

7 Convergence Concepts

The following definitions describe the different modes of convergence that are considered for sequences of random variables and their distributions. The definitions are stated in terms of scalar random variables, but extend naturally to vector random variables. For example, some results are stated in terms of the Euclidean distance in one dimension

$$|X_n - X| = \sqrt{(X_n - X)^2}$$

or for sequences of k -dimensional random variables $\underline{X}_n = (X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nk})^\top$,

$$\|\underline{X}_n - \underline{X}\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (X_{nj} - X_j)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

7.1 Convergence in Distribution

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN DISTRIBUTION

Consider a sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots and a corresponding sequence of cdfs, F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, \dots so that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ $F_{X_n}(x) = P[X_n \leq x]$. Suppose that there exists a cdf, F_X , such that **for all x at which F_X is continuous**,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x).$$

Then X_1, \dots, X_n **converges in distribution** to random variable X with cdf F_X , denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

and F_X is the **limiting distribution**. Convergence of a sequence of mgfs also indicates convergence in distribution, that is, if for all t at which $M_X(t)$ is defined, if as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$M_{X_n}(t) \rightarrow M_X(t) \iff X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

DEFINITION: DEGENERATE DISTRIBUTIONS

The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n converges in distribution to constant c if the limiting distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n is **degenerate at c** , that is, $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $\Pr[X = c] = 1$, so that

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < c \\ 1 & x \geq c \end{cases}$$

Interpretation: A special case of convergence in distribution occurs when the limiting distribution is discrete, with the probability mass function only being non-zero at a single value, that is, if the limiting random variable is X , then $\Pr[X = c] = 1$ and zero otherwise. The following theorem illustrates another aspect of convergence in distribution.

We say that the sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n **converges in distribution** to c if and only if, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[|X_n - c| < \epsilon] = 1$$

This theorem indicates that convergence in distribution to a constant c occurs if and only if the probability becomes increasingly concentrated around c as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

7.2 Convergence in Probability

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN PROBABILITY TO A CONSTANT

The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n **converges in probability** to constant c , denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|X_n - c| < \epsilon] = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|X_n - c| \geq \epsilon] = 0$$

that is, if the limiting distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n is **degenerate at c** .

Interpretation : Convergence in probability to a constant is precisely equivalent to convergence in distribution to a constant.

THEOREM (WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS)

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation μ and finite variance σ^2 . Let Y_n be defined by

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

then, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon] = 1,$$

that is, $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$, and thus the mean of X_1, \dots, X_n converges in probability to μ .

Proof. Using the properties of expectation, it can be shown that Y_n has expectation μ and variance σ^2/n , and hence by the Chebychev Inequality,

$$\Pr [|Y_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence

$$\Pr [|Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon] \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$. ■

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN PROBABILITY TO A RANDOM VARIABLE

The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n **converges in probability** to random variable X , denoted $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, if, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|X_n - X| < \epsilon] = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon] = 0$$

7.3 Convergence Almost Surely

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE ALMOST SURELY

The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n **converges almost surely** to random variable X , denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$$

if

$$\Pr \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| < \epsilon \right] = 1,$$

that is, if $A \equiv \{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)\}$, then $P(A) = 1$.

Alternative characterization:

- Let $\epsilon > 0$, and the sets $A_n(\epsilon)$ and $B_m(\epsilon)$ be defined by

$$A_n(\epsilon) \equiv \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon\} \qquad B_m(\epsilon) \equiv \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n(\epsilon).$$

Then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if

$$\Pr(B_m(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0 \quad as \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Interpretation:

- The event $A_n(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the set of ω for which $X_n(\omega)$ is more than ϵ away from X .
 - The event $B_m(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the set of ω for which $X_n(\omega)$ is more than ϵ away from X , for **at least one** $n \geq m$.
 - The event $B_m(\epsilon)$ occurs **if there exists** an $n \geq m$ such that $|X_n - X| > \epsilon$.
 - $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if $\Pr(B_m(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0$.
- $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if

$$\Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon \text{ infinitely often}] = 0$$

that is, $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if there are **only finitely many** X_n for which

$$|X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon$$

if ω lies in a set of probability greater than zero.

Alternative terminology:

- $X_n \rightarrow X$ *almost everywhere*, $X_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} X$
- $X_n \rightarrow X$ *with probability 1*, $X_n \xrightarrow{w.p.1} X$

Interpretation: A random variable is a real-valued function from sample space Ω to \mathbb{R} . The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n corresponds to a sequence of functions defined on elements of Ω . Almost sure convergence requires that the sequence of real numbers $X_n(\omega)$ converges to $X(\omega)$ (as a real sequence) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, except perhaps when ω is in a set having probability zero under the probability distribution of X .

THEOREM (STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS)

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation μ and (finite) variance σ^2 . Let Y_n be defined by

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

then, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon \right] = 1,$$

that is, $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$, and thus the mean of X_1, \dots, X_n converges almost surely to μ .

7.4 Convergence In r th Mean

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN r th MEAN

The sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n converges in r th mean to random variable X , denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [|X_n - X|^r] = 0.$$

For example, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [(X_n - X)^2] = 0$$

then we write

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r=2} X.$$

In this case, we say that $\{X_n\}$ converges to X in mean-square or in quadratic mean.

THEOREM

For $r_1 > r_2 \geq 1$,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_1} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_2} X$$

Proof. By Lyapunov’s inequality

$$E[|X_n - X|^{r_2}]^{1/r_2} \leq E[|X_n - X|^{r_1}]^{1/r_1}$$

so that

$$E[|X_n - X|^{r_2}] \leq E[|X_n - X|^{r_1}]^{r_2/r_1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as $r_2 < r_1$. Thus

$$E[|X_n - X|^{r_2}] \rightarrow 0$$

and $X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_2} X$. ■

Note : The converse does not hold in general.

THEOREM (RELATING THE MODES OF CONVERGENCE)

For sequence of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , following relationships hold

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X & & \\
 & \Downarrow & \\
 & X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X & \\
 & \Uparrow & \\
 X_n \xrightarrow{r} X & &
 \end{array}$$

so almost sure convergence and convergence in r th mean for some r both imply convergence in probability, which in turn implies convergence in distribution to random variable X .

No other relationships hold in general.

Proof. **THIS PROOF NOT EXAMINABLE.**

(a) $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\Pr[|X_n - X| < \epsilon] \geq \Pr[|X_m - X| < \epsilon, \forall m \geq n] \tag{1}$$

as, considering the original sample space,

$$\{\omega : |X_m(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon, \forall m \geq n\} \subseteq \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon\}$$

But, as $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$, $\Pr[|X_m - X| < \epsilon, \forall m \geq n] \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, after taking limits in equation (1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[|X_n - X| < \epsilon] \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[|X_m - X| < \epsilon, \forall m \geq n] = 1$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[|X_n - X| < \epsilon] = 1 \quad \therefore \quad X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

(b) $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, using an argument similar to Chebychev's Lemma,

$$E[|X_n - X|^r] \geq E[|X_n - X|^r I_{\{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}}] \geq \epsilon^r \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon].$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$, $E[|X_n - X|^r] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so therefore, also, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \rightarrow 0 \quad \therefore \quad X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

(c) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Denote, in the usual way,

$$F_{X_n}(x) = \Pr[X_n \leq x] \quad \text{and} \quad F_X(x) = \Pr[X \leq x].$$

Then, by the theorem of total probability, we have two inequalities

$$F_{X_n}(x) = \Pr[X_n \leq x] = \Pr[X_n \leq x, X \leq x + \epsilon] + \Pr[X_n \leq x, X > x + \epsilon] \leq F_X(x + \epsilon) + \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon]$$

$$F_X(x - \epsilon) = \Pr[X \leq x - \epsilon] = \Pr[X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n \leq x] + \Pr[X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n > x] \leq F_{X_n}(x) + \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon].$$

as $A \subseteq B \implies P(A) \leq P(B)$ yields

$$\Pr[X_n \leq x, X \leq x + \epsilon] \leq F_X(x + \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr[X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n \leq x] \leq F_{X_n}(x).$$

Thus

$$F_X(x - \epsilon) - \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \leq F_{X_n}(x) \leq F_X(x + \epsilon) + \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon]$$

and taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (with care; we cannot yet write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x)$$

as we do not know that this limit exists) recalling that $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$,

$$F_X(x - \epsilon) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) \leq F_X(x + \epsilon)$$

Then if F_X is continuous at x , $F_X(x - \epsilon) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ and $F_X(x + \epsilon) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and hence

$$F_X(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) \leq F_X(x)$$

and thus $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

■

THEOREM (Partial Converses: NOT EXAMINABLE)

(i) If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] < \infty$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

(ii) If, for some positive integer r ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|X_n - X|^r] < \infty$$

then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

Proof. (i) Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$\Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon, \text{ for some } m \geq n] \equiv \Pr\left[\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \{|X_m - X| > \epsilon\}\right] \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \Pr[|X_m - X| > \epsilon]$$

as, by elementary probability theory, $P(A \cup B) \leq P(A) + P(B)$. But, as it is the tail sum of a convergent series (by assumption), it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \Pr[|X_m - X| > \epsilon] = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[|X_n - X| > \epsilon, \text{ for some } m \geq n] = 0$$

and $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

(ii) Identical to part (i), and using part (b) of the previous theorem that $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.

■

THEOREM (Slutsky's Theorem)

Suppose that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$$

Then

(i) $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$

(ii) $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$

(iii) $X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c$ provided $c \neq 0$.

7.5 The Central Limit Theorem

THEOREM (THE LINDBERBERG-LÉVY CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM)

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables with mgf M_X , with

$$E_{f_X}[X_i] = \mu \quad \text{Var}_{f_X}[X_i] = \sigma^2$$

both finite. Let the random variable Z_n be defined by

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$$

where

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

and denote by M_{Z_n} the mgf of Z_n . Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{Z_n}(t) \rightarrow \exp\{t^2/2\}$$

irrespective of the form of M_X . Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

Proof. First, let $Y_i = (X_i - \mu)/\sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d. with mgf M_Y say, and $E_{f_Y}[Y_i] = 0$, $\text{Var}_{f_Y}[Y_i] = 1$ for each i . Using a Taylor series expansion, we have that for t in a neighbourhood of zero,

$$M_Y(t) = 1 + tE_{f_Y}[Y] + \frac{t^2}{2!}E_{f_Y}[Y^2] + \frac{t^3}{3!}E_{f_Y}[Y^3] + \dots = 1 + \frac{t^2}{2} + O(t^3)$$

using the $O(t^3)$ notation to capture all terms involving t^3 and higher powers. Re-writing Z_n as

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

as Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent, we have by a standard mgf result that

$$M_{Z_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ M_Y \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right\} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{t^2}{2n} + O(n^{-3/2}) \right\}^n = \left\{ 1 + \frac{t^2}{2n} + o(n^{-1}) \right\}^n.$$

so that, by the definition of the exponential function, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$M_{Z_n}(t) \rightarrow \exp\{t^2/2\} \quad \therefore \quad Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

where no further assumptions on M_X are required. ■

Alternative statement: The theorem can also be stated in terms of

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$$

so that

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

and σ^2 is termed the **asymptotic variance** of Z_n .

Notes :

- (i) The theorem requires the **existence of the mgf** M_X .
- (ii) The theorem holds for the i.i.d. case, but there are similar theorems for **non identically distributed**, and **dependent** random variables.
- (iii) The theorem allows the construction of **asymptotic normal approximations**. For example, for **large but finite** n , by using the properties of the Normal distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_n &\sim AN(\mu, \sigma^2/n) \\ S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i &\sim AN(n\mu, n\sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$

where $AN(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denotes an asymptotic normal distribution. The notation

$$\bar{X}_n \dot{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$$

is sometimes used.

- (iv) The **multivariate version** of this theorem can be stated as follows: Suppose $\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ are i.i.d. k -dimensional random variables with mgf $M_{\underline{X}}$, with

$$E_{f_{\underline{X}}}[\underline{X}_i] = \underline{\mu} \quad \text{Var}_{f_{\underline{X}}}[\underline{X}_i] = \Sigma$$

where Σ is a positive definite, symmetric $k \times k$ matrix defining the variance-covariance matrix of the \underline{X}_i . Let the random variable \underline{Z}_n be defined by

$$\underline{Z}_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{\underline{X}}_n - \underline{\mu})$$

where

$$\bar{\underline{X}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{X}_i.$$

Then

$$\underline{Z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{Z} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.