each $b_i = b'_i = 0$ (or, if you prefer, $m = 0$) and $a_i + a'_i = \alpha_i$. 

37.2. Gauss’ Lemma.

**Lemma 37.2.1.** Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$, a commutative ring, let $IR[x]$ be the notation for the ideal generated by $I$ in the polynomial ring $R[x]$. Then

$$IR[x] = \{ \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n x^n : a_n \in I \}$$

and

$$R[x]/IR[x] \cong (R/I)[x].$$

**Proof.** By definition, $IR[x] = \{ \sum_{n=0}^{N} i_n f_n(x) : i_n \in I, f_n(x) \in R[x] \}$. Clearly it contains $\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n x^n : a_n \in I \}$. On the other hand, by expanding a sum $\sum_{n=0}^{N} i_n f_n(x)$, $i_n \in I, f_n(x) \in R[x]$, according to powers of $x$ we get the other inclusion.

Now, define a homomorphism $R[x] \rightarrow (R/I)[x]$, $f(x) \mapsto \overline{f(x)}$, where if $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i$ then $\overline{f(x)} = \sum a_i \overline{x}^i$ (we use $\overline{a}$ to denote the coset $a + I$). The kernel is $\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n x^n : a_n \in I \} = IR[x]$ and the map is clearly surjective. We conclude by the first isomorphism theorem. 

**Lemma 37.2.2.** (Gauss’ lemma) Let $R$ be a UFD with field of fractions $F$. Let $f(x) \in R[x]$. If $f(x)$ is reducible in $F[x]$ then $f(x)$ is reducible in $R[x]$. More precisely, if $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$ in $F[x]$, a product of non-constant polynomials, then $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ in $R[x]$ where $a(x)$ (resp., $b(x)$), is a constant multiple of $A(X)$ (resp., $B(X)$).

**Remark 37.2.3.** Note that the contrapositive has to be taken with care. It is not “$f(x)$ irreducible in $R[x]$ implies that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $F[x]”$. The issue is that the units of the rings are different.

For example, $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$ but is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x]$ simply because it is a unit in $\mathbb{Q}$ and a unit is not an irreducible element. See Corollary 37.2.4 below for the correct converse.

**Proof.** (Of Gauss’ lemma) Suppose that $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$ in $F[x]$ is a non-trivial factorization. That is, $A(x), B(x)$ are non-constant polynomials. Since the coefficients of $A, B$ are fractions $s/t$, where $s, t \in R$, we can find a common denominator and so an equation

$$df(x) = A_1(x)B_1(x),$$

with $0 \neq d \in R$, $A_1(X), B_1(X) \in R[x]$. Note that $A_1, B_1$ are constant multiples of $A, B$.

If $d$ is a unit, take $a(x) = d^{-1}A_1(x), b(x) = B_1(x)$. Else,

$$d = p_1 \cdots p_n,$$

a product of irreducible elements. Now, since $p_1$ is irreducible it is prime and so $(p_1)$ is a prime ideal.

In the ring $R/(p_1)[x]$, which is an integral domain, we have $0 = \overline{A_1(x)} \cdot \overline{B_1(x)}$ (where $\overline{A_1(x)}, \overline{B_1(x)}$ denote the image of the polynomials $A_1(x), B_1(x)$ in the ring $R/(p_1)[x]$) and thus, without loss of generality, $\overline{A_1(x)} = 0$. Lemma 37.2.1 gives that each coefficient of $A_1(x)$ is divisible by $p_1$. Hence, there is a polynomial $A_2(x) \in R[x]$ such that

$$p_2 \cdots p_n f(x) = A_2(x)B_1(x).$$
Continuing in such fashion, we find polynomials \( a(x), b(x) \in R[x] \) such that \( f(x) = a(x)b(x) \) and \( a, b \) are constant multiples of \( A, B \). In particular, \( a, b \) are non-constant polynomials and so we got a non-trivial factorization. \( \square \)

**Corollary 37.2.4.** Let \( f(x) \in R[x] \) be a polynomial such that the g.c.d. of its coefficients is 1, e.g., \( f(x) \) is monic. Then \( f(x) \) is irreducible in \( R[x] \) if and only if \( f(x) \) is irreducible in \( F[x] \).

**Proof.** One direction is Gauss’ Lemma. Suppose then that \( f(x) \) is reducible in \( R[x] \), say \( f(x) = a(x)b(x) \), where neither is a unit in \( R[x] \). Note that \( a(x) \) cannot be a constant, because this would imply that \( a(x) \) divides the g.c.d. of the coefficients of \( f(x) \) and hence that g.c.d. is not 1. Thus, \( a(x) \) is also not a unit of \( F[x] \). The same holds for \( b(x) \) and thus \( f \) is reducible in \( F[x] \). \( \square \)

**Example 37.2.5.** It is good to keep the following example in mind. The polynomial \( 2x \) is reducible in \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) but is irreducible in \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \).

### 37.3. \( R \text{ UFD} \Rightarrow R[x] \text{ UFD.} \)

**Theorem 37.3.1.** Let \( R \) be a UFD then \( R[x] \) is a UFD.

**Proof.** Let \( f(x) \in R[x] \) and write

\[
f = df_1,
\]
where the g.c.d. of the coefficients of \( f_1 \) is 1. Note that this decomposition is unique up to a unit, namely, up to \( d \mapsto du, f_1 \mapsto f_1u^{-1} \). Indeed, if \( f = ef_2 \) where \( e \in R \) and \( f_2 \in R[x] \) and the gcd of the coefficients of \( f_2 \) is equal to 1, then we see that \( e \) divides the coefficients of \( f \) and so \( e|d \). Thus, \( (de^{-1})f_1 = f_2 \) but then \( (de^{-1}) \) divides the coefficients of \( f_2 \), which implies that \( de^{-1} \) is a unit.

Suppose we have shown that we may \( f \) is a product of irreducible elements of \( R[x] \), say

\[
f = p_1p_2\cdots p_3q_1(x)q_2(x)\cdots q_b(x),
\]
where the \( p_i \) are irreducible elements of \( R \) (and those stay irreducible in \( R[x] \! \! \!) \) and \( q_i(x) \) are irreducible elements of \( R[x] \) of positive degree. Note that if the gcd, say \( g \) of \( q_i(x) \) is not a unit the \( q_i(x) = g \cdot (g^{-1}q_i(x)) \) is a non-trivial factorization and that leads to contradiction. Thus, all the \( q_i(x) \) have gcd 1. It follows that up to units, the factorization is

\[
d = p_1p_2\cdots p_3, \quad f_1 = q_1(x)q_2(x)\cdots q_b(x).
\]

Thus, since \( d \) can be written as product of irreducible elements, unique up to being associate, and since irreducible elements of \( R \) are irreducible elements of \( R[x] \), we may assume that the g.c.d. of the coefficients of \( f \) is 1 to begin with.

Let \( F \) be the quotient field of \( R \). We use the fact that \( F[x] \) is Euclidean, hence PID, hence UFD, to write

\[
f(x) = P_1(x)\cdots P_n(x), \quad P_i(x) \in F[x] \text{ irreducible.}
\]

By Gauss’ Lemma

\[
f(x) = p_1(x)\cdots p_n(x), \quad p_i(x) \in R[x],
\]
where each \( p_i \) is a multiple of \( P_i \), in particular irreducible in \( F[x] \). Note that the g.c.d. of the coefficients of \( p_i \) must be 1 (because of our assumption of \( f \)). Corollary 37.2.4 gives that each \( p_i \) is irreducible in \( R[x] \).

The decomposition of \( f \) is unique. If

\[
f = q_1(x)\cdots q_m(x)
\]

is a non-trivial factorization, \( \square \).
is another factorization into irreducible polynomials in $R(x)$ then each $q_i$ has g.c.d. of its coefficients equal to 1, hence by Corollary 37.2.4 is irreducible in $F[x]$. Since $F[x]$ is a UFD, we must have, after re-indexing, that $m = n$ and $q_i \sim p_i$ for all $i$ in $F[x]$, say $p_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}q_i$. We get an equality in $R[x]$: $s_ip_i = r_iq_i$. The g.c.d. of the r.h.s. is $r_i$ and is equal to that of the l.h.s. which is $s_i$. It follows that $r_i \sim s_i$ and so $p_i \sim q_i$ in $R[x]$. □

**Corollary 37.3.2.** Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be variables. The ring of polynomials $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a UFD. Similarly, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a UFD.
Part 10. Exercises

(1) Prove directly from the definitions that every group of order 3 is cyclic (and in particular commutative).

(2) Prove directly from the definitions that a group $G$ in which every element $a$ satisfies $a^2 = e$ is commutative. Prove further that if $G$ is finite than $G$ has $2^n$ elements for some $n$.

(3) Write down all the elements of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Consider the action of this group on the set of non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{P}_2^2$ (the vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$). Show that this allows one to identify the group $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with the symmetric group $S_3$.

(4) Let $D_{2n}$, $n\geq 3$, be the dihedral group with $2n$ elements. It is generated by $x, y$, satisfying $x^n = y^2 = xyxy = 1$. Prove (algebraically) that every element not in the subgroup (x) is a reflection and find (geometrically) the line through which it is a reflection.

(5) Let $n \geq 2$. Prove that $S_n$ is generated by the set of all transpositions $\{(ij) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Prove that in fact the transpositions $(12), (23), \ldots, (n-1\ n)$ alone generate $S_n$.

(6) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a non-zero vector. We define a reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to $\alpha$ by the formula

$$\sigma_\alpha(v) = v - \frac{2(v, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \cdot \alpha.$$  

Here $(x, y)$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that $\sigma_\alpha$ is indeed a linear map that fixes the hyperplane orthogonal to $\alpha$ and sends $\alpha$ to $-\alpha$. Given $\alpha, \beta$ non-zero vectors, determine when the subgroup $\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta \rangle$ is infinite. Further, in case it is finite, determine it’s order. (Suggestion: reduce to the case of $n = 2$.)

(7) Let $T$ be a non-empty set (possibly infinite) and define $\Sigma_T$ as the set of all functions $f : T \to T$ that are bijective. Show that $\Sigma_T$ is a group under composition of functions (if $T = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we can identify $\Sigma_T$ with $S_n$). Show that for $T = \mathbb{Z}$ there are elements $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_T$, each of order 2, that generate a subgroup of infinite order.

(8) Find the lattice of subgroups of the groups $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, S_3$, and $A_4$. Namely, write all the subgroups and determine which is contained in which. The following simple observation may help: Any subgroup of a finite group is generated by some finitely many elements (for instance, all its elements). Thus, we can start by writing all the subgroups generated by one element - the cyclic subgroups, then all the subgroups generated by two elements, and so on. It is useful to note that if we find two subgroups $H_1 \subset H_2$ such that $|H_2|/|H_1|$ is prime, there is no subgroup strictly between $H_1$ and $H_2$ (why?).

(9) The Euler $\phi$-function,

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \to \mathbb{Z},$$

defined by

$$\phi(n) = \#\{0 < a \leq n : \gcd(a, n) = 1\}$$

has the following properties:

- If $n$ and $m$ are relatively prime then $\phi(nm) = \phi(n)\phi(m)$.
- (This can be proved as follows. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem $\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as rings. Now calculate the unit groups of both sides.)
- If $p$ is a prime $\phi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1}$.
- $\phi(n) = n \prod_{\rho | n} (1 - 1/\rho)$ (the product taken over the prime divisors $\rho$ of $n$).

(10) Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation. Find a formula (in terms of the factorization of $\sigma$ into disjoint cycles) for the cardinality of $C_{S_n}(\sigma)$. Fix $n$; for which permutations $\sigma$ the minimum is obtained?

(11) Give an example of a group $G$ and a subgroup $H$ of $G$ for which $H \cap C_G(H) = \{1\}$ and $C_G(H) \neq \{1\}$. 


(12) Prove that if \( N < G \) and \([G : N] = 2\) then \( N \trianglelefteq G\).

(13) In this exercise you are required to calculate the commutator subgroup and center of some groups.

(a) Find the center of the following groups: \( D_n, \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \), where \( \mathbb{F} \) is any field.

(b) Find the commutator subgroup of \( D_n \).

(c) Prove that the commutator subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \) is contained in \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \), \( \mathbb{F} \) a field. (In fact equality holds. Optional: prove that for \( n = 2 \).)

(14) Let \( m < n \) be positive integers. Calculate \( N_{S_n}(S_m) \). In particular, find when \( N_{S_n}(S_m) = S_m \).

(15) Let \( G \) be a group and \( C \subset G \) be a left coset of some subgroup of \( G \). Prove that \( C \) is also a right coset of some (usually different) subgroup of \( G \).

(16) Consider the group \( S_4 \) and its (commutative) subgroup \( V = \{1, (1 2)(3 4), (1 3)(2 4), (1 4)(2 3)\} \).

(a) Prove that conjugation in the group \( S_4 \) permutates the elements in \( V - \{1\} \).

(b) Prove that \( V \) is a normal subgroup of \( S_4 \).

(c) Use the first part to prove that there is a homomorphism \( f \) of \( S_4 \) into \( S_3 \) whose kernel contains \( V \).

(d) Prove that \( f \) is surjective.

(e) Prove that in fact \( f \) induces an isomorphism \( S_4/V \cong S_3 \).

(17) Characteristic subgroups. A subgroup \( H \) of a group \( G \) is called \textbf{characteristic} if for every automorphism \( f : G \to G \) we have \( f(H) = H \).

(a) Prove that a characteristic subgroup is a normal subgroup. (Hint: consider \( x \mapsto gxg^{-1} \) for \( g \) fixed.)

(b) Prove that the centre of \( G \), \( Z(G) \) is a characteristic subgroup, as well as the commutator subgroup \( G' \).

(c) Give an example of a normal subgroup that is not characteristic.

(18) If \( G, H \) are finite groups such that \([|G|, |H|] = 1\) then every group homomorphism \( f : G \to H \) is trivial \((f(G) = \{1\})\).

(19) Find all possible homomorphisms \( Q \to S_3 \). Is there an injective homomorphism \( Q \to S_4 \)?

(As usual, \( Q \) is the quaternion group of order 8).

(20) Prove that a group a non-abelian of order 6 is isomorphic to \( S_3 \). Prove that every abelian group of order 6 is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \).

Here are some hints: start by showing that every group \( G \) of order 6 must have an element \( x \) of order 2 and an element \( y \) of order 3. This in fact follows from some general theorems but I want you to argue directly using only what we covered in class. (A typical problem here is why can’t all the elements different from 1 have order 3. If this is the case, show that there are two cyclic groups \( K_1, K_2 \) of \( G \) of order 3 such that \( K_1 \cap K_2 = \{1\} \). Calculate \( |K_1K_2| \).

Having shown that, if \( G \) is abelian show it implies the existence of an element of order 6. In the non-abelian case show that we must have \( xyx^{-1} = y^2 \) and that every element in \( G \) is of the form \( x^ay^b \), \( a = 0, 1 \), \( b = 0, 1, 2 \). Show that the map \( x \mapsto (1 2), y \mapsto (1 2 3) \) extends to an isomorphism.

(21) Let \( G \) be a group. Let \( \text{Aut}(G) \) be the collection of automorphisms of \( G \) (isomorphisms from the group onto itself). Show that \( \text{Aut}(G) \) is a group under composition. For every \( g \in G \) let \( \tau_g : G \to G \) be the map \( \tau_g(x) = gxg^{-1} \). Prove that \( \tau_g \in \text{Aut}(G) \) and that the map \( G \to \text{Aut}(G), g \mapsto \tau_g \), is a homomorphism of groups whose kernel is the centre \( Z(G) \) of \( G \). The image is called the \textbf{inner automorphisms} of \( G \) and is denoted \( \text{Inn}(G) \). Prove that \( \text{Inn}(G) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(G) \). The quotient group \( \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \) is called the \textbf{outer automorphism group} of \( G \) and is denoted \( \text{Out}(G) \).
(Hard.) A group $G$ is called complete if $Z(G) = \{1\}$ and Out($G$) = $\{1\}$. Otherwise said, if $G \cong$ Aut($G$) via the natural homomorphism $G \to$ Aut($G$). Prove that if $G$ is a simple non-abelian group then Aut($G$) is complete.

(22) In this exercise we shall prove that Aut($S_n$) = $S_n$ for $n > 6$. (The results holds true for $n = 4, 5$ too and fails for $n = 6$.) Thus, $S_n$ is complete for $n > 6$.

(a) Prove that an automorphism of $S_n$ takes an element of order 2 to an element of order 2.

(b) For $n > 6$ use an argument involving centralizers to show that an automorphism of $S_n$ takes a transposition to a transposition.

(c) Prove that every automorphism has the effect $(12) \mapsto (a \ b_2), (13) \mapsto (a \ b_3), \ldots, (1n) \mapsto (a \ b_n)$, for some distinct $a, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Conclude that $\#\text{Aut}(S_n) \leq n!$.

(d) Show that for $n > 6$ there is an isomorphism $S_n \cong \text{Aut}(S_n)$.

(23) **Double cosets.** Let $G$ be a group and $A, B$ be subgroups of $G$. A double coset is a set of $G$ of the form $AgB$ for some $g \in G$.

(a) Prove that double cosets are either equal or disjoint. Prove that $G$ is a disjoint union of double cosets.

(b) Provide a necessary and sufficient condition for $AgB = AhB$.

(c) Give a formula for $|AgB|$. Is it true that all double cosets have the same cardinality?

(d) Interpret double cosets as orbits for a certain group action. (Make sure that your initial guess really defines a group action!)

(24) Let $G$ be a finite group consisting of linear transformations of a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of $p$ elements ($p$ prime). Suppose that the order of $G$ is a power of $p$. Show that there is a vector $v \in V, v \neq 0$ that is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1 for the elements of the group $G$.

Arguing inductively, show that there is a basis in which $G$ consists of upper-triangular unipotent matrices. (Suggestion: let $W$ be the span of $v$ and consider $V/W$.)

(25) Find the number of necklaces with 16 beads, 8 of them blue, 4 red and 4 white, up to symmetries by $D_{16}$.

(26) Find the number of necklaces with 12 beads, 2 red, 4 green, 3 blue and 3 yellow.

(27) Let $G$ be a finite group. Let $p$ be the minimal prime dividing the order of $G$ and suppose that $G$ has a subgroup $K$ of index $p$. Prove that $K$ is normal. (Hint: use the coset representation.)

(28) Let $A$ be a proper subgroup of a finite group $G$. Prove that $G \neq \bigcup_{g \in G} gAg^{-1}$. Prove that this statement may fail for infinite groups (suggestion: Try $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ for the second part).

(29) Let $S_3$ act on $\mathbb{F}^3$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field, by permuting the coordinates. Find the number of orbits for this action. A size of an orbit is a divisor of 6 (why?). For each such divisor determine if there is an orbit of that size or not. (Either provide an example, or prove that none exists). Consider the action of $S_3$ on the subspace given by $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$. How many orbits are there?

(30) Let $G$ be a group and $H$ a subgroup of $G$ and let $[G : H] = n$. We consider here the question of whether there is an element in $g \in G$ such that $\{H, gH, \ldots, g^{n-1}H\}$ are all the cosets of $H$ in $G$.

(a) Show that if $n$ is not prime this may fail.

(b) Show that if $n$ is prime such $g$ always exists. (Suggestion: Show first that a transitive subgroup of $S_n$ has order divisible by $n$. Show then that a transitive subgroup of $S_p$ has an element of order $p$. Use the coset representation to finish the proof. You may
use Cauchy’s theorem: a finite subgroup whose order is divisible by a prime \( p \) has an element of order \( p \).

(31) Let \( G \) be a group acting transitively on a set \( S \) and let \( s \in S \) be some element. Let \( K \) be a normal subgroup of \( G \). Prove that the number of orbits for \( K \) in its action on \( S \) is the cardinality of \( G/(K \text{ Stab}_G(s)) \).

(32) Show that if \( G \) acts transitively on a set of size \( n \) then \( G \) has a subgroup of index \( n \) and, conversely, if \( G \) has a subgroup of index \( n \) then \( G \) acts transitively on some set with \( n \) elements.

For example, suppose we didn’t know that the group \( \Gamma \) of rigid transformation of the cube was isomorphic to \( S_4 \). We can deduce that \( \Gamma \) has a subgroup of index 8 by its action on the vertices, a subgroup of index 12 by its action on the set of edges, a subgroup of index 6 by its action on the faces and a subgroup of index 4 by its action on the long diagonals; a subgroup of index 3 by its action on the 3 pairs of opposite faces and a subgroup of index 2 by doing a similar construction with the long diagonals.

(33) If there are \( a \) colours available, prove that there are \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(n/d) a^d \) coloured roulette wheels with \( n \) sectors.

(34) Prove that the free group on 2 elements, \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) has a subgroup of index \( n \) for every positive integer \( n \). (Try this question later, after we had studied free groups!)

(35) Prove that for \( n \geq 5 \), \( A_n \) is the unique normal subgroup of \( S_n \).

(36) Let the symmetric group \( S_n \) act transitively on a set of \( m \) elements. Assume that \( n \geq 5 \) and that \( m > 2 \). Show that \( m \geq n \).

(37) For which \( n \), if any, is there an homomorphism \( S_n \to A_{n+1} \)?

(38) Prove that for \( n \geq 5 \) the commutator subgroup of \( S_n \) is \( A_n \).

(39) Let \( n \geq 5 \). Prove that \( A_n \) is generated by the 3-cycles (namely, permutations of the form \((i j k)\), where \( i, j, k \) are distinct). Prove that \( A_n \) is generated by 5-cycles too.

(40) Write the conjugacy classes of \( S_4 \). For each conjugacy class choose a representative \( x \) and calculate its centralizer \( C_{S_4}(x) \). Verify the class equation. Do the same for \( A_4 \). Use the results to find the normal subgroups of \( A_4 \) and, in particular, deduce that \( A_4 \) does not contain a subgroup of order 6.

(41) There is an obvious embedding of \( S_3 \) in \( S_6 \), the one in which \( S_3 \) acts on \( \{1,2,3\} \subset \{1,2,3,4,5,6\} \). This embedding is not transitive, that is, given \( 1 \leq i < j \leq 6 \) we cannot always find an element of \( S_3 \) that takes \( i \) to \( j \). Prove that there is a transitive embedding \( S_3 \hookrightarrow S_6 \) (i.e., such that the image acts transitively on the 6 elements). Given such embedding, write the image of \((12)\) and \((123)\).

(42) Write the conjugacy classes of \( A_6 \). Devise a direct proof that \( A_6 \) is simple.

(43) Let \( G \) act transitively on a set \( S \). Then, \( G \) acts primitively if and only if the point stabilizer of a point of \( S \) is a proper maximal subgroup of \( G \). (One direction was done in class.)

(44) Give an example of a group \( G \) acting on a set primitively, but not 2-transitively.

(45) (a) Given a positive integer \( N \) prove that there are finitely many groups of order \( N \) up to isomorphism.

(b) Prove the following fact: Given \( n > 0 \) and a rational number \( q \) there are only finitely many \( n \)-tuples \((c_1, \ldots, c_n)\) of natural numbers such that

\[
q = \frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{c_n}.
\]

(c) Given a positive integer \( N \) prove that there are finitely many finite groups with \( N \) conjugacy classes.

(d) Find the groups in the preceding question for \( N = 1, 2, 3 \). (Prove your answer; you may use the classification of groups of small order we gave in the past.)
(e) The number of conjugacy classes of a group $G$ is called its **class number**. Prove that if $G$ is a finite group with an even class number then $G$ is of even order. Give an example that the converse fails.

(46) A subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ is called a characteristic subgroup if for every automorphism $f : G \to G$, $f(H) \subseteq H$.

(a) Prove that a characteristic subgroup is normal.

(b) Prove that the commutator subgroup of $G$ and the centre of $G$ are characteristic subgroups.

(c) Prove that if $H$ is normal in $G$ and $K$ is a characteristic subgroup of $H$, then $K$ is normal in $G$.

(47) Let $G$ be a finite non-trivial $p$-group. Prove that $G'$ (the commutator subgroup of $G$) is a proper subgroup of $G$.

(48) Let $G$ be a finite $p$ group and $H \triangleleft G$ a non-trivial normal subgroup. Prove that $H \cap Z(G) \neq \{1\}$.

(49) Let $G$ be a finite $p$ group and $H$ a normal subgroup of $G$ with $p^a$ elements, $a > 0$. Prove that $H$ contains a subgroup of order $p^{a-1}$ that is normal in $G$. (Hint: use the previous exercise to prove the result by induction.)

(50) Let $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, $q = p^r$ where $p$ is prime.

(a) Prove that the upper unipotent matrices $N := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are a $p$-Sylow subgroup $P$ of $G$ by calculating the order of $P$ and $G$.

(b) Find conditions so that every element of $P$ has order dividing $p$. (Hint: use the binomial theorem for $(I + N)^p$, where $I$ is the identity matrix.)

(c) In particular, deduce that for any $p \neq 2$ there are non-abelian $p$-groups such that every element different from the identity has order $p$.

(d) Prove that a group $G$ in which $a^2 = 1$ for all $a \in G$ is an abelian group.

(51) There are up to isomorphism precisely two non-abelian groups of order 8, the dihedral group $D_4$ and $Q$ the quaternion group. $Q$ is the group whose elements are $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$, where $-1$ is a central element and the relations $ij = k, jk = i, ki = j, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ hold (in addition to the implicit relations such as $-1^2 = 1, -1 \cdot j = -j, \ldots$). Prove the following

(a) $D_4$ is not isomorphic to $Q$.

(b) $D_4$ and $Q$ are non-abelian. (Calculate, for instance what is $ji$.)

(c) Let $P$ be the 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Find whether $P$ is isomorphic to $D_4$ or to $Q$.

(52) In exercise 50 we have found a $p$-Sylow subgroup $N$ of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ where $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field with $q = p^r$ elements. Prove that given a $p$-subgroup $H$ of $G$, viewed as a group of linear transformations, there is a basis to the vector space in which the elements of $H$ are upper-unipotent. Suggestion: argue that by induction on the dimension, making use of exercise 24. Conclude that every maximal $p$-subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ has $q^{n(n-1)/2}$ elements and that they are all conjugate.

Improve your argument to show that to give a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is equivalent to giving a chain of subspaces $\{0\} \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n = \mathbb{F}^n$. Find how many $p$-Sylow subgroups there are.

(53) **Frattini’s argument**. Let $G$ be a finite group, $H$ a normal subgroup of $G$ and $p$ a prime dividing the order of $H$. Let $P$ be a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $H$. Prove that $G = HN_G(P)$.
Use Frattini’s argument to show that if $J$ is a subgroup of $G$ such that $J \supseteq N_G(P)$, where now $P$ is a $p$-Sylow of $G$ then $N_G(J) = J$. In particular, $N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$.

Let $G$ be a finite group and $H$ a normal subgroup of $G$. Let $P$ be a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G$ for some prime $p$. Show that $P \cap H$ is a maximal $p$-subgroup of $H$ (where here we allow that $P \cap H = \{1\}$ which is not technically a $p$-subgroup...). Further, show that $HP/H$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G/H$.

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Find the order and generators for a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $S_p$ and $S_{2p}$.

Find all Sylow subgroups, up to conjugation, for the groups $S_3$, $S_5$ and $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Let $p$ be an odd prime. In this exercise we show that a non-abelian group $G$ of order $p^3$ has an element $x$ of order $p^2$ is isomorphic to the group we have constructed in class. It is enough to show it is a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

(a) Show that $Z(G) = G'$ is a subgroup of order $p$ and that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, any commutator is in the centre of $G$ and is killed by raising to a $p$ power.

(b) Prove that $x^p$ generates the centre of $G$.

(c) Prove that to show that $G$ is a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it is enough to show there is an element $y \in G$ such that $y^p = 1$ and $y \not\in Z(G)$.

(d) Let $y \not\in \langle x \rangle$ and suppose that $y$ is of order $p^2$. Show that $G$ is generated by $x$ and $y$.

We want to show that we can find an element $\tilde{y}$ of order $p$ such that $\tilde{y} \not\in Z(G)$. We show that by counting how many elements of order $p$ the group $G$ has.

(e) Prove the surprising property, that the function $f : G \to G$, $f(t) = t^p$, is a homomorphism of groups. For that, explain why it is enough to prove the identity $x^py^p = (xy)^p$ and proceed to prove this property by making use of identities of the form $xyxy = x[y, x]xyy = [y, x]x^2y^2$, etc.

(f) By estimating the image and the kernel of $f$ show that there exists an element $\tilde{y}$ as wanted.

Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. An element $g$ of $G$ is called a non-generator if whenever $S \cup \{g\}$ is a set of generators of $G$, so is $S$. Prove that $\Phi(G)$ is the set of non-generators of $G$. Prove further that the minimal number of generators of $G$ is dim$_F(G/\Phi(G))$ and that, in fact, any minimal set of generators has dim$_F(G/\Phi(G))$ generators.

Prove that $Q$, considered as an abelian group relative to addition, has no maximal subgroups.

Calculate the Frattini subgroup of the upper unipotent matrices $N$ in $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Conclude that $N$ is generated by 2 elements. Find such 2 elements.

Consider the groups of order bigger than 60 and less than 100. Prove that they are all solvable. (The choice of 100 is random. In fact, the next non-abelian simple group has 168 elements.)

Exhibit $A_4$ as a semi-direct product.

Prove that there is another non-abelian group, that is not isomorphic to $A_4$, which is a semi-direct product.

Additional exercises about groups:

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Prove that for every $n \geq 1$ the group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is cyclic. Suggestion: consider first the subgroup $B = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} : a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$.

Prove that the group $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is trivial for $n = 1$, cyclic for $n = 2$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-2}\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 3$. Suggestion: for $n \geq 3$ consider the elements $-1$ and 5.

(Fermat primes). Use group theory to prove the following: Let $h$ be an integer such that $2^h + 1$ is prime. Prove that $h = 2^i$ for some non-negative integer $j$. (Prove first that the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is $2h$.)
(67) Use group theory to prove Wilson’s theorem: For every prime \( p \), \( (p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \).

(68) Let \( S^1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \} \), which is a group under multiplication. For a group \( G \) define 
\[ G^* = \text{Hom}(G, S^1), \]
the character group of \( G \). Prove that \( G^* \) is indeed a group under multiplication of functions. Prove:
(a) \( (A \oplus B)^* \cong A^* \oplus B^* \).
(b) If \( G \) is a finite abelian group then \( G \cong G^* \).
(c) Let \( G \) be a finite abelian group and \( H \) a subgroup of \( G \). Show that there is a subgroup \( N \) of \( G \) such that \( G/N \cong H \). Similarly, if \( H \) is isomorphic to a quotient group of \( G \) then \( H \) is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( G \). (Hint: use duality arguments using the character group \( G^* \).)

(69) Let \( G \) be a finite group. The exponent of \( G \), \( \text{exp}(G) \), is defined as the minimal positive integer \( m \) such that \( x^m = 1 \) for all \( x \in G \). Prove:
(a) If \( G \) is abelian then \( \text{exp}(G) = \max\{\text{ord}(x) : x \in G\} \).
(b) If \( G \) is not-abelian the previous statement may fail.

(70) Let \( G \neq \{1\} \) be a finite group. Two players I & II, that know the group \( G \), are playing the following game: Player I chooses a prime \( p_1 \) and then the players consider the group \( G(p_1) := G^{p_1} \). Player II chooses a prime \( q_1 \) and they consider the group \( G(p_1, q_1) := (G^{p_1})^{q_1} \). Player I then chooses a prime \( p_2 \) and they consider \( G(p_1, q_1, p_2) = ((G^{p_1})^{q_1})^{p_2} \) and so on. The first player to reach the trivial group wins. That is, if for some \( p_i \), \( G(p_1, \ldots, q_{i-1}, p_i) = \{1\} \) but \( G(p_1, \ldots, q_{i-1}) \neq \{1\} \), player I had won. Similarly for player II.
(a) Prove that player II does not have a strategy that guarantees him a win no matter what the group \( G \) is.
(b) Suppose now that \( G \) is abelian and let us also add the constraint that at every stage the players have to choose a prime that divides the order of the group at that stage. For example, player I must choose a prime dividing the order of the group \( G(p_1, \ldots, q_{i-1}) \) (if that group is trivial than the game has already been decided). Provide a necessary and sufficient condition on \( G \) for player I to have a winning strategy (namely, a strategy that will guarantee him a win whenever \( G \) satisfies the condition you have written down.)

(71) Prove that \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \).

(72) If the order of \( G \) is 231, show that the 11-Sylow subgroup of \( G \) is contained in the centre of \( G \). (After establishing it’s normal you would need to eventually to use exercise 71.)

(73) If the order of \( G \) is 385, show that the 7-Sylow subgroup of \( G \) is contained in the centre of \( G \) and the 11-Sylow is normal.

(74) Let \( G \) be a finite group and \( K \) a normal subgroup of \( G \). Suppose that \( K \) is a simple group and that \( |K|^2 \nmid |G| \). Prove that \( G \) doesn’t have any subgroup that is isomorphic to \( K \) besides \( K \). In particular, conclude that \( K \) is a characteristic subgroup.

(75) Let \( G \) be a finite simple group. Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \) whose index is a prime \( p \). Prove that \( p \) is the maximal prime dividing the order of \( G \) and that \( p^2 \nmid |G| \).

(76) Let \( H, K \) be subgroups of a group \( G \). Prove that 
\[ [G : H \cap K] \leq [G : H] \cdot [G : K]. \]

(77) Let \( G \) be a finite group with a unique maximal subgroup. Prove that \( G \) is cyclic of prime power order.

(78) Find a composition series for \( A_4 \) and find the composition factors. Prove that \( A_4 \) doesn’t have a composition series \( A_4 = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \cdots \) such that \( G_0/G_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). Thus, although the Jordan-Hölder theorem tells us that two composition series have the same quotients up to isomorphism and permutation, the converse is not true. Namely, given the composition
factors we cannot necessarily find them arising from a composition series in any way we want.

(79) If \( G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_m = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n \), where each \( H_i \) and \( K_j \) are simple groups then \( m = n \) and there is a permutation \( \sigma \in S_n \) such that \( H_i \cong K_{\sigma(i)} \) for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

(80) Let \( A, B \) be a solvable subgroup of a group \( G \). Suppose that \( B \subseteq N_G(A) \) (and so \( AB \) is a group). Prove that \( AB \) is also solvable.

(81) Prove that a group of order \( pqr \) is solvable, where \( p < q < r \) are distinct primes.

(82) Let \( G \) be a solvable group. Prove that \( G \neq G' \).

(83) Prove that for every positive integer \( n \), the group \( \mathcal{F}(2) \) has a subgroup of index \( n \). (Hint: think of transitive group actions on \( n \) elements instead of subgroups of index \( n \).)

(84) Let \( n \geq 3 \). Show that \( \langle x, y | x^n, y^2, xyxy \rangle \) is a presentation of the dihedral group \( D_n \).

(85) Find a presentation for the group \( Q \) of quaternions of order 8.

(86) Prove that \( \langle x, y | x^2, y^2 \rangle \) is an infinite group.

(87) Prove Proposition 31.4.2.

(88) Prove Proposition 32.9.2.

(89) Let \( R \) be a ring, \( \{I_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \) a set of left (resp. right, resp. two sided) ideals of \( R \) indexed by \( A \).

(a) Prove that \( \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha \) is a left (resp. right, resp. two sided) ideal of \( R \).

(b) Suppose for simplicity that we have finitely many left (resp. right, resp. two sided) ideals \( I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n \), prove that \( I_1 + I_2 + \cdots + I_n := \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n : a_j \in I_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) is a left (resp. right, resp. two sided) ideal.

(c) Let \( R \) be a commutative ring, \( T \) a collection of elements of \( R \). Let \( \langle T \rangle \) be the set \( \{\sum a_i r_i : a_i \in R, r_i \in T\} \). Prove that this is an ideal of \( R \) that is the minimal ideal containing \( T \). It is called the ideal generated by \( T \).

(d) Let \( I, J \) be two ideals of a commutative ring \( R \). Let \( IJ \) be the ideal generated by the set \( T \) comprised all products \( ij \) where \( i \in I, j \in J \). Show that \( IJ \subseteq I \cap J \). Choosing \( R = \mathbb{Z} \) determine when \( IJ = I \cap J \).

(90) Let \( d \in \mathbb{Z} \) be an integer that is not a square. Let \( \sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{C} \) be a square root of \( d \). Let

\[ \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] = \{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \]

Prove that \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] \) is a commutative integral domain. Let \( F \) be its ring of quotients. Show that \( F \) can be identified with

\[ \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] := \{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}. \]

(91) (a) Let \( R \) be a commutative ring. Prove that \( R[\![x]\!] = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n : a_n \in R, a_0 \in R^\times\} \).

(b) Find all the ideals of the ring \( \mathbb{C}[\![x]\!] \).

(92) Let \( k \) be a field. Show that any two-sided ideal of \( M_n(k) \) is a trivial ideal. That is, either \( \{0\} \) or the whole ring.

(93) (a) Let \( R, S \) be two rings. Show that \( R \times S \) (also denoted \( R \oplus S \)) is a ring under the operations \( (r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2), (r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1 r_2, s_1 s_2) \).

(b) Prove that every left ideal of \( R \times S \) has the form \( I \times J \), where \( I \subseteq R, J \subseteq S \) are left ideals.

(c) Make this explicit for \( R = S = \mathbb{Z} \). Exhibit a subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) which is not of this form (hence not an ideal).

(94) (a) Show that \( \mathbb{Z}[i]/(2 + 3i) \) is a finite field. How many elements does it have?

(b) Show that \( \mathbb{Z}[i]/(5) \) is not a field.

(95) Prove that in the ring \( \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \) the ideal \( \langle x, y \rangle \) is not principal.

(96) Prove that \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) is not a PID. Prove that every ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) is of the form \( \langle n \rangle \) for some integer \( n \), \( \langle f(x) \rangle \) for some polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) or \( \langle n, f(x) \rangle \). In each case provide an example where such an ideal is prime.
(97) Prove that \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \) is an Euclidean ring, where \( \omega = \text{e}^{2\pi i/3} \) (include a proof that \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \) is a ring).

(98) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find a generator for the ideal \((1 + 3i, 2)\) in \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \). Prove that \( \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1 + 2i) \) is a field. Find the multiplicative inverse of \( 2 + 3i \) in it.

(99) Let \( p \) be a prime. Prove that there are finite fields of \( p^2 \) and \( p^3 \) elements.

(100) Write down polynomials that define fields of 4, 8 and 16 elements. Denote these fields by \( F_4, F_8 \) and \( F_{16} \), respectively. Prove that there is an embedding \( F_4 \hookrightarrow F_{16} \), but that there is no embedding \( F_4 \hookrightarrow F_8 \).

(101) Let \( d \) be a square free integer congruent to 1 modulo 4. Let \( \delta = 1 + \sqrt{d}/2 \).

(a) Prove that the subset \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \) of the complex numbers defined here as \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] := \{a + b\delta : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \), is a subring.

(b) Assume that \( d \) is negative. Prove that the units of \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \) are only \( \{\pm 1\} \), unless \( d = -1 \) or \(-3 \) where the units are \( \{\pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2\} \), respectively, where \( \omega = -\frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \) is a non-trivial third root of unity.

(102) Let \( d = -19, \delta = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2} \) as in exercise 101. One can prove that the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \) is a PID. There are elementary, somewhat involved, proofs of that. The “right” way to prove it is to use algebraic number theory, so we shall avoid trying to chop this tree with dull axe. However, there is a nice elementary argument (that I have learnt from R. A. Wilson’s website) to show that for any function \( N : \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), this ring is not Euclidean.

(a) Show first that 2 and 3 are irreducible in \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \).

(b) Assume, on the contrary, that \( \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \) is Euclidean with respect to a function \( N \). Choose an element \( m \) such that \( N(m) \) is the minimal value of \( N \), subject to \( m \) not being 0 or a unit. Divide 2 by \( m \) with a residue \( r \): \( 2 = mq + r \). Argue that \( r = 0, 1 \) or \(-1 \), but that \( r = 1 \) is not possible after all.

(c) Show that \( m \) must be \( \pm 2, \) or \( \pm 3 \).

(d) Divide \( \delta \) in \( m \) with residue: \( \delta = mq' + r' \). Conclude that \( \delta, \delta + 1 \) or \( \delta - 1 \) is divisible by \( m \).

(e) Combine with previous information to derive a contradiction.

(103) For \( \omega = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \) show that the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \) is Euclidean. (Hint: think of this ring as a lattice in the complex plain. Take as \( N(z) \) the function \( z \bar{z} = |z|^2 \).)

(104) The ring \( \mathbb{C}[x, y] \) is not a PID. Show that the ideal \( (x, y) \) cannot be generated by 1 element. Show that the ideal \( (xy^2, x^2y^2, x^3y) \) cannot be generated by 2 elements.

(105) Let \( R \) be a PID, \( a, b \in R \). Prove that for \( d = \gcd(a, b), m = \text{lcm}(a, b) \). Prove that \( (d) = (a) + (b), \quad (m) = (a) \cap (b) \).

(106) Since the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) is Euclidean, hence a PID, every ideal is principal. Write the following ideals as principal ideals: \( (1 + i, 1 - i), (5, 7 + 4i) \). (Hint: the generator has to be the gcd.)